

~~(FULL COMMITTEE PRINT)~~

113TH CONGRESS }  
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT  
113-

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

REPORT  
OF THE  
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS  
(TO ACCOMPANY H.R. )

Together with  
ADDITIONAL VIEWS  
(16)  
(52)



, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House  
on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

SPINE  
LABEL

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

~~[FULL COMMITTEE PRINT]~~

113TH CONGRESS  
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT  
113-

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

REPORT  
OF THE  
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. ]



, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House  
on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
WASHINGTON : 2014

87-055

Together with  
ADDITIONAL VIEWS

(C)

(SC)



GPO: update page numbers as necessary

## CONTENTS

	Page
Bill Totals .....	1
Committee Budget Review Process .....	3
Introduction .....	3
Funding Increases .....	3
Committee Recommendations by Major Category .....	3
Active, Reserve, and National Guard Military Personnel .....	3
Operation and Maintenance .....	3
Procurement .....	4
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....	5
Defense Health Program .....	6
Overseas Contingency Operations .....	6
Classified Programs .....	6
<b>TITLE I. MILITARY PERSONNEL</b> .....	<b>7</b>
Military Personnel Overview .....	9
Summary of End Strength .....	9
Overall Active End Strength .....	9
Overall Selected Reserve End Strength .....	9
Full-Time Support Strengths .....	10
Reprogramming Guidance for Military Personnel Accounts .....	10
Special Interest Items .....	11
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response .....	11
Long-Term Temporary Duty Assignments .....	12
Permanent Change of Station Efficiencies .....	12
Cultural Sensitivity Training .....	13
Handicapped Accessibility of Military Service Stations .....	13
Personnel Transition Coordination .....	14
Minority Outreach and Officer Accessions .....	14
Military Personnel, Army .....	14
Regionally Aligned Forces Language Capability .....	18
Military Family Housing at Camp Humphreys .....	18
Military Personnel, Navy .....	18
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	22
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	26
Intercontinental Ballistic Missile Force .....	30
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	30
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	33
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	36
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	39
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	42
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	45
<b>TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> .....	<b>49</b>
Reprogramming Guidance for Operation and Maintenance Accounts .....	51
Operation and Maintenance Budget Execution Data .....	52
Special Interest Items .....	52
Readiness .....	52
Service Audit Agency Validation .....	53
Depot-Level Maintenance and Repair Budget Exhibits .....	54
Depot Maintenance .....	54
Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....	54
Suicide Prevention Training and Outreach .....	55
Working Conditions in Bangladesh .....	55
Overestimation and Reporting of Civilian Workforce .....	56
Operation and Maintenance Budget Documentation .....	56
Operational Reserve .....	57

IV

	Page
Military Information Support Operations .....	57
Nuclear and Natural Disaster Response .....	58
Performance Measures .....	58
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	58
Cemeterial Expenses .....	64
Army Inventory Management System .....	64
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	64
Tire Successor Initiative .....	73
Shipboard Lighting Systems .....	73
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	73
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force .....	76
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	76
Air Force Flying Hour Metrics .....	82
Air Force Weapon System Sustainment .....	82
Air Education and Training Command Infrastructure .....	83
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	83
Special Operations Command—Operation and Maintenance Funding .....	89
Special Operations Command—Flying Hours Restoration .....	89
Special Operations Command—Budget Justification .....	89
Special Operations Command—Reprogramming Guidance .....	90
Special Operations Command—Use of Major Force Program—11 Funds .....	90
Special Operations Command—Oversight .....	91
Special Operations Command—National Capital Region .....	91
Special Operations Command—Suicide Prevention and Psychological Health .....	92
Special Operations Command—Human Physical Performance Program .....	93
Special Operations Command—Advanced Education Program .....	93
Special Operations Command—Classified Adjustments .....	94
Energy Efficiency .....	94
Commercial Joint Mapping Toolkit .....	95
Automated Continuous Evaluation System .....	95
Overseas Military Installation Inventory .....	95
Spare Parts Management .....	95
Transportable, Modular, Renewable Energy Platforms .....	96
National Security Education Program .....	96
Audit Readiness .....	96
Cloud Computing Services .....	97
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	97
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	100
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	103
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	106
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	109
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	112
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account .....	115
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....	115
Environmental Restoration, Army .....	115
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....	115
Vieques and Culebra Environmental Restoration .....	115
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....	116
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide .....	116
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....	116
Environmental Restoration Annual Report to Congress .....	116
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....	116
Humanitarian Mine Action Program .....	116
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....	117
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund .....	117
TITLE III. PROCUREMENT .....	119
Special Interest Items .....	121
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts .....	121
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements .....	121
Funding Increases .....	121
Classified Annex .....	121
High Mobility Engineer Excavator .....	122
Tactical Power Sources .....	122
Mobile User Objective System Terminals .....	122
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	122
Army Aviation Restructure Initiative .....	126

	Page
Missile Procurement, Army .....	126
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	129
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	133
Other Procurement, Army .....	137
Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System .....	145
Body Armor Modernization .....	145
Air and Missile Defense Planning and Control System .....	145
High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle Modernization .....	145
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	146
MH-60R .....	153
EA-18G Aircraft .....	153
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	153
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	158
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....	162
Cruiser Modernization .....	165
Aircraft Carrier Refueling .....	165
Littoral Combat Ship .....	166
Other Procurement, Navy .....	166
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	177
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	182
CV-22 .....	189
KC-10 .....	189
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	190
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle .....	194
Global Positioning System III .....	194
Preferred Munitions .....	194
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	194
Preferred Munitions .....	197
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	197
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	202
National Guard and Reserve Component Proportionate Funding .....	207
National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account .....	207
Special Operations Command—C-146A .....	207
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	208
Rare Earth Elements .....	208
<b>TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>209</b>
Special Interest Items .....	211
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts .....	211
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements .....	211
Funding Increases .....	211
Classified Annex .....	211
Lithium-Ion Batteries .....	212
Hybrid Airship Development .....	212
Anti-Corrosion Efforts .....	212
Explosive Ordnance Disposal .....	212
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	213
Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle .....	223
Network Integration Evaluation .....	223
Degraded Visual Environment .....	224
Polymer Research Improvements .....	224
Advanced Concepts and Simulation .....	224
Testing of Virtual Training Systems .....	224
Army Net Zero Policy .....	225
Lightweight Advanced Personnel Protection .....	225
Ammunition Management .....	225
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	225
Amphibious Combat Vehicle .....	238
Automated Test and Re-Test .....	238
Littoral Combat Ship Training .....	238
Arctic Center of Excellence .....	238
Wound Care Research Program .....	239
Automated Critical Care System .....	239
P-8A Open Architecture .....	239
Aircraft Systems Modernization .....	240
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	240
Global Hawk, U-2, and High Altitude ISR .....	251
Combat Rescue Helicopter .....	252

	Page
Next Generation JSTARS .....	252
Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Survivability .....	253
Airborne Electronic Attack .....	253
Endurance Unmanned Aerial Vehicles .....	253
KC-46A .....	254
Beyond Line of Sight Command and Control .....	254
High Performance Computing .....	254
Human Performance Monitoring .....	254
Liquid Rocket Engine Development Program .....	255
Space Based Infrared System High .....	255
Air Force Technology Transfer Program .....	255
Global Positioning System Space Modernization Initiative .....	256
Global Positioning System User Equipment .....	256
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	256
Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions .....	267
Advanced Innovative Technologies .....	267
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency .....	267
Laser-Driven X-Ray Technology .....	267
Modeling and Simulation .....	268
Cybersecurity .....	268
Human and Robot Collaboration .....	268
Missile Defense Agency .....	268
Missile Defense Agency—Iron Dome .....	269
Missile Defense Agency—Cooperation with Universities .....	270
Disabling and Neutralizing Weapons of Mass Destruction .....	271
Additive Manufacturing .....	271
Systems Engineering Research Center .....	271
Conventional Prompt Global Strike .....	271
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	272
<b>TITLE V. REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS .....</b>	<b>275</b>
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	275
<b>TITLE VI. OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS .....</b>	<b>277</b>
Defense Health Program .....	277
Reprogramming Guidance for the Defense Health Program .....	281
Carryover Authority .....	281
Electronic Health Record .....	281
Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program .....	282
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs .....	283
Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research Program .....	283
Intelligent Prosthetics .....	284
Prescription Drug Abuse .....	284
Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy .....	284
Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents .....	285
Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program .....	285
Trauma Clinical Research Repository .....	285
Telemedicine .....	286
Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods .....	286
Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities .....	286
Canine Therapy Research .....	287
Thermal Injury Prevention .....	287
Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery .....	287
Military Mental Health Pilot Program .....	287
Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research .....	287
Access to Military Treatment Facilities .....	288
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense .....	288
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	288
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities .....	289
Budget Justification Material .....	289
National Guard State Plans .....	289
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	290
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	290
Support for International Sporting Competitions .....	290
Support for International Sporting Competitions .....	290
Office of the Inspector General .....	291
Office of the Inspector General .....	291
<b>TITLE VII. RELATED AGENCIES .....</b>	<b>293</b>



VII

	Page
National and Military Intelligence Programs .....	293
Classified Annex .....	293
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund .....	293
Intelligence Community Management Account .....	293
Wildlife Trafficking .....	294
TITLE VIII. GENERAL PROVISIONS .....	295
TITLE IX. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	305
Committee Recommendation .....	305
General Provisions .....	305
TITLE X. ....	307
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS .....	307
Changes in the Application of Existing Law .....	307
Appropriations not Authorized by Law .....	318
Transfer of Funds .....	319
Rescissions .....	321
Transfer of Unexpended Balances .....	322
Directed Rule Making .....	322
Program Duplication .....	322
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives .....	322
Compliance with Rule XIII, CL. 3(e) (Ramseyer Rule) .....	322
Earmark Disclosure Statement .....	322
Comparison with the Budget Resolution .....	323
Five-Year Outlay Projections .....	323
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments .....	323
Full Committee Votes .....	323
Additional Views .....	323



113TH CONGRESS }  
2d Session }

~~[FULL COMMITTEE PRINT]~~

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT  
113-

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

\_\_\_\_\_, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of  
the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN of New Jersey, from the Committee on  
Appropriations, submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H.R.]

]  
together with  
ADDITIONAL VIEWS

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in  
explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the  
Department of Defense, and for other purposes, for the fiscal year  
ending September 30, 2015.

BILL TOTALS

Appropriations for most military functions of the Department of  
Defense are provided for in the accompanying bill for fiscal year  
2015. This bill does not provide appropriations for military con-  
struction, military family housing, civil defense, and military nu-  
clear warheads, for which requirements are considered in connec-  
tion with other appropriations Acts.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget request for activities  
funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act totals  
\$490,741,995,000 in new budget obligational authority for the base  
military bill.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
Title III - Procurement.....	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	35,025,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	1,041,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	-2,779,100	-260,685	958,452	+3,737,641	+1,219,137
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).....	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	---
Total, Department of Defense.....	565,093,629	563,658,995	563,898,820	-1,194,809	+199,825
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,900,820	-1,654,809	+199,825

INSERT 2A

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
Title III - Procurement.....	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+388,149	-171,057
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	-2,779,189	-260,685	960,452	+3,739,641	+1,221,137
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).....	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	---
-----					
Total, Department of Defense.....	565,093,629	563,698,995	563,900,820	-1,192,809	+201,825
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
-----					
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825
=====					

## COMMITTEE BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

During its review of the fiscal year 2015 budget request and execution of appropriations for fiscal year 2014, the Subcommittee on Defense held a total of thirteen hearings and eight formal briefings during the period from January 2014 to May 2014. Testimony received by the Subcommittee totaled 1,067 pages of transcript. Hearings were held in open session, except when the security classification of the material to be discussed presented no alternative but to conduct those hearings in executive or closed session.

## INTRODUCTION

The Committee recommendation for the fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense base budget is ~~\$490,943,820,000~~ <sup>\$201,825,000</sup> ~~\$199,825,000~~ <sup>\$490,943,820,000</sup>, which is an increase of ~~\$199,825,000~~ above the budget request. The Committee recommendation for overseas contingency operations is \$79,445,000,000.

To reach the recommended level, the Subcommittee has reviewed in detail the budget request, and found areas and programs where reductions are possible without adversely impacting the warfighter or modernization and readiness efforts. Examples of such reductions include: programs which have been terminated or restructured since the budget was submitted, savings from favorable contract pricing adjustments, contract or schedule delays resulting in fiscal year 2015 savings, unjustified cost increases or funding requested ahead of need, anticipated or historical underexecution, rescissions of unneeded prior year funds, and reductions that are authorized in the pending National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015.

## FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in the tables for each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS BY MAJOR CATEGORY

## ACTIVE, RESERVE, AND NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY PERSONNEL

In title I of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$128,127,640,000 for active, reserve, and National Guard military personnel, a decrease of \$829,953,000 below the budget request, and a decrease of \$668,647,000 below the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent, as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2015. The Committee recommends full funding to support the requested end strength levels for active duty and Selected Reserve personnel.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

In title II of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$164,631,638,000 for operation and maintenance support to the military Services and other Department of Defense entities, a decrease of \$1,371,180,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$4,761,912,000 above the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The recommended levels will robustly fund operational training programs

in fiscal year 2015. Requests for unit and depot-level maintenance, facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization, and base operations support program funding have been fully supported.

#### PROCUREMENT

In title III of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$91,227,819,000 for procurement.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$1,356,227,000 for the procurement of 87 UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, an increase of \$119,226,000 and eight aircraft above the President's request.

\$892,504,000 for the procurement of 32 CH-47 Chinook helicopters, the same as the President's request.

\$239,581,000 for the procurement of 19 improved, longer range MQ-1 unmanned aerial vehicles, an increase of \$49,000,000 above the President's request.

\$532,605,000 for the procurement of 97 MSE missiles for the Patriot missile system, an increase of \$148,000,000 above the President's request.

\$183,838,000 for the procurement of Patriot Missile modifications, an increase of \$52,000,000 above the President's request.

\$664,087,000 for the procurement of WIN-T Ground Forces Tactical Networks, a decrease of \$99,000,000 below the President's request.

\$125,711,000 for the procurement of Joint Tactical Radio Systems, a decrease of \$50,000,000 below the President's request.

\$416,617,000 for the procurement of 55 UH-72A Lakota helicopters, the same as the President's request.

\$435,110,000 for the procurement of upgrades for the fourth brigade set of Strykers to double V-hulls, plus Stryker nuclear, biological, chemical reconnaissance vehicle fielding support, an increase of \$50,000,000 above the President's request.

\$122,451,000 for the procurement of 40 M88A2 Improved Recovery Vehicles, an increase of \$72,000,000 and 25 vehicles above the President's request.

\$1,018,547,000 for the procurement of twelve EA-18G Growler electronic attack aircraft, an increase of \$975,000,000 and twelve aircraft above the President's request.

\$2,128,787,000 for the procurement of nine P-8A Poseidon multi-mission aircraft, an increase of \$125,460,000 and one aircraft above the President's request.

\$809,057,000 for the procurement of 27 UH-1Y/AH-1Z helicopters, an increase of \$30,300,000 and one aircraft above the President's request.

\$5,843,108,000 for the procurement of 38 F-35 Lightning aircraft, an increase of \$479,000,000 and four aircraft above the President's request: six short take-off and vertical landing variants for the Marine Corps, four carrier variants for the Navy, and 28 conventional variants for the Air Force.

\$14,256,361,000 for the procurement of six Navy ships, including two DDG-51 guided missile destroyers, two SSN-774 attack submarines, and two Littoral Combat Ships.

\$789,300,000 above the President's request for the advance procurement of material for the refueling of the USS George Washington (CVN-73).

\$1,097,691,000 for the procurement of 14 C/HC/MC/KC-130J aircraft, the same as the President's request.

\$1,481,100,000 for the procurement of 19 MV-22 aircraft, a decrease of \$5,900,000 below the President's request.

\$373,218,000 for the procurement of 24 MQ-9 Reaper unmanned aerial vehicles, an increase of \$133,000,000 and 12 aircraft above the President's request.

\$1,582,685,000 for the procurement of seven KC-46 tanker aircraft, the same as the President's request.

\$1,346,046,000 for the procurement of three Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicles and infrastructure, a decrease of \$35,000,000 below the President's request.

\$350,972,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Program Iron Dome, \$175,000,000 above the President's request.

\$100,000,000 for HMMWV modernization for the Army National Guard, an increase of \$100,000,000 above the President's request.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

In title IV of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$63,362,890,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$181,609,000 for the continued development of High Performance Computing, the same as the President's request.

\$320,177,000 for the continued development of modifications to Abrams, Bradley, and Stryker Vehicles, an increase of \$25,000,000 above the President's request.

\$849,277,000 for the continued development of the replacement for the Ohio class ballistic missile submarine, the same as the President's request.

\$146,200,000 for the continued development of the E-2D Advanced Hawkeye aircraft, a decrease of \$47,000,000 below the President's request.

\$230,733,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Jammer, a decrease of \$16,123,000 below the President's request.

\$1,636,130,000 for the continued development of the F-35 Lightning Joint Strike Fighter aircraft, a decrease of \$4,976,000 below the President's request.

\$403,017,000 for the continued development of the Unmanned Carrier Based Strike System, the same as the President's request.

\$319,037,000 for the continued development of the Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, an increase of \$11,000,000 above the President's request.

\$913,728,000 for the development of a new penetrating bomber, the same as the President's request.

\$766,937,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Aerial Refueling Aircraft, a decrease of \$10,000,000 below the President's request.

\$73,088,000 for the development of a Next Generation JSTARS aircraft, the same as the President's request.

\$309,501,000 for the continued development of the Space Based Infrared Satellite and associated ground support systems, a decrease of \$10,000,000 below the President's request.



\$212,571,000 for the development of the Global Positioning System III operational control segment, the same as the President's request.

\$220,000,000 above the President's request to begin development of a domestically produced liquid rocket engine for space launch.

\$2,848,318,000 for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, a decrease of \$66,452,000 below the President's request.

\$268,842,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Programs, an increase of \$172,039,000 above the President's request.

#### DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of \$31,634,870,000 for the Defense Health Program to support worldwide medical and dental services for active forces and other eligible beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends funding to augment the request for enduring traumatic brain injury, psychological health, and wounded, ill and injured requirements. To address these challenges of the Defense Health Program, the Committee recommends the following:

Traumatic brain injury and psychological health research .....	\$125,000,000
Peer-reviewed spinal cord research .....	\$30,000,000
Peer-reviewed orthopedic research .....	\$30,000,000

#### OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

In title IX of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$79,445,000,000 for overseas contingency operations. Despite a recent announcement from the Administration regarding plans for an enduring military presence in Afghanistan, no Overseas Contingency Operations budget request has been submitted, therefore this level of funding is subject to change.

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

As described elsewhere in this report, the Committee's budget review of classified programs is published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in the classified annex accompanying this report.

## TITLE I

### MILITARY PERSONNEL

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense military personnel budget request totals \$128,957,593,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$128,127,640,000 for the military personnel accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	41,225,339	41,183,729	-41,610
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	4,459,130	4,304,159	-154,971
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	1,863,034	1,836,024	-27,010
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	670,754	659,224	-11,530
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	1,675,518	1,652,148	-23,370
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	7,682,892	7,644,832	-38,260
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	3,156,457	3,110,587	-45,870
GRAND TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL.....	128,857,593	128,127,640	-829,953
	=====	=====	=====

## MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

The Committee recommendation provides \$128,127,640,000 for the military personnel accounts, which funds military pay and allowances, recruitment and retention initiatives, and overall quality of life programs for active duty, reserve, and National Guard personnel. The recommendation fully supports the resource requirements needed to maintain the authorized end strength levels for fiscal year 2015. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2015. The Committee continues to encourage constructive evaluations of recruitment and retention programs, bonus and special pay incentives, and personnel benefit programs for fiscal year 2015. The Committee remains supportive of programs intended to enhance the morale and quality of life of military personnel and their families.

## SUMMARY OF END STRENGTH

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes a decrease of 52,800 in total end strength for the active forces and a decrease of 12,900 in total end strength for the Selected Reserve as compared to the fiscal year 2014 authorized levels. The following tables summarize the Committee recommendations for end strength levels, both in the aggregate and for each active and Selected Reserve component.

## OVERALL ACTIVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2014 authorized .....	1,361,400
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,308,600
Fiscal year 2015 recommendation .....	1,308,600
Compared with fiscal year 2014 .....	- 52,800
Compared with fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	---

## OVERALL SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2014 authorized .....	833,700
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	820,800
Fiscal year 2015 recommendation .....	820,800
Compared with fiscal year 2014 .....	- 12,900
Compared with fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	---

## SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH

	Fiscal year 2014 authorized	Fiscal year 2015			Change from fiscal year 2014
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	
<b>Active Forces (End Strength):</b>					
Army .....	520,000	490,000	490,000	---	- 30,000
Navy .....	323,600	323,600	323,600	---	---
Marine Corps .....	190,200	184,100	184,100	---	- 6,100
Air Force .....	327,600	310,900	310,900	---	- 16,700
Total, Active Forces .....	1,361,400	1,308,600	1,308,600	---	- 52,800
<b>Guard and Reserve Forces (End Strength)</b>					
Army Reserve .....	205,000	202,000	202,000	---	- 3,000
Navy Reserve .....	59,100	57,300	57,300	---	- 1,800
Marine Corps Reserve .....	39,600	39,200	39,200	---	- 400
Air Force Reserve .....	70,400	67,100	67,100	---	- 3,300
Army National Guard .....	354,200	350,200	350,200	---	- 4,000
Air National Guard .....	105,400	105,000	105,000	---	- 400

## SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH—Continued

	Fiscal year 2014 authorized	Fiscal year 2015			
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Total, Selected Reserve .....	833,700	820,800	820,800	---	- 12,900
Total, Military Personnel .....	2,195,100	2,129,400	2,129,400	---	- 65,700

## FULL-TIME SUPPORT STRENGTHS

There are four categories of full-time support in the National Guard and reserve components: military technicians (dual status), Active Guard and Reserve, non-technician civilians, and active component personnel.

Full-time support personnel organize, recruit, train, maintain, and administer the reserve components. Military technicians (dual status) directly support units and are critical to helping units maintain readiness and meet the wartime missions of the Army and Air Force.

The following table summarizes the National Guard and reserve components full-time support end strengths:

## SUMMARY OF GUARD AND RESERVE FULL-TIME SUPPORT

	Fiscal year 2014 authorized	Fiscal year 2015			
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Army Reserve:					
AGR .....	16,261	16,261	16,261	---	---
Technicians .....	8,395	7,895	7,895	---	- 500
Navy Reserve:					
AR .....	10,159	9,973	9,973	---	- 186
Marine Corps Reserve:					
AR .....	2,261	2,261	2,261	---	---
Air Force Reserve:					
AGR .....	2,911	2,830	2,830	---	- 81
Technicians .....	10,429	9,789	9,789	---	- 640
Army National Guard:					
AGR .....	32,060	31,385	31,385	---	- 675
Technicians .....	27,210	27,210	27,210	---	---
Air National Guard:					
AGR .....	14,734	14,704	14,704	---	- 30
Technicians .....	21,875	21,792	21,792	---	- 83
Totals:					
AGR/AR .....	78,386	77,414	77,414	---	- 972
Technicians .....	67,909	66,686	66,686	---	- 1,223
Total, Full-Time Support .....	146,295	144,100	144,100	---	- 2,195

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose

other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' military personnel accounts between budget activities in excess of \$10,000,000.

#### SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

#### SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

The Committee remains concerned by reports of sexual assaults being committed by those in key positions of trust, including sexual assault response coordinators, victim advocates, military recruiters, commanders, and military leaders. The Army recently conducted a review of personnel serving in key positions of trust, and almost 600 soldiers currently serving in those positions were disqualified for infractions. While the Committee commends the Army for conducting such a review and holding soldiers accountable and urges the other Services to conduct similar comprehensive reviews, it remains disturbed that so many of the personnel currently serving should never have qualified for those duties.

The Committee is also concerned by the persistently high prevalence of sexual assault at the military academies. The Committee believes that more must be done to institute best practices at the military academies, training bases, and recruiting commands to increase prevention efforts as well as to preclude perpetrators from serving in influential and sensitive occupations. While the Service Secretaries must be aggressive in prosecuting and punishing perpetrators of sexual assault, they must increase their efforts to protect servicemembers from the threat of sexual assault in the first place. Further, commanders remain responsible for ensuring good order and discipline in their units and should be held responsible when crimes are committed under their watch. Recent allegations that commanders ignored reports of sexual assault or permitted inappropriate work environments in violation of Service sexual harassment and hazing policies is extremely concerning and must not be tolerated.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided an additional \$25,000,000 to expand the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) program to all Services. This program provides legal representatives to give advice and representation to sexual assault victims. The SVC helps navigate victims through the legal process and intervenes on a victim's behalf when appropriate. The Com-

mittee understands that the Air Force SVC pilot program has shown success in assisting victims throughout the process and believes victims throughout the military will benefit by having access to a SVC. The Committee is pleased to learn that an expansion of the program is underway and it fully funds the budget request for the SVC program.

The recommendation also includes a provision requested by the President to make funds available for the Services, including the National Guard and reserve components, to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities, including the training and funding of personnel. In addition, the Committee fully funds the budget request for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs and encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs to do more to stop this destructive epidemic in the military.

#### LONG-TERM TEMPORARY DUTY ASSIGNMENTS

The report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 included language which expressed the Committee's concern related to reports of Services sending personnel on long-term temporary duty assignments (TDY) that do not comply with the regulations as stated in the Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR). The JFTR states that long-term TDY assignments must be temporary in nature, of reasonable time duration, lower in cost than round-trip permanent change of station expenses, and not to exceed 180 consecutive days. The Committee continues to believe that the practice of sending personnel on extended TDYs that violate the JFTR would be both a waste of taxpayer resources and a violation of Department-wide rules and regulations. Further, the Committee is concerned that the Special Operations Command's (SOCOM) growing use of continuous rotational TDYs is being used to establish permanent persistent presences in countries overseas. The Committee understands that with limited exception, SOCOM currently lacks the legal authority to establish and maintain a permanent persistent presence globally and therefore questions whether the use of continuous rotational TDYs is being used in lieu of seeking the necessary legal authorities. The Committee also questions whether this practice violates the JFTR, limitations on billets in combatant command positions, requirements for dwell time, and Department and interagency policies and procedures regarding the establishment of permanent assignments overseas.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the use of extended TDYs and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the practice and its compliance with the JFTR. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include as part of his report a comprehensive look into SOCOM's use of continuous rotational TDYs. The Committee is currently waiting for the completion and release of the report and looks forward to reviewing its recommendations.

#### PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION EFFICIENCIES

The Consolidated Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2013 and 2014 recommended total reductions of almost \$300,000,000 in the

Permanent Change of Station (PCS) budget activities for program efficiencies. In addition, the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Department of Defense Inspector General to conduct a review of the military personnel PCS program and to identify potential cost savings and efficiencies that could be implemented throughout the program.

In its report, the Inspector General found that the Department of Defense, the United States Transportation Command, and the Services were taking a number of steps in response to congressional direction to find cost savings and efficiencies within the PCS program. These include using upgraded systems that better monitor moves to ensure they meet regulations regarding weight and cost requirements, implementing logistics efficiencies through regionalization and operations consolidations, and improving internal controls to increase fidelity of accounting data to identify areas for future cost savings. The Committee commends the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries for their efforts to improve accountability and oversight and reduce waste in the PCS program.

At the same time, the Inspector General found multiple areas where additional cost savings and efficiencies could be found. These include transferring financial responsibility and payment for non-temporary storage after the entitlement period has ended, establishing controls to ensure overpayments for shipping household goods are recouped by the Services, using less costly methods to ship and store domestic household goods weighing 1,000 pounds or less, reviewing policies regarding unlimited weight limits for local moves, reviewing policies regarding the use of certain flights for servicemember PCS travel, and implementing a statutory incentive for servicemembers to voluntarily reduce the weight of shipped goods.

The Committee supports the findings of the Inspector General report and directs the Secretary of Defense and Service Secretaries to review and implement the recommendations as soon as possible. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the status of implementation of the recommendations and the estimated cost savings associated with implementation to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act.

#### CULTURAL SENSITIVITY TRAINING

The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense and the Services have multiple cultural sensitivity training programs for military personnel. The Committee believes that protecting servicemembers' rights regarding religious exercise and ethnic heritage is important. The Committee supports efforts to identify resource and personnel gaps that may exist in the Department of Defense Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity, as well as efforts to identify existing gaps in protections for new and prospective servicemembers.

#### HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBILITY OF MILITARY SERVICE STATIONS

The Committee recognizes that while efforts to improve services for handicapped patrons on Department of Defense installations have been made, the layout and design of Army and Air Force Ex-



change Service (AAFES) gas stations limits accessibility for many handicapped patrons. While some service stations have installed call buttons to allow patrons to ring for assistance, the buttons often are not clearly marked or are difficult to utilize. The Committee understands that AAFES is undertaking a pilot study to re-design and install new service call systems and encourages the continued efforts to improve handicapped accessibility.

PERSONNEL TRANSITION COORDINATION

As the Department of Defense reduces the size of the force, the Committee supports efforts to assist military personnel in leveraging their unique skills and experiences as they transition from military to civilian life. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense, in partnership with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, state and local government representatives, non-governmental organization leaders, and private sector leaders, to continue efforts to increase employment opportunities for former servicemembers, including in fields related to disaster relief.

MINORITY OUTREACH AND OFFICER ACCESSIONS

Minorities remain underrepresented in the general officer ranks across the Services. To build a more diverse organization, the Committee supports efforts to conduct effective outreach and recruiting programs focused on increasing officer accessions in minority communities and encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to support efforts to improve diversity in the military.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$40,787,967,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	41,225,339,000
Committee recommendation .....	41,183,729,000
Change from budget request .....	- 41,610,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$41,183,729,000 for Military Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
50 MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
100 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
150 BASIC PAY.....	6,715,420	6,715,420	---
200 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,095,898	2,095,898	---
250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	2,181,307	2,181,307	---
300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	279,102	279,102	---
350 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	98,703	98,703	---
400 SPECIAL PAYS.....	378,007	378,007	-2,000
450 ALLOWANCES.....	212,384	212,384	---
500 SEPARATION PAY.....	99,480	99,480	---
550 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	511,069	511,069	---
600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	12,581,389	12,579,389	-2,000
650 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
700 BASIC PAY.....	13,019,805	13,019,805	---
750 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	4,070,370	4,070,370	---
800 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	4,870,581	4,870,581	---
850 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	104,751	104,751	---
900 SPECIAL PAYS.....	482,722	481,722	-1,000
950 ALLOWANCES.....	889,004	889,004	---
1000 SEPARATION PAY.....	320,346	320,346	---
1050 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	986,015	986,015	---
1100 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	24,713,604	24,712,804	-1,000
1150 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
1200 ACADEMY CADETS.....	79,236	79,236	---
1250 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
1300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	1,284,843	1,284,843	---
1350 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	595,165	595,165	---
1400 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	1,316	1,316	---
1450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,881,324	1,881,324	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
1500 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
1550 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	142,048	140,648	-1,400
1600 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	144,951	144,951	---
1650 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	412,092	412,092	---
1700 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	758,069	758,069	---
1750 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	293,377	293,377	---
1800 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	4,043	4,043	---
1850 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	10,997	10,997	---
1900 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	37,301	37,301	---
1950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	1,802,878	1,801,478	-1,400
-----			
2000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
2050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	1,033	1,033	---
2100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	1,338	1,338	---
2150 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	51,700	51,700	---
2200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	222,586	222,586	---
2250 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	578	578	---
2300 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	5,070	5,070	---
2360 RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM.....	184	184	---
2410 SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS.....	101,068	101,068	---
2450 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	52,723	52,723	---
2550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	436,280	436,280	---
2600 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-269,352	-269,352	---
2650 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-37,210	-37,210
-----			
2700 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY.....	41,225,338	41,183,729	-41,610
6300 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	41,225,338	41,183,729	-41,610
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 (In thousands of dollars)

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
SPECIAL PAYS	378,007	376,007	-2,000
Projected underexecution		-2,000	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
SPECIAL PAYS	462,722	461,722	-1,000
Projected underexecution		-1,000	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
ACCESSION TRAVEL	142,048	140,648	-1,400
Unjustified increase		-1,400	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS</b>			
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-37,210	-37,210
Funding excess to requirement		-8,000	

## REGIONALLY ALIGNED FORCES LANGUAGE CAPABILITY

The Committee supports efforts to improve foreign language capabilities for Army regionally aligned forces in order to increase soldiers' effectiveness when conducting joint operations and training and mentoring foreign military leaders. The Committee is concerned that an insufficient number of soldiers are receiving foreign language training and encourages the Secretary of the Army to review how many officers and non-commissioned officers with foreign language skills it needs to meet the regionally aligned forces requirement.

## MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING AT CAMP HUMPHREYS

The Committee supports the agreement between the United States and the Republic of Korea to consolidate most U.S. forces at Camp Humphreys, Korea. The Commander of United States Forces Korea (USFK) currently has a requirement that 40 percent of the authorized command sponsored families must reside on-post to meet operational and force protection needs, but there is a significant shortage in planned on-post family housing as compared to the requirement. The Committee strongly supports efforts to increase the current and future inventory of on-post family housing to meet the command requirement and urges the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of Defense, and the Commander of USFK to work together to find a solution that will satisfy the requirement in a fiscally responsible and sustainable manner.

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$27,231,512,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	27,489,440,000
Committee recommendation .....	27,387,344,000
Change from budget request .....	-102,096,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,387,344,000 for Military Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
6400 MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
6450 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
6500 BASIC PAY.....	4,017,362	4,017,362	---
6550 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,255,535	1,255,535	---
6600 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,433,673	1,433,673	---
6650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	184,568	184,568	---
6700 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	127,220	127,220	---
6750 SPECIAL PAYS.....	429,454	428,708	-745
6800 ALLOWANCES.....	123,982	123,982	---
6850 SEPARATION PAY.....	59,029	59,026	---
6900 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	305,463	305,463	---
6950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	7,918,281	7,915,536	-745
7000 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7050 BASIC PAY.....	8,614,658	8,614,658	---
7100 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,695,729	2,695,729	---
7150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	3,878,513	3,878,513	---
7200 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	104,072	104,072	---
7250 SPECIAL PAYS.....	793,222	790,411	-2,811
7300 ALLOWANCES.....	594,908	584,908	-10,000
7350 SEPARATION PAY.....	223,362	223,362	---
7400 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	659,021	659,021	---
7450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	17,563,485	17,550,674	-12,811
7500 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
7550 MIDSHIPMEN.....	78,093	78,093	---
7600 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	759,490	759,490	---
7700 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	431,060	431,060	---
7750 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	8	8	---
7800 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,190,558	1,190,558	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
7850 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
7900 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	86,416	86,416	---
7950 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	98,547	98,547	---
8000 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	253,140	253,140	---
8050 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	271,252	271,252	---
8100 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	133,912	133,912	---
8150 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	41,469	41,469	---
8200 MDN-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	1,982	1,982	---
8250 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	8,708	8,708	---
8300 OTHER.....	3,581	3,581	---
8350 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	899,007	899,007	---
8400 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
8450 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	93	93	---
8500 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	1,664	1,664	---
8550 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	17,400	17,400	---
8600 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	112,881	112,881	---
8650 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	20,495	20,495	---
8700 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	277	277	---
8750 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	4,053	4,053	---
8800 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	39	39	---
8900 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	21,584	21,584	---
8950 JUNIOR ROTC.....	12,312	12,312	---
9000 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	190,798	190,798	---
9050 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-348,782	-348,782	---
9100 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-59,540	-59,540
9200 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY.....	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096
11000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

<b>M-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	<b>429,454</b>	<b>428,709</b>	<b>-745</b>
Navy identified excess to requirement		-745	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	<b>793,222</b>	<b>790,411</b>	<b>-2,811</b>
Navy identified excess to requirement		-2,811	
<b>ALLOWANCES</b>	<b>594,906</b>	<b>584,908</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
Navy identified excess to requirement		-10,000	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		<b>-88,540</b>	<b>-88,540</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-88,540	



**MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$12,766,099,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	12,919,103,000
Committee recommendation .....	12,785,431,000
Change from budget request .....	- 133,672,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,785,431,000 for Military Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
12000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
12050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
12100 BASIC PAY	1,489,996	1,490,523	+627
12150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	464,468	464,468	---
12200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	492,488	492,488	---
12250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	63,449	63,449	---
12300 INCENTIVE PAYS	38,223	38,223	---
12350 SPECIAL PAYS	5,927	5,927	---
12400 ALLOWANCES	32,083	32,083	---
12450 SEPARATION PAY	13,593	13,593	---
12500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	113,239	113,239	---
12550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,713,466	2,714,093	+627
12600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
12650 BASIC PAY	4,825,078	4,831,139	+6,061
12700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,502,784	1,502,784	---
12750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,669,844	1,669,844	---
12800 INCENTIVE PAYS	9,946	9,946	---
12850 SPECIAL PAYS	111,002	111,002	---
12900 ALLOWANCES	289,269	289,269	---
12950 SEPARATION PAY	84,343	84,343	---
13000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	368,511	368,511	---
13050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	8,860,777	8,866,836	+6,061
13100 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
13150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	442,559	442,559	---
13200 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	353,008	353,008	---
13250 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10	---
13300 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	795,575	795,575	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
13350 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
13400 ACCESSION TRAVEL .....	49,622	49,622	---
13450 TRAINING TRAVEL .....	27,481	27,481	---
13500 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	168,432	168,432	---
13550 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	99,931	99,931	---
13600 SEPARATION TRAVEL .....	82,065	82,065	---
13650 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS .....	785	785	---
13700 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE .....	5,064	5,064	---
13750 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE .....	11,841	11,841	---
13800 OTHER .....	3,058	3,058	---
13850 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 .....	448,277	448,277	---
-----			
13900 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
13950 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS .....	614	614	---
14000 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS .....	19	19	---
14050 DEATH GRATUITIES .....	11,400	11,400	---
14100 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	101,839	101,839	---
14150 EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	7,000	7,000	---
14200 ADOPTION EXPENSES .....	84	84	---
14250 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY .....	1,527	1,527	---
14300 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE .....	67	67	---
14400 JUNIOR ROTC .....	3,910	3,910	---
14450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 .....	128,460	128,460	---
14500 LESS REIMBURSABLES .....	-25,452	-25,452	---
14600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	---	-140,360	-140,360
-----			
14650 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS .....	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672
16000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS .....	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672
-----			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
(In thousands of dollars)

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
<b>BASIC PAY</b>	1,489,996	1,490,623	627
Marine Corps unfunded requirement - Special Purpose			
Marine Air Ground Task Force - CENTCOM and SOUTHCOM		627	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
<b>BASIC PAY</b>	4,825,078	4,831,139	6,061
Marine Corps unfunded requirement - Special Purpose			
Marine Air Ground Task Force - CENTCOM and SOUTHCOM		6,061	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		-140,380	-140,380
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-140,380	

**MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$28,519,993,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	27,815,926,000
Committee recommendation .....	27,564,362,000
Change from budget request .....	-251,564,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,564,362,000 for Military Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
17000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
17050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
17100 BASIC PAY.....	4,888,266	4,809,266	-59,000
17150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,450,107	1,450,107	---
17200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,387,295	1,387,295	---
17250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	190,311	190,311	---
17300 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	212,376	212,376	---
17350 SPECIAL PAYS.....	296,798	296,799	---
17400 ALLOWANCES.....	125,724	125,724	---
17450 SEPARATION PAY.....	283,092	283,092	---
17500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	355,558	355,558	---
17550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	8,989,528	8,910,528	-59,000
17800 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
17650 BASIC PAY.....	8,406,084	8,386,584	-19,500
17700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,619,233	2,619,233	---
17750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	3,386,412	3,386,412	---
17800 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	42,395	42,395	---
17850 SPECIAL PAYS.....	288,538	288,538	---
17900 ALLOWANCES.....	564,364	581,380	-2,084
17950 SEPARATION PAY.....	874,187	874,187	---
18000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	643,063	643,063	---
18050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	16,804,276	16,781,792	-22,484
18100 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
18150 ACADEMY CADETS.....	70,169	70,169	---
18200 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
18250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	963,765	963,765	---
18300 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	137,346	137,346	---
18350 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	3	3	---
18400 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,101,114	1,101,114	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
18450 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
18500 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	87,932	87,932	---
18550 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	92,459	92,459	---
18600 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	288,473	288,473	---
18650 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	485,297	485,297	---
18700 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	181,583	181,583	---
18750 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	6,556	6,556	---
18800 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	22,369	22,369	---
18850 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	30,261	30,261	---
18950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	1,192,930	1,192,930	---
19000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
19050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	107	107	---
19100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	3,073	3,073	---
19150 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	16,500	16,500	---
19200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	48,842	48,842	---
19300 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	189	189	---
19350 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	546	546	---
19400 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	2,018	2,018	---
19450 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	1,883	1,883	---
19550 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	27,669	27,669	---
19600 JUNIOR ROTC.....	15,796	15,796	---
19650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	118,623	118,623	---
19700 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-438,704	-438,704	---
19750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-170,080	-170,080
19800 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE.....	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564
21000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
<b>BASIC PAY</b>	<b>4,668,286</b>	<b>4,609,266</b>	<b>-59,000</b>
Lower than budgeted average strength levels		-59,000	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
<b>BASIC PAY</b>	<b>8,406,084</b>	<b>8,386,584</b>	<b>-19,500</b>
Lower than budgeted average strength levels		-19,500	
<b>ALLOWANCES</b>	<b>564,364</b>	<b>561,380</b>	<b>-2,984</b>
Basic military training steel toe boots - transfer to OM,AF			
SAG 31B not properly accounted		-2,984	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS</b>		<b>-170,080</b>	<b>-170,080</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-194,980	
AWACS force structure program increase		24,900	



## INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE FORCE

The Air Force has three missile wings, located at installations in Montana, Wyoming, and North Dakota, that are responsible for the nation's 450 nuclear intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs). The nuclear mission remains critical to national security. Recently, during the process of investigating alleged drug use by airmen, evidence of widespread cheating by ICBM crewmembers on test material at Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana was uncovered. A command-directed investigation (CDI) discovered problems in the organizational culture and leadership that helped create an environment where crewmembers could compromise or fail to report the compromise of test material. The CDI team proposed recommendations in four areas that should be implemented across the enterprise: reforming organizational culture, empowering crew commanders, improving the quality and purpose of training, and reforming testing and evaluation.

The Committee is extremely concerned with the cheating incidents, the rumors that toleration and acceptance of cheating is part of the missileer culture, and concerns that the ICBM mission is seen as a dead-end job within the Air Force. The Committee commends the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force for their immediate response and recognition of the seriousness of this issue. The Committee is also concerned with reports of sub-par working conditions for missile crews, including aging and run-down facilities, a lack of opportunity for promotion within their Air Force Specialty Code, and a lack of support by senior Air Force leadership.

The Committee was disappointed to see that the operation and maintenance portion of the Nuclear Force Improvement Program, submitted as part of the Air Force unfunded priority list, failed to focus its priorities on items directly impacting the ICBM crews. The Committee provides an additional \$21,600,000 in Operation and Maintenance, Air Force for the Nuclear Force Improvement Program and directs the funding to be used for efforts that directly improve the quality of life and morale of the ICBM crews. This funding is a congressional special interest item. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a detailed spending plan to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds. The Committee continues to be supportive of efforts to directly improve the quality of life and morale of the ICBM crews and looks forward to working with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force to review additional proposals as they are recommended.

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$4,377,563,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	4,459,130,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,304,159,000
Change from budget request .....	- 154,971,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,304,159,000 for Reserve Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
23000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
23050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
23100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	1,636,798	1,571,698	-65,100
23150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	49,490	44,490	-5,000
23200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	279,048	236,048	-43,000
23250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	11,926	11,926	---
23300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	5,909	5,909	---
23350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	161,751	161,751	---
23400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	176,210	176,210	---
23450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	2,006,875	2,006,975	---
23500 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	10,202	10,202	---
23550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	63,622	63,622	---
23600 OTHER PROGRAMS.....	57,199	57,199	---
23650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	4,459,130	4,346,030	-113,100
23800 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-41,871	-41,871
24000 TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	4,459,130	4,304,159	-154,971
	=====	=====	=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP A TRAINING (16 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)</b>	1,836,798	1,571,688	-65,100
Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution		-39,000	
Individual Clothing and Uniforms - excess to requirement		-15,300	
Travel, Annual Training - excess to requirement		-10,800	
<b>PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)</b>	49,490	44,490	-5,000
Annual Training - excess to requirement		-5,000	
<b>PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)</b>	279,048	236,048	-43,000
Projected underexecution		-43,000	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		-41,871	-41,871
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-41,871	

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,843,966,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,863,034,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,836,024,000
Change from budget request .....	-27,010,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,836,024,000 for Reserve Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
26000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
26050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
26100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	585,488	585,488	---
26150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	7,286	7,286	---
26200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	57,875	57,875	---
26250 MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	8,670	8,670	---
26300 SCHOOL TRAINING .....	51,089	51,089	---
26350 SPECIAL TRAINING .....	106,571	106,571	---
26400 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	988,427	987,427	-1,000
26450 EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	100	109	---
26500 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	57,519	57,519	---
-----			
26550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	1,863,034	1,862,034	-1,000
26600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	---	-26,010	-26,010
-----			
27000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY .....	1,863,034	1,836,024	-27,010
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 (In thousands of dollars)

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	988,427	987,427	-1,000
Full Time Support Permanent Change of Station - excess to requirement		-1,000	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		-26,010	-26,010
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-26,010	

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$655,109,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	670,754,000
Committee recommendation .....	659,224,000
Change from budget request .....	-11,530,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$659,224,000 for Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
28000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
28050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
28100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	237,581	237,581	---
28150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY).....	33,463	33,463	---
28200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	116,200	115,450	-750
28300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	2,578	2,579	---
28350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	24,195	24,195	---
28400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	15,728	15,728	---
28450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	234,244	234,244	---
28500 PLATOON LEADER CLASS.....	6,124	6,124	---
28550 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	642	642	---
-----			
28600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	670,754	670,004	-750
28700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-10,780	-10,780
-----			
28000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	670,754	659,224	-11,530
=====			



**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
(In thousands of dollars)

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)</b>	118,200	115,450	-750
Pay Group F, Travel - Marine Corps Reserve identified excess to requirement		-750	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		-10,780	-10,780
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-10,780	

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,723,159,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,675,518,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,652,148,000
Change from budget request .....	- 23,370,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,652,148,000 for Reserve Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
30000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
30050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
30100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	657,163	657,163	---
30150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	113,876	106,876	-7,000
30200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	71,429	71,429	---
30250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	1,681	1,681	---
30300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	401	401	---
30350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	125,804	125,804	---
30400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	229,201	229,201	---
30450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	398,346	393,446	-4,900
30500 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	13,785	13,785	---
30550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	58,794	58,794	---
30600 OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT).....	5,038	5,038	---
	-----	-----	-----
30650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,875,518	1,863,618	-11,900
30750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-11,470	-11,470
	-----	-----	-----
31000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	1,875,518	1,852,148	-23,370
	=====	=====	=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)</b>	<b>113,878</b>	<b>106,878</b>	<b>-7,000</b>
Projected underexecution		-7,000	
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	<b>398,346</b>	<b>393,446</b>	<b>-4,900</b>
Reserve Incentive Program - excess to requirement		-3,400	
AGR Pay and Allowance - projected underexecution		-1,500	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		<b>-11,470</b>	<b>-11,470</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-11,470	

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$7,776,498,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	7,682,892,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,644,632,000
Change from budget request .....	-38,260,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,644,632,000 for National Guard Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
32000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
32050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
32100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	2,616,067	2,570,887	-45,200
32150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	441,606	421,906	-19,700
32200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	47,984	47,984	---
32250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	347,137	347,137	---
32300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	433,207	433,207	---
32350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	3,786,624	3,786,624	---
32400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	30,267	30,267	---
-----			
32450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	7,882,892	7,617,992	-64,900
32600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	26,640	+26,640
-----			
33000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	7,882,892	7,644,632	-38,260
-----			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

<b>M-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)</b>	<b>2,516,067</b>	<b>2,570,887</b>	<b>-46,200</b>
Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution		-40,000	
Subsistence, Annual Training and Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution		-5,200	
<b>PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)</b>	<b>441,606</b>	<b>421,906</b>	<b>-19,700</b>
Individual Clothing and Uniform Allowance - excess to requirement		-19,700	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS</b>			
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		26,640	<b>26,640</b>
Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations - Army requested transfer from CMA SAG 121		-19,360	
		45,000	

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$3,114,421,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	3,156,457,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,110,587,000
Change from budget request .....	-45,870,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,110,587,000 for National Guard Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
34000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
34050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
34100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	913,691	913,691	---
34150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	122,678	116,178	-6,500
34200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	5,384	5,384	---
34250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	243,888	243,888	---
34300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	168,222	168,222	---
34350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	1,884,800	1,663,400	-21,400
34400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	17,794	17,794	---
-----			
34450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	3,158,457	3,128,557	-27,900
34700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-17,970	-17,970
-----			
35000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	3,158,457	3,110,587	-45,870
-----			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 (In thousands of dollars)

<b>M-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
<b>PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)</b>	<b>122,678</b>	<b>116,178</b>	<b>-6,500</b>
Projected underexecution		-6,500	
<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,884,800</b>	<b>1,863,400</b>	<b>-21,400</b>
Full Time Pay and Allowances - projected underexecution		-18,400	
Non-Prior Service Enlistment Bonus - excess to requirement		-5,000	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		<b>-17,970</b>	<b>-17,970</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-17,970	



## TITLE II

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense operation and maintenance budget request totals \$166,002,818,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$164,631,638,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	33,240,148	32,671,980	-568,168
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,316,857	39,073,543	-243,314
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS.....	5,909,487	5,984,880	+75,193
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	35,331,193	35,024,160	-307,033
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	31,198,232	30,896,741	-301,491
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....	2,490,569	2,535,808	+45,037
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....	1,007,100	1,011,827	+4,727
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE.....	268,582	270,486	+1,903
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE.....	3,015,842	2,989,214	-28,828
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.....	6,030,773	6,116,307	+85,534
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD.....	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,060
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT.....	5,000	---	-5,000
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES.....	13,723	13,723	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY.....	201,580	201,580	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY.....	277,294	277,294	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE.....	408,716	408,716	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	8,547	8,547	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEF. SITES.....	208,353	233,353	+25,000
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID.....	100,000	103,000	+3,000
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT.....	365,108	365,108	---
ODD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND.....	212,875	51,875	-161,000
GRAND TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE.....	166,002,818	164,631,638	-1,371,180
	=====	=====	=====

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' operation and maintenance accounts between budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

*Army:*

- Maneuver units
- Modular support brigades
- Land forces operations support
- Force readiness operations support
- Land forces depot maintenance
- Base operations support
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

*Navy:*

- Mission and other flight operations
- Aircraft depot maintenance
- Mission and other ship operations
- Ship depot maintenance
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

*Marine Corps:*

- Depot maintenance
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

*Air Force:*

- Primary combat forces
- Combat enhancement forces
- Combat communications
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization
- Operating forces depot maintenance
- Mobilization depot maintenance
- Training and recruiting depot maintenance
- Administration and service-wide depot maintenance

*Air Force Reserve:*

- Depot maintenance

*Air National Guard:*

- Depot maintenance

Finally, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

*Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:*

- Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense

agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

#### SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

#### READINESS

The Committee shares the concern of the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs regarding reduced readiness levels across the military. A decade of war coupled with the impacts of sequestration and the current fiscal climate has limited the Services' ability to transition their focus from counter-insurgency operations to full spectrum training in preparation for future requirements. The Committee supports the Service Chiefs' commitment to improved readiness and recognizes that it will take time and stable budgets to restore full spectrum readiness.

The Committee recommendation includes \$1,000,000,000 in title VIII of the bill to be transferred to the operation and maintenance accounts for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components, to be used only for improving military readiness. The funding is to be divided proportionately amongst the Services and the National Guard and reserve components, and shall be used only to improve readiness, including increased training, depot maintenance, and base operations support. None of the funding provided may be used for recruiting, marketing, or advertising programs. The Committee believes that as the Services continue to reduce personnel levels, they must become more efficient and effec-

tive with the advertising and recruiting dollars provided in the base budget and should not use funds intended for readiness on increased advertising or recruiting efforts. Additional funds are also provided to the Army, Navy, and Air Force for depot maintenance in their respective depot maintenance sub-activity groups.

The funding provided is a congressional special interest item. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to submit a detailed spending plan by budget activity and sub-activity group to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds.

SERVICE AUDIT AGENCY VALIDATION

insert S3A-B

The Committee understands that in fiscal year 2014, the Secretary of Defense revised the guidance for reporting associated with section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, in a manner that suggests a renewed interest in enforcing intra-departmental policies on depot maintenance workloads and 50-50 reporting. However, this guidance, while more detailed in some ways than that issued in the past, directs that the validation effort focus on the 50-50 data collection process instead of on the actual data. It also appears to give the Services additional flexibility to use reviewers other than those associated with their respective audit agencies to validate their respective processes prior to submission of the data to the Secretary of Defense. The Committee has two concerns with regard to the new guidance. First, the Committee considers a review of the 50-50 data to be submitted to Congress as critical to ensuring compliance with section 2466. Second, given the results of past reviews, the Committee considers Service audit agency involvement in the validation of the submissions essential to ensuring the accuracy of the 50-50 report.

The Committee directs each Service Secretary to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act on the respective Service plan to ensure that the Service audit agency is directly involved in the process of annually validating that the data submitted for the report to Congress on the Distribution of Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Workloads are accurate and complete, beginning with the report for fiscal years 2014 through 2016. The report produced by each Service Secretary shall provide details regarding the Service audit agency's plan to validate all data submitted for inclusion in future 50-50 reports, including a description of the approach that will be used to accomplish this data validation and a description of plans to review depot-level maintenance and repair workload estimates associated with contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, performance based logistics, and similar contractual arrangements. As a further means of enhancing the annual validation process, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a standard set of data fields to guide the Services and defense agencies in their annual collection of data for the 50-50 report and to establish a requirement that all depot maintenance expenditure data collected be retained at the Service or defense agency's headquarters for at least three years. Moreover, the Committee recommends that the Service Secretaries and the directors of the defense agencies work to automate their data collection



## RELEASE OF DETAINEES

The Committee is deeply concerned with the Administration's handling of the recent transfer of five senior level Taliban leaders detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. It is clear that the Department violated Section 1035 of the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, which requires a 30 day prior notification to the transfer of any detainees to a foreign country. While the debate of negotiating with terrorists will continue for some time, the violation of trust between the Department and Congress to use funds in violation of current law cannot be easily overlooked. In fact, the restriction on foreign transfers of detainees, which has been in place for five years, was modified in good faith on Congress' part just last year. Further, any notion that exigent circumstances prevented proper congressional notification rings hollow when the Administration clearly has been negotiating this transfer for several years. The

53A

Committee understands the unique nature of the events that led to this transfer, but believes that is exactly the reason why prior notification is so important. The decision to negotiate with terrorists for the release of prisoners is a dangerous precedent, one that has implications far beyond the Department of Defense, and one that Congress should have a voice in deciding. Therefore, to further clarify Congressional prerogatives which were ignored, the Committee includes a general provision which prohibits the Secretary from spending any funds in violation of Section 1035 of the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act.

53B

processes wherever possible to improve the auditability of their data and reduce the likelihood of human errors in the calculations.

#### DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR BUDGET EXHIBITS

The Committee remains frustrated with the quality of the annual budget justification materials related to the Department of Defense depot-level maintenance expenditures. The OP-30 budget exhibit provides useful details concerning the extent to which the operation and maintenance budget request meets the Services' requirements for depot-level maintenance and repair of end items. The OP-31 budget exhibit provides insight regarding estimated orders for depot-level repairables (DLRs). The fiscal year 2015 budget request also includes the PB-45 budget exhibit, which some Services provide in lieu of the OP-30; this document provides additional useful information about methods of accomplishment of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads. What is missing, however, is an overarching document that summarizes the request for all depot-level maintenance and repair workloads, regardless of whether the work pertains to end items or DLRs, and regardless of which appropriations account funds the work.

Therefore, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 request, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to include, in addition to the documentation referenced above, an exhibit that provides a comprehensive view of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads for each of the Services in future budget requests. Each Service shall submit with its budget request an exhibit that summarizes funded requirements for its active, reserve, and Guard components, where applicable, which shows the full range of depot-level maintenance and repair workload, including all end items and DLRs. This budget exhibit shall display major maintenance activities and their method of accomplishment (including contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, other contract, and organic) by appropriations account for the prior year, current year, and budget year. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) should ensure that these exhibits do not include expenditures for work other than depot-level maintenance and repair.

#### DEPOT MAINTENANCE

With the recent drawdowns in Iraq and Afghanistan, repair operations at the depots have increased as the Services rebalance for training and preparation for full-spectrum operations. The Committee is aware of the critical role that the depots play in maintaining readiness and recognizes that after a decade of war, capital investments and modernization of the repair facilities is required to support the reset of platforms needed for full-spectrum operations. Therefore, the Committee provides an additional \$90,000,000 specifically for depot maintenance for the Army, Navy, and Air Force.

#### FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee has expressed concern for the last three fiscal years that base facility sustainment requirements are underfunded. The Committee believes that deferring this maintenance has a

long-term detrimental impact on both military readiness and the quality of life and work environment of servicemembers. The Committee provides an additional \$720,000,000 to the facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization programs to address the maintenance backlog for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components.

#### SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING AND OUTREACH

Suicide remains an issue of concern in the Services, especially within the Guard and reserve components. Whereas servicemembers serving on active duty return from deployments to military bases which provide structured support networks, returning Guardsmen and reservists are frequently geographically isolated from their units and may not have the regular interactions with their peers and chain of command that their active duty counterparts experience.

The Committee is aware of programs for the reserve components, such as the National Guard Psychological Health Program, to improve access to mental health providers, and the Committee recommendation fully funds the budget request for this program. The Committee recommendation provides additional funding for suicide prevention in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account. In addition, the Committee recognizes the important role that programs such as Yellow Ribbon play in helping Guardsmen and reservists transition to civilian life upon returning from deployment.

The Committee acknowledges the steps that the Services have taken to implement suicide prevention training and outreach efforts and to lower the rate of suicide among servicemembers but believes that more must be done to identify at-risk servicemembers and to improve prevention and outreach efforts. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to continue to make suicide prevention a key priority and to regularly update the Committee on actions being taken.

#### WORKING CONDITIONS IN BANGLADESH

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees that specify if any garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with the Accord for Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh. The Committee is aware that there is a second organization of companies, the Alliance for Bangladesh Worker Safety, that is also concerned with ensuring compliance. The Committee supports the continued reporting on this issue and directs the Secretary of Defense to include in the quarterly submissions if any of the garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with either the Accord or the Alliance.

## OVERESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF CIVILIAN WORKFORCE

The fiscal year 2014 budget request substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed by the Department of Defense during fiscal year 2014. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 used data provided by the Services and defense agencies to identify the actual civilian full time equivalents (FTE) that were recorded beginning in fiscal year 2014 and reduced funding in the operation and maintenance accounts based on this overestimation of civilian FTE levels. While the Committee supports a strong civilian workforce, the Department of the Army and the Department of the Air Force again substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed during fiscal year 2015. For the Army and Air Force, including the reserve components but not the National Guard, the current fiscal year 2014 estimate for civilian FTEs is well below what was provided for in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 and what is planned in the fiscal year 2015 budget request. As such, the Committee recommends reductions in fiscal year 2015 due to overestimation of civilian FTEs in these accounts.

The Committee has not received the Department of the Navy's projected fiscal year 2015 average civilian FTE strength. Additionally, the Navy has not provided that data to the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to enable it to complete its annual analysis of the Department of Defense civilian personnel budget request. Failure to provide information in a timely manner makes it difficult for the Committee and the GAO to conduct proper oversight. Therefore, the Committee again reduces the Navy, but not the Marine Corps, fiscal year 2015 request for civilian personnel commensurate with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014.

To ensure that timely and accurate information is provided in future fiscal years, beginning in fiscal year 2016 the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include the projected average civilian FTE strength as well as the civilian end strength in its quarterly end strength report.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET DOCUMENTATION

While the Department of Defense and the Departments of the Army and the Air Force all provide average annual civilian salary by sub-activity group (SAG) in the personnel summary exhibit in the OP-5, the Department of the Navy does not provide this information. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) does not require this data as part of the budget justification materials. The Committee recommends that the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) modify the FMR to require that this information be provided at the SAG level of detail as part of all operation and maintenance account budget justification submissions. Further, until the FMR is amended to require this information be included in the annual budget justification submissions, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to provide the average annual civilian salary in the personnel sum-

mary exhibit for each sub-activity group for each Service and agency.

The Committee is disappointed that in many cases throughout the fiscal year 2015 budget justification materials, the Department of the Navy and the Department of Defense use the term "partially offset" rather than breaking out program changes by program increase and program decrease for every line item in the OP-5. This makes it difficult for the Committee to have full visibility over the changes occurring within programs. Therefore, beginning in fiscal year 2016, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to fully display all increases and decreases at the SAG level in the OP-5 exhibits.

Finally, in many of the workload exhibits in the operation and maintenance accounts, there is no accompanying explanation of the units of measurement. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to properly annotate the exhibits to specify the units of measurement presented in the display.

#### OPERATIONAL RESERVE

The Committee has previously expressed concern regarding the Army's support for maintaining an operational reserve. After reviewing the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Committee remains concerned that the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard readiness accounts are significantly underfunded. The Committee believes that this will have an immediate and lasting impact on the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve's readiness that will be difficult to restore in future fiscal years.

Significant taxpayer investment has been made to enable the Guard and reserve to become an integral part of the operational force. The Committee supports the enduring vision of an operational reserve and encourages all Services to continue resourcing and utilizing the Guard and reserve components as key members of the operational force.

In addition to the \$1,000,000,000 provided for increased military readiness described elsewhere in the Committee report, of which the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard will receive a proportional share, \$135,000,000 is provided directly to the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard to be used specifically to further improve readiness of the operational reserve. The recommendation also includes \$68,000,000 for the Army National Guard to fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations in fiscal year 2015 as scheduled.

#### MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS

The Committee appreciates the attention that the Department has given to the Committee's concern that funding for military information support operations (MISO) activities only be used to fulfill core military activities rather than duplicate programs and activities more appropriately conducted by other departments and agencies. The Committee believes that significant progress has been made and appreciates the Department's efforts in this regard. The Committee notes that there are some specific issues that warrant further review which are detailed in the classified annex ac-

companying the Committee report. The Committee looks forward to continuing to work with the Department to address these matters. The Committee recommendation reduces the base budget request for MISO funding by \$15,000,000. The allocation of funds by combatant command and funding levels for certain programs is specifically delineated in the classified annex. The delineation of this funding is a congressional special interest item and any deviations from the allocations are subject to sections 8005, 8006, and 9002 of this Act.

The Secretary of Defense is also directed to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act that details the proposed execution of the funding provided for MISO programs. The report shall include the program name, activity, a description of service, target audience, goals and objectives, attribution, measures of effectiveness, prior year obligations and expenditures, and the estimated budget for the year of execution. This report, and the delineation of each program, project, and activity, shall serve as the basis for reprogramming in accordance with section 8006 of this Act.

#### NUCLEAR AND NATURAL DISASTER RESPONSE

The Committee understands that differences between Department of Defense and civilian guidelines regarding nuclear or radiological event disaster response created challenges during Operation Tomadachi, which provided humanitarian assistance following the earthquake and the tsunami, and the subsequent release of radioactive material from the Fukushima nuclear reactor in Japan. The Committee understands that more uniform methodologies are being considered to eliminate confusion among responders and improve the decisionmaking process during such operations, and it encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider such proposals when reviewing guidelines for conducting nuclear, radiological, and natural disaster response and humanitarian assistance operations.

