State Attorneys General

A Communication From the Chief Legal Officers of the Following States and Territories:

Alaska * Colorado * Connecticut * Florida Hawa i * Iowa * Kansas * Massachusetts * Michigan Mi;sissippi * Ohio * Rhode Island * South Carolina South Dakota * Tennessee * Texas * Utah

	d			
	FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET			
		FROM:		
·to:			_	1
The Honorable I	~ Hastines	17 State Attorneys General		
		DATE:		
COMPANY:				
Ranking Member	House Comm	i rree 4/2	4/2009	
on Natural Resot	CCES TOTAL NO. OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER:			
FAX NUMBER:		TOTAL N	O. OF PAGES, INCLUDING	3 (,0 124
FAX NOVEEN		4		
202-225-5929		4		
		SENDIR.	REPERENCE NUMBER:	
PHONE NUMBER:				
		YOUR RE	FERENCE NUMBER:	
RE:			•	
Carcieri v. Salaza	202-331-1427			
	-		,,,,e	
URGENT FO	REVIEW [PLEASE COMMENT	☐ PLEASE REPLY	please recycle
NOTES/COMMENTS:				
-1				

State Attorneys General

A Communication From the Chief Legal Officers of the Following States and Territories:

A aska * Colorado * Connecticut * Florida Hawaii * Iowa * Kansas * Massachusetts * Michigan Missi sippi * Ohio * Rhode Island * South Carolina South Dakota * Tennessee * Texas * Utah

April 24, 2009

The Honorable Byron L. Dorgan Chairman Committee on Indian Affairs United States Senate

The Honorable Nick J. Rahall, II Chairman Committee on Natural Resources United States House of Representatives The Honorable John Barrasso, Vice Chairman Committee on Indian Affairs United States Senate

The Honorable Doc Hastings
Ranking Member
Committee on Natural Resources
United States House of Representatives

Via Facsimile

RE: Congressional Committee Hearings re: Carcieri v. Salazar, 555 U.S. _____(2009)

Dear Senators Dorgan and Ba rasso and Representatives Rahall and Hastings:

The undersigned Attorneys General understand that the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs and the House Natural Resources Committee have conducted a hearing on the potential impacts of the recent United States Supreme Court decision in Carcieri v. Salazar, 555 U.S. _____ (2009). The Carcieri decision recognized Congress' original intent to limit the authority of the Secretary of Interior to take lands into trust for only those tribes that were recognized at the time the Indian Reorganization Act was enacted in 1934.

A March 13, 2009, story in 'Indian Country Today' stated that Indian country officials are calling for a quick legislative fix so that state an I local interests will not have time to make arguments that Congress should let the Carcieri decision stand. The undersigned believe it would not be in the best interests of all stakeholders, both Indian and non-Indian, to rush a legislative fix and to ignore legitimate state and local interests. The goal of any legislation should be to craft 1 workable process that allows all interested parties an opportunity to be heard.

Each exercise of the Secretary's authority to take land into trust has substantial impact on state and local communities. Taking land into trust deprives the local units of government and the state of the ability to tax the land and calls into question the power of state and local government to enforce civil and criminal laws on the land.

The Carcieri decision is orly one highly visible example of the larger frustration many states feel with the existing regulatory process for taking land into trust. The current process does not provide for meaningful

Page 2
analysis or weighing of the input of states and local units of government and is void of binding limits on the analysis or weighing of the input of states and local units of government and is void of binding limits on the discretion of the secretary. Moreover, the Department of Interior has promised to review and rewrite the current regulations. That promise was neade nearly a decade ago, but the regulatory process remains stalled.

The undersigned Attorneys General request that they be allowed to participate in any discussions regarding legislation affecting the Secret; ry's authority to take land into trust because of the significant impacts such legislation has on the states. The process used to draft any legislation must include all of the stakeholders in order to reduce the potential for disputes and further litigation. The states recognize that, in some instances, taking land into trust for Tribes can be beneficial to all concerned, but it can be detrimental if the trust determinations that are ultimately made unjustifiably undermine the ability of state and local governments to carry on their core functions.

We have been advised that the Committee has committed to move carefully and deliberately in crafting any response to Carcieri. We applied such an approach and respectfully request that we be included in the process so that we can articulate our co icerns on behalf of our citizens.

Sincerely,

Patrick C Tynch

Patrick C. Lynch Rhode Island Attorney General

Wayne Anthony Ross Alaska Attorney General

Richard Blumenthal Connecticut Attorney General

Mark J. Bennett

Hawaii Attorney General

Steve Six

Kansas Attorney General

Larry Long

South Dakota Attorney General

John W. Suthers

Colorado Attorney General

Bill McCollum

Florida Attorney General

Tom Miller

Iowa Attorney General

Martha Coakley

Massachusetts Attorney General

April 24, 2009

Page 3

Michigan Attorney General

Richard Cordray

Ohio Attorney General

Robert E. Cooper, Jr.

Tennessee Attorney General

Mark L. Shurtleff

Utah Attorney General

Jim Hood

Mississippi Attorney General

Henry McMaster

South Carolina Attorney General

Greg Abbott

Texas Attorney General