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Committee supports efforts to include performance measures in the budget justification documents. These performance measures should be result oriented and demonstrate how prior year investments show progress toward achieving performance goals. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to work with the Comptroller General of the United States to develop result oriented performance measures that will assist the Department in the implementation of its strategic guidance.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$30,768,069,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	33,240,148,000
Committee recommendation .....	32,671,980,000
Change from budget request .....	-568,168,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,671,980,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army. The total amount rec-

ommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:





(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
210			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	124,766	124,766	---
220			
RECRUIT TRAINING.....	51,968	47,868	-4,000
230			
ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.....	43,735	35,735	-8,000
240			
SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	458,583	456,583	---
BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250			
SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	866,529	858,529	-28,000
260			
FLIGHT TRAINING.....	890,070	890,070	---
270			
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	193,291	188,958	-4,333
280			
TRAINING SUPPORT.....	552,359	552,359	---
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290			
RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	466,927	466,927	---
300			
EXAMINING.....	194,588	186,489	-8,099
310			
OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	205,782	205,782	---
320			
CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	150,571	150,571	---
330			
JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	169,784	169,784	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....			
	4,386,933	4,336,501	-50,432
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
340			
SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	1,030,411	1,029,474	-937
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350			
SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	541,877	541,877	---
360			
CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES.....	722,291	722,291	---
370			
LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	602,034	602,034	---
380			
AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT.....	422,277	422,277	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390 ADMINISTRATION.....	405,442	403,037	-2,405
400 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	1,624,742	1,624,742	---
410 MANPOWER MANAGEMENT.....	289,771	289,771	---
420 OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	390,924	390,924	---
430 OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT.....	1,118,540	1,123,440	+4,800
440 ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES.....	241,234	241,234	---
450 REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT.....	243,509	243,509	---
480 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	200,615	200,615	---
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
460 SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS.....	482,591	462,591	---
470 MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS.....	27,375	27,375	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	8,323,633	8,325,191	+1,558
OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN PTE TARGETS.....	---	-247,500	-247,500
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	33,240,148	32,671,980	-568,168

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
(In thousands of dollars)

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
111 <b>MANEUVER UNITS</b> Unjustified program growth	969,281	895,281 -74,000	-74,000
113 <b>ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE</b> Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 costs for Unmanned Aircraft Systems - Raven	450,987	448,084 -2,903	-2,903
116 <b>AVIATION ASSETS</b> Unjustified program growth	1,409,347	1,330,347 -79,000	-79,000
121 <b>FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b> Forward based mode radar program fully funded in Missile Defense Agency budget Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations - Army requested transfer to OM,ARNG and MP,ARNG	3,592,334	3,494,765 -29,569 -68,000	-97,569
123 <b>LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b> Program increase	1,001,232	1,031,232 30,000	30,000
131 <b>BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b> Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for utilities Army Cemeteries - transfer to OM,AR not properly accounted	7,426,972	7,201,507 -227,200 -265	-227,465
132 <b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION</b> Arlington National Cemetery funded in Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations bill Program increase	2,066,434	2,245,577 -25,000 204,143	179,143
312 <b>RECRUIT TRAINING</b> Excess to requirement	51,968	47,968 -4,000	-4,000
313 <b>ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING</b> Excess to requirement	43,735	35,735 -8,000	-8,000
321 <b>SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING</b> Excess to requirement Language capabilities program increase	866,529	858,529 -8,000 10,000	-8,000
323 <b>PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION</b> Unjustified program growth	193,291	189,958 -4,333	-4,333
332 <b>EXAMINING</b> US MEPCOM test administrators - unjustified program growth	194,568	188,489 -6,079	-6,079
411 <b>SECURITY PROGRAMS</b> Cyber realignment of funds - transfer to SAG 121 not properly accounted	1,030,411	1,029,474 -937	-937
431 <b>ADMINISTRATION</b> Civilian Workforce Transformation - unjustified program growth	405,442	403,037 -2,405	-2,405
435 <b>OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT</b> Army support to Capitol 4th	1,116,540	1,123,440 4,900	4,900
<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		-247,500	-247,500

## CEMETERIAL EXPENSES

The Committee notes that for the third year in a row, the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$25,000,000 in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account to assist with the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery instead of requesting all funds within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account. As stated in the reports accompanying the House versions of the Department of Defense Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2013 and 2014, the Committee does not support the proposal to fund Arlington National Cemetery through separate accounts contained in two different appropriations bills. Split funding undermines the federal budgeting principles requiring expenditures to be charged against the same account each year, once an account has been identified for such purpose. The Committee rejects the proposal to fund cemeterial expenses in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account and instead provides funding to address the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery in the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account in the House-passed Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2015. Further, the Committee directs that future budget requests include all funding proposed for Arlington National Cemetery within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account.

## ARMY INVENTORY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes the difficulty of efficiently managing the retrograde of equipment and material from Afghanistan to military installations overseas and in the United States. The Committee understands that problems also extend to the cataloging, inventorying, and tracking of equipment at domestic bases because much of the cataloging and tracking of the data plates and bar codes for this equipment is accomplished with pen and paper. This process is slow, expensive, and can lead to errors in which equipment is lost, left behind, or sent to the wrong destination. The Committee is aware that the Army is testing automated inventory tracking and management solutions to capture data plate and bar code information and supports further testing and evaluation to determine if these solutions can be cost effective and time saving replacements for the current systems. The Committee supports the Army's efforts to automate its inventory management activities and to achieve cost savings associated with modernized systems.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$36,311,160,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	39,316,857,000
Committee recommendation .....	39,073,543,000
Change from budget request .....	-243,314,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$39,073,543,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

Insert 64 A

~~Amendments to the report:~~

~~On page 64, before "Operation and Maintenance, Navy" insert:~~

DEFENSE GENERATOR AND RAIL EQUIPMENT CENTER AT HILL AIR FORCE BASE

The Committee understands that the Defense Generator and Rail Equipment Center (DGRC), located at Hill Air Force Base (AFB), needs significant renovations to address deficiencies, including some necessary for improved health and safety. Further, while DGRC is currently located within the boundaries of Hill AFB, the Committee is aware that after completion of the enhanced use lease project DGRC could be located outside of the boundaries and if so, would be required to provide its own force protection which would increase operational costs. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to work with the Secretary of the Air Force and relevant state and local stakeholders to address concerns raised by the current DGRC location and to reach an agreement acceptable to all parties on the future status and location of the DGRC. The Committee is also concerned that obligating funds to perform non-safety related renovations of the DGRC before a consensus is reached would not be a good use of limited resources. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to limit renovations to those required only for health and safety until such an agreement is reached and to keep the congressional defense committees informed of all safety-related renovations performed, as well as a justification for why the renovations are required.

(64A)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
AIR OPERATIONS				
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	4,947,202	4,732,371	-214,831
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING.....	1,647,943	1,837,808	-10,135
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES.....	37,050	36,828	-222
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT.....	96,139	93,647	-2,492
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	363,763	353,763	-10,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	814,770	824,770	+10,000
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	36,494	36,312	-182
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS.....	350,641	344,239	-6,402
SHIP OPERATIONS				
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	3,865,379	3,865,379	---
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	711,243	710,544	-699
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	5,296,408	5,297,468	+1,060
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	1,339,077	1,337,182	-1,915
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT				
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	708,634	703,250	-5,384
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE.....	91,599	91,591	-8
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE.....	207,038	206,977	-61
160	WARFARE TACTICS.....	432,715	432,549	-166
170	OPERATIONAL METEORLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY.....	338,116	337,219	-897
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	892,316	889,500	-2,816
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE.....	128,488	128,369	-117
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	2,472	2,472	---
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS.....	101,200	97,909	-3,291
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT.....	188,920	188,623	-297

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230 CRUISE MISSILE .....	109,911	109,298	-613
240 FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	1,172,823	1,165,945	-6,878
250 IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	104,139	104,139	---
260 WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	490,911	490,031	-880
270 OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	324,861	324,861	---
BASE SUPPORT			
280 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	936,743	936,442	-301
290 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	1,463,495	1,631,845	+148,350
300 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	4,398,667	4,357,388	-41,278
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	31,619,155	31,468,700	-150,455
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
320 SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE.....	526,926	526,906	-20
330 READY RESERVE FORCE.....	291,195	291,195	---
ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
320 AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	6,704	6,704	---
330 SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	251,538	251,513	-25
MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340 FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM.....	124,323	124,294	-29
350 INDUSTRIAL READINESS.....	2,323	2,323	---
360 COAST GUARD SUPPORT.....	20,333	20,333	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	1,223,342	1,223,288	-74



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
370			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	156,214	155,542	-672
380			
RECRUIT TRAINING.....	8,863	8,840	-23
390			
RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	148,150	148,082	-68
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400			
SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	601,501	600,489	-1,012
410			
FLIGHT TRAINING .....	8,239	8,221	-18
420			
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	164,214	165,170	+956
430			
TRAINING SUPPORT.....	182,819	182,218	-403
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440			
RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	230,589	231,418	+829
450			
OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	115,595	115,472	-123
460			
CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	79,606	73,118	-6,488
470			
JUNIOR ROTC.....	41,684	41,852	-12
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....			
	1,737,254	1,730,220	-7,034
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
480			
ADMINISTRATIDN.....	858,871	847,881	-10,990
490			
EXTERNAL RELATIONS.....	12,807	12,777	-30
500			
CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	119,863	119,243	-620
510			
MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	358,113	354,868	-1,247
520			
OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	255,605	252,145	-3,460
530			
SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	339,802	339,478	-324

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
550 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	172,203	172,203	---
570 PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN.....	283,621	274,603	-9,018
580 ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	1,111,464	1,108,994	-4,470
590 HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT.....	43,232	43,232	---
600 COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS.....	25,689	25,670	-19
610 SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS.....	73,150	73,047	-112
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
620 NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.....	548,640	543,420	-5,211
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
680 INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES.....	4,713	4,713	---
OTHER PROGRAMS			
OTHER PROGRAMS.....	531,324	529,524	-1,800
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	4,737,108	4,699,805	-37,301
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG.....	---	-48,450	-48,450
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,316,857	39,073,543	-243,314

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
(In thousands of dollars)

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1A1A MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>4,947,202</b>	<b>4,732,371</b>	<b>-214,831</b>
Overestimation of flying hours		-82,701	
Fuel costs - unjustified growth		-100,000	
Transportation costs - unjustified growth		-20,000	
FTE - unjustified growth		-12,130	
<b>1A2A FLEET AIR TRAINING</b>	<b>1,547,843</b>	<b>1,537,808</b>	<b>-10,135</b>
Training - unjustified growth		-9,951	
FTE - unjustified growth		-184	
<b>1A3A AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING</b>	<b>37,050</b>	<b>36,828</b>	<b>-222</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-222	
<b>1A4A AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT</b>	<b>95,139</b>	<b>93,847</b>	<b>-2,492</b>
Other services - unjustified growth		-2,200	
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-292	
<b>1A4N AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT</b>	<b>353,753</b>	<b>353,753</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth		-10,000	
<b>1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>814,770</b>	<b>824,770</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program increase		10,000	
<b>1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>36,494</b>	<b>36,312</b>	<b>-182</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-137	
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-45	
<b>1A9A AVIATION LOGISTICS</b>	<b>350,641</b>	<b>344,239</b>	<b>-6,402</b>
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-6,402	
<b>1B2B SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING</b>	<b>711,243</b>	<b>710,544</b>	<b>-699</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-699	
<b>1B4B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>5,296,408</b>	<b>5,297,468</b>	<b>1,060</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-8,940	
Program increase		10,000	
<b>1B5B SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,339,077</b>	<b>1,337,162</b>	<b>-1,915</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-1,915	
<b>1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>708,634</b>	<b>703,250</b>	<b>-5,384</b>
Shore support - unjustified growth		-5,000	
FTE - unjustified growth		-384	
<b>1C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE</b>	<b>91,599</b>	<b>91,591</b>	<b>-8</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-8	
<b>1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE</b>	<b>207,038</b>	<b>206,977</b>	<b>-61</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-61	
<b>1C4C WARFARE TACTICS</b>	<b>432,715</b>	<b>432,549</b>	<b>-166</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-166	
<b>1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY</b>	<b>338,116</b>	<b>337,219</b>	<b>-897</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-897	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	882,318	889,500	-3,618
	Travel administration - unjustified growth		-2,816	
1C7C	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	128,488	128,369	-117
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-117	
1CCH	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	101,200	97,909	-3,291
	DISA field security operations - unjustified growth		-1,500	
	Management and professional support services - unjustified growth		-1,470	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-321	
1CCM	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION	168,020	168,623	-297
	FTE - unjustified growth		-297	
1D1D	CRUISE MISSILE	109,911	109,298	-613
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-613	
1D2D	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,172,823	1,165,945	-6,878
	Equipment maintenance by contract - unjustified growth		-6,000	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-878	
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	490,911	490,031	-880
	FTE - unjustified growth		-18	
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-862	
BS1T	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	936,743	936,442	-301
	FTE - unjustified growth		-301	
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,483,495	1,631,645	148,350
	Program increase		148,350	
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,398,667	4,357,389	-41,278
	Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth		-40,971	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-7,607	
	Non-NIP AFRICOM support		7,500	
2A1F	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	526,926	526,908	-20
	FTE - unjustified growth		-20	
2B2G	SHIP ACTIVATIONS#NACTIVATIONS	251,538	251,513	-25
	FTE - unjustified growth		-25	
2C1H	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	124,323	124,294	-29
	FTE - unjustified growth		-29	
3A1J	OFFICER ACQUISITION	156,214	155,542	-672
	FTE - unjustified growth		-672	
3A2J	RECRUIT TRAINING	8,863	8,840	-23
	FTE - unjustified growth		-23	

Q-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>3A3J RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS</b>	<b>148,150</b>	<b>148,082</b>	<b>-68</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-88	
<b>3B1K SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING</b>	<b>601,501</b>	<b>600,489</b>	<b>-1,012</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-1,012	
<b>3B2K FLIGHT TRAINING</b>	<b>8,239</b>	<b>8,221</b>	<b>-18</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-18	
<b>3B3K PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION</b>	<b>164,214</b>	<b>165,170</b>	<b>956</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-657	
SOCOM advanced education program - transfer from OM,DW		1,613	
<b>3B4K TRAINING SUPPORT</b>	<b>182,619</b>	<b>182,216</b>	<b>-403</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-403	
<b>3C1L RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b>	<b>230,569</b>	<b>231,418</b>	<b>829</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-319	
Naval Sea Cadet Corps		1,148	
<b>3C3L OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION</b>	<b>115,595</b>	<b>115,472</b>	<b>-123</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-123	
<b>3C4L CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING</b>	<b>79,606</b>	<b>73,118</b>	<b>-6,488</b>
Workforce - unjustified growth		-8,900	
FTE - unjustified growth		-588	
<b>3C5L JUNIOR ROTC</b>	<b>41,664</b>	<b>41,652</b>	<b>-12</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-12	
<b>4A1M ADMINISTRATION</b>	<b>858,871</b>	<b>847,881</b>	<b>-10,990</b>
Administrative functions - unjustified growth		-9,000	
FTE - unjustified growth		-1,990	
<b>4A2M EXTERNAL RELATIONS</b>	<b>12,807</b>	<b>12,777</b>	<b>-30</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-30	
<b>4A3M CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL</b>	<b>119,963</b>	<b>119,243</b>	<b>-620</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-620	
<b>4A4M MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL</b>	<b>336,113</b>	<b>354,666</b>	<b>-1,247</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-1,247	
<b>4A5M OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT</b>	<b>255,605</b>	<b>252,145</b>	<b>-3,460</b>
Navy History and Heritage Command - unjustified growth		-2,862	
FTE - unjustified growth		-598	
<b>4A6M SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>339,802</b>	<b>339,478</b>	<b>-324</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-324	
<b>4B2N PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN</b>	<b>283,621</b>	<b>274,603</b>	<b>-9,018</b>
Expeditionary missions program office - unjustified growth		-7,490	
FTE - unjustified growth		-1,528	

<b>Q-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>4B3N ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>1,111,464</b>	<b>1,106,994</b>	<b>-4,470</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-4,470	
<b>4B6N COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS</b>	<b>25,889</b>	<b>25,670</b>	<b>-19</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-19	
<b>4B7N SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS</b>	<b>73,158</b>	<b>73,047</b>	<b>-112</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-112	
<b>4C1P NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE</b>	<b>548,640</b>	<b>543,429</b>	<b>-5,211</b>
Travel - unjustified growth		-3,350	
FTE - unjustified growth		-1,861	
<b>9999 OTHER PROGRAMS</b>	<b>531,324</b>	<b>529,524</b>	<b>-1,800</b>
Classified adjustment		-1,800	
<b>CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG</b>		<b>-48,450</b>	<b>-48,450</b>

## TIRE SUCCESSOR INITIATIVE

The Defense Logistics Agency established the Tire Successor Initiative (TSI) to contract with a non-tire manufacturer for tire contract management. However, the Department of the Navy received an exemption from TSI for their existing tire contract. As a result of the exemption, the existing Navy tire contract is administered by a tire manufacturer, creating a perception of a lack of competition. Now that the Navy's existing tire contracts are set to expire, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to administer tire procurement through the Tire Successor Initiative as administered by the Defense Logistics Agency.

## SHIPBOARD LIGHTING SYSTEMS

The Navy is researching how to increase light-emitting diode supply and vendor capacity, decrease costs, and rapidly field solid state lighting on ships. The lighting program replaces existing fixtures on various ship classes to continue the savings associated with more efficient lighting methods. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue to replace outdated and inefficient fixtures and urges the Secretary of the Navy to update the lighting specification standards.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$5,397,605,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	5,909,487,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,984,680,000
Change from budget request .....	+75,193,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,984,680,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

{DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS}

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES				
10	OPERATIONAL FORCES.....	905,744	939,544	+33,800
20	FIELD LOGISTICS.....	921,543	921,543	---
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	229,058	239,058	+10,000
USMC PREPOSITIONING				
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING.....	87,660	87,660	---
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION....	573,928	631,319	+57,393
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	1,983,118	1,983,118	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
		4,701,049	4,802,242	+101,193
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING				
ACCESSION TRAINING				
80	RECRUIT TRAINING.....	18,227	18,227	---
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	948	948	---
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING				
100	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING.....	98,448	98,448	---
120	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	42,305	42,305	---
130	TRAINING SUPPORT.....	330,156	330,156	---
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION				
140	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	161,752	161,752	---
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	19,137	19,137	---
160	JUNIOR ROTC.....	23,277	23,277	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....				
		694,250	694,250	---
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT				
180	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	38,359	38,359	---
190	ADMINISTRATION.....	362,608	362,608	---
200	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	70,515	70,515	---
SECURITY PROGRAMS				
	SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	44,706	44,706	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....				
		514,188	514,188	---
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG.....	---	-26,000	-26,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS.....				
		5,909,487	5,984,880	+75,193



**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1A1A OPERATIONAL FORCES</b>	<b>905,744</b>	<b>839,544</b>	<b>33,800</b>
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for CENTCOM - Marine Corps unfunded requirement		28,600	
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for SOUTHCOM - Marine Corps unfunded requirement		5,200	
<b>1A3A DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>229,058</b>	<b>238,058</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program Increase		10,000	
<b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; BSM1 MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>573,926</b>	<b>631,319</b>	<b>57,393</b>
Program increase		57,393	
<b>CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG</b>		<b>-26,000</b>	<b>-26,000</b>

## SPECIAL PURPOSE MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE

Since its inception, the Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response teams have responded successfully in several locations in the United States Africa Command area of responsibility. Their missions have included supporting local security operations, acting as a quick reaction force, conducting limited offensive and defensive operations, providing tactical recovery of aircraft and personnel, providing limited humanitarian assistance, and conducting limited non-combatant evacuation operations missions. The Committee is highly supportive of the Commandant's plan to provide Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force support to all combatant commands and has provided funding to establish teams in the Central Command and the Southern Command areas of responsibility.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$33,248,618,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	35,331,193,000
Committee recommendation .....	35,024,160,000
Change from budget request .....	- 307,033,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$35,024,160,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	3,183,457	3,073,802	-89,655
PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES.....			
20	1,694,339	1,689,320	-5,019
COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES.....			
30	1,579,178	1,560,540	-18,638
AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING.....			
50	6,119,522	6,051,400	-68,122
DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....			
60	1,453,589	1,598,948	+145,359
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION.....			
70	2,599,419	2,549,844	-49,575
BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....			
COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
80	808,790	869,804	-38,896
GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING.....			
90	556,306	556,306	---
OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS.....			
100	500,689	500,689	---
TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES.....			
SPACE OPERATIONS			
110	282,710	282,710	---
LAUNCH FACILITIES.....			
120	397,818	397,818	---
SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS.....			
130	871,840	852,840	-19,000
COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT.....			
140	237,348	237,348	---
COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS.....			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			
	20,965,005	20,821,459	-143,546

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
140 AIRLIFT OPERATIONS.....	1,968,810	1,938,173	-29,637
150 MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS.....	139,743	125,670	-14,073
160 DEPDT MAINTENANCE.....	1,534,580	1,549,580	+15,000
170 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	173,627	189,089	+15,462
180 BASE SUPPORT.....	688,801	688,801	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	4,505,541	4,492,293	-13,248
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
190 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	82,396	82,396	---
200 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	19,852	19,852	---
210 RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	76,134	76,134	---
220 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	212,226	233,449	+21,223
230 BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY).....	759,809	759,809	---
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
240 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	358,157	358,157	---
250 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	697,594	697,594	---
260 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	219,441	219,441	---
270 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	91,001	91,001	---
280 DEPDT MAINTENANCE.....	316,688	316,688	---
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	73,920	73,920	---
300 EXAMINING.....	3,121	3,121	---
310 OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	181,718	181,718	---
320 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	147,667	147,667	---
330 JUNIOR ROTC.....	63,250	63,250	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	3,300,974	3,322,197	+21,223

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
340 LOGISTICS OPERATIONS.....	1,003,513	987,379	-6,134
350 TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	843,449	836,210	-7,238
360 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	78,126	78,126	---
370 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION.....	247,677	272,445	+24,768
380 BASE SUPPORT.....	1,103,442	1,103,442	---
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
390 ADMINISTRATION.....	587,234	587,234	---
400 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	506,840	506,840	---
410 OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES.....	892,256	892,256	---
420 CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION.....	24,881	27,400	+2,419
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	1,169,736	1,152,280	-17,476
SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			
450 INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT.....	92,419	92,419	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	6,559,673	6,556,011	-3,662
OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS.....	---	-224,000	-224,000
AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE.....	---	34,600	+34,600
NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	---	21,600	+21,600
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	35,331,193	35,024,180	-307,033

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES</b>	<b>3,163,457</b>	<b>3,079,802</b>	<b>-83,655</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-14,655	
Unjustified program growth		-75,000	
<b>011C COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES</b>	<b>1,694,339</b>	<b>1,889,320</b>	<b>-193,981</b>
Studies, Analysis, and Evaluations - unjustified program growth		-2,640	
Retain U-2 - funds requested for divestiture early to need		-2,379	
<b>011D AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT. MAINTAIN SKILLS)</b>	<b>1,578,178</b>	<b>1,560,540</b>	<b>-17,638</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-18,638	
<b>011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>6,119,322</b>	<b>6,051,400</b>	<b>-67,922</b>
Unjustified program growth		-83,122	
Program increase		15,000	
<b>011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>1,453,589</b>	<b>1,598,948</b>	<b>-145,359</b>
Program increase		145,359	
<b>011Z BASE SUPPORT</b>	<b>2,599,419</b>	<b>2,549,844</b>	<b>-49,575</b>
Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
Civilian Pay Program - unjustified program growth		-34,732	
Foreign currency fluctuation pricing requested as program growth for utilities		-4,843	
<b>012A GLOBAL CSI AND EARLY WARNING</b>	<b>908,780</b>	<b>869,894</b>	<b>-38,886</b>
CYBERCOM direct mission support line - unjustified growth		-9,507	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for other Intra-governmental purchases		-15,389	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for Studies, Analysis and Evaluations		-14,000	
<b>018A COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT</b>	<b>871,840</b>	<b>852,840</b>	<b>-19,000</b>
Classified program reduction		-19,000	
<b>021A AIRLIFT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>1,988,810</b>	<b>1,939,173</b>	<b>-49,637</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for flying hour program		-13,187	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for non-DWCF equipment		-16,450	
<b>021D MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS</b>	<b>139,743</b>	<b>125,670</b>	<b>-14,073</b>
Inflation pricing requested as program growth		-14,073	
<b>021M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>1,534,580</b>	<b>1,549,580</b>	<b>-15,000</b>
Program increase		15,000	
<b>021R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>173,627</b>	<b>189,089</b>	<b>-15,462</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian pay program		-1,900	
Program increase		17,362	

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>031R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>212,226</b>	<b>233,449</b>	<b>21,223</b>
Program increase		21,223	
<b>041A LOGISTICS OPERATIONS</b>	<b>1,003,513</b>	<b>997,379</b>	<b>-6,134</b>
Inflation pricing requested as program growth		-6,134	
<b>041B TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>843,448</b>	<b>836,210</b>	<b>-7,239</b>
Defense Finance and Accounting Services rate adjustment requested as program growth		-7,239	
<b>041R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>247,677</b>	<b>272,445</b>	<b>24,768</b>
Program Increase		24,768	
<b>042I CIVIL AIR PATROL</b>	<b>24,981</b>	<b>27,400</b>	<b>2,419</b>
Civil Air Patrol - program Increase		2,419	
<b>43A SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>	<b>1,169,736</b>	<b>1,152,260</b>	<b>-17,476</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian pay program		-13,976	
Classified adjustment		-3,500	
<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		<b>-224,000</b>	<b>-224,000</b>
<b>AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE</b>		<b>34,600</b>	<b>34,600</b>
<b>NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</b>		<b>21,600</b>	<b>21,600</b>

## AIR FORCE FLYING HOUR METRICS

The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not developed a cost effective, goal oriented, metric based program on which to base its flying hour requirements and annual funding request. In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force requests significant increases for air operations and states that the requested funding for flying hours is based on available funds rather than any stated requirement. Further, a review of execution of previous fiscal years' flying hour programs shows that the Air Force consistently underexecutes its flying hour program. In these fiscally constrained times, ensuring that every dollar is spent to its maximum effectiveness means that flying to the budget instead of the readiness requirement risks significant waste of taxpayer resources. In addition, the lack of objective criteria or performance goals makes conducting objective, data driven analysis by the Committee impossible.

The Committee strongly supports robust funding for flying hours and other training required to achieve pilot competence, safety, and combat readiness, and recognizes that providing sufficient funding for the flying hour program to achieve these goals requires significant investment and resources. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the air operations program and to report to the congressional defense committees at the time of its fiscal year 2016 budget submission the results of this review. As part of the review of the flying hour program, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to consult with experts including, but not limited to, the Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, and the leadership at the Federally Funded Research and Development Centers, in order to establish metrics and goals for the flying hour program which will directly relate the flying hours requested in the budget to a clear, requirement-based training curriculum which will leverage limited resources in order to achieve maximum readiness. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the flying hours sub-activity groups in order to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

## AIR FORCE WEAPON SYSTEM SUSTAINMENT

The lack of objective data-driven metrics for the flying hour program has budget implications throughout the Operation and Maintenance, Air Force account. Within the Weapon System Sustainment (WSS) program, funding requirements for flying hours and depot maintenance are interrelated and can vary significantly depending on the number of flying hours executed. While the Air Force states that the WSS program is managed as an "enterprise level concept" and claims to regularly review the program in the year of execution to determine the actual requirement, the type and number of systems being inducted or work being performed can vary tremendously between what was included in the budget justification materials and what is actually executed.



Further, while the Air Force has improved its effort to capture all funding requested for depot maintenance, including contractor logistics support, in the depot maintenance sub-activity groups (SAGs), it is not yet able to fully differentiate between flight-line activities, for which funding should be requested in the aircraft operations and mission support SAGs, and depot maintenance activities, for which funding should be requested in the depot maintenance SAGs. The Committee remains concerned that the lack of fidelity and quality of the WSS and depot maintenance justification materials prevents the Committee from conducting meaningful analysis or oversight of either program. The Air Force acknowledges that its current justification materials could be seen as misleading, and the Committee understands that the Air Force will attempt to provide more useful, complete, and meaningful justification material for the WSS program in future fiscal years. While the Committee recognizes that requirements can change due to unexpected changes in mission or requirements during the fiscal year, it also believes that the lack of program goals and metrics for the flying hour program drives much of the lack of fidelity in funding requirements in other areas, including WSS and depot maintenance funding. In addition to the review of the budget justification materials for the flying hours SAGs directed in previous report language, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the mission support and depot maintenance SAGs to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

#### AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee recognizes the important role that the Air Education and Training Command plays in recruiting, training, and educating airmen. The Committee understands that flooding and other infrastructure challenges have adversely impacted facilities, equipment, operations, and training for airmen and encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to take steps to address these deficiencies.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$31,450,068,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	31,198,232,000
Committee recommendation .....	30,896,741,000
Change from budget request .....	- 301,491,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30,896,741,000, for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	462,107	431,607	-30,500
20	4,762,245	4,645,029	-117,216
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			
	5,224,352	5,076,636	-147,716
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
30	135,437	135,437	---
40	80,082	80,082	---
50	371,620	360,443	-11,177
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....			
	587,139	575,962	-11,177
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	119,888	154,888	+35,000
80	556,493	556,493	---
90	1,340,374	1,299,874	-40,500
100	633,300	650,225	+16,925
110	1,263,678	1,280,833	-2,845
130	26,710	26,710	---
140	381,470	391,470	+10,000
150	194,520	190,820	-3,700
160	21,485	21,485	---
170	544,786	514,189	-30,597
180	532,830	532,338	-592
200	32,787	32,787	---
0	414,371	414,371	---
230	2,568,424	2,561,424	-5,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
240 MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY.....	416,644	452,242	+35,598
260 OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT.....	186,987	186,987	---
270 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.....	1,891,183	1,869,931	-21,232
280 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	87,915	81,915	-6,000
290 WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES.....	810,982	587,282	-23,720
OTHER PROGRAMS.....	13,583,834	13,417,899	-145,935
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	25,386,741	25,204,143	-182,598
IMPACT AID.....	---	40,000	+40,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	31,198,232	30,896,741	-301,491

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1PL1 JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF</b>	<b>462,107</b>	<b>431,607</b>	<b>-30,500</b>
Headquarters - unjustified growth		-15,000	
Joint Staff Information Network - unjustified growth		-4,000	
Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund - Justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-11,500	
<b>1PL2 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND</b>	<b>4,762,245</b>	<b>4,645,029</b>	<b>-117,216</b>
Base support - transfer to FSRM		-3,213	
Combat development activities - classified adjustments		-51,520	
Flight operations - AVFID and ISR operations unjustified growth		-2,718	
Flight operations - USASOC unjustified growth		-1,313	
Flight operations - human performance program consolidation		-11,300	
Flight operations - family readiness coordinators unauthorized		-2,300	
Flight operations - restore flying hours for readiness training		124,729	
Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment excess to need		-2,908	
Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment early to need		-2,602	
Other operations - USASOC collateral equipment early to need		-2,538	
Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to need		-3,797	
Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to need		-916	
Other operations - USASOC fiscal year 2014 collateral equipment schedule delay		10,467	
Other operations - NAVSPECWARCOM fiscal year 2014 collateral equipment schedule delay		5,321	
Other operations - FOSOV operations unjustified growth		-2,123	
Other operations - USASOC 4th battalion restructuring excess growth		-6,220	
Other operations - family readiness coordinators unauthorized		-2,700	
Other operations - human performance program - transfer to DHP		-14,800	
Other operations - human performance program - transfer to DHRA		-4,000	
Other operations - human performance program - transfer to RDTE, N line 23		-4,200	
Other operations - transfer to FSRM		-9,472	
Other operations - psychological operations excess contractor price growth		-3,000	
Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,937	
Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,214	
Other operations - SOCAF excess growth		-2,000	
Other operations - persistent engagement - rent, utilities, ICASS excess growth		-7,000	
Other operations - regional security cooperation centers authorization adjustment		-3,800	
Other operations - SQCOM NCR authorization adjustment		-5,000	
Ship operations - maritime support vessel authorization adjustment		-20,298	
Ship operations - NSW riverine program restore reduction		2,826	
Communications - C4IAS unjustified growth		-21,134	
Communications - HQ C4 SITEC unjustified growth		-9,126	
Communications - SOF deployable nodes excess growth		-4,336	
Communications - SOF tactical communications excess growth		-2,500	
Intelligence - DCGS-SOF authorization adjustment		-3,800	
Intelligence - civilian growth - transfer to DIA MIP		-1,402	
Intelligence - classified program unjustified		-4,900	
Intelligence - unjustified growth		-4,214	
Intelligence - human performance program consolidation		-4,000	
Management/operational HQ - care coalition restore reduction		2,259	
Operational support - 4th Battalion transformation excess growth		-5,300	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	FSRM - transfer from other SAGs		12,685	
	Contracts for other services - general reduction		-26,000	
3EV7	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	371,620	360,443	-11,177
	Professional development - advanced education program unjustified growth		-2,000	
	Professional development - JSOU accreditation unauthorized		-7,564	
	Advanced education program - transfer to OM,N		-1,613	
4GT3	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	119,888	154,888	35,000
	Youth Challenge		10,000	
	STARBASE		25,000	
4GTO	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,340,374	1,289,874	-40,500
	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-20,500	
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-20,000	
4GT8	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	633,300	850,225	16,925
	Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service - unjustified growth		-5,845	
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-1,230	
	Suicide Prevention Office - program increase		20,000	
	Suicide Prevention - transfer from SOCOM		4,000	
4GT9	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,263,678	1,260,833	-2,845
	Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund - justification does not match summary of price and program change		-2,845	
4GTB	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	381,470	391,470	10,000
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program		10,000	
ES18	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	194,520	190,820	-3,700
	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-3,700	
4GTD	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	544,786	514,189	-30,597
	Administration expenses - unjustified growth		-2,500	
	Fellowship programs - unjustified growth		-2,400	
	Global Security Cooperation Management Information		-497	
	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not		-5,200	
	Global Security Contingency Fund - adjustment for		-30,000	
	Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership for Peace Program		10,000	
4GTE	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	532,930	532,336	-592
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-592	
4GTJ	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,566,424	2,561,424	-5,000
	Consolidation efforts - unjustified growth		-5,000	
011A	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	416,644	452,242	35,598
	Transfer from RDTE,OW line 179		36,898	
	Unjustified growth		-1,400	
4GTN	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,891,163	1,868,931	-21,232
	Headquarters support for other programs and initiatives - unjustified growth		-430	

Q-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Capital security cost sharing - unjustified growth		-49,051	
Boards, Commissions and Task Force - unjustified growth		-1,365	
OSD Policy - unjustified growth		-3,623	
OSD Comptroller - unjustified growth		-2,483	
OSD Personnel and Readiness - unjustified growth		-3,100	
BRAC 2015 round planning and analyses - early to need		-4,800	
OSD AT&L, RDTE Oversight - unjustified growth		-1,380	
Office of Net Assessment - program increase		20,000	
ASD SOLIC program increase for USSOCOM oversight		2,000	
Readiness environmental protection initiative - program increase		15,000	
OSD Comptroller - audit readiness program increase		8,000	
<b>4GT1 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND</b>	<b>87,915</b>	<b>81,915</b>	<b>-6,000</b>
Specialized Skills Training - Special Warfare Center excess growth		-6,000	
<b>4GTQ WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES</b>	<b>810,982</b>	<b>587,262</b>	<b>-223,720</b>
Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-2,520	
Facilities - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-21,200	
<b>9999 OTHER PROGRAMS</b>	<b>13,563,834</b>	<b>13,417,899</b>	<b>-145,935</b>
Classified adjustment		-145,935	
<b>IMPACT AID</b>		<b>40,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
FUNDING

The Committee recommends a total of \$5,087,384,000 for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) operation and maintenance funding, an increase of \$305,441,000, or six percent over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. In addition, the recommendation transfers \$32,000,000 originally requested within the SOCOM budget to other Department of Defense agencies better equipped to address the needs of the special operations community. The recommendation reflects the Committee's continued commitment to ensure that the necessary resources are provided to maintain and support the readiness of special operators.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—FLYING HOURS RESTORATION

The Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$124,729,000 above the request for the flying hour program of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). The Committee is disappointed that, again this year, the fiscal year 2015 base budget for SOCOM proposes a reduction to baseline flying hours which would result in only 67 percent of the training requirement being met. The Committee is concerned that while the overall SOCOM budget request represents a nine percent increase over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level, flying hour requirements were not prioritized within the request but rather listed as SOCOM's top unfunded priority. With anticipated reductions in operational flying hours funded in the overseas contingency operations budget due to the Afghanistan drawdown, it is important that baseline SOCOM flying hours training be preserved to maintain pilot proficiency. Therefore, the Committee recommendation restores the proposed flying hours reduction and provides the full amount identified on SOCOM's unfunded priority list.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

The Committee is pleased with the progress made to address concerns regarding the annual congressional budget justification submission. The fiscal year 2015 budget submission was a marked improvement over previous years. The Committee appreciates that changes to the submission require a tremendous effort on the part of the staffs of the Comptroller, Special Operations for Financial Management (SOFM), the individual components, and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and they are to be commended for their work.

After consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), the Committee agreed to a phased approach to conform the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) budget justification materials to the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) Volume 2A, Chapter 3, as it applies to the Services. A two year transition was proposed to establish sub-activity groups and line item/program elements as part of the budget justification submission. The Committee appreciates that it received this level of detail informally from SOCOM during the fiscal year 2015 budget review process. The Committee directs that the fiscal year 2016 submission delineate SOCOM's operation and maintenance budget

at this level of detail in the formal justification material. The Committee further directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Comptroller, SOFM to consult with the Committee during development of the fiscal year 2016 budget submission on actions being taken to make these necessary changes not later than October 1, 2014.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Committee is concerned that the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) has not complied with longstanding Department of Defense regulations requiring submission of a prior approval reprogramming request prior to the initiation of a new start as it pertains to operation and maintenance programs. Over the last two years, SOCOM has initiated significant new programs, contracts, and activities that were not previously identified or explicitly justified in budget justification materials without submitting a reprogramming request for approval. SOCOM has also started programs and activities prior to receiving funding approval in the applicable appropriations Acts.

The Committee understands that the characteristics of operation and maintenance programs are such that new starts do not occur with the same frequency as acquisition programs. However, there are new starts in operation and maintenance accounts and, as such, established reprogramming procedures must be followed. Specifically, Department of Defense Federal Management Regulation, Volume 3, Chapter 6, section 060903D states that for operation and maintenance accounts “new starts would be significant new programs, contracts, or activities that have not been explicitly justified to the Congress in budget justification material.” The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to review this matter and issue any necessary clarifying guidance to SOCOM and report actions taken to the Committee not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act to ensure full compliance with established regulations. The Committee strongly encourages the Commander of SOCOM to consult with the Committee as necessary.

Finally, the Committee notes that language is included elsewhere in the Committee report reminding the Secretary of Defense that reductions included in the project level tables are to be treated as congressional interest items and thus cannot be restored through the use of below threshold reprogramming actions.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—USE OF MAJOR FORCE PROGRAM-11 FUNDS

In fiscal year 2014, the Committee raised concerns regarding the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) use of Major Force Program-11 (MFP-11) funds for non-MFP-11 activities. MFP-11 funds are to be used only to acquire and/or develop special operations forces (SOF)-peculiar materiel, supplies, and/or services, rather than to supplement or supplant activities that are or should be provided by the military Services. The Committee’s review of the report provided in accordance with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 has raised concerns that SOCOM used a waiver process to use MFP-11 funds for otherwise ineligible activities in a manner inconsistent with existing Department of Defense



directives and regulations. The Committee appreciates the actions being taken by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict to revise the process used to grant waivers from the restrictions on the use of MFP-11 funds, including the requirement for quarterly notifications. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act that describes the revised process and to submit a quarterly report listing all waivers granted and the justification for each waiver.

The Committee also directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to ensure that the fiscal year 2016 congressional budget justification clearly identifies and justifies all MFP-11 operation and maintenance funding for programs and activities for which the Services or other defense agencies previously had responsibility for funding, including those related to special operations, the justification for the use of MFP-11 funds, and/or the funds that would be transferred between the MFP-11 budget and other Major Force Program budgets and the justification for such transfers.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OVERSIGHT

Over the years, concerns have been raised regarding the ability of the Department of Defense to conduct the same level of oversight over Special Operations Command (SOCOM) activities and funding as is applied to the Services and other defense agencies. In an era of declining resources and when faced with difficult choices to preserve the most critical capabilities of the Department of Defense, vigorous oversight of all programs and activities is required. The Committee notes that at a time when the Services are facing significant reductions in their manpower and budget requests, SOCOM manpower and budget requests increase in fiscal year 2015. The Committee appreciates the commitment of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict (ASD SOLIC) to provide oversight and looks forward to his recommendations for ways to improve the oversight of SOCOM. The Committee recommendation includes an increase of \$2,000,000 under the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Policy) for the Office of ASD SOLIC for additional staffing to provide necessary oversight.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION

Subsequent to the fiscal year 2015 budget request submission, the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) notified the Committee that it no longer intended to pursue a waiver of section 8018 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 in order to establish an additional SOCOM office in the Washington, D.C. area. Therefore, the Committee recommendation does not include \$5,000,000 to establish a Special Operations Command—National Capital Region entity.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—SUICIDE PREVENTION AND  
PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH

The Committee understands the toll that more than a decade of war has taken on all servicemembers and their families, including special operators. The Committee has always made the care of all servicemembers and their families its highest priority, including the special operations community.

The Committee is extremely concerned that the suicide rate for special operators has continued to increase since calendar year 2010, and for the past two years the suicide rate within the special operations force has surpassed those of the Services. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided \$17,800,000, the full amount requested by the Special Operations Command (SOCOM), under the Defense Health Program to accelerate the expansion of the embedded behavioral health program to the entire special operations community in an effort to address the psychological health of the force.

While SOCOM has requested significant increases in both fiscal years 2014 and 2015 for the Command's Preservation of the Force and Family Initiative, the Committee notes that this initiative and the corresponding budget requests have been heavily focused on a human performance program. The human performance program has emphasized physical readiness with costly military construction and multi-year service contracts for physical therapists, strength and conditioning specialists, athletic trainers, and sports dieticians. At the same time, SOCOM has not requested funding for targeted suicide prevention programs. According to SOCOM, suicide prevention efforts have been minimal and met as unfunded requirements. Further, the Committee is aware that SOCOM only recently signed and promulgated a force-wide Suicide Prevention Policy Memorandum dated March 31, 2014.

The Committee shares the concerns expressed in the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 regarding the current emphasis on the human performance program and agrees that suicide prevention and the psychological health of the force must be given the highest priority. Therefore, the Committee recommends a transfer of \$18,800,000 from the amount requested for the human performance program to bolster the psychological health of the force as follows: \$14,800,000 to the Defense Health Program for behavioral health and warrior care management, providing a total of \$29,600,000; and \$4,000,000 to the Office of Suicide Prevention to implement the recommendations of the review and report on suicide among members of the special operations forces as mandated in section 581 of the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015.

The Committee also provides \$1,200,000 for chaplain training, as requested, and expects that training emphasis will be placed on suicide prevention training and awareness efforts within the special operations community.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—HUMAN PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of \$24,200,000 to support the physical training programs for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). While the budget justification documentation included funding within multiple sub-activity groups for the human performance program, the Committee recommendation consolidates all funding for human performance contract personnel across the entire special operations force (SOF) enterprise within the other operations sub-activity group. The recommendation provides a total of \$20,000,000 for the SOF enterprise for human performance contracts, an increase of \$3,500,000 above the fiscal year 2014 enacted level.

The Committee has long supported physical training programs to ensure that special operators are in top physical condition to achieve their mission. As the Committee noted in fiscal year 2014, past training approaches used by SOCOM varied by component and were not scientifically based to address the unique requirements of special operators. Further, the training needs vary significantly between special operators based on their mission set. In fiscal year 2007, at the request of SOCOM, the Committee began to fund the Tactical Athlete Program within the Department of the Navy to provide the necessary scientific research for each individual type of SOF operator in order to design appropriate physical training programs to meet those specialized needs. This approach was previously used by the Department of the Army to successfully develop a training program for the 101st Airborne Division. The Committee continues to believe that the completion of this research program for all SOF components and its corresponding results will provide research-based injury prevention and performance optimization solutions and will enable the design of training programs uniquely tailored to the needs of special operators in the most productive and cost effective manner possible. The Committee is disappointed that while SOCOM has publicly endorsed this research effort, it has not requested funds to complete the multi-year research programs being conducted for Air Force, Army, and Marine Corps special operations components. Therefore, the recommendation transfers \$4,200,000 from SOCOM's human performance program to the Department of the Navy to complete these ongoing assessments.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$4,473,000 for Advanced Education programs for special operations forces (SOF), an increase of \$3,473,000 over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee supports professional military education opportunities for all servicemembers, including those in the SOF community. However, professional military education is a Service-managed and funded responsibility to ensure equitable opportunities for all servicemembers given that the Services are responsible for managing career promotion and command selection decisions. The Committee continues to question the request of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to use SOF funding to augment SOF partici-

pation in established Service and civilian programs outside of the normal funding and selection process. The Committee also questions whether SOCOM's proposal to expand education programs to fellowship, doctorate, and executive programs with the private sector meets existing Department of Defense regulations.

The Committee notes that the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 directs the Secretary of Defense to submit an assessment of SOCOM's master's degree requirements. The Committee believes that the results of that review will assist in providing a better understanding of SOF advanced education requirements, as well as how existing programs are addressing these requirements.

Finally, the Committee is concerned that SOCOM began the establishment of several new programs in fiscal year 2013 prior to seeking and receiving the necessary congressional approvals for these programs. For example, SOCOM executed an agreement with the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) to provide Major Force Program-11 funds for expanded SOF participation when no funds were appropriated for this effort. This resulted in unnecessary stress and confusion on the part of the impacted servicemembers. Therefore, the Committee recommendation transfers \$1,613,000 from the SOCOM operation and maintenance account to the Naval Postgraduate School account to ensure appropriate program and budget oversight of NPS programs. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes a reduction of \$2,000,000 from the request based on concerns regarding duplication and unvalidated requirements. The remaining \$860,000 is not to be obligated until 30 days after the congressional defense committees have received the Secretary's assessment as directed by the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 and SOCOM has provided a spend plan for the use of the funds which explains the SOF-unique requirements for which no other Department of Defense or Service program exists.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND-CLASSIFIED ADJUSTMENTS

Further explanation and direction regarding the classified programs and recommendations for the Special Operations Command is contained in the classified annex accompanying this report.

#### ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The Committee is concerned about the perceived lack of progress on energy efficiency initiatives within the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act on the energy use and energy efficiency projects at the Pentagon and the ten largest Department of Defense facilities. The report shall include, but is not limited to, an analysis of energy use at the Pentagon Reservation and the ten largest facilities; an accounting, including dates, of when energy audits and energy efficiency projects have been conducted at such facilities; and an accounting of energy savings projects that could be achieved by enacting a consistent, timely, and proper mechanical insulation maintenance program and upgrading mechanical insulation at such facilities.

## COMMERCIAL JOINT MAPPING TOOLKIT

The Committee is concerned with the ability of combatant commanders to exploit data provided by the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) in environments with limited bandwidths. The Committee directs the Director of NGA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense (Intelligence), to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, to the congressional defense and intelligence committees, that details an acquisition strategy, which includes cost and schedule data, for a competitive Commercial Joint Mapping Toolkit follow-on program that supports the combatant commands' requirements for exploitation and dissemination of NGA data in limited bandwidth operational environments.

## AUTOMATED CONTINUOUS EVALUATION SYSTEM

The Committee understands that the Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES) was developed by the Defense Personnel Security Research Center and is the program that the Department of Defense and the Office of Management and Budget recommend as the enterprise solution for government-wide continuous personnel security evaluations. The Committee further understands that there is an Army pilot program on personnel security evaluation that is being used interchangeably with ACES. The Committee believes that these are two different programs and should be referred to as such.

The Committee believes that the granting of a security clearance for Department of Defense personnel is an inherently governmental function of the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, on the feasibility and costs associated with the Department of Defense assuming the responsibilities of conducting security clearance investigation activities for all Department of Defense personnel.

The Committee also encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider measures to optimize and streamline data sharing and best practices for continuous personnel security evaluations and threat analysis.

## OVERSEAS MILITARY INSTALLATION INVENTORY

The Committee looks forward to receiving the Secretary of Defense's report on the inventory of United States military installations located overseas as directed in the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee expects that the report will include an assessment of the requirements for overseas military force structure and infrastructure, an inventory of potentially excess infrastructure, and any opportunities for infrastructure consolidation.

## SPARE PARTS MANAGEMENT

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is implementing its Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan to address inefficiencies and unnecessary expenditures

in the management of spare parts. The Committee supports these efforts but continues to monitor the progress of implementation.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on further implementation of the Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan. The report should include details of the efforts to strengthen demand forecasting, visibility of on-hand inventory, reviews of on-order excess inventory, and management of inventory held for economic and contingency reasons. Additionally, the report should include a summary of the efforts to establish a standardized set of supply chain and inventory management metrics to measure key attributes including materiel readiness, responsiveness, reliability, cost, and planning and precision of supply chain management operations.

#### TRANSPORTABLE, MODULAR, RENEWABLE ENERGY PLATFORMS

The Committee supports efforts by the Department of Defense to invest in cost-saving energy measures, including transportable, modular, renewable energy platforms that reduce the warfighter's reliance on traditional fuel supplies in theater.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY EDUCATION PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the National Security Education Program (NSEP) plays an important role in increasing the pool of cultural and linguistic experts in government, and it supports Department of Defense and other government agencies efforts to partner with higher education institutions to achieve the goals of the NSEP. The Committee believes that these efforts should continue to consider minority serving institutions, such as Historically Black Colleges and Universities, to ensure diversity within the intelligence community and to increase the number of analysts with proficiency in critical languages and cultural studies, including Chinese, Farsi, and Arabic.

#### AUDIT READINESS

By September 30, 2017, the Department of Defense is required to validate its financial statements as ready for audit. To prepare for this milestone, the Department has focused its efforts in two broad areas. First, processes, controls, and systems that produce budgetary information and support the Statement of Budgetary Resources have been strengthened. Second, the accuracy and reliability of management information in several critical areas, including military equipment and real property, has been improved. The Government Accountability Office highlighted remaining challenges associated with identifying and mitigating risks to achieving the goals of the Department's Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness (FIAR) effort in a May 2013 review. Successful implementation of the FIAR guidance by the Services and defense agencies, modernizing business information systems, and improving the financial management workforce are essential to preparing for the September 2017 milestone. Accordingly, the Committee provides \$8,000,000 above the request for the Under Secretary of Defense

(Comptroller) to improve business and financial systems throughout the Department to achieve audit readiness.

CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICES

The Committee supports the Department of Defense's investments in cloud computing services for the Joint Information Environment. The Committee is aware of many options for cloud computing services and payment strategies for users to purchase cloud computing services. In order to provide further insight into the Department's cloud computing strategy, the Committee directs the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on the adoption and potential expansion of cloud computing within the Department, Services and defense agencies. The report shall include an update on the use of commercial cloud computing services in the Department of Defense, the current plans for the expansion of cloud computing, the purchasing and billing methodologies being proposed, an analysis of the costs, and if applicable, the savings associated with the utilization of cloud computing within the Department over the future years defense plan.

Insert 97A

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$2,940,936,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	2,490,569,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,535,606,000
Change from budget request .....	+45,037,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,535,606,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

## TRANSPORTATION COSTS FOR COMMISSARY GOODS

The Committee supports the Defense Commissary system and the benefits it yields to servicemembers worldwide. Historically, the Department of Defense has subsidized the secondary transportation costs for commissary goods shipped overseas. The Committee now understands that the Defense Commissary Agency plans to re-compete the contracts for delivery of fresh fruits and vegetables and will require that proposed prices for goods include secondary transportation costs, thereby passing the costs for these goods on to commissary customers.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the proposed change in procurement and transportation policies for commissary goods. Further, the Committee directs that the current policies and procedures associated with second destination shipping for commissaries cannot be modified until the report is delivered and the committees have 30 days to review and approve the proposed changes included in the report.

97A



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
LAND FORCES				
10	MANEUVER UNITS.....	---	---	
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....	15,200	15,200	
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES.....	502,654	499,275	-3,389
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....	107,489	105,242	-2,247
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	543,989	530,162	-13,827
60	AVIATION ASSETS.....	72,963	64,463	-8,500
LAND FORCES READINESS				
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	360,082	360,082	---
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS.....	72,491	72,491	---
90	DEPDT MAINTENANCE.....	58,873	58,873	---
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT				
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	388,961	388,961	---
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION.....	228,597	251,457	+22,860
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS.....	39,590	39,590	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
	2,390,899	2,385,796	-5,103	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	10,608	10,608	---
140	ADMINISTRATION.....	18,587	17,527	-1,060
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	6,681	6,681	---
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION.....	9,192	9,192	---
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	54,602	54,602	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....				
	99,670	98,610	-1,060	
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS.....	---	-13,800	-13,800
	RESTORE READINESS.....	---	65,000	+65,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....				
	2,490,569	2,535,606	+45,037	

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
(In thousands of dollars)

<b>Q-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>113 ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES</b>	<b>502,664</b>	<b>499,275</b>	<b>-3,389</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-3,389	
<b>114 THEATER LEVEL ASSETS</b>	<b>107,469</b>	<b>105,242</b>	<b>-2,247</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-2,247	
<b>115 LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>543,989</b>	<b>530,162</b>	<b>-13,827</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for disability compensation		-2,860	
Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,604	
Management and professional support services - unjustified growth		-3,522	
Regional Training Sites-Medical advisory and assistance services contract - transfer to SAG 121 not properly accounted		-5,841	
<b>116 AVIATION ASSETS</b>	<b>72,963</b>	<b>64,463</b>	<b>-8,500</b>
Flying hour program - unjustified program growth		-8,500	
<b>132 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>228,597</b>	<b>251,457</b>	<b>22,860</b>
Program increase		22,860	
<b>431 ADMINISTRATION</b>	<b>18,587</b>	<b>17,527</b>	<b>-1,060</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,060	
<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		<b>-13,800</b>	<b>-13,800</b>
<b>RESTORE READINESS</b>		<b>85,000</b>	<b>85,000</b>

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,158,382,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,007,100,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,011,827,000
Change from budget request .....	+4,727,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,011,827,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS				
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS.....	565,842	565,842	---
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE.....	5,948	5,948	---
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	82,636	82,636	---
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	353	353	---
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS.....	7,007	7,007	---
RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS				
70	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	8,190	8,190	---
80	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	558	558	---
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	4,571	4,571	---
RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT				
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	14,472	14,472	---
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	119,056	119,056	---
RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT				
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	1,852	1,852	---
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	25,354	25,354	---
BASE OPERATING SUPPORT				
140	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	48,271	53,098	+4,827
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	101,921	101,921	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
		986,029	990,856	+4,827
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
160	ADMINISTRATION.....	1,520	1,520	---
170	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL.....	12,998	12,998	---
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	3,395	3,395	---
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	3,158	3,158	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....				
		21,071	21,071	---
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG.....				
		---	-100	-100
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....				
		1,007,100	1,011,827	+4,727

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
(In thousands of dollars)

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & BSMR MODERNIZATION	48,271	53,098	4,827
Program Increase		4,827	
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-100	100

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$255,317,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	268,582,000
Committee recommendation .....	270,485,000
Change from budget request .....	+1,903,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$270,485,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES				
10	OPERATING FORCES .....	93,093	93,093	---
20	DEPDT MAINTENANCE .....	18,377	18,377	---
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	29,232	32,155	+2,923
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	106,447	106,447	---
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	247,149	250,072	+2,923
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
70	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	914	914	---
80	ADMINISTRATION .....	11,831	11,831	---
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	8,688	8,688	---
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	21,433	21,433	---
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG .....	---	-1,020	-1,020
-----				
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE ..	268,582	270,485	+1,903
-----				

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

Q-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
BSM1 MODERNIZATION	29,232	32,155	2,923
Program increase		2,923	
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-1,020	-1,020



## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$3,062,207,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	3,015,842,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,989,214,000
Change from budget request .....	-26,628,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,989,214,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	1,719,467	1,709,067	-10,400
20	211,132	208,332	-2,800
30	530,301	530,301	---
40	85,672	94,239	+8,567
50	367,966	365,839	-12,127
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,914,538	2,897,778	-16,760
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	59,899	57,596	-2,303
70	14,509	14,044	-465
80	20,345	20,345	---
90	6,551	6,551	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	101,304	98,536	-2,768
OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FYE TARGETS	---	-7,100	-7,100
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,015,842	2,989,214	-26,628
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
(In thousands of dollars)

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES</b>	1,719,467	1,709,067	-10,400
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-10,400	
<b>011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS</b>	211,132	208,332	-2,800
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-2,800	
<b>011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	85,672	94,239	8,567
Program Increase		8,567	
<b>011Z BASE OPERATING SUPPORT</b>	367,966	355,839	-12,127
Unjustified program growth		-8,799	
Inactive Duty Training Lodging - unjustified program growth		-3,326	
<b>042A ADMINISTRATION</b>	59,899	57,596	-2,303
Unjustified program growth		-2,303	
<b>042J RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b>	14,609	14,044	-465
Unjustified program growth		-465	
<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		-7,100	-7,100

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$6,857,530,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	6,030,773,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,116,307,000
Change from budget request .....	+85,534,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,116,307,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
LAND FORCES				
10	MANEUVER UNITS.....	660,848	660,848	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....	165,942	165,942	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE.....	733,800	733,800	---
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....	83,084	83,084	---
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	22,005	22,005	---
60	AVIATION ASSETS.....	920,085	920,085	---
LAND FORCES READINESS				
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	680,887	680,887	---
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS.....	69,726	68,552	-1,174
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	138,263	138,263	---
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT				
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	804,517	787,353	-17,164
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION.....	490,205	539,226	+49,021
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS.....	872,140	846,243	-25,897
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
	5,641,302	5,646,088	+4,786	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	6,690	6,690	---
150	ADMINISTRATION.....	63,075	63,075	---
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	37,372	37,372	---
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT.....	6,484	1,197	-5,287
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	274,085	270,823	-3,262
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT.....	1,765	1,765	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....				
	389,471	360,922	-8,549	
UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION.....				
	---	-3,703	-3,703	
COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS.....				
	---	23,000	+23,000	
RESTORE READINESS.....				
	---	70,000	+70,000	
=====				
TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.....				
	6,030,773	6,116,307	+85,534	
=====				

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
(In thousands of dollars)

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>122 LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS</b>	<b>69,726</b>	<b>68,552</b>	<b>-1,174</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for printing and reproduction		-1,174	
<b>131 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>804,517</b>	<b>787,353</b>	<b>-17,164</b>
Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for travel		-7,164	
<b>132 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>490,205</b>	<b>539,226</b>	<b>49,021</b>
Program increase		49,021	
<b>133 MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS</b>	<b>872,140</b>	<b>846,243</b>	<b>-25,897</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-25,897	
<b>433 MANPOWER MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>1,197</b>	<b>-5,287</b>
Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff - unjustified program growth		-131	
Supplies and materials - unjustified program growth		-5,156	
<b>434 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b>	<b>274,065</b>	<b>270,823</b>	<b>-3,242</b>
Marketing and Advertising programs - unjustified program growth		-3,242	
<b>CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION - UNJUSTIFIED PROGRAM GROWTH</b>		<b>-3,703</b>	<b>-3,703</b>
<b>FULLY FUND TWO COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS - ARMY REQUESTED TRANSFER FROM OM, A SAG 121</b>		<b>23,000</b>	<b>23,000</b>
<b>RESTORE READINESS</b>		<b>70,000</b>	<b>70,000</b>

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$6,392,304,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	6,392,859,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,393,919,000
Change from budget request .....	+1,060,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,393,919,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10 AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS.....	3,367,729	3,366,229	-1,500
20 MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS.....	718,295	707,095	-11,200
30 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,528,895	1,528,895	---
40 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION....	137,804	151,384	+13,760
50 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	581,536	581,536	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	6,333,859	6,334,919	+1,080
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60 ADMINISTRATION.....	27,812	27,812	---
70 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	31,188	31,188	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	59,000	59,000	---
TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD....	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,080



**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>D11F AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>3,387,729</b>	<b>3,388,229</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for flying hour program		-1,500	
<b>011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>718,295</b>	<b>707,095</b>	<b>-11,200</b>
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-11,200	
<b>D11R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>137,604</b>	<b>151,384</b>	<b>13,780</b>
Program increase		13,780	

**OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER  
ACCOUNT**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	---
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	\$5,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	---
Change from budget request .....	-5,000,000

The Committee recommends no funding for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED  
FORCES**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$13,606,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	13,723,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,723,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,723,000 for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$298,815,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	201,560,000
Committee recommendation .....	201,560,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$201,560,000 for Environmental Restoration, Army.

**ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$316,103,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	277,294,000
Committee recommendation .....	277,294,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$277,294,000 for Environmental Restoration, Navy.

**VIEQUES AND CULEBRA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION**

The Committee remains concerned about the pace of environmental restoration on the islands of Vieques and Culebra. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act detailing a plan and schedule for the completion of the clean-up of the contaminated areas of Vieques and Culebra.

The Committee also notes that there are gaps in information about the types and amounts of ordnance used on Vieques and Culebra and potential links between such ordnance and present threats to public health. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act that details the types of weapons deployed on these islands, as well as the estimated level of use of these types of ordnance at these two sites. Fi-

nally, the Committee also urges the Secretary of Defense to make the relevant documents available to the public.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$439,820,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	408,716,000
Committee recommendation .....	408,716,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$408,716,000 for Environmental Restoration, Air Force.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$10,757,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	8,547,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,547,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,547,000 for Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$287,443,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	208,353,000
Committee recommendation .....	233,353,000
Change from budget request .....	+25,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$233,353,000 for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS

The Committee believes that improved oversight and management of the Department of Defense Environmental Restoration programs will yield continued benefits. The Committee awaits the completion and release of the Defense Environmental Program's Annual Report to Congress and looks forward to receiving and reviewing the report and the additional data required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee reminds the Secretary of Defense that the additional reporting requirements should be considered an ongoing annual requirement.

#### OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$109,500,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	100,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	103,000,000
Change from budget request .....	+3,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$103,000,000 for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.

#### HUMANITARIAN MINE ACTION PROGRAM

Explosive remnants of war such as mines, unexploded ordnance, and small arms ammunition disrupt innocent civilian populations in unimaginable ways, including maiming, disfiguring, and possibly causing death. The Humanitarian Mine Action (HMA) program provides training and readiness-enhancing benefits to military

forces. The HMA program aids in the development skills for host country personnel to sustain their mine action programs after military trainers have redeployed. To enhance the effectiveness of this program, the Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$3,000,000.

**COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$500,455,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	365,108,000
Committee recommendation .....	365,108,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$365,108,000 for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.

insert 117A

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND**

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$51,031,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	212,875,000
Committee recommendation .....	51,875,000
Change from budget request .....	-161,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,875,000 for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

ASSISTANCE TO UKRAINE

The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense has been involved with assisting the people of Ukraine through funding provided in the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account. Additionally, the Committee notes the continued suffering of the Ukrainian people. The Committee therefore directs the

NO  
SPACE

Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act describing additional assistance that the Department may provide to Ukraine.



### TITLE III

#### PROCUREMENT

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense procurement budget request totals \$89,660,299,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$91,227,819,000 for the procurement accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
SUMMARY			
ARMY			
AIRCRAFT.....	5,102,665	5,295,957	+193,272
MISSILES.....	1,017,483	1,217,483	+200,000
WEAPONS, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES.....	1,471,438	1,703,736	+232,298
AMMUNITION.....	1,031,477	1,011,477	-20,000
OTHER.....	4,893,634	4,812,234	-81,400
TOTAL, ARMY.....	13,516,717	14,040,867	+524,170
NAVY			
AIRCRAFT.....	13,074,317	14,054,523	+980,206
WEAPONS.....	3,217,945	3,111,931	-106,014
AMMUNITION.....	771,946	629,372	-142,573
SHIPS.....	14,400,625	14,256,361	-144,264
OTHER.....	5,975,828	5,823,379	-52,449
MARINE CORPS.....	983,352	927,232	-56,120
TOTAL, NAVY.....	38,424,012	38,902,798	+478,786
AIR FORCE			
AIRCRAFT.....	11,542,571	12,046,941	+504,370
MISSILES.....	4,690,506	4,546,211	-144,295
AMMUNITION.....	677,400	648,200	-29,200
OTHER.....	16,566,018	16,633,023	+67,005
TOTAL, AIR FORCE.....	33,476,495	33,874,375	+397,880
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DEFENSE-WIDE.....	4,221,437	4,358,121	+136,684
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES.....	21,638	51,638	+30,000
TOTAL PROCUREMENT.....	89,660,299	91,227,819	+1,567,520
	=====	=====	=====



## SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (House Report 110-279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

## REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006.

## FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

## CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

## HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR

The Committee recognizes the versatility and broad application that the High Mobility Engineer Excavator (HMEE) provides for the military. The HMEE offers full spectrum capability to accomplish standard engineer missions of survivability, mobility and counter-mobility, and the expanded mission of route clearance and breaching operations. The HMEE is transportable by C-130 and C-17 aircraft and is capable of operating at speeds of 60 miles per hour. It is a versatile engineer vehicle for expeditionary forces and for homeland support missions. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to thoroughly review requirements for this vehicle for tactical operations as well as for National Guard dual use applications.

## TACTICAL POWER SOURCES

Generators used by the Army and Marine Corps consume a large percentage of the fuel used in Afghanistan. The Committee is aware of the commitment by the Department of Defense to increase fuel efficiency and reduce costs. The Committee understands that the advanced medium power source generators may be more fuel efficient, reliable, lighter, and quieter than legacy tactical generators. The Committee commends the Army and the Marine Corps for pursuing advanced technology in the generation of tactical electricity. The Committee encourages the Secretaries of the Army and the Navy to continue to seek advances in tactical electric power.

## MOBILE USER OBJECTIVE SYSTEM TERMINALS

The synchronization of ground terminals with the launch of satellites is a constant challenge for satellite communication systems. If the Department of Defense relies entirely upon acquisition of new terminals for the Mobile User Objective System (MUOS), the Department may not take advantage of the increased capability the MUOS constellation provides. The Committee supports the MUOS program and encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider the upgrade of existing communications terminals to accelerate the fielding of full MUOS capability to as many users as possible.

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$4,844,891,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	5,102,685,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,295,957,000
Change from budget request .....	+193,272,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,295,957,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
AIRCRAFT						
FIXED WING						
2	UTILITY F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT.....	1	13,617	1	13,617	---
3	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP).....	16	185,080	11	138,690	-5 -46,400
4	HQ-1 UAV .....	19	190,581	19	239,581	+49,000
5	RQ-11 (RAVEN).....	---	3,964	---	3,964	---
ROTARY						
6	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH).....	55	416,617	55	416,617	---
7	AH-64 APACHE BLDCK IIAA REMAN.....	25	494,009	28	572,009	+3 +78,000
8	AH-64 APACHE BLDCK IIAA REMAN (AP-CY).....	---	157,338	---	157,338	---
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP).....	79	1,237,001	87	1,356,227	+8 +119,226
13	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP-CY).....	---	132,138	---	132,138	---
14	CH-47 HELICOPTER.....	32	892,504	32	892,504	---
15	CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY).....	---	102,361	---	102,361	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT.....			3,825,220		4,025,046	+199,826
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
16	HQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS.....	2	28,913	2	28,913	---
18	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP).....	---	14,182	---	14,182	---
19	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP).....	---	131,892	---	131,892	---
20	AH-64 MODS .....	---	181,889	---	181,889	---
21	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS .....	---	32,092	---	32,092	---
22	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS .....	---	15,029	---	15,029	---
23	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS.....	---	78,515	---	78,515	---
25	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN.....	---	114,182	---	114,182	---
26	CDPHS, NAV SURVEILLANCE.....	---	115,795	---	115,795	---
27	GATH ROLLUP.....	---	54,277	---	54,277	---
28	RQ-7 UAV MODS.....	---	125,380	---	125,380	---
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT.....			888,128		888,128	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS						
29 AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	86,450	---	99,059	---	+32,609
30 SURVIVABILITY CM.....	---	---	---	7,600	---	+7,600
31 CMWS.....	---	107,364	---	60,401	---	-46,963
OTHER SUPPORT						
32 AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	6,847	---	6,647	---	---
33 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT.....	---	29,231	---	29,231	---	---
34 AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS.....	---	48,081	---	48,081	---	---
35 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	---	127,232	---	127,232	---	---
36 INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	1,203	---	1,203	---	---
37 LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET.....	387	2,931	387	2,931	---	---
TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES.....	---	389,339	---	382,785	---	-6,554
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY.....	---	5,102,685	---	5,295,957	---	+193,272

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	185,080	138,690	-46,400
Funding ahead of need		-46,400	
4 MQ-1 UAV	190,581	239,581	49,000
Program increase - Improved Gray Eagle with extended range		49,000	
7 AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN	494,009	572,009	78,000
Program increase		78,000	
12 UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	1,237,001	1,356,227	119,226
Program increase only for the Army National Guard		103,026	
SOCCM - operational loss replacement		16,200	
29 AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	66,460	99,059	32,609
Army requested transfer from line 31		32,609	
30 SURVIVABILITY/COUNTER MEASURES	0	7,800	7,800
Army requested transfer from line 31		7,800	
31 COMMON MISSILE WARNING SYSTEM	107,364	80,401	-46,963
Army requested transfer to line 30		-7,800	
Army requested transfer to line 29		-32,609	
Excess to need		-8,554	

## ARMY AVIATION RESTRUCTURE INITIATIVE

The Army's fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes a significant restructuring of Army aviation assets. Part of this proposal is to transfer all Apache helicopters from Army National Guard units to the active Army and to shift Blackhawk helicopters from the active Army to the Army National Guard. Another component of the proposal is to retire the Kiowa Warrior helicopter, including the TH-67 helicopter, currently being used as the training platform for Army aviation. The Committee understands that the Army made this proposal primarily for affordability reasons. The Committee approves the proposal, with the exception of the transfer of Apache aircraft from the Army National Guard, as discussed in title VIII of this Act. With respect to the retirement of TH-67 aircraft, the Committee is extremely concerned about the impact on the rotary wing industrial base of placing such a large amount of excess airframes on the market. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on the aircraft being retired as part of the Army proposal. This report should include the number of airframes being divested, the number of airframes being transferred to other government agencies, the number of airframes being offered for sale to other nations, the cost of divesting these aircraft, and the impact the divestiture of these airframes will have on the domestic rotary wing industrial base. Further, the Secretary of the Army is prohibited from divesting any aircraft until the report is submitted by the Secretary of Defense.

## MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,549,491,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,017,483,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,217,483,000
Change from budget request .....	+200,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,217,483,000 for Missile Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
OTHER MISSILES						
SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
2	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE OFFENSE (AMD)	110,300	110,300			
3	MSE MISSILE	70 384,605	97 532,605	+27	+148,000	
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	4,452	4,452			
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
5	JAVELIN (AAMS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	338 77,668	338 77,668			
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,008 50,368	1,008 50,368			
7	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP-CY)	19,984	19,984			
8	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	534 127,145	534 127,145			
9	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	2,884 21,274	2,884 21,274			
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES	785,786	943,790		+148,000	
-----						
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
MODIFICATIONS						
12	PATRIOT MODS	131,838	183,838		+52,000	
13	STINGER MODS	1,355	1,355			
14	AVENGER MODS	5,811	5,811			
15	TAS/TOW MODS	19,876	19,876			
16	MLRS MODS	10,380	10,380			
17	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	6,008	6,008			
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES	174,868	226,868		+52,000	
-----						
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
18	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	36,930	36,930			
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
19	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	3,657	3,657			
20	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,522	1,522			
21	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,710	4,710			
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	9,889	9,889			
-----						
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,017,483	1,217,483		+200,000	
*****						

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	MSE MISSILE	384,605	332,605	148,000
	Program increase		148,000	
12	PATRIOT MODS	131,838	183,838	52,000
	Program increase - radar digital processors		52,000	



PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT  
VEHICLES, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,610,811,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,471,438,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,703,736,000
Change from budget request .....	+232,298,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,703,736,000 for Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROUREMENT OF M&TCV, ARMY						
1						
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
STRYKER VEHICLE	---	365,110	---	435,110		+50,000
2						
MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
STRYKER (MOD)	---	39,683	---	39,683		---
3						
F1ST VEHICLE (MOD)	---	26,759	---	26,759		---
4						
BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	---	107,506	---	107,506		---
5						
HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M108A6 (MOD)	---	45,411	---	45,411		---
6						
PALADIN PIPR MOD IN SERVICE	16	247,400	16	247,400		---
7						
IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	15	50,451	40	122,451	+25	+72,000
8						
ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)	---	2,473	---	2,473		---
9						
ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	7	36,563	7	36,563		---
10						
AB6 FOV MODS	---	1,975	---	1,975		---
11						
JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	8	49,462	8	49,462		---
12						
M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	---	237,023	---	237,023		---
13						
ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	---	---	---	120,000		+120,000
14						
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-MTCV)	---	6,478	---	6,478		---
TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		1,236,314		1,476,314		+242,000
WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES						
16						
MORTAR SYSTEMS	---	5,012	---	5,012		---
17						
M320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390		---
18						
COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM	---	148	---	148		---
19						
CARBINE	38,234	29,366	26,808	20,818	-11,428	-8,750
21						
COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATON	---	8,409	---	8,409		---
22						
HANDGUN	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH						
24 M777 MODS	---	18,188	---	18,188	---	---
25 M4 CARBINE MODS	---	3,448	---	6,448	---	+3,000
26 M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	---	25,298	---	25,298	---	---
27 M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	---	5,546	---	5,546	---	---
28 M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	---	4,635	---	2,635	---	-2,000
29 SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS	---	4,079	---	4,079	---	---
30 M119 MODIFICATIONS	---	72,718	---	72,718	---	---
31 M16 RIFLE MODS	---	1,952	---	---	---	-1,952
32 MORTAR MODIFICATION	---	8,903	---	8,903	---	---
33 MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MOCV-MTCV)	---	2,089	---	2,089	---	---
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
34 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MOCV-MTCV)	---	2,005	---	2,005	---	---
35 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (MOCV-MTCV)	---	8,911	---	8,911	---	---
36 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	---	414	---	414	---	---
37 SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	---	1,682	---	1,682	---	---
TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES	---	235,124	---	225,422	---	-9,702
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF M&TCV, ARMY	---	1,471,438	---	1,703,736	---	+232,298

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	385,110	435,110	50,000
		50,000	
7	50,451	122,451	72,000
		72,000	
13	0	120,000	120,000
		120,000	
19	29,366	20,616	-8,750
		-6,702	
		-2,048	
25	3,446	6,446	3,000
		1,000	
		2,000	
28	4,635	2,635	-2,000
		-2,000	
31	1,952	0	-1,952
		-852	
		-1,000	

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,444,067,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,031,477,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,011,477,000
Change from budget request .....	- 20,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,011,477,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
AMMUNITION						
SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
1	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES.....	34,943	---	34,943	---	---
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES.....	12,418	---	12,418	---	---
3	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES.....	9,855	---	9,855	---	---
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES.....	29,304	---	29,304	---	---
6	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES.....	8,181	---	8,181	---	---
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES.....	52,667	---	52,667	---	---
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES.....	40,904	---	40,904	---	---
MORTAR AMMUNITION						
9	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	41,742	---	41,742	---	---
10	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	42,433	---	42,433	---	---
11	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	39,385	---	39,385	---	---
TANK AMMUNITION						
12	CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES.....	101,900	---	101,900	---	---
ARTILLERY AMMUNITION						
13	CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES.....	37,455	---	37,455	---	---
14	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES.....	47,023	---	47,023	---	---
15	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982.....	418	418	35,872	---	---
16	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES.....	94,010	---	74,010	---	-20,000
ROCKETS						
19	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES.....	945	---	945	---	---
20	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES.....	27,286	---	27,286	---	---
OTHER AMMUNITION						
21	DENDLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES.....	22,899	---	22,899	---	---
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES.....	22,751	---	22,751	---	---
23	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES.....	7,082	---	7,082	---	---
24	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES.....	11,638	---	11,638	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
MISCELLANEOUS						
25		3,594		3,594		
NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES						
27		5,430		5,430		
28		8,337		8,337		
29		14,906		14,906		
30		14,349		14,349		
31		111		111		
-----						
		767,000		747,000		-20,000
AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
32		148,082		148,082		
33		113,881		113,881		
34		2,504		2,504		
-----						
		264,477		264,477		
-----						
		1,031,477		1,011,477		-20,000
*****						

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
(In thousands of dollars)

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL			
16 TYPES	94,010	74,010	-20,000
Funding ahead of need		-20,000	



## OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$4,936,908,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	4,893,634,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,812,234,000
Change from budget request .....	- 81,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,812,234,000 for Other Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES						
TACTICAL VEHICLES						
1		7,987		7,987		
2		160		180		
3				50,000		+50,000
4	176	184,615	176	184,615		
6	19	8,415	19	8,415		
7	444	28,425	444	28,425		+50,000
8	188	89,263	188	89,263		
13	735	38,226	735	38,226		
14	769	91,173	701	83,173	-67	-8,000
15	1	14,731	1	14,731		
NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES						
16	1	175	1	175		
17	25	1,338	25	1,338		
18		11,101		11,101		
		455,609		547,809		+92,000
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS						
19	1,280	783,087	1,114	684,087	-166	-99,000
20	89	21,157	89	21,157		
21		7,915		7,915		
22		5,440		5,440		
COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
23	18	118,085	18	118,085		
24	21	13,989	21	13,989		
25		8,494		8,494		
28		1,635		1,635		
27		13,554		13,554		
26		18,899		18,899		
29		2,849		2,849		

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
COMM - C3 SYSTEM						
COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS						
30	ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC)	100,000	80,000			-20,000
33	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	2,674	175,711	1,813	125,711	-781 -50,000
34	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR)	9,692	4,692			-5,000
35	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	820	17,136	820	17,136	
37	AKC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2	3,081	22,099	3,081	22,099	
38	TRACTOR DESK	3,724	3,724			
39	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	969	969			
40	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS	294	294			
41	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	8,344	24,354	8,344	24,354	
42	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE	17,445	17,445			
43	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	1,028	1,028			
44	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	974	22,814	974	22,814	
COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM						
46	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP)	1,519	1,519			
47	RESERVE CAIKISO GPF EQUIPMENT	305	12,478	305	12,478	
INFORMATION SECURITY						
50	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	2,113	2,113			
51	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (CONSEC)	2,750	89,646	2,750	89,646	
COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS						
52	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	28,913	28,913			
COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS						
53	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	97,091	97,091			
54	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)	246	246			
55	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	5,362	5,362			
56	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	79,865	79,865			

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ELECT EQUIP						
ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)						
60 JTY/CIBS-N (MIP).....	---	870	---	870	---	---
61 PROPHET GROUND (MIP).....	11	55,898	11	55,898	---	---
63 DCGS-A (MIP).....	2,423	128,207	2,423	128,207	---	---
64 JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS).....	2	5,288	2	5,288	---	---
65 TROJAN (MIP).....	---	12,814	---	12,814	---	---
66 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP).....	---	3,901	---	3,901	---	---
67 CI HUMINT AUTO REPRING AND COLL(CHARCS)(MIP).....	358	7,392	358	7,392	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)						
68 LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR.....	3	24,828	3	24,828	---	---
70 AIR VIGILANCE (AV).....	---	7,000	---	7,000	---	---
72 COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	1,285	---	1,285	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)						
75 SENTINEL MODS.....	81	44,305	81	44,305	---	---
76 NIGHT VISION DEVICES.....	9,700	160,901	9,700	160,901	---	---
78 SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF.....	1,935	18,520	1,935	18,520	---	---
80 INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS.....	173	88,298	173	88,298	---	---
81 FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FWS).....	1,718	49,205	1,193	34,205	-523	-15,000
82 ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP.....	137	4,896	137	4,896	---	---
83 PROFILER.....	---	3,115	---	3,115	---	---
84 MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS).....	---	4,188	---	4,188	---	---
85 JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P).....	2,622	87,882	2,354	87,882	-268	-10,000
86 JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM (JETS).....	41	27,460	41	27,460	---	---
87 MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (LLDR).....	34	14,085	34	14,085	---	---
88 MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM.....	255	29,040	255	29,040	---	---
89 COUNTERFIRE RADARS.....	13	209,050	10	159,050	-3	-50,000

[DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS]

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS						
92 FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY.....	---	13,823	---	13,823	---	---
95 AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD).....	5	27,374	5	27,374	---	---
97 LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSB).....	---	2,508	---	2,508	---	---
99 NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE.....	---	21,524	---	21,524	---	---
100 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS).....	3,748	95,455	3,748	95,455	---	---
101 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY.....	---	118,600	---	118,600	---	---
102 INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY.....	---	32,970	---	32,970	---	---
104 RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET.....	56	10,113	56	10,113	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION						
105 ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION.....	---	9,015	---	9,015	---	---
106 AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT.....	---	155,223	---	155,223	---	---
107 GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM.....	---	16,581	---	16,581	---	---
108 HIGH PERFORM COMPUTING MOD PROGRAM.....	---	65,252	---	65,252	---	---
110 RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS).....	---	17,631	---	17,631	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)						
112 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT).....	51	5,437	51	5,437	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT						
113 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E).....	---	426	---	426	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	3,707	---	3,707	---	---
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.....		3,238,372		2,990,372		-248,000
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT						
115 FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE).....	---	937	---	937	---	---
116 BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS).....	---	1,930	---	1,930	---	---
117 CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION.....	14,606	17,468	14,506	17,468	---	---
BRIDGING EQUIPMENT						
119 TACTICAL BRIDGING.....	6	5,442	6	5,442	---	---
120 TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON.....	---	11,013	---	11,013	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT						
121 GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS).....	---	37,640	---	33,249	---	-4,400
122 MUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (MMDS).....	84	18,545	84	18,545	---	---
123 ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	1	4,701	1	4,701	---	---
124 EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION.....	---	8,346	---	8,346	---	---
125 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT).....	133	15,856	133	15,856	---	---
126 REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS.....	---	4,485	---	4,485	---	---
127 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT.....	92	4,938	92	4,938	---	---
COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
128 HEATERS AND ECU'S.....	628	9,235	628	9,235	---	---
130 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT.....	1	1,677	1	1,677	---	---
131 PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS).....	12,273	16,728	12,273	16,728	---	---
132 GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM.....	3,581	84,781	3,581	84,781	---	---
134 FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT.....	141	15,179	141	15,179	---	---
135 CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM.....	1,386	28,194	1,386	28,194	---	---
137 FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS.....	336	41,987	336	41,987	---	---
138 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (EMG SPT).....	859	20,090	859	20,090	---	---
PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT						
139 QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,435	---	1,435	---	---
140 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER.....	599	40,692	599	40,692	---	---
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT						
141 COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL.....	2,388	46,957	2,388	46,957	---	---
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT						
142 MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS.....	60	23,758	60	23,758	---	---
143 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 DM (MAINT EQ).....	585	2,789	585	2,789	---	---
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT						
144 GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE).....	22	5,827	22	5,827	---	---
145 SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING.....	22	14,926	22	14,926	---	---
147 COMPACTOR.....	617	4,348	617	4,348	---	---
148 HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR.....	14	4,938	14	4,938	---	---
149 TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED.....	95	34,071	95	34,071	---	---
150 ALL TERRAIN CRANES.....	4	4,938	4	4,938	---	---
151 PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING.....	---	667	---	667	---	---
153 ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP.....	---	14,924	---	14,924	---	---
154 CONST EQUIP ESP.....	70	15,933	70	15,933	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
155 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) .....	53	6,749	53	6,749	---	---
RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT						
156 ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP.....	---	10,509	---	10,509	---	---
157 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL).....	---	2,166	---	2,166	---	---
GENERATORS						
158 GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.....	3,882	115,190	3,545	105,190	-337	-10,000
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
160 FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS.....	146	14,327	148	14,327	---	---
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
161 COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT.....	1	65,082	1	65,082	---	---
162 TRAINING DEVICES, MONOSYSTEM.....	43	101,295	43	108,295	---	+5,000
163 CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER.....	---	13,408	---	13,408	---	---
164 AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA).....	---	14,440	---	14,440	---	---
165 GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING.....	---	10,165	---	10,165	---	---
TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)						
166 CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,728	---	5,728	---	---
167 INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE).....	1,657	37,482	1,657	37,482	---	---
168 TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD).....	415	16,061	415	16,061	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
170 RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,380	---	2,380	---	---
171 PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3).....	---	30,688	---	30,686	---	---
172 BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,008	---	1,008	---	---
173 MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3).....	3,209	98,559	3,209	83,559	---	-15,000
174 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH).....	---	1,897	---	1,897	---	---
175 SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING.....	---	25,394	---	25,394	---	---
176 ANC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3.....	963	12,975	963	12,975	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,148,821	---	1,124,221	---	-24,400
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
180 INITIAL SPARES - C&E.....	11	50,032	11	50,032	---	---
TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	50,032	---	50,032	---	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMV MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	---	---	---	100,000	---	+100,000
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY.....	---	4,893,834	---	4,812,234	---	-81,400

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES Program increase	0	50,000 50,000	50,000
7 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES Program increase	28,425	78,425 50,000	50,000
14 MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIPMENT Funding ahead of need	91,173	83,173 -8,000	-8,000
19 WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK Unobligated prior year funds	763,087	864,087 -98,000	-98,000
30 ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND Funding ahead of need	100,000	80,000 -20,000	-20,000
33 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - HMS Unobligated balances	175,711	125,711 -50,000	-50,000
34 MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO Funding ahead of need	9,692	4,692 -5,000	-5,000
81 FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS Funding ahead of need	49,205	34,205 -15,000	-15,000
85 JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM Funding ahead of need	87,892	77,892 -10,000	-10,000
89 COUNTERFIRE RADARS Funding ahead of need	209,060	159,060 -50,000	-50,000
121 GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM Funding ahead of need	37,649	33,249 -4,400	-4,400
158 GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT Funding ahead of need	115,190	105,190 -10,000	-10,000
162 TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM Program increase	101,295	106,295 5,000	5,000
173 MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT Funding ahead of need	98,559	83,559 -15,000	-15,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MOD PROGRAM Program increase	0	100,000 100,000	100,000



#### HANDHELD STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes that the Army's AN/PSS-14 Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System is a cost effective and efficient method of providing a reliable handheld mine detection capability to the warfighter. The Committee commends the Army's efforts to improve the system's capability through the use of engineering change proposals, to seek cost effective mine detection devices, and to potentially achieve significant savings.

#### BODY ARMOR MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes that numerous lives have been saved by body armor. However, the Committee recalls the struggles involved with production, fielding, and maintenance of body armor kits at the beginning of the war in Iraq. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to develop a plan to replace and refurbish expired body armor, to modernize the body armor inventory through replenishment, and to ensure that the industrial base is able to continue the development and manufacture of more advanced body armor. Elements of the plan shall include the completion and issuance of the Program Executive Office (PEO) Soldier study on the shelf-life of body armor, consistent with that study, a determination of the number of pieces of body armor in the inventory that have expired, the qualification by PEO Soldier of the progressively lighter body armor that is being developed for the Future Soldier Protection Systems program, a determination of the number of expired body armor pieces that should be replaced by the lighter body armor qualified by PEO Soldier or refurbished, a determination of the amount of body armor procurement (both replacement and refurbishment) required on an annual basis to ensure that the manufacturing base remains viable and is able to continue the development of more advanced body armor until the Future Soldier Protection Systems program is implemented, and a detailed schedule for the replacement or refurbishment of expired body armor in the inventory consistent with the PEO Soldier study and as discovered in the above determinations.

#### AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE PLANNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM

The air and missile defense planning and control system is an Army objective force system that provides integration of air and missile defense operations at all echelons. The Committee is aware that funding provided in the base budget for fiscal year 2015 will be used to buy five air defense and air space management cells. The Committee is concerned that the funding requested, which is double the amount provided in fiscal year 2014 and half the amount provided in fiscal year 2013, may be insufficient to support the software upgrades necessary to meet the needs of the air and missile defense theater aviation commands. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to review and stabilize the funding for this program.

#### HIGH MOBILITY MULTIPURPOSE WHEELED VEHICLE MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes that the National Guard has consistently included modernized High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled

Vehicles (HMMWV) among its top funding priorities. The Committee includes \$100,000,000 above the request to modernize the aging National Guard HMMWV fleet to satisfy this requirement. In conducting fleet modernization, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to integrate advanced safety systems, including the application of emerging commercial technologies such as electronic control units and airbag technology, to improve the safety of these vehicles with respect to underbody blast, crash, or rollover events.

#### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$16,442,794,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	13,074,317,000
Committee recommendation .....	14,054,523,000
Change from budget request .....	+980,206,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,054,523,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in this bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
1 EA-18G .....	---	43,547	12	1,018,547	+12	+975,000
3 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER .....	2	610,652	4	865,652	+2	+255,000
6 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (AP-CY) .....	---	28,400	---	28,400	---	---
7 JSF STOVL .....	6	1,209,410	6	1,209,410	---	---
8 JSF STOVL (AP-CY) .....	---	143,885	---	143,885	---	---
9 Y-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) .....	19	1,487,000	19	1,481,100	---	-5,900
10 Y-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY) .....	---	45,920	---	45,920	---	---
11 UH-1Y/AH-1Z .....	26	776,757	26	809,057	---	+30,300
12 UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY) .....	---	80,928	---	83,354	---	+17,572
13 MH-60S (HVP) .....	8	210,100	8	188,440	---	-21,769
15 MH-60R .....	29	633,882	29	670,101	---	+36,219
16 MH-60R (AP-CY) .....	---	106,686	---	106,686	---	---
17 P-8A POSEIDON .....	8	2,003,327	9	2,129,767	+1	+126,440
18 P-8A POSEIDON (AP-CY) .....	---	46,457	---	46,457	---	---
19 E-2D ADV HAWKEYE .....	4	819,870	5	902,271	+1	+82,401
20 E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY) .....	---	225,765	---	198,488	---	-27,277
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT .....		8,789,693		10,080,557		+1,311,862

**KILL PAGE  
INSERT NEW PAGE  
ATTACHED**

Insert 147A

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
1	EA-18G	---	43,547	12	1,018,547	+12 +975,000
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	2	610,652	4	865,652	+2 +255,000
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (AP-CY)	---	29,400	---	29,400	---
7	JSF STOVL	6	1,200,410	6	1,200,410	---
8	JSF STOVL (AP-CY)	---	143,885	---	143,885	---
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	19	1,487,000	19	1,481,100	-5,900
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)	---	45,920	---	45,920	---
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	26	778,757	27	809,057	+1 +30,300
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)	---	80,926	---	63,354	-17,572
13	MH-60S (MYP)	8	210,209	8	188,440	-21,769
15	MH-60R	29	933,882	29	870,101	-63,781
16	MH-60R (AP-CY)	---	106,686	---	106,686	---
17	P-8A POSEIDON	8	2,003,327	9	2,128,787	+1 +125,460
18	P-8A POSEIDON (AP-CY)	---	48,457	---	48,457	---
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	4	819,870	5	902,271	+1 +82,401
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY)	---	225,765	---	178,488	-47,277
-----						
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT			8,768,693		10,080,555	+1,311,862
-----						

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
23 KC-130J .....	1	92,290	1	92,290		---
26 MQ-4 TRITON (AP-CY) .....	---	37,445	---	67,670		+30,225
27 MQ-8 UAV .....	---	40,663	---	39,893		-1,000
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT .....		170,398		199,823		+29,225
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
29 EA-6 SERIES .....	---	10,993	---	10,993		---
30 AEA SYSTEMS .....	---	34,768	---	44,768		+10,000
31 AV-8 SERIES .....	---	65,472	---	57,972		-7,500
32 ADVERSARY .....	---	8,418	---	8,418		---
33 F-18 SERIES .....	---	879,177	---	836,030		-43,147
34 H-46 SERIES .....	---	480	---	480		---
36 H-53 SERIES .....	---	38,159	---	38,619		+1,540
37 SH-60 SERIES .....	---	108,850	---	101,084		-7,766
38 H-1 SERIES .....	---	45,033	---	42,273		-2,760
39 EP-3 SERIES .....	---	32,890	---	32,890		---
40 P-3 SERIES .....	---	2,823	---	2,823		---
41 E-2 SERIES .....	---	21,208	---	21,208		---
42 TRAINER A/C SERIES .....	---	12,608	---	12,608		---
44 C-130 SERIES .....	---	40,378	---	35,522		-4,856
45 FEWSG .....	---	840	---	840		---
46 CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES .....	---	4,635	---	4,035		-600
47 E-6 SERIES .....	---	212,876	---	193,006		-19,870
48 EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES .....	---	71,328	---	68,128		-3,200

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
49 SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT.....	---	21,317	---	21,317	---	---
50 T-45 SERIES.....	---	90,052	---	90,052	---	---
51 POWER PLANT CHANGES.....	---	19,094	---	19,094	---	---
52 JPATS SERIES.....	---	1,085	---	1,085	---	---
54 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT.....	---	155,644	---	144,427	---	-11,217
55 COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES.....	---	157,531	---	157,531	---	---
56 COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM.....	---	1,958	---	1,958	---	---
57 ID SYSTEMS.....	---	38,880	---	38,880	---	---
58 P-8 SERIES.....	---	29,797	---	29,797	---	---
59 NACTF EW FOR AVIATION.....	---	14,770	---	14,770	---	---
60 MQ-8 SERIES.....	---	8,741	---	8,741	---	---
61 RO-7 SERIES.....	---	2,542	---	2,542	---	---
62 V-22 (TILT-ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY.....	---	135,584	---	128,428	---	-7,156
63 F-35 STOVL SERIES.....	---	265,968	---	208,877	---	-76,091
64 F-35 CV SERIES.....	---	20,502	---	20,051	---	-451
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT.....		2,374,201		2,198,027		-176,174
AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
65 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	1,229,651	---	1,064,811	---	-164,840
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
66 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT.....	---	418,355	---	398,488	---	-19,867
67 AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	23,843	---	23,843	---	---
68 WAR CONSUMABLES.....	---	15,039	---	15,039	---	---
69 OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES.....	---	5,630	---	5,630	---	---
70 SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	65,839	---	65,839	---	---
71 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	1,768	---	1,768	---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES.....		531,374		511,507		-19,867
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY.....		13,074,317		14,054,523		+980,206

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
(In thousands of dollars)

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1 EA-18G Program increase - twelve aircraft	43,547	1,010,547 975,000	975,000
5 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER Program increase - two aircraft	610,662	865,652 255,000	255,000
9 V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) ECO growth	1,487,000	1,481,100 -5,900	-5,900
11 UH-1Y/AH-1Z Program increase - one aircraft	778,757	809,057 30,300	30,300
12 UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY) UH-1Y AP cost growth AH-1Z AP cost growth Support funding carryover	80,926	63,354 -14,600 -1,372 -1,600	-17,572
13 MH-60S (MYP) Shutdown funding ahead of need Excess peculiar training equipment	210,209	188,440 -17,000 -4,769	-21,769
15 MH-60R Excess ECO funding Airframe peculiar ground support equipment growth Avionics peculiar ground support equipment growth Pubs/tech data growth Shutdown funding ahead of need Support funding carryover	933,882	870,101 -6,581 -17,000 -28,000 -5,000 -1,600 -5,600	-63,781
17 P-8A POSEIDON GFE cost growth Airframe contract savings Support funding carryover Program increase - one aircraft	2,003,327	2,128,787 -11,040 -13,000 -4,500 154,000	125,460
19 E-2D ADV HAWKEYE MYP contract savings Airframe cost growth GFE electronics cost growth Other GFE cost growth Avionics peculiar ground support equipment cost growth Support funding carryover Program increase - one aircraft	819,870	902,271 -30,000 -26,920 -2,188 -2,241 -15,000 -5,250 167,000	82,401
20 E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY) EQO/long lead cost growth	225,785	178,488 -47,277	-47,277
26 MQ-4 TRITON (AP-CY) Rephase AP	37,445	67,670 30,225	30,225
27 MQ-8 UAV Support funding carryover	40,663	39,663 -1,000	-1,000
30 AEA SYSTEMS Program increase - low band transmitter upgrades	34,768	44,768 10,000	10,000

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>31 AV-8 SERIES</b>	<b>65,472</b>	<b>57,972</b>	<b>-7,500</b>
Litening pod upgrade kit cost growth (OSIP 023-00)		-7,500	
<b>33 F-18 SERIES</b>	<b>679,177</b>	<b>636,030</b>	<b>-43,147</b>
Non-recurring installation equipment growth (OSIP 11-99)		-2,000	
Support equipment and other support funding carryover (OSIP 11-99)		-3,000	
ECP 804 installation cost growth (OSIP 11-99)		-3,104	
Non-recurring installation equipment growth (OSIP 21-00)		-1,200	
ILS growth (OSIP 14-03)		-6,200	
Other support forward funded (OSIP 14-03)		-12,158	
ECP 6038 radome A-kits ahead of need (OSIP 002-07)		-2,384	
Support equipment funding previously appropriated (OSIP 11-10)		-3,501	
Data funding growth (OSIP 018-14)		-6,600	
<b>36 H-53 SERIES</b>	<b>38,159</b>	<b>36,619</b>	<b>-1,540</b>
Kepton wiring installation cost growth (OSIP 008-06)		-1,540	
<b>37 SH-60 SERIES</b>	<b>103,850</b>	<b>101,064</b>	<b>-2,786</b>
Data link A-kit cost growth (OSIP 009-07)		-6,086	
Other support growth (OSIP 009-07)		-1,700	
<b>38 H-1 SERIES</b>	<b>45,033</b>	<b>42,273</b>	<b>-2,760</b>
Full motion video installation cost growth (OSIP 015-12)		-1,000	
Brite star block IIB kit contract savings (OSIP 016-12)		-1,760	
<b>44 C-130 SERIES</b>	<b>40,378</b>	<b>35,522</b>	<b>-4,856</b>
NRE ahead of need (OSIP 020-12)		-1,106	
NRE ahead of need (OSIP 019-14)		-3,750	
<b>46 CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES</b>	<b>4,635</b>	<b>4,035</b>	<b>-600</b>
Installation cost growth (CNS/ATM OSIP)		-600	
<b>47 E-6 SERIES</b>	<b>212,876</b>	<b>193,006</b>	<b>-19,870</b>
Excess support funding (OSIP 003-04)		-3,150	
SLEP installation cost growth (OSIP 003-07)		-3,941	
Communications upgrade kit installation ahead of need (OSIP 012-07)		-4,145	
Block 1 upgrade kit installation ahead of need (OSIP 008-10)		-6,387	
FAB-T lab production concurrency (OSIP 010-12)		-2,247	
<b>48 EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES</b>	<b>71,328</b>	<b>68,128</b>	<b>-3,200</b>
NRE restructure (OSIP 010-12)		-3,200	
<b>54 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>156,644</b>	<b>144,427</b>	<b>-11,217</b>
Testing (OSIP 005-08)		-3,260	
ALQ-214 install equipment cost growth (OSIP 004-12)		-5,957	
Other support growth (OSIP 004-12)		-2,000	
<b>62 V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY</b>	<b>135,584</b>	<b>126,428</b>	<b>-9,156</b>
Installation ahead of need (OSIP 022-01)		-5,804	
Main landing gear fire suppression A-kits ahead of need (OSIP 022-01)		-1,352	



P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
63	<b>F-35 STOVL SERIES</b>	<b>285,968</b>	<b>289,877</b>	<b>-76,091</b>
	Block 3i upgrade kit cost growth (OSIP 015-14)		8,274	
	Concurrency re-pricing (OSIP 023-14)		-67,817	
64	<b>F-35 CV SERIES</b>	<b>20,502</b>	<b>20,051</b>	<b>-451</b>
	Concurrency re-pricing (OSIP 024-14)		-451	
65	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>	<b>1,229,651</b>	<b>1,064,811</b>	<b>-164,840</b>
	JSF STOVL cost growth		-42,886	
	Replenishment spares growth		-122,145	
66	<b>COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>418,355</b>	<b>398,488</b>	<b>-19,867</b>
	TPS transition growth		-1,156	
	KC-130J simulator upgrade cost growth		-2,721	
	T-45 flight trainer upgrades contract delay		-8,397	
	CH-53E trainer upgrades contract delay		-4,101	
	E-2C-2 trainer upgrades contract delay		-3,492	

## MH-60R

The Navy proposes to prematurely terminate the MH-60R helicopter production line in fiscal year 2016, despite the program being bound under a multi-year procurement contract, along with the Army's UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters. The rationale for this termination is due to force structure changes, partially driven by the decision to decrease the number of carrier air wings as a result of the Navy's decision to prematurely decommission the USS George Washington (CVN-73). As discussed elsewhere in this report, the Committee provides the required fiscal year 2015 funding to retain CVN-73, thus obviating that variable as a reason to prematurely terminate the MH-60R procurement program. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to fully fund the remaining MH-60R helicopters as previously planned and fulfill the terms of the joint H-60 multi-year procurement with the Army.

## EA-18G AIRCRAFT

The EA-18G aircraft provides the Navy, and the nation, with an unequalled airborne electronic attack capability. The Navy has recently conducted studies showing that the capability brought by the EA-18G aircraft increases with the addition of two aircraft per carrier based squadron. Due to an increasing electronic threat from the nation's adversaries, the Committee sees great value in a more capable airborne electronic attack presence. Therefore, to begin to increase the size of carrier based airborne electronic attack squadrons, the recommendation provides an additional \$975,000,000 for the procurement of twelve EA-18G aircraft.

## WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$3,009,157,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	3,217,945,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,111,931,000
Change from budget request .....	-106,014,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,111,931,000 for Weapons Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>						
BALLISTIC MISSILES						
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
1 TRIDENT II HOOG	---	1,190,455	---	1,166,948	---	-23,607
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
2 MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	---	5,671	---	5,671	---	---
TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES	---	1,196,126	---	1,172,619	---	-23,507
OTHER MISSILES						
STRATEGIC MISSILES						
3 TOMAHAWK	100	107,258	100	271,958	---	+77,700
TACTICAL MISSILES						
4 AMRAAM	---	32,185	---	---	---	-32,185
5 SIDEWINDER	167	73,928	167	68,246	---	-5,680
6 JSOW	200	130,759	200	108,150	---	-22,600
7 STANDARD MISSILE	110	445,836	110	434,636	---	-11,000
8 RAP	90	80,792	90	80,792	---	---
11 STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION	14	1,810	14	1,810	---	---
12 AERIAL TARGETS	---	48,046	---	45,683	---	-2,363
13 OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	---	3,295	---	3,295	---	---
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
14 ESSM	104	118,434	104	114,434	---	-5,000
15 HARM HOBS	---	111,739	---	108,489	---	-6,250
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
16 WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	---	2,531	---	2,531	---	---
17 FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	---	266,700	---	266,700	---	-2,000
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
18 ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	73,211	---	73,211	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES	---	1,528,504	---	1,518,146	---	-8,358

**KILL PAGE  
INSERT NEW PAGE  
ATTACHED**

insert 154A

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----							
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY							
BALLISTIC MISSILES							
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES							
1	TRIDENT II MODS.....	---	1,190,455	---	1,166,948		-23,507
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES							
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	5,671	---	5,671		---
-----							
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES.....		1,196,126		1,172,619		-23,507
OTHER MISSILES							
STRATEGIC MISSILES							
3	TOMAHAWK.....	100	194,258	196	271,958	+96	+77,700
TACTICAL MISSILES							
4	AMRAAM.....	---	32,165	---	---		-32,165
5	SIDEWINDER.....	167	73,928	167	68,248		-5,680
6	JSOW.....	200	130,759	200	108,159		-22,600
7	STANDARD MISSILE.....	110	445,836	110	434,836		-11,000
8	RAM.....	90	80,792	90	80,792		---
11	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION.....	14	1,810	14	1,810		---
12	AERIAL TARGETS.....	---	48,046	---	45,683		-2,363
13	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT.....	---	3,295	---	3,295		---
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES							
14	ESSM.....	104	119,434	104	114,434		-5,000
15	HARM MODS.....	---	111,739	---	106,489		-5,250
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES							
16	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	2,531	---	2,531		---
17	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON.....	---	208,700	---	206,700		-2,000
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT							
18	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	73,211	---	73,211		---
-----							
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES.....		1,526,504		1,518,146		-8,358

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT						
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
19	SSTD	6,562	5,062			-1,500
20	MK-4B TORPEDO	14,153				-14,153
21	ASM TARGETS	2,515	2,515			
22	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	98,928	58,255			-40,673
MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
23	MK-4B TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	46,663	42,796			-4,067
24	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	6,986	6,986			
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
25	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	52,070	50,070			-2,600
26	ASM RANGE SUPPORT	3,795	3,795			
DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION						
27	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,692	3,692			
TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		236,174	173,151			-63,023
OTHER WEAPONS						
GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
28	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	13,240	13,240			
MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
29	CIWS MODS	75,108	72,258			-2,850
30	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	18,948	13,356			-5,592
31	GUN MOUNT MODS	62,651	59,987			-2,664
33	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	15,008	15,006			
TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS		164,953	173,827			-11,126
35	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	74,188	74,188			
TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		3,217,945	3,111,931			-106,014

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1 TRIDENT II MODS</b>	<b>1,180,455</b>	<b>1,166,948</b>	<b>-23,507</b>
SPALT kit cost growth		-917	
Guidance hardware cost growth		-22,590	
<b>3 TOMAHAWK</b>	<b>194,258</b>	<b>271,968</b>	<b>77,700</b>
Support funding carryover		-3,000	
Canister contract savings		-1,000	
Program increase - 98 missiles		81,700	
<b>4 AMRAAM</b>	<b>32,165</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-32,165</b>
Unjustified request		-32,165	
<b>5 SIDEWINDER</b>	<b>73,928</b>	<b>68,248</b>	<b>-5,680</b>
Block II AUR cost growth		-1,980	
Support funding carryover		-3,700	
<b>6 JSOW</b>	<b>130,759</b>	<b>108,169</b>	<b>-22,600</b>
AUR cost growth		-6,600	
Excess command and launch/test and evaluation funding		-6,000	
Telemeters ahead of need		-10,000	
<b>7 STANDARD MISSILE</b>	<b>445,836</b>	<b>434,836</b>	<b>-11,000</b>
Support funding carryover		-10,000	
Installation, checkout, and training growth		-1,000	
<b>12 AERIAL TARGETS</b>	<b>48,046</b>	<b>45,683</b>	<b>-2,363</b>
MSST long lead ahead of need		-363	
Mission target kit growth		-2,000	
<b>14 ESSM</b>	<b>118,434</b>	<b>114,434</b>	<b>-5,000</b>
Support funding carryover		-5,000	
<b>16 HARM MODS</b>	<b>111,738</b>	<b>106,489</b>	<b>-5,250</b>
AUR kit cost growth		-3,250	
Tooling and test equipment growth		-2,000	
<b>17 FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON</b>	<b>208,700</b>	<b>208,700</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
Support funding carryover		-2,000	
<b>19 SSTO</b>	<b>6,562</b>	<b>5,062</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
Support funding growth		-1,000	
Unfunded outyear tail		-500	
<b>20 MK-48 TORPEDO</b>	<b>14,153</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-14,153</b>
Support funding ahead of need		-14,153	
<b>22 MK-54 TORPEDO MODS</b>	<b>98,928</b>	<b>68,255</b>	<b>-40,673</b>
Excess modification kit installation funding		-5,900	
Unjustified NRE		-2,553	
MK-54 kit contract slip		-28,100	
VLA kit contract slip		-4,120	
<b>23 MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS</b>	<b>46,893</b>	<b>42,796</b>	<b>-4,097</b>
Crossover battery contract slip		-1,097	
Support funding carryover		-3,000	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>25 TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>52,670</b>	<b>50,070</b>	<b>-2,600</b>
Support funding carryover		-2,600	
<b>29 CIWS MODS</b>	<b>75,108</b>	<b>72,258</b>	<b>-2,850</b>
Coast Guard CIWS ahead of need		-2,850	
<b>30 COAST GUARD WEAPONS</b>	<b>18,948</b>	<b>13,356</b>	<b>-5,592</b>
MK-38 Mod 2 gun contract delay		-5,592	
<b>31 GUN MOUNT MODS</b>	<b>62,651</b>	<b>59,967</b>	<b>-2,684</b>
Installation funding ahead of need		-2,684	

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE  
CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$549,316,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	771,945,000
Committee recommendation .....	629,372,000
Change from budget request .....	-142,573,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$629,372,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:





(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS						
MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION						
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION.....	---	31,708	---	31,708	---
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES.....	---	892	---	892	---
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	13,630	---	6,985	-6,645
18	60MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	2,261	---	2,261	---
19	81MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	1,496	---	1,496	---
20	120MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	14,855	---	7,144	-7,711
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES.....	---	4,000	---	3,000	-1,000
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES.....	---	16,853	---	545	-16,308
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES.....	---	14,772	---	12,068	-2,704
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES.....	---	9,972	---	---	-9,972
27	NON LETHALS.....	---	988	---	988	---
28	AMMO MODERNIZATION.....	---	12,319	---	11,319	-1,000
29	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	11,178	---	11,178	---
-----						
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS.....		134,734		89,374	-45,360
-----						
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS.....		771,945		629,372	-142,573
*****						

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1 GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS</b>	<b>107,069</b>	<b>70,700</b>	<b>-36,389</b>
Direct attack moving target capability cost growth		-1,522	
FMU-139 contract delay		-12,000	
Laser guided bomb tailkit contract delay		-16,647	
Product improvement program growth		-4,000	
Support funding carryover		-2,200	
<b>2 AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>70,396</b>	<b>67,416</b>	<b>-2,980</b>
Support funding carryover		-1,000	
APKWS contract savings		-1,980	
<b>5 CARTRIDGES &amp; CART ACTUATED DEVICES</b>	<b>53,866</b>	<b>50,888</b>	<b>-3,000</b>
F-18 DIFRS growth		-1,000	
T-6A growth		-2,000	
<b>7 JATOS</b>	<b>2,766</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2,766</b>
Program delay		-2,766	
<b>10 INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION</b>	<b>36,475</b>	<b>2,133</b>	<b>-34,342</b>
MK-295 57MM contract delay		-24,704	
MK-296 57MM contract delay		-9,638	
<b>11 OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION</b>	<b>43,906</b>	<b>30,116</b>	<b>-13,790</b>
30MM contract delay		-13,790	
<b>12 SMALL ARMS &amp; LANDING PARTY AMMO</b>	<b>51,535</b>	<b>50,535</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
Support funding carryover		-1,000	
<b>14 AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION</b>	<b>4,473</b>	<b>1,507</b>	<b>-2,966</b>
LUU-10 paraflares contract delay		-2,966	
<b>17 40 MM, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>13,830</b>	<b>8,965</b>	<b>-6,665</b>
C1 LAP kits cost growth		-810	
40MM practice day/night LAP kit contract delay		-5,855	
<b>20 120MM, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>14,856</b>	<b>7,144</b>	<b>-7,711</b>
120MM white phosphorous AUR contract delay		-7,711	
<b>22 GRENADES, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
66MM SSVL MK-1 contract delay		-1,000	
<b>23 ROCKETS, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>16,853</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>-16,308</b>
83MM HEA contract delay		-16,308	
<b>24 ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>14,772</b>	<b>12,068</b>	<b>-2,704</b>
HE M795 explosive fill growth		-2,704	
<b>26 FUZE, ALL TYPES</b>	<b>9,972</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-9,972</b>
Precision guided fuze contract delay		-9,972	
<b>28 AMMO MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>12,319</b>	<b>11,319</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
Program growth		-1,000	

## SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$15,231,364,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	14,400,625,000
Committee recommendation .....	14,256,361,000
Change from budget request .....	- 144,264,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,256,361,000 for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
<b>SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY</b>						
OTHER WARSHIPS						
1		1,300,000		1,289,425		-10,575
2		3,553,254	2	3,507,175		-46,079
3		2,330,325		2,301,825		-28,500
				491,100		-491,100
6		419,532		419,532		---
7		2,671,416	2	2,665,785		-15,630
8		134,039		134,039		---
9		1,427,049	3	961,368	-1	-475,683
		11,835,614		11,750,247		-85,367
AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS						
10		12,565		12,565		---
14		29,093		29,093		---
15		4,590		---		-4,590
		46,248		41,658		-4,590
AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS						
16		737,268	1	737,268		---
17		64,388		64,388		---
18		546,104		491,797		-54,307
19		123,233	2	123,233		---
20		40,485	2	40,485		---
21		1,007,285		1,007,285		---
		2,518,763		2,464,458		-54,307
		14,400,825		14,256,381		-144,284

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
(In thousands of dollars)

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM</b>	<b>1,300,000</b>	<b>1,289,425</b>	<b>-10,575</b>
CANES cost growth		-2,051	
CANES system engineering growth		-1,543	
Digital modular radio cost growth		-1,092	
IFF cost growth		-1,573	
SPN-46 cost growth		-1,473	
Technical engineering services growth		-2,843	
<b>2 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE</b>	<b>3,653,264</b>	<b>3,507,175</b>	<b>-48,079</b>
Propulsion equipment cost growth		-42,700	
GFE savings		-3,379	
<b>3 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)</b>	<b>2,330,325</b>	<b>2,301,825</b>	<b>-28,500</b>
Propulsion equipment cost growth		-28,500	
<b>5 CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>491,100</b>	<b>491,100</b>
Program increase - restore CVN-73 refueling		491,100	
<b>7 DDG-51</b>	<b>2,671,416</b>	<b>2,656,785</b>	<b>-15,630</b>
Main reduction gear contract savings		-6,122	
Tomahawk weapons system other cost growth		-1,720	
SQQ-89 hardware excess to need		-7,788	
<b>9 LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP</b>	<b>1,427,049</b>	<b>951,355</b>	<b>-475,693</b>
Reduce one ship		-475,693	
<b>15 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL</b>	<b>4,590</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-4,590</b>
Program closeout ahead of need		-4,590	
<b>18 OUTFITTING</b>	<b>546,104</b>	<b>491,797</b>	<b>-54,307</b>
LPD-26 outfitting phasing		-6,950	
AFSB-2 outfitting phasing		-4,318	
DDG-1001 outfitting phasing		-10,770	
SSN-787 outfitting phasing		-6,000	
SSN-788 outfitting phasing		-1,800	
LCAC-78 and 83 post delivery ahead of need		-411	
AFSB-1 post delivery ahead of need		-2,911	
LCS-7 and 8 post delivery phasing		-10,662	
SSN-786 post delivery ahead of need		-7,839	
SSN-787 post delivery ahead of need		-848	

## CRUISER MODERNIZATION

The Committee is pleased that the budget request did not propose to retire seven Ticonderoga Class Guided Missile Cruisers as had been proposed for the last two years. These cruisers have many years of additional service life remaining and with the Navy struggling to reach and maintain its requisite fleet size of 306 ships, retiring these valuable assets early does not make sense. However, the Committee was disappointed that the most recent Navy proposal included a plan to lay-up eleven of these ships for an average of nine years, starting in fiscal year 2015. The Committee is concerned that this long term lay-up will lead to decommissioning some or all of these cruisers in the near future. While the Committee understands the rationale for the proposal, there is likely a more reasonable middle ground between continuing to operate the ships and decommissioning the ships. Therefore, the Committee agrees with a lay-up and modernization plan for the cruisers, with certain modifications. Instead of inducting all eleven cruisers at one time, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to induct no more than two cruisers per year into the phased modernization period, beginning with two cruisers being inducted in fiscal year 2016. Further, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to allow no more than six cruisers in lay-up at any given time. Finally, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to ensure that the duration of the lay-up period be no longer than four years and that modernization equipment be ordered and placed on contract in the year prior to the ship entering its modernization period. The Committee believes this approach will maximize the use of the Navy's cruiser fleet while ensuring it is modernized and relevant for the duration of its service life. The Committee recognizes this approach comes with a cost and therefore adds \$540,000,000 to the Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund, as outlined in title VIII of this bill.

## AIRCRAFT CARRIER REFUELING

The Navy includes no funding in the fiscal year 2015 budget request for the refueling of the aircraft carrier USS George Washington (CVN-73). While the Secretary of the Navy explained this as a cost saving measure and a deferral of the actual refueling decision, the Committee believes it to be a shortsighted position since the Congress has already appropriated in excess of \$500,000,000 towards the effort. The George Washington will have half of its service life remaining after being refueled. Nearly \$3,000,000,000 was invested for the construction of the George Washington in 1983, and the Committee believes that walking away from the George Washington halfway through its service life is shortsighted. The Committee is also concerned with the Navy's lack of budgetary discipline, sending a fiscally broken program to Congress for the second consecutive year. Last year, the Secretary of the Navy did not fully fund the procurement of the two submarines requested, forcing Congress to provide nearly \$1,000,000,000 to fully fund the program. Again this year, the Committee must provide \$789,300,000 to maintain the CVN-73 refueling schedule, despite the Navy's claim it can simply defer the decision to fiscal year

2016. Therefore, the Committee provides \$789,300,000 to maintain the CVN-73 refueling schedule, and directs the Secretary of the Navy to fully fund the remaining cost of the CVN-73 refueling in fiscal year 2016.

#### LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP

The Committee is extremely concerned with statements made by the Secretary of Defense regarding the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS). While presenting the fiscal year 2015 budget, he expressed concerns as to whether LCS has the independent protection and firepower to operate and survive against a more advanced military adversary and emerging new technologies, especially in the Asia-Pacific region. The Secretary further stated that, in light of continued fiscal restraints, the Department of Defense must direct future shipbuilding resources toward platforms that can operate in every region along the full spectrum of conflict. The Secretary subsequently directed that the Navy not engage in new LCS contract negotiations beyond 32 ships and that it submit alternative proposals to procure a capable and lethal small surface combatant, generally consistent with the capabilities of a frigate. Within these alternative proposals, the Navy should consider a completely new design, existing ship designs, and a modified LCS design. Under the current plan, the Navy would purchase the 32nd LCS in fiscal year 2018, a full four years after the very strong, worrisome statements made by the Secretary of Defense. The Committee believes that if the current LCS is not the correct small surface combatant of the future, the Navy should correct its course sooner rather than later and begin purchasing the correct ship well before fiscal year 2019. The Committee was surprised that the Secretary of Defense allowed so much time to pass before ensuring the correct small surface combatant begins construction. Therefore, the recommendation removes \$476,000,000 and one ship from the request to minimize the number of ships being procured prior to the completion of the Navy's review while maintaining the industrial base. Additionally, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to include the results of the small surface combatant study into the shipbuilding plan in time to influence the procurement of small surface combatant ships in fiscal year 2016.

#### OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$5,572,618,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	5,975,828,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,923,379,000
Change from budget request .....	- 52,449,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,923,379,000 for Other Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT						
1		7,822		7,822		
2		2,155		2,155		
3		22,704		19,278		-3,426
GENERATORS						
4		29,120		26,864		-2,456
NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT						
3		45,431		44,311		-1,120
PERISCOPES						
6		60,970		57,221		-3,749
OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT						
7		338,589		324,219		-14,350
8		15,486		15,488		2
9		2,219		2,219		
10		17,928		14,048		-3,880
11		22,025		22,025		
12		12,607		10,146		-2,461
13		16,492		11,815		-4,677
14		74,129		70,889		-3,440
15		38,208		25,742		-12,466
16		37,352		36,352		-1,000
17		48,086		44,602		-4,533
18		2,988				-2,988
19		11,688		11,688		
20		5,518		5,518		
22		7,158		7,158		
23		58,783		52,708		-6,075
24		68,748		67,772		-976
25		2,937		2,937		
26		8,385		8,385		
REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT						
27				288,200		+288,200
28		288,822		288,822		

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OCEAN ENGINEERING						
29 DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT.....	---	10,572	---	10,572	---	---
SMALL BOATS						
30 STANDARD BOATS.....	---	128,784	---	128,445	---	-3,339
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
31 OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	17,152	---	17,152	---	---
PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT						
32 OPERATING FORCES IPE.....	---	39,409	---	39,409	---	---
OTHER SHIP SUPPORT						
33 NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS.....	---	118,129	---	118,129	---	---
34 LCS MODULES.....	---	37,413	---	31,317	---	-6,096
35 LCS MCM MISSION MODULES.....	---	15,270	---	15,270	---	---
36 LCS ASW MISSION MODULES.....	---	2,729	---	---	---	-2,729
37 LCS SSM MISSION MODULES.....	---	44,208	---	35,302	---	-8,906
38 REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS).....	---	42,276	---	42,276	---	---
LOGISTICS SUPPORT						
TOTAL SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,702,157	---	1,908,884	---	+206,527
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
SHIP SONARS						
40 SPQ-9B RADAR.....	---	28,007	---	26,735	---	-1,272
41 AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM.....	---	79,802	---	78,802	---	-1,000
42 SSM ACOUSTICS.....	---	185,655	---	180,932	---	-4,723
43 UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	9,487	---	4,683	---	-4,804
44 SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS.....	---	11,621	---	11,621	---	---
ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
46 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM.....	---	24,221	---	22,721	---	-1,500
47 SSTO.....	---	12,051	---	12,051	---	---
48 FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM.....	---	170,831	---	170,831	---	---
49 SURTASS.....	---	9,619	---	9,619	---	---
50 TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER.....	---	14,380	---	14,380	---	---
ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT						
51 AN/SLQ-32.....	---	214,582	---	195,002	---	-19,580
RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT						
52 SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT.....	---	124,862	---	123,362	---	-1,500
53 AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS).....	---	164	---	164	---	---
SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT						
54 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG.....	---	45,352	---	36,838	---	-8,424

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
55 COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY.....	---	33,939	---	33,939	---	---
54 TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS).....	---	324	---	324	---	---
57 NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS).....	---	18,192	---	18,192	---	---
58 ATOLS.....	---	16,768	---	16,768	---	---
59 NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS).....	---	5,219	---	5,219	---	---
60 MINE SWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT.....	---	42,106	---	40,499	---	-1,609
62 NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE).....	---	15,232	---	15,232	---	---
63 ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV.....	---	4,524	---	4,524	---	---
64 STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP.....	---	6,382	---	6,382	---	---
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
65 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	46,122	---	44,058	---	-2,064
AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
66 NATCALS.....	---	16,999	---	16,999	---	---
67 SKIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	---	9,366	---	9,366	---	---
68 AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM.....	---	21,357	---	21,357	---	---
69 NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM.....	---	26,639	---	26,639	---	---
70 AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	9,214	---	9,214	---	---
71 MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM.....	---	13,902	---	13,902	---	---
72 ID SYSTEMS.....	---	34,901	---	28,543	---	-6,358
73 TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS).....	---	13,950	---	13,950	---	---
OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
74 DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT.....	---	1,205	---	1,205	---	---
75 TADIX-B.....	---	3,447	---	3,447	---	---
76 GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE.....	---	16,786	---	16,786	---	---
77 DCGS-N.....	---	23,649	---	23,649	---	---
78 CANES.....	---	357,589	---	357,589	---	---
79 RADJAC.....	---	8,343	---	5,163	---	-3,190
80 CANES-INTFELL.....	---	65,015	---	65,015	---	---
81 OPETE.....	---	6,284	---	6,284	---	---
82 INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY.....	---	4,016	---	4,016	---	---
83 EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION.....	---	4,113	---	4,113	---	---
84 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	45,053	---	59,366	---	+13,312

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS						
85 SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS.....	---	14,410	---	14,410	---	---
86 SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION.....	---	20,830	---	20,830	---	---
88 COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M.....	---	14,145	---	14,145	---	---
SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS						
89 SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT.....	---	11,057	---	11,057	---	---
90 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT.....	---	67,852	---	64,954	---	-2,898
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
91 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	---	13,216	---	11,453	---	-1,763
92 NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT).....	---	272,070	---	253,417	---	-38,653
SHORE COMMUNICATIONS						
93 JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,389	---	4,389	---	---
94 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS.....	---	1,402	---	1,402	---	---
CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT						
95 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP).....	---	110,786	---	109,266	---	-1,520
96 NIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM.....	---	979	---	979	---	---
CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT						
97 CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP.....	---	11,502	---	11,502	---	---
OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT						
98 COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,967	---	2,967	---	---
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,326,845	---	2,239,291	---	-87,554
AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SONOBUOYS						
100 SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES.....	---	182,946	---	182,946	---	---
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
101 WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	47,944	---	47,944	---	---
103 AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT.....	---	76,683	---	55,738	---	-20,945
106 METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	12,575	---	12,575	---	---
107 OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,415	---	1,415	---	---
109 AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	23,152	---	23,152	---	---
114 AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	52,566	---	45,705	---	-6,861
TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	397,270	---	369,475	---	-27,795

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT						
115 SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,572	---	5,572	---	---
SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT						
118 SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	185,769	---	143,570	---	-22,199
123 TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	61,462	---	60,062	---	-1,400
FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
126 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP.....	---	229,832	---	201,832	---	-28,000
ASM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
127 ASM COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS.....	---	66,020	---	60,804	---	-5,216
128 ASM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	7,559	---	7,559	---	---
OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
132 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP.....	---	20,619	---	20,619	---	---
133 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	11,251	---	10,759	---	-492
OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE						
137 TRAINING DEVICE RODS.....	---	84,080	---	70,672	---	-13,408
-----						
TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		852,164		581,449		-70,715
CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES.....						
138 PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES.....	---	2,282	---	2,282	---	---
GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS.....						
139 GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS.....	---	547	---	547	---	---
CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP.....						
140 CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP.....	---	8,949	---	6,187	---	-2,762
FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT.....						
141 FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT.....	---	14,021	---	14,021	---	---
TACTICAL VEHICLES.....						
142 TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	---	957	---	957	---	---
AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT.....						
143 AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT.....	---	8,187	---	8,187	---	---
POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT.....						
144 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,942	---	2,942	---	---
145 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION.....	---	17,592	---	16,142	---	-1,450
146 PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES.....	---	1,177	---	1,177	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		57,254		53,042		-4,212
SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT.....						
147 MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT.....	---	10,937	---	10,937	---	---
OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....						
148 OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	10,374	---	6,674	---	-3,700
149 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	5,668	---	5,668	---	---
150 SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS.....	---	90,921	---	39,921	---	-51,000
-----						
TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		117,900		63,200		-54,700

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
TRAINING DEVICES						
151 TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	22,046	---	22,046	---	---
COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
152 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	24,208	---	24,208	---	---
153 EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	874	---	874	---	---
154 MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,634	---	2,634	---	---
156 NAVAL AIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	3,573	---	3,573	---	---
157 OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	3,997	---	3,997	---	---
158 CAISR EQUIPMENT.....	---	9,836	---	9,638	---	---
159 ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	21,001	---	21,001	---	---
160 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	94,957	---	90,957	---	-4,000
161 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	---	87,214	---	87,214	---	---
164 NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE.....	---	118,165	---	106,165	---	-10,000
-----						
TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		386,307		372,307		-14,000
165 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	329,084	---	329,084	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	10,847	---	10,847	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY.....		5,975,828		5,823,379		-152,449
		*****		*****		*****

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>3 HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE</b>	<b>22,704</b>	<b>19,278</b>	<b>-3,426</b>
Excess installation funding		-1,926	
Modification funding ahead of need		-1,500	
<b>4 SURFACE COMBATANT HM&amp;E</b>	<b>29,120</b>	<b>26,664</b>	<b>-2,456</b>
Excess installation funding		-2,456	
<b>3 OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>45,431</b>	<b>44,311</b>	<b>-1,120</b>
AN/WSN-9 procurement ahead of need		-1,120	
<b>6 SUB PERISCOPES &amp; IMAGING EQUIP</b>	<b>60,970</b>	<b>67,221</b>	<b>-3,749</b>
Interim contractor support carryover		-3,100	
Excess installation funding		-649	
<b>7 DDG MOD</b>	<b>338,569</b>	<b>324,219</b>	<b>-14,350</b>
GEDMS engineering services funding carryover		-1,272	
Excess GEDMS DSA funding		-900	
MCS/DCS engineering services funding carryover		-7,500	
Excess MCS/DCS DSA funding		-2,091	
Excess CEC installation funding		-2,587	
<b>10 LHA/LHD MIDLIFE</b>	<b>17,928</b>	<b>14,048</b>	<b>-3,880</b>
Excess power management platform DSA funding		-2,480	
Excess HVAC DSA funding		-1,400	
<b>12 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>12,807</b>	<b>10,148</b>	<b>-2,461</b>
R-114 procurement ahead of need		-2,461	
<b>13 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>16,492</b>	<b>11,816</b>	<b>-4,677</b>
SSN-21 HM&E modernization growth		-4,677	
<b>14 VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>74,129</b>	<b>70,689</b>	<b>-3,440</b>
TI-02 installation cost growth		-3,440	
<b>15 LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>36,206</b>	<b>26,742</b>	<b>-10,464</b>
Main propulsion diesel battle spare ahead of need		-5,200	
Waterjet contract delay		-3,866	
Water jet cost growth		-1,398	
<b>16 SUBMARINE BATTERIES</b>	<b>37,352</b>	<b>36,352</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
Support growth		-1,000	
<b>17 LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>49,095</b>	<b>44,662</b>	<b>-4,533</b>
HM&E mechanical modifications ahead of need		-2,778	
SWAN CANES procurement ahead of need		-1,755	
<b>18 DDG-1000 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>2,996</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2,996</b>
HM&E improvement ahead of need		-2,996	
<b>23 UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS</b>	<b>58,783</b>	<b>62,708</b>	<b>-4,075</b>
Product improvement growth		-1,000	
MK-18 UUV retrofit kits and ancillary equipment contract delay		-5,075	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>24 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION</b>	<b>88,748</b>	<b>82,772</b>	<b>-5,976</b>
Machinery plant upgrade installation cost growth		-5,976	
<b>27 REACTOR POWER UNITS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>298,200</b>	<b>298,200</b>
Program increase - restore CVN-73 refueling		298,200	
<b>30 STANDARD BOATS</b>	<b>129,784</b>	<b>126,445</b>	<b>-3,339</b>
Medium workboat contract delay		-1,776	
Large force protection boat contract delay		-791	
7M RIB contract delay		-772	
<b>34 LCS MODULES</b>	<b>37,413</b>	<b>31,317</b>	<b>-6,096</b>
MPCE cost growth		-1,026	
MK-50 gun training system growth		-2,500	
SWW support and shipping container cost growth		-2,570	
<b>36 LCS ASW MISSION MODULES</b>	<b>2,729</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2,729</b>
ASW containers ahead of need		-2,729	
<b>37 LCS SWW MISSION MODULES</b>	<b>44,208</b>	<b>36,302</b>	<b>-8,006</b>
Gun module cost growth		-8,108	
Maritime security module cost growth		-2,798	
<b>40 SPQ-9B RADAR</b>	<b>26,007</b>	<b>26,736</b>	<b>-1,272</b>
Periscope detection and discrimination kit cost growth		-1,272	
<b>41 AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM</b>	<b>79,802</b>	<b>78,802</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
CSSQT growth		-1,000	
<b>42 SBN ACOUSTICS</b>	<b>165,656</b>	<b>160,832</b>	<b>-4,724</b>
Virginia class hull sensor growth		-1,123	
TB-34X contract delay		-3,600	
<b>43 UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>9,487</b>	<b>4,663</b>	<b>-4,824</b>
Sonar window contract delay		-4,824	
<b>46 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM</b>	<b>24,221</b>	<b>22,721</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
CSA conversion growth		-1,500	
<b>51 AN/SLQ-32</b>	<b>214,682</b>	<b>195,002</b>	<b>-19,680</b>
Block 3T installation funding ahead of need		-8,450	
Block 2 electronic support system cost growth		-8,328	
Support funding carryover		-2,802	
<b>52 SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT</b>	<b>124,862</b>	<b>123,362</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
Support funding carryover		-1,500	
<b>54 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG</b>	<b>45,362</b>	<b>36,838</b>	<b>-8,424</b>
BPS-15/18 support		-1,137	
iCADF installation cost growth		-304	
BLQ-10 procurement ahead of need		-6,983	
<b>60 MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT</b>	<b>42,108</b>	<b>40,499</b>	<b>-1,609</b>
Aft deck equipment upgrade growth		-1,000	
AN/SQQ-32 integration cost growth		-609	
<b>65 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>46,122</b>	<b>44,058</b>	<b>-2,064</b>
BFTT installation kit cost growth		-2,064	



P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>79 ID SYSTEMS</b>	<b>34,901</b>	<b>28,643</b>	<b>-8,358</b>
MK-XII mode-5 procurement ahead of need		-2,135	
MACAN upgrade procurement ahead of need		-1,323	
Support funding carryover		-2,900	
<b>79 RADIAC</b>	<b>8,343</b>	<b>5,153</b>	<b>-3,190</b>
DT-702 dosimeter card contract delay		-1,328	
APD contract delay		-1,862	
<b>84 ITEMS LESS THAN \$1 MILLION</b>	<b>45,953</b>	<b>58,285</b>	<b>13,312</b>
SPS-73 tech refresh kit cost growth		5,588	
Excess ROAR installation kit funding		-1,700	
Support funding carryover		-1,100	
Program increase - three ROAR upgrade kits		21,700	
<b>90 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>67,852</b>	<b>64,954</b>	<b>-2,898</b>
HDR antenna cost growth		-1,974	
Seawolf CSRR installation cost growth		-434	
Los Angeles CSRR modification cost growth		-490	
<b>91 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS</b>	<b>13,278</b>	<b>11,483</b>	<b>-1,795</b>
Commercial broadband modifications ahead of need		-1,765	
<b>92 NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL</b>	<b>272,076</b>	<b>233,417</b>	<b>-38,659</b>
Ship terminal procurement ahead of need		-26,400	
Terminal cost growth		-10,259	
<b>95 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM</b>	<b>110,788</b>	<b>109,266</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
COMSEC installation cost growth		-1,264	
Support funding carryover		-1,500	
<b>103 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>70,683</b>	<b>55,738</b>	<b>-20,945</b>
Lighting ECP growth		-1,000	
ADMACS installation ahead of need		-6,530	
SRU(KU)-4 installation funding carryover		-1,598	
SRU(KU)-4 procurement ahead of need		-8,819	
<b>114 AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>52,656</b>	<b>45,705</b>	<b>-6,850</b>
Aviation data warehouse environment cost growth		-2,385	
Fleet systems array cost growth		-1,805	
JHMCS cost growth		-2,160	
ALIS production engineering growth		-500	
<b>118 SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>165,769</b>	<b>143,579</b>	<b>-22,199</b>
Configuration engineering growth		-2,000	
Support funding growth		-2,202	
Surface combat systems center growth		-7,000	
SSDS conversion kit cost growth		-6,207	
SSDS conversion kit installation cost growth		-2,917	
SSDS conversion kit DSA ahead of need		-1,873	
<b>123 TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>61,462</b>	<b>60,062</b>	<b>-1,400</b>
Support funding carryover		-1,400	
<b>126 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP</b>	<b>229,832</b>	<b>201,832</b>	<b>-28,000</b>
SSI increment 13 refresh/redesign (launcher) growth		-3,000	
SSI increment 8 (navigation) engineering and test phasing		-25,000	

Insert 175A

FILE THIS  
INSERT NEW PAGE  
ATTACHED

<b>P-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>72 ID SYSTEMS</b>	<b>34,901</b>	<b>28,543</b>	<b>-6,358</b>
MK-XII mode-5 procurement ahead of need		-2,135	
TACAN upgrade procurement ahead of need		-1,323	
Support funding carryover		-2,900	
<b>79 RADIAC</b>	<b>8,343</b>	<b>5,153</b>	<b>-3,190</b>
DT-702 dosimeter card contract delay		-1,328	
APD contract delay		-1,862	
<b>84 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION</b>	<b>45,053</b>	<b>58,365</b>	<b>13,312</b>
SPS-73 tech refresh kit cost growth		-5,588	
Excess ROAR installation kit funding		-1,700	
Support funding carryover		-1,100	
Program increase - three ROAR upgrade kits		21,700	
<b>90 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>67,852</b>	<b>64,954</b>	<b>-2,898</b>
HDR antenna cost growth		-1,974	
Seawolf CSRR installation cost growth		-434	
Los Angeles CSRR modification cost growth		-490	
<b>91 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS</b>	<b>13,218</b>	<b>11,453</b>	<b>-1,765</b>
Commercial broadband modifications ahead of need		-1,765	
<b>92 NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL</b>	<b>272,076</b>	<b>233,417</b>	<b>-38,659</b>
Ship terminal procurement ahead of need		-28,400	
Terminal cost growth		-10,259	
<b>95 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM</b>	<b>110,766</b>	<b>109,266</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
Support funding carryover		-1,500	
<b>103 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>76,683</b>	<b>55,738</b>	<b>-20,945</b>
Lighting ECP growth		-1,000	
ADMACS installation ahead of need		-9,530	
SRU(KU)-4 installation funding carryover		-1,596	
SRU(KU)-4 procurement ahead of need		-8,819	
<b>114 AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>52,555</b>	<b>45,705</b>	<b>-6,850</b>
Aviation data warehouse environment cost growth		-2,385	
Fleet systems array cost growth		-1,805	
JHMCS cost growth		-2,160	
ALIS production engineering growth		-500	
<b>118 SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>165,769</b>	<b>143,570</b>	<b>-22,199</b>
Configuration engineering growth		-2,000	
Support funding growth		-2,202	
Surface combat systems center growth		-7,000	
SSDS conversion kit cost growth		-6,207	
SSDS conversion kit installation cost growth		-2,917	
SSDS conversion kit DSA ahead of need		-1,873	
<b>123 TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>61,462</b>	<b>60,062</b>	<b>-1,400</b>
Support funding carryover		-1,400	
<b>126 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP</b>	<b>229,832</b>	<b>201,832</b>	<b>-28,000</b>
SSI increment 13 refresh/redesign (launcher) growth		-3,000	
SSI increment 8 (navigation) engineering and test phasing		-25,000	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>127 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS</b>	<b>66,020</b>	<b>60,804</b>	<b>-5,216</b>
688 TI04 installation cost growth		-5,216	
<b>133 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION</b>	<b>11,251</b>	<b>10,759</b>	<b>-492</b>
Industrial facilities contract delay		-492	
<b>135 TRAINING DEVICE MODS</b>	<b>84,080</b>	<b>70,672</b>	<b>-13,408</b>
Surface minor modifications growth		-4,000	
CIAT growth		-4,500	
Submarine training device cost growth		-4,908	
<b>140 CONSTRUCTION &amp; MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>8,949</b>	<b>6,187</b>	<b>-2,762</b>
Crane previously appropriated		-2,762	
<b>145 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION</b>	<b>17,692</b>	<b>16,142</b>	<b>-1,450</b>
Emergency response truck cost growth		-1,450	
<b>148 OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>10,374</b>	<b>6,874</b>	<b>-3,700</b>
Navy cash program growth		-3,700	
<b>150 SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS</b>	<b>90,821</b>	<b>39,821</b>	<b>-51,000</b>
Classified adjustment		-51,000	
<b>160 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>94,967</b>	<b>90,967</b>	<b>-4,000</b>
Automated gates growth		-4,000	
<b>184 NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE</b>	<b>116,165</b>	<b>106,165</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
NGEN tech refresh growth		-10,000	

## PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,240,958,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	983,352,000
Committee recommendation .....	927,232,000
Change from budget request .....	-56,120,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$927,232,000 for Procurement, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROCUREMENT MARINE CORPS						
WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES						
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
1	AAV7A1 PIP.....	18,756	---	15,356	---	-1,400
2	LAV PIP.....	77,738	---	88,736	---	-11,000
ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS						
3	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	5,742	---	642	---	-5,100
4	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER.....	4,532	---	4,532	---	---
5	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM.....	19,474	---	19,474	---	---
6	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION.....	7,250	---	7,250	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
7	MODIFICATION KITS.....	21,909	---	20,809	---	-1,100
8	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM.....	3,208	---	3,208	---	---
TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES.....		158,607	---	138,007	---	-18,600
GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT						
GUIDED MISSILES						
9	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE.....	31,439	---	30,339	---	-1,100
10	JAVELIN.....	343	---	343	---	---
11	FOLLOW ON TO SHAW.....	4,985	---	4,985	---	---
12	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H).....	1,589	---	1,589	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
13	MODIFICATION KITS.....	5,134	---	5,134	---	---
TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT.....		43,500	---	42,400	---	-1,100

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS						
14	---	9,178	---	9,178	---	---
COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER.....						
15	---	12,272	---	12,272	---	---
COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS.....						
REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT						
16	---	30,581	---	27,581	---	-3,000
REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT.....						
OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)						
17	---	2,385	---	2,385	---	---
COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....						
COMMAND AND CONTROL						
19	---	4,205	---	4,205	---	---
ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC).....						
20	---	8,002	---	8,002	---	---
AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS.....						
RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
21	---	19,595	---	14,825	---	-4,670
RADAR SYSTEMS.....						
22	2	89,230	2	61,730	---	-27,500
GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR.....						
23	3	70,565	3	66,065	---	-4,500
RQ-21 UAS.....						
INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
24	---	11,860	---	11,860	---	---
FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....						
25	---	44,340	---	42,550	---	-1,790
INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....						
26	---	2,737	---	2,737	---	---
RQ-11 UAV.....						
30	---	20,620	---	20,820	---	200
DCGS-MC.....						
OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
31	---	9,798	---	7,338	---	-2,460
NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT.....						
32	---	2,073	---	2,073	---	---
NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEM).....						
OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)						
33	---	33,570	---	33,570	---	---
COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES.....						
34	---	36,186	---	36,186	---	---
COMMAND POST SYSTEMS.....						
35	---	84,484	---	84,494	---	10
RADIO SYSTEMS.....						
36	---	72,956	---	83,958	---	10,999
COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS.....						
37	---	43,317	---	37,817	---	-5,500
COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT.....						
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....						
	---	2,498	---	2,498	---	---
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.....						
		592,472		568,052		-24,420

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SUPPORT VEHICLES						
ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES						
38	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES.....	---	332	---	332	---
39	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES.....	---	11,035	---	11,035	---
TACTICAL VEHICLES						
40	5/4T TRUCK HMMV (MYP).....	---	57,255	---	57,255	---
41	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS.....	---	938	---	938	---
44	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE.....	7	7,500	7	7,500	---
45	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS.....	---	10,179	---	10,179	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
46	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	11,023	---	11,023	---
TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES.....			98,262		98,262	---
ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
47	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT.....	---	994	---	994	---
48	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,258	---	1,258	---
49	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS.....	---	3,750	---	3,750	---
50	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED.....	---	8,985	---	8,985	---
51	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,418	---	4,418	---
52	EOB SYSTEMS.....	---	6,528	---	6,528	---
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
53	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	26,510	---	26,510	---
54	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP.....	---	1,910	---	1,910	---
55	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP.....	---	8,807	---	8,807	---
56	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	128	---	128	---
GENERAL PROPERTY						
58	TRAINING DEVICES.....	---	3,412	---	3,412	---
59	CONTAINER FAMILY.....	---	1,662	---	1,662	---
60	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.....	---	3,669	---	3,669	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
62	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	4,272	---	4,272	---
TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT.....			76,301		76,301	---
63	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	16,210	---	16,210	---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS.....			983,352		927,232	-56,120

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 (In thousands of dollars)

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	AAV7A1 PIP Production engineering support - excess growth	16,756	15,356 -1,400	-1,400
2	LAV PIP Reduce by six vehicle modifications	77,736	66,736 -11,000	-11,000
3	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM Unjustified program growth	5,742	642 -5,100	-5,100
7	MODIFICATION KITS Program support - excess growth	21,909	20,809 -1,100	-1,100
9	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE SLEP contract delay and unit cost increase	31,439	30,339 -1,100	-1,100
16	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT Carryover of prior year funds	30,591	27,591 -3,000	-3,000
21	RADAR SYSTEMS Sustainment - unjustified growth	19,595	14,925 -4,670	-4,670
22	GROUND /AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR Lot 2 systems engineering - excess growth	89,230	81,730 -7,500	-7,500
23	RQ-21 UAS Product engineering support - excess growth	70,565	68,065 -2,500	-2,500
25	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT Unit cost increase Unit cost increase	44,340	42,550 -830 -960	-1,790
31	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT Carryover of prior year funds	9,798	7,338 -2,460	-2,460
36	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS Unjustified program growth	72,956	63,956 -9,000	-9,000
37	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT Installation costs - excess growth	43,317	37,817 -5,500	-5,500



## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$10,379,180,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	11,542,571,000
Committee recommendation .....	12,046,941,000
Change from budget request .....	+504,370,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,046,941,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE							
COMBAT AIRCRAFT							
TACTICAL FORCES							
1	F-35	28	3,553,046	28	3,777,046	+2	+224,000
2	F-35 (APTCY)	---	291,880	---	291,880	---	---
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT			3,844,926		4,068,926		+224,000
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT							
OTHER AIRLIFT							
3	KC-46A TANKER	7	1,582,885	7	1,582,885	---	---
4	C-130J	7	482,396	7	482,396	---	---
5	C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	---	140,000	---	140,000	---	---
6	MC-130J	4	332,024	4	332,024	---	---
7	MC-130J	---	50,000	---	50,000	---	---
8	MC-130J	2	190,071	2	190,071	---	---
9	MC-130J	---	80,000	---	80,000	---	---
TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT			2,658,076		2,658,076		---
OTHER AIRCRAFT							
HELICOPTERS							
	CV-22 OSPREY	---	---	---	15,000	---	+15,000
MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT							
12	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	6	3,562	6	10,400	---	+7,838
OTHER AIRCRAFT							
13	TARGET DRONES	31	98,576	37	98,576	---	---
17	AC-130J	---	1	---	1	---	---
16	RQ-4 UAV	---	54,475	---	54,475	---	---
18	HQ-9	12	240,116	20	373,218	+8	+133,000
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT			395,832		551,670		+155,838

READ THE  
INSERT NEW PAGE  
ATTACHED

insert 183A

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
TACTICAL FORCES						
1	F-35.....	26	3,553,046	28	3,777,046	+2 +224,000
2	F-35 (AP-CY).....	---	291,880	---	291,880	---
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT.....			3,844,926		4,068,926	+224,000
-----						
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
OTHER AIRLIFT						
3	KC-46A TANKER.....	7	1,582,685	7	1,582,685	---
4	C-130J.....	7	482,396	7	482,396	---
5	C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY).....	---	140,000	---	140,000	---
6	HC-130J.....	4	332,024	4	332,024	---
7	HC-130J.....	---	50,000	---	50,000	---
8	MC-130J.....	2	190,971	2	190,971	---
9	MC-130J.....	---	80,000	---	80,000	---
TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT.....			2,858,076		2,858,076	---
-----						
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
HELICOPTERS						
	CV-22 OSPREY.....	---	---	---	15,000	+15,000
MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT						
12	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C.....	6	2,562	6	10,400	+7,838
-----						
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
13	TARGET DRONES.....	37	98,576	37	98,576	---
17	AC-130J.....	---	1	---	1	---
16	RQ-4 UAV.....	---	54,475	---	54,475	---
18	MQ-9.....	12	240,218	24	373,218	+12 +133,000
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT.....			395,832		551,670	+155,838
-----						

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT						
STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT						
20 B-2A.....	---	23,865	---	24,365		+500
21 B-1B.....	---	140,252	---	140,252		---
22 B-52.....	---	180,148	---	180,148		---
23 LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	13,159	---	13,159		---
TACTICAL AIRCRAFT						
25 F-15.....	---	387,314	---	498,314		+111,000
26 F-16.....	---	12,338	---	9,042		-3,294
27 F-22A.....	---	180,207	---	180,207		---
28 F-35 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	187,646	---	158,148		-31,500
29 INCREMENT 3.2b.....	---	28,500	---	28,500		---
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
30 C-5.....	---	14,731	---	14,731		---
31 C-5M.....	---	331,466	---	331,466		---
33 C-17A.....	---	127,494	---	89,394		-38,100
34 C-21.....	---	264	---	264		---
35 C-32A.....	---	8,767	---	4,767		-4,000
38 C-37A.....	---	18,457	---	457		-18,000
TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
GLIDER HOOS.....						
36.....	---	132	---	132		---
39 TB.....	---	14,486	---	14,486		---
40 T-1.....	---	7,650	---	7,650		---
41 T-38.....	---	34,845	---	28,845		-6,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
44 KC-10A (ATCA).....	---	34,313	---	77,513		+43,200
45 C-12.....	---	1,960	---	1,960		---
48 VC-25A MOD.....	---	1,072	---	1,072		---
49 C-40.....	---	7,292	---	3,292		-4,000
60 C-130.....	---	35,669	---	109,671		+73,802
51 C130J MODS.....	---	7,919	---	7,919		---
52 C-135.....	---	63,568	---	63,568		---
53 COMPASS CALL MODS.....	---	57,628	---	57,628		---
54 RC-135.....	---	152,748	---	163,348		+10,600
55 E-3.....	---	16,491	---	16,491		---
56 E-4.....	---	22,341	---	14,891		-7,650
58 AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	---	180,284	---	191,284		+31,000
59 FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS.....	---	32,026	---	---		-32,026
60 H-1.....	---	8,237	---	8,237		---
61 H-60.....	---	60,110	---	60,110		---
62 RQ-4 UAV MODS.....	---	21,354	---	21,354		---
63 HC/HC-130 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	1,902	---	1,902		---
64 OTHER AIRCRAFT.....	---	32,108	---	32,108		---
65 MQ-1 MODS.....	---	4,755	---	4,755		---
66 MQ-9 MODS.....	---	155,445	---	155,445		---
68 CV-22 MODS.....	---	74,874	---	74,874		---
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT.....		2,894,211		2,769,743		+125,532
AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
70 INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS.....	---	466,562	---	466,562		---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....		466,562		466,562		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
71		22,470		22,470		
POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT						
74		44,793		44,793		
75		5,249		5,249		
77		20,110		20,110		
76		16,931		16,931		
80		4,414		4,414		
81		1,122		1,122		
82		10,994		9,984		-1,000
83		5,929		5,929		
84		27		27		
INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS						
85		21,363		21,363		
WAR CONSUMABLES						
86		82,908		82,908		
OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES						
87		1,007,278		1,007,278		
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES.....						
		1,243,584		1,242,584		-1,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....						
		69,380		69,380		
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....						
		11,542,571		12,046,041		+504,370

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1 F-35 Add two aircraft	3,553,046	3,777,046 224,000	3,777,046
10 CV-22 CV-22 unique modifications	0	15,000 15,000	15,000
12 CIVIL AIR PATROL AIRCRAFT Program increase	2,562	10,400 7,838	7,838
18 MQ-9 Add 12 aircraft Unit savings from higher quantity	240,218	373,218 155,000 -22,000	133,000
20 B-2A EHF increment 1 field installs Ejection seat safety/sustainability improvement program	23,865	24,365 -2,000 2,500	500
25 F-15 Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing System - unjustified growth in other government costs AESA radars for Air National Guard	387,314	498,314 -4,000 115,000	111,000
26 F-16 Falcon STAR early to need Advanced Data Transfer Equipment	12,336	9,042 -1,294 -2,000	-3,294
28 F-35 MOCS Concurrency estimates	187,646	156,146 -31,500	-31,500
33 C-17A Execution adjustment	127,494	89,394 -38,100	-38,100
35 C-32A Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined	8,787	4,787 -4,000	-4,000
36 C-37A Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined	16,437	457 -18,000	-18,000
41 T-38 Pacer III Classic install funds early to need	34,845	28,845 -6,000	-6,000
44 KC-10A CNS/ATM kits and installs	34,313	77,513 43,200	43,200
49 C-40 Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined	7,292	3,292 -4,000	-4,000
50 C-130 Propeller upgrade T-56 3.5 engine modification C-130 Avionics Modernization program CNS/ATM minimize program	35,889	109,671 30,000 22,600 35,800 -14,598	73,802
54 RC-135 Baseline program shortfall	152,745	183,346 10,800	10,800

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
56 E-4	22,341	14,691	-7,650
Low Frequency Transmit System		-5,250	
AEHF terminal/PNVC funds early to need		-2,400	
58 AWACS	180,284	181,284	31,000
Program increase		31,000	
59 FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT TERMINALS	32,028	0	-32,028
FAB-T terminal NRE funds early to need		-32,028	
82 F-16	10,994	9,994	-1,000
Production line shutdown - unobligated prior year funds		-1,000	



## CV-22

The Air Force's fiscal year 2015 request does not include funds to procure additional CV-22 Osprey aircraft, and the Committee understands that the Air Force currently has no plans for future procurement of CV-22s. The last CV-22 will deliver in December 2016 and leave the Air Force with a fleet of 50 aircraft, equivalent to what the Air Force has identified as the medium risk program of record. Future operational losses would require the Air Force to accept an increasing level of risk to the CV-22 mission. The Air Force already has suffered two such losses, one on overseas deployment in April 2010, and one during a training mission in June 2012. These lost aircraft were replaced with additional funds provided by Congress. The Committee understands that while other variants of the V-22 will remain in production for some time, and that the variants possess a high degree of commonality, there are certain CV-22-unique modifications, such as the multi-mode radar, that are at risk of falling out of supply if the Air Force or foreign military sales do not generate additional orders for CV-22 aircraft.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$15,000,000 to protect the CV-22 fleet against undue risk from diminishing manufacturing sources for unique parts and modifications. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to prioritize those end-items that have a long lead time for production and are at the greatest risk for loss of supply should those production lines shut down with the delivery of the last Air Force CV-22 currently funded. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act that details an expenditure plan for these funds.

## KC-10

The Air Force has indicated that should spending limits contained in current law remain in force in fiscal year 2016, it will propose to retire the entire fleet of 59 KC-10 tanker aircraft by fiscal year 2020, saving an estimated \$2,300,000,000 over the future years defense program. The Committee believes that eliminating the KC-10 fleet poses a serious risk to the Air Force's ability to carry out one of its stated core missions, that of providing global reach for the armed forces. The KC-10, with its ability to deliver 150,000 pounds of fuel at a range of 4,400 nautical miles using both the boom and drogue methods, is a critical element of providing the air bridge to combatant commanders across the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans.

The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to be more forthcoming about the operational impact of retiring the KC-10 fleet, a proposal which appears to be driven primarily by the Air Force's stated preference for "vertical cuts" that eliminate entire fleets and their associated infrastructure to achieve the requisite level of savings under current law and Department of Defense policy. Current acquisition plans for the KC-46 will not provide an adequate replacement for the KC-10, since the Air Force already plans to replace the older fleet of KC-135s with KC-46s on a one-for-one basis. The Committee notes that the House-passed National

Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 prohibits the Air Force from taking any action toward divestment of the KC-10 fleet and requires the Commander of the United States Transportation Command to submit an operational risk assessment and mitigation strategy to the congressional defense committees along with any proposal to divest the KC-10 fleet in the fiscal year 2016 budget.

#### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$4,446,763,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	4,690,506,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,546,211,000
Change from budget request .....	- 144,295,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,546,211,000 for Missile Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
BALLISTIC MISSILES						
MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC						
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC	80,187	80,187	80,187		
OTHER MISSILES						
TACTICAL						
3	JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	224	337,438	224	337,438	
4	SEAWINDER [AIM-9X]	303	132,995	303	132,995	
5	ANRAAM	200	329,600	200	329,600	
6	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	283	33,878	283	33,878	
7	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	246	70,578	246	18,047	-62,531
7A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS				10,000	+10,000
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES						
8	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		749		749	
-----						
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		908,238	862,707		-42,531	
MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
CLASS IV						
9	MH III MODIFICATIONS	28,477	28,477	28,477		
10	AGM-65D NAVFIRE		276		276	
11	AGM-88A HARM		297		297	
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		16,083		16,083	
13	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB		6,924		6,924	
-----						
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		52,057	52,057			

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
14 INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS.....	---	87,368	---	87,368	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
SPACE PROGRAMS						
15 ADVANCED EHF.....	---	298,890	---	298,890	---	---
16 WIDEBAND GAFILLER SATELLITES.....	---	38,971	---	34,988	---	-3,973
17 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT.....	1	235,397	1	235,397	---	---
18 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT (AP-CY).....	---	57,000	---	57,000	---	+20,000
19 SPACEBORNE EQUIP (CONSEC).....	---	16,201	---	10,500	---	-5,701
20 GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE).....	---	52,000	---	50,000	---	-2,000
21 DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE).....	---	87,000	---	78,000	---	-9,000
22 EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE).....	---	830,903	---	830,903	---	---
23 EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH INFRASTRUCTURE (SPACE).....	---	750,143	---	715,143	---	-35,000
24 SBIR HIGH (SPACE).....	---	450,884	---	444,884	---	-6,000
SPECIAL PROGRAMS						
28 SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS.....	---	80,178	---	80,178	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT.....		2,877,858		2,645,894		-31,764
-----						
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	888,000	---	818,000	---	-70,000
-----						
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....		4,690,506		4,546,211		-144,295
*****						

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
(In thousands of dollars)

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>7 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB</b>	<b>70,578</b>	<b>18,047</b>	<b>-52,531</b>
SDB II Lot 2 deferral for program delays		-52,531	
<b>7A PREFERRED MUNITIONS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program increase - preferred munitions		10,000	
<b>16 WIDEBAND GAPPILLER SATELLITES (SPACE)</b>	<b>38,971</b>	<b>34,998</b>	<b>-3,973</b>
Excess launch support		-3,973	
<b>18 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT ADVANCE PROCUREMENT</b>	<b>57,000</b>	<b>87,000</b>	<b>30,000</b>
Additional funds for advance procurement		30,000	
<b>19 SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)</b>	<b>16,201</b>	<b>10,600</b>	<b>-5,701</b>
Maintain at fiscal year 2013 level		-5,701	
<b>20 GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)</b>	<b>62,090</b>	<b>50,000</b>	<b>-2,090</b>
Excess contract support		-2,090	
<b>DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM</b>			
<b>21 (SPACE)</b>	<b>87,000</b>	<b>78,000</b>	<b>-9,000</b>
Excess growth		-9,000	
<b>EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE</b>			
<b>22 (INFRASTRUCTURE)</b>	<b>760,143</b>	<b>715,143</b>	<b>-35,000</b>
Excess growth		-35,000	
<b>24 SBIR HIGH (SPACE)</b>	<b>450,884</b>	<b>444,884</b>	<b>-6,000</b>
Unjustified support cost increase		-6,000	
<b>999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>	<b>888,000</b>	<b>818,000</b>	<b>-70,000</b>
Classified adjustment		-70,000	

## EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE

The Committee is concerned with the continued changes in the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) program. Therefore the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide notification to the congressional defense committees of each change to the EELV acquisition plan and schedule as compared to the plan and schedule included in the budget submission for fiscal year 2015. Each notification shall include an identification of the change, a national security rationale for the change, the impact of the change on the EELV block buy contract and launch manifest, the impact of the change on the opportunities for competition for certified EELV launch providers, and the costs or savings associated with the change.

## GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III

The budget request includes \$57,000,000 for advance procurement of the Global Positioning System (GPS) III Space Segment to acquire long lead items for Space Vehicle 10, including atomic clocks and critical bus hardware. GPS III is an acquisition program based on efficiencies gained through larger, predictable buys with insertion of evolutionary capability improvements. However, the budget request reduces funding for future acquisitions to one satellite per year, increasing the overall life-cycle cost of the program. The Committee recommendation includes \$87,000,000 for GPS III advance procurement, an increase of \$30,000,000 above the budget request, to restore funding for future acquisitions at the level of two satellites per year.

## PREFERRED MUNITIONS

The Committee understands that the Air Force projects shortfalls in certain munitions based upon current inventories, procurement plans, and expenditure rates. In order to support Air Force readiness, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$10,000,000 for preferred munitions. The Committee directs that these funds may be reclassified by the Secretary of the Air Force to other line items within this account as necessary to meet this intent. Not less than 15 days prior to reclassifying or obligating these funds, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the specific use of these additional funds.

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$729,677,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	677,400,000
Committee recommendation .....	648,200,000
Change from budget request .....	-29,200,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$648,200,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE						
1	ROCKETS	4,698	4,698			
2	CARTRIDGES	133,271	114,071			-19,300
BOMBS						
3	PRACTICE BOMBS	31,998	30,098			-1,900
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	148,614	148,614			
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,973	2,973	2,973	101,400	
5A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS				10,000	+10,000
FLARE, IR MJU-78						
6	CAD/PAD	29,989	29,989			
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	0,925	0,925			
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	494	494			
9	MODIFICATIONS	1,610	1,610			
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	4,237	4,237			
FUZES						
11	FLARES	86,101	86,101			
12	FUZES	103,417	84,417			-19,000
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		652,752	623,562			-29,200
WEAPONS						
13	SMALL ARMS	24,648	24,648			
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		677,400	648,200			-29,200

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>2 CARTRIDGES</b>	<b>133,271</b>	<b>114,971</b>	<b>-18,300</b>
5.56mm frangible unjustified cost growth		-2,750	
PGU-23/U unjustified request		-9,650	
PGU-13/B unjustified growth		-5,900	
<b>3 PRACTICE BOMBS</b>	<b>31,898</b>	<b>30,098</b>	<b>-1,900</b>
BDU-50 unit cost growth		-1,000	
MK-84 inert unit cost growth		-900	
<b>5A PREFERRED MUNITIONS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program increase - preferred munitions		10,000	
<b>12 FUZES</b>	<b>103,417</b>	<b>84,417</b>	<b>-19,000</b>
Hard target void sensing fuze production funds early to need		-19,000	



## PREFERRED MUNITIONS

The Committee understands that the Air Force projects shortfalls in certain munitions based upon current inventories, procurement plans, and expenditure rates. In order to support Air Force readiness, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$10,000,000 for preferred munitions. The Committee directs that these funds may be reclassified by the Secretary of the Air Force to other line items within this account as necessary to meet this intent. Not less than 15 days prior to reclassifying or obligating these funds, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the specific use of these additional funds.

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$16,572,754,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	16,566,018,000
Committee recommendation .....	16,633,023,000
Change from budget request .....	+67,005,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,633,023,000 for Other Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS						
27		39,100		39,100		
GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY						
28		18,010		18,010		
AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM						
29		11,462		11,462		
MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL						
30		37,426		37,426		
AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM						
31		26,634		26,634		
COMBAT TRAINING RANGES						
32		1,289		1,289		
MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM H						
33		11,508		11,508		
C3 COUNTERMEASURES						
34		3,070		3,070		
GCSS-AF FOS						
35		15,298		15,298		
DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT						
36		9,585		9,585		
THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS						
37		25,772		25,772		
AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)						
AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS						
38		81,288		81,288		
INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS						
39		122,228		90,928		-31,300
AFNET						
41		18,342		18,342		
USCENTCOM						
42		60,230		50,230		-10,000
FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS						
DISA PROGRAMS						
43		26,100		26,100		
SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE						
44		2,075		2,075		
NAVSTAR GPS SPACE						
45		4,656		4,656		
WUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE						
46		54,630		54,630		
AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE						
47		69,713		69,713		
SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE						
48		41,355		41,355		
MILSATCOM SPACE						
49		31,722		31,722		
SPACE MODS SPACE						
50		61,803		39,203		-22,400
COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM						

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ORGANIZATION AND BASE						
51 TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT.....	---	50,335	---	50,335	---	---
53 RADIO EQUIPMENT .....	---	14,846	---	14,846	---	---
54 CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	3,835	---	3,835	---	---
55 BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE.....	---	79,807	---	79,807	---	---
MODIFICATIONS						
58 COMM ELECT MODS.....	---	105,398	---	56,388	---	-49,000
TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP.....		1,471,817		1,334,835		-136,982
OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP						
PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP						
57 NIGHT VISION GOGGLES .....	---	12,577	---	12,577	---	---
58 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000.000 (SAFETY).....	---	31,209	---	31,209	---	---
DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ						
59 MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING.....	---	7,870	---	7,870	---	---
BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
60 BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT.....	---	14,125	---	14,125	---	---
61 CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	---	16,744	---	16,744	---	---
62 PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT.....	---	2,495	---	2,495	---	---
63 MOBILITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	10,573	---	10,573	---	---
64 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5K (BASE SUPPORT).....	---	5,462	---	5,462	---	---
SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS						
66 DARP RC135.....	---	24,710	---	24,710	---	---
67 DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS.....	---	206,743	---	206,743	---	---
69 SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM.....	---	537,370	---	537,370	---	---
70 DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM.....	---	77,898	---	77,898	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP.....		947,576		947,576		---
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
72 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	32,813	---	32,813	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	13,990,196	---	14,193,444	---	+203,248
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....		16,566,018		16,633,023		+67,005

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 CAP VEHICLES	981	1,700	739
Program increase		739	
11 COMSEC EQUIPMENT	106,182	98,282	-7,900
VACM modernization devices unit cost growth		-7,900	
17 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYSTEMS	42,200	25,818	-16,382
D-ILS program restructure funds early to need		-16,382	
39 AFNET	122,228	90,928	-31,300
Excess growth		-31,300	
42 FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	60,230	50,230	-10,000
FAB-T award/schedule delays		-10,000	
50 COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	61,603	39,203	-22,400
New start funds excess to need		-22,400	
58 COMM ELECT MODS	105,398	66,398	-49,000
HEMP protection early to need		-49,000	
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	13,990,196	14,193,444	203,248
Classified adjustment		203,248	

## PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$4,240,416,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	4,221,437,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,358,121,000
Change from budget request .....	+136,684,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,358,121,000 for Procurement, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA						
1		1,594		1,594		
MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCA						
2		4,325		4,325		
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCA						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OHRA						
3		17,288		17,288		
PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA						
5		10,491		10,491		
INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY						
10		80,622		80,622		
TELEPORT PROGRAM						
11		14,147		14,147		
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M						
12		1,921		1,921		
NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)						
13		80,144		80,144		
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK						
15		8,755		8,755		
CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE						
16		33,737		33,737		
WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY						
17		32,544		32,544		
SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE						
18		13,300		13,300		
JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIA						
20		7,438		7,438		
MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMRCT						
21	3	11,640	3	11,640		
A - WEAPON SYSTEM COST						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA						
22		1,289		1,289		
AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS						
24		1,500		1,500		
VEHICLES						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY						
26	1	50	1	50		
VEHICLES						
27	3	7,639	3	7,639		
OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA						
25		1,038		1,038		
MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
28		68,880		68,880		
AEGIS BMD ADVANCE PROCUREMENT						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA						
29	31	464,424	31	414,624		-49,800
TKAAD SYSTEM						
30	30	435,430	52	556,050	+22	+120,620
AEGIS BMD						
31		48,140		48,140		
BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS						
32		225,774		225,774		
AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III						
34	1	175,972	1	350,972		+175,000
IRON DOME SYSTEM						





(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS						
50 SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	---	81,001	---	73,395	---	-7,606
70 DCGS-SOF	---	17,323	---	17,323	---	---
71 OTHER ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000	---	84,852	---	73,902	---	-10,950
72 SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	---	51,937	---	51,937	---	---
74 SPECIAL PROGRAMS	---	31,017	---	18,517	---	-14,500
75 TACTICAL VEHICLES	---	63,134	---	63,134	---	---
76 WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5,000,000	---	192,448	---	192,448	---	---
78 COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	---	19,984	---	19,984	---	---
81 SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	---	5,044	---	5,044	---	---
82 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	---	38,126	---	29,126	---	-9,000
88 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	---	243,840	---	231,110	---	-12,730
TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	---	1,524,435	---	1,400,299	---	-124,136
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE						
95 CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	---	170,137	---	170,137	---	---
98 CB PROTECTION AND HAZARD MITIGATION	---	150,392	---	150,392	---	---
TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE	---	320,529	---	320,529	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	540,894	---	535,894	---	-5,000
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	---	4,221,497	---	4,358,121	---	+136,624

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
29	<b>THAAD SYSTEM</b>	<b>464,424</b>	<b>414,624</b>	<b>-49,800</b>
	Interceptors - unit cost growth		-35,200	
	Obsolescence and modifications - unjustified growth		-14,600	
30	<b>AEGIS BMD</b>	<b>435,430</b>	<b>556,050</b>	<b>120,620</b>
	SM-3 Block 1B advance procurement - unjustified request		-68,880	
	SM-3 Block 1B - 22 additional interceptors		209,000	
	Production engineering support - carryover		-19,500	
34	<b>ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS - IRON DOME</b>	<b>175,972</b>	<b>360,872</b>	<b>175,000</b>
	Program increase		175,000	
41	<b>INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)</b>	<b>3,448</b>	<b>23,448</b>	<b>20,000</b>
	Program increase		20,000	
47	<b>MC-12</b>	<b>40,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-40,500</b>
	Early to need		-40,500	
49	<b>MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM</b>	<b>3,021</b>	<b>19,821</b>	<b>16,800</b>
	Operational loss replacement		16,800	
50	<b>NON-STANDARD AVIATION</b>	<b>48,200</b>	<b>37,700</b>	<b>-10,500</b>
	Unjustified growth - AvFID MC-12 Modifications		-10,500	
56	<b>MQ-9 UAV</b>	<b>15,851</b>	<b>12,893</b>	<b>-2,758</b>
	Unjustified growth		-2,758	
58	<b>PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE</b>	<b>148,929</b>	<b>129,404</b>	<b>-16,525</b>
	Initial spares - excess growth		-8,000	
	Large caliber gun - underexecution		-8,525	
61	<b>C-130 MODIFICATIONS</b>	<b>39,563</b>	<b>23,705</b>	<b>-15,858</b>
	MC-130 TFTA - early to need		-12,149	
	EC-130J Commando Solo - early to need		-3,709	
68	<b>SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS</b>	<b>81,001</b>	<b>73,396</b>	<b>-7,606</b>
	Sensitive Sight Exploration - excess growth		-7,606	
71	<b>SOF OTHER ITEMS UNDER \$5M</b>	<b>84,852</b>	<b>73,902</b>	<b>-10,950</b>
	Collateral equipment - schedule slip		-7,744	
	Collateral equipment - excess to need		-1,620	
	Joint operational stock - unjustified growth		-250	
	Classified Coalition Global Network - unjustified growth		-1,328	
74	<b>SPECIAL PROGRAMS</b>	<b>31,017</b>	<b>16,617</b>	<b>-14,500</b>
	Classified adjustment		-14,500	
82	<b>SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE</b>	<b>38,128</b>	<b>29,128</b>	<b>-9,000</b>
	Classified adjustment		-9,000	
88	<b>SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS</b>	<b>243,849</b>	<b>231,110</b>	<b>-12,739</b>
	Classified adjustment		-12,739	
899	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>	<b>540,894</b>	<b>538,894</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
	Classified adjustment		-2,000	

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE COMPONENT PROPORTIONATE  
FUNDING

The National Guard and reserve forces regularly receive less than a proportionate share of funding to resource their dual-use equipment needs. However, the role of the reserve components in providing fully equipped, trained, and ready forces in the Services' force generation models is essential. The Committee recommends that the Secretaries of the Army and the Air Force re-examine their funding and fielding plans for all National Guard equipment procurement and rebalance those plans to provide the Army and Air National Guards with the same new equipment when their active component counterparts field new equipment.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT

The Committee recommends \$2,000,000,000 for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account in the Overseas Contingency Operations funds, to meet urgent equipment needs.

This funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority equipment that may be used for both their combat missions and their missions in support of state governors. This funding will allow the National Guard and reserve components to procure items such as emergency management training simulation; in-flight propeller balancing systems; SINCGAR ASIP radio enhancements; interoperable wideband network communications; global satellite communications on-the-move, and all necessary related hardware; C-130 propulsion system engine and propeller upgrades; electronic flight bags with tablet enabled interface; active electronically scanned array radars, wireless mobile mesh network systems; security and support mission equipment communications packages for UH-60 civil support communications; reduced size external crashworthy fuel systems; acoustic hailing devices; high mobility engineer excavators; mobile ad hoc network radios; and small arms simulation training systems.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—C-146A

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$37,700,000 for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) as a new start to procure two additional C-146A aircraft. While the Committee recommendation includes the requested funds, the Committee directs that none of the funds may be obligated until 30 days after the Commander of SOCOM submits a report to the congressional defense committees that includes the validated requirement as defined by the geographic combatant commanders justifying the need for additional non-standard aviation (NSAV) platforms, an explanation as to why this requirement cannot be satisfied with existing SOCOM NSAV or Service platforms, an explanation of how these platforms are integrated in the SOCOM intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) way forward, the anticipated costs across the future years defense plan, the funding sources associated with this investment, and the impact that this investment will have on existing SOCOM NSAV and ISR platforms.

## DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$60,135,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	21,638,000
Committee recommendation .....	51,638,000
Change from budget request .....	+30,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,638,000 for Defense Production Act Purchases. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

## EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
NEXT GENERATION STAR TRACKER SYSTEM .....	4,305	4,305	---
READ OUT INTEGRATED CIRCUIT FOUNDRY IMPROVEMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY .....	2,639	2,639	---
SPACE QUALIFIED SOLAR CELL SUPPLY CHAIN .....	1,500	1,500	---
COMPLEMENTARY METAL OXIDE SEMICONDUCTOR FOCAL PLANE ARRAYS .....	1,690	1,690	---
ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING FOR LIQUID ROCKET ENGINES .....	700	700	---
CADMIUM ZINC TELLURIDE SUBSTRATES .....	1,591	1,591	---
ACTIVATED CARBON CAPACITY EXPANSION .....	4,213	4,213	---
MODERNIZATION OF STEEL PLATE PRODUCTION .....	2,000	2,000	---
SCALE UP OF GREEN ENERGETICS .....	2,000	2,000	---
TRANSPARENT CERAMICS INITIATIVE .....	1,000	1,000	---
PROGRAM INCREASE .....	---	30,000	30,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT .....	21,638	51,638	30,000

## RARE EARTH ELEMENTS

The production of rare earth elements and minerals are critical to the nation's security in many ways. From incorporation in tools for the manufacture of various platforms and weapons systems to critical components within the platforms and weapons systems themselves, rare earth materials play a critical role. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop reliable domestic sources of these rare earth materials to meet the nation's strategic material requirements.

#### TITLE IV

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense research, development, test and evaluation budget request totals \$63,533,947,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$63,362,890,000 for the research, development, test and evaluation accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY.....	6,593,898	6,720,000	+126,102
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY.....	16,266,335	15,877,770	-388,565
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE...	23,739,892	23,438,982	-300,910
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	18,766,084	17,077,900	+311,816
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE.....	167,738	248,238	+80,500
GRAND TOTAL, RDT&E.....	83,533,947	83,362,890	-171,057
	=====	=====	=====

## SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (House Report 110-279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

## REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006.

## FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

## CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

## LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES

The Committee supports the Department's investments in power generation and energy storage. In particular, the development and deployment of lithium-ion batteries is important to current and future Department platforms. The Committee understands that recent technology issues and concerns have slowed this development. Due to the capability increase lithium-ion batteries could potentially provide, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to dedicate resources to further the development of these batteries.

## HYBRID AIRSHIP DEVELOPMENT

The Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Commander of the United States Transportation Command, should work to solicit competitive bids for proof-of-concept demonstrations of hybrid airships with global reach capabilities. Such efforts should be developed with a view to rapidly transition to full scale production hybrid airships for heavy lift. The Committee is aware that such airships can operate in areas without significant ground infrastructure, allowing the delivery of cargo directly to where it is needed, including areas far removed from air and sea ports and areas where infrastructure has been destroyed by natural disaster or conflict.

## ANTI-CORROSION EFFORTS

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense continues to pursue an anti-corrosion program that is practical, affordable, and cost effective. The Department spends substantial amounts of money every year to prevent and mitigate corrosion on various platforms, equipment, and facilities. The Committee is aware that suppliers to the oil and gas industry use several different corrosion prevention materials to meet their anti-corrosion requirements. Additionally, other government agencies have made great strides in metallic coatings that may offer anti-corrosion properties and extend the service life of equipment and infrastructure. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to investigate these anti-corrosion activities and adapt them in the Department of Defense where possible.

## EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL

Accidental detonation of unexploded ordnance has claimed the lives of a significant number of servicemembers. The Committee strongly supports efforts to develop, demonstrate, and field solutions and techniques to protect warfighters from accidental detonation of unexploded ordnance. Further, the Committee believes there is a need to develop environmentally sustainable, near 100 percent efficient Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) technologies and techniques for unexploded ordnance containing highly insensitive energetic materials, while at the same time minimizing the amount of energetic material required for successful EOD operations. Alternate EOD technologies and techniques will reduce the risk of increased range contamination with energetic materials and will potentially reduce the cost of restoring training ranges. Alternative technologies will also increase EOD safety on the battlefield by re-



ducing the time required to address unexploded ordnance and promoting efficiency by decreasing the logistical burden of transporting and handling demolition explosives.

Insert 213A

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$7,126,318,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	6,593,898,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,720,000,000
Change from budget request .....	+126,102,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,720,000,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

## MULTISPECTRAL SIGNATURE MANAGEMENT

The Committee is aware of the emerging threat posed by shortwave infrared detection systems that are increasingly available in the civilian marketplace and may be deployed by adversaries. In many cases, the passive signature management systems currently deployed by the Department of Defense do not provide adequate protection against these advanced sensors. In order to mitigate this increased vulnerability, the Committee recommends the development and procurement of passive multispectral protection, and encourages the Secretary of Defense to pursue domestically-produced, cost effective solutions that provide visual, near-infrared, thermal infrared, and broad-band radar threat mitigation capabilities. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to develop solutions that address the Department's demonstrated need for urban and aviation signature management systems, as well as to identify a suitable replacement for outdated arctic signature management systems.

213A

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL., ARMY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.....	13,464	13,464	---
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	238,167	238,167	---
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	69,808	69,808	---
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS.....	102,737	102,737	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	424,176	424,176	---
APPLIED RESEARCH				
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY.....	28,006	28,006	---
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY.....	33,515	33,515	---
7	TRACTOR HIP.....	16,358	16,358	---
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY.....	63,433	63,433	---
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	18,502	18,502	---
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY.....	46,194	56,194	+10,000
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	28,528	28,528	---
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION.....	27,435	27,435	---
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY.....	72,883	72,883	---
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY.....	65,567	85,567	---
15	CHEMICAL, SHDKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY.....	3,971	3,971	---
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM.....	6,853	6,853	---
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	38,089	63,089	+25,000
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES.....	56,435	56,435	---
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY.....	38,445	38,445	---
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS.....	25,939	25,939	---
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY.....	23,783	23,783	---
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY.....	15,659	15,659	---
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	33,817	33,817	---
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY.....	10,764	10,764	---
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY.....	63,311	63,311	---
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY.....	23,295	23,295	---
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY.....	25,751	32,051	+6,300
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	76,068	76,068	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	862,611	903,911	+41,300

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
29 WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	65,139	66,139	+1,000
30 MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	67,291	68,291	+31,000
31 AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	88,990	88,990	---
32 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	57,931	72,931	+15,000
33 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	110,031	110,031	---
34 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	6,883	6,883	---
35 MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	13,580	13,580	---
36 ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	44,871	44,871	---
37 TRACTOR HIKE.....	7,492	7,492	---
38 NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS.....	16,749	16,749	---
39 TRACTOR ROSE.....	14,483	14,483	---
41 COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	24,270	24,270	---
42 TRACTOR MAIL.....	3,440	3,440	---
43 TRACTOR EGGS.....	2,408	2,408	---
44 ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	26,057	26,057	---
45 MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	44,957	44,957	---
48 TRACTOR CAGE.....	11,105	11,105	---
47 HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	181,609	181,609	---
48 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	13,074	13,074	---
49 JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM.....	7,321	7,321	---
50 NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	44,138	44,138	---
51 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	9,197	9,197	---
52 MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	17,613	17,613	---
53 ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECHNOLOGY..	39,164	39,164	---
TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	917,781	964,781	+47,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
54 DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	12,797	12,797	---
55 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE).....	13,999	13,999	---
58 TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION.....	29,334	29,334	---
60 SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY.....	9,802	11,002	+1,400
61 TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - AD.....	8,953	8,953	---
62 NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	3,052	3,052	---
63 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY.....	7,830	7,830	---
65 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	2,954	2,954	---
67 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV.....	13,386	13,386	---
69 MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV.....	23,659	23,659	---
70 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	6,830	9,830	+3,000
72 ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES.....	9,913	9,913	---
73 TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES.....	74,740	74,740	---
74 ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT).....	9,930	9,930	---
76 INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2-INTERC..	86,177	71,177	-25,000
-----			
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	323,156	302,556	-20,600

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
79 AIRCRAFT AVIONICS.....	37,246	57,246	+20,000
81 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	6,002	6,002	---
82 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO.....	9,832	9,832	---
83 MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO.....	9,730	9,730	---
84 ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM.....	5,532	5,532	---
85 TRACTOR CAGE.....	19,929	19,929	---
86 INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS.....	27,884	34,586	+6,702
87 MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	210	210	---
88 JAVELIN.....	4,166	4,166	---
89 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	12,813	12,813	---
90 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	16,784	16,784	---
91 TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE.....	6,770	6,770	---
92 NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - SDD.....	65,333	65,333	---
93 COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT.....	1,335	3,035	+1,700
94 NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - SDD.....	8,945	8,945	---
96 AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD.....	15,908	15,908	---
97 CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	4,394	4,394	---
98 AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT.....	11,084	11,084	---
99 DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - SDD.....	10,027	10,027	---
100 COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE.....	42,430	42,430	---
101 BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION.....	105,279	105,279	---
102 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - SDD.....	15,006	15,006	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
103 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - SDD.....	24,581	24,581	---
104 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - SDD.....	4,433	4,433	---
105 MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT...	30,397	30,397	---
106 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - SDD.....	57,705	57,705	---
108 ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE.....	29,683	29,683	---
109 RADAR DEVELOPMENT.....	5,224	5,224	---
111 FIREFINDER.....	37,492	37,492	---
112 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL.....	6,157	6,157	---
113 ARTILLERY SYSTEMS.....	1,912	1,912	---
116 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	69,761	69,761	---
117 ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (A-IMH)...	138,465	138,465	---
118 ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE.....	92,353	92,353	---
119 JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC).....	8,440	8,440	---
120 JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN).....	17,999	17,999	---
121 COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM).....	145,409	145,409	---
122 WIN-T INCREMENT 3 - FULL NETWORKING.....	113,210	113,210	---
123 AHF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM.....	8,882	8,882	---
124 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM).....	83,838	83,838	---
125 PAC-2/HSE MISSILE.....	35,008	35,008	---
126 ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD).....	142,584	152,584	+10,000
127 MANNED GROUND VEHICLE.....	48,160	48,160	---
128 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR.....	17,748	17,748	---
129 NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION.....	15,212	15,212	---
130 JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING.....	45,718	45,718	---
131 AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	10,041	10,041	---
132 PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM).....	83,300	83,300	---
133 TROJAN - RH12.....	983	983	---
134 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	8,961	8,961	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	1,719,374	1,757,776	+38,402

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RD&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
135 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	18,062	18,062	---
136 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	10,040	10,040	---
137 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	60,317	60,317	---
138 RAND ARROYO CENTER.....	20,612	20,612	---
139 ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL.....	176,041	176,041	---
140 CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM.....	19,439	19,439	---
142 ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES.....	275,025	275,025	---
143 ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS.....	45,596	45,596	---
144 SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS.....	33,295	33,295	---
145 AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION.....	4,700	4,700	---
146 METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RD&E ACTIVITIES.....	8,413	8,413	---
147 MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS.....	20,746	20,746	---
148 EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS.....	7,015	7,015	---
149 SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING.....	49,221	49,221	---
150 ARMY EVALUATION CENTER.....	55,039	55,039	---
151 SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, ROTS, & TNG (SMART).....	1,125	1,125	---
152 PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES.....	64,169	64,169	---
153 TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES.....	32,319	32,319	---
154 MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY.....	49,052	64,052	+15,000
155 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT.....	2,612	2,612	---
156 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT).....	49,592	49,592	---
TOTAL, RD&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	1,000,430	1,015,430	+15,000
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
158 MLRS PRODUCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	17,112	17,112	---
159 LOGISTICS AUTOMATION.....	3,654	3,654	---
160 BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY (BEC).....	1,332	1,332	---
161 PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT.....	152,991	152,991	---
162 AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE.....	54,076	29,076	-25,000
163 ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM.....	22,374	22,374	---
164 JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM.....	24,371	24,371	---
165 COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.....	295,177	320,177	+25,000
166 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM.....	45,092	45,092	---
167 AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.....	264,887	274,887	+10,000



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
168 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	381	381	---
169 DIGITIZATION.....	10,912	10,912	---
170 MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	5,115	5,115	---
171 OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.....	49,848	44,848	-5,000
172 TRACTOR CARD.....	22,691	22,691	---
173 INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE - OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV.....	4,364	4,364	---
174 MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT.....	834	834	---
175 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY - OPERATIONAL.....	280	280	---
176 LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM.....	78,758	78,758	---
177 GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS).....	45,377	45,377	---
178 JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM.....	10,209	10,209	---
181 SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.....	12,525	12,525	---
182 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	14,175	14,175	---
183 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	4,527	4,527	---
184 SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE).....	11,011	11,011	---
185 WWCSS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	2,151	2,151	---
187 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.....	22,870	22,870	---
188 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	20,155	20,155	---
189 MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV (MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS).....	46,472	46,472	---
191 VERTICAL UAS.....	16,389	16,389	---
192 BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE.....	1,974	1,974	---
193 WIN-T INCREMENT 2 - INITIAL NETWORKING.....	3,249	3,249	---
194 END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES.....	76,225	76,225	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	1,341,558	1,346,558	+5,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	4,602	4,602	---
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY.....	8,593,898	6,720,000	+126,102

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
(In thousands of dollars)

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY Program increase	48,194	58,194 10,000	10,000
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY Program increase	38,089	63,089 25,000	25,000
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY Program increase	28,781	32,081 6,300	6,300
28	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Program increase	65,139	66,139 1,000	1,000
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Peer-reviewed neurotoxin exposure treatment Parkinson's research Peer-reviewed neurofibromatosis research	67,291	98,291 18,000 15,000	31,000
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Program increase	57,931	72,931 15,000	15,000
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY Program increase	9,602	11,002 1,400	1,400
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT Army requested transfer from WTCV, line 19 Army requested transfer from WTCV line 31	6,630	9,830 2,048 952	3,000
76	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2 Funding ahead of need	96,177	71,177 -25,000	-25,000
79	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS Degraded Visual Environment UH-60L demonstration	37,246	57,246 20,000	20,000
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS Army requested transfer from WTCV line 19	27,884	34,586 6,702	6,702
93	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT Program increase	1,336	3,035 1,700	1,700
126	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE Counter cyber vulnerabilities	142,584	152,584 10,000	10,000
154	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY Program increase	49,082	64,082 15,000	15,000
162	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE Funding ahead of need	54,076	29,076 -25,000	-25,000

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
165 COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS Program increase - Stryker engineering changes	295,177	320,177 25,000	25,000
AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT			
167 PROGRAMS Program increase - Improved turbine engine program	264,887	274,887 10,000	10,000
171 OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS Funding ahead of need	49,848	44,848 -5,000	-5,000

## ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE

The congressional defense committees have encouraged the Army to adopt or develop a replacement vehicle for the M113 series armored personnel carriers of Vietnam War vintage. The challenge is to replace the M113 series with a vehicle or vehicles capable of filling the M113 mission roles across the breadth and depth of the formations. Since any vehicles procured by the Army are likely to remain in service for 40 or more years, the Committee expects the Army to make choices based on merit and fiscal responsibility. When selecting a replacement, the Army must also consider the five missions performed by the M113 variants: general purpose, mortar carrier, mission command, medical evacuation, and medical treatment. Additionally, the Army should consider the full spectrum of evolving missions. The Committee notes that the Army has fielded a wheeled medical evacuation vehicle based on a wheeled combat vehicle and that it has served in combat as a component of the armored brigade combat teams. The Committee is aware that the Army is focusing on first replacing the 2,897 M113 series vehicles that are located in the brigade combat teams. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to respond promptly to provide reports and briefings directed by the congressional defense committees, while advancing the program within funding limitations. The Committee recommendation provides \$92,353,000, fully funding this effort in fiscal year 2015.

In addition, the Committee directs the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on existing wheeled and tracked combat vehicles that are used for medical purposes. The report should assess the speed, agility, mobility, survivability, patient comfort, and suitability of these vehicles for use by field medical personnel as well as the procurement and operation and support costs for these vehicles to determine their suitability as the medical evacuation variant of the Armored Multi-purpose Vehicle (AMPV) within the armored brigade combat team. This report shall compare the results of the assessment cited above to the current Army plans to develop, procure, and operate a new medical evacuation vehicle as part of the current AMPV program of record. In addition, this report shall include a separate section providing the independent views of the Army Surgeon General on the criteria outlined above and any other criteria deemed relevant by the Army Surgeon General.

## NETWORK INTEGRATION EVALUATION

The Committee notes that the Army's Network Integration Evaluation (NIE) program has made substantial progress in enhancing its ability to assist in the delivery of successful network technologies. The Committee is aware that the Army NIE program has tested or evaluated secure tactical nodal software which is intended to provide a more efficient way to integrate tactical capabilities within the network. The Committee understands that the technology that is being incorporated into the Army's network operations has successfully completed the NIE process. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to continue to incorporate tech-

nologies from small businesses in upcoming Army Network Integration Evaluations.

#### DEGRADED VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

The Committee is aware that many of the accidents involving Army helicopters that result in the loss of aircraft and personnel are attributable to flight operations in a degraded visual environment. Additionally, despite the improvements in pilot assist devices that are available on newer aircraft, a significant part of the helicopter fleet is older and does not have the upgrades to assist with the effects of a degraded visual environment. A 2009 Department of Defense study, updated in 2012, noted that 70 percent of fatalities and 80 percent of aircraft losses resulted from serious accidents that were not the result of hostile fire, but rather wire strikes, engine failures, and brownouts. The Committee understands that the Army has prepared to conduct operational field testing on various products to assist flight crews during situations of degraded visual environment. The Committee recommendation includes an additional \$20,000,000 to support the Army's operational testing of counter degraded visual environment equipment. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on the Army's expenditure plan of the funds provided to assist the Army with the degraded visual environment challenge.

#### POLYMER RESEARCH IMPROVEMENTS

Polymers are becoming more easily manufactured, less expensive to manufacture, and increasingly lightweight. State-of-the-art polymer materials can also result in improved ballistic performance. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to consider the application of polymers in the context of conducting ballistic research.

#### ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION

The Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining and improving the military decision making process. Proven skill in the decision making process has a large effect on performance in battle. Gaming, modeling, and simulation establish a reality factor which assists military leaders in preparation for all functions on the battlefield, including logistics. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to focus research and development investments with institutions of higher education developing cognitive map-based modeling and simulation tools to advance battlefield readiness of military planning for both tacticians and logisticians.

#### TESTING OF VIRTUAL TRAINING SYSTEMS

Live, virtual gaming has become a valuable component of the Army's training curriculum. Due to its adaptability, virtual gaming may provide potential cost savings, improved training experience, and enhanced readiness. However, the Committee is concerned that these virtual training systems lack consistent testing and evaluation. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to es-

establish standards and testing to ensure that these systems provide the warfighter with the best training experience possible.

ARMY NET ZERO POLICY

The Army's net zero energy, water, and waste policy requires installations to produce as much energy as they consume, limits freshwater consumption, restores the watersheds surrounding installations, and attempts to reduce, reuse, or recover waste streams to convert the waste to resources. The Committee supports the Army's net zero policy and strongly encourages the Secretary of the Army to develop and demonstrate technologies to advance the policy and enhance the sustainable operation of its industrial munitions base.

LIGHTWEIGHT ADVANCED PERSONNEL PROTECTION

The Committee is aware of progress being made at the Natick Soldier Research, Development and Engineering Center to design and test lightweight advanced armor to better protect the warfighter, specifically the face and eyes. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to explore the use of novel, high-performance, lightweight, transparent ballistic protection materials to continue the progress being made to better protect the warfighter.

AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT

The Army is the single manager for conventional ammunition (SMCA) for the entire Department of Defense. In this capacity, the Army ensures effective life cycle management of conventional ammunition, a role becoming more challenging as a result of declining resources and force structure reductions. The Committee believes the management of conventional ammunition could be assisted by the establishment of new generation munitions material and manufacturing technologies in the national technical industrial base. Further, the Committee believes that automated and streamlined munitions manufacturing and new ammunition technologies play a critical role to the Department of Defense as they will provide a sustaining, cost saving, flexible manufacturing capability for the nation's munitions well into the future. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army, as the SMCA, to equip the national technical industrial base with these new and emerging smart manufacturing and ammunition technologies.

insert 225A

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$14,949,919,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	16,266,335,000
Committee recommendation .....	15,877,770,000
Change from budget request .....	-388,565,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,877,770,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

## BATTLEFIELD MAPPING AND CHARTING

The BuckEye and Joint Airborne Lidar Bathymetry Technical Center of Expertise (JALBTCX) provide valuable engineering, mapping, and charting information to support planning, training, and operations to maintain maritime navigation. BuckEye provides imagery intelligence, geospatial intelligence, and geospatial foundation data for battlefield intelligence from manned and unmanned platforms over a range of altitudes, while JALBTCX provides coastal and underwater high resolution elevations and imagery which provides data fusion products required to help manage navigable waters of the United States. The Committee recognizes the military value these programs provide to combatant commanders and coalition and host nation forces, and expects that the President's budget request for Overseas Contingency Operations will request full funding for these important programs.

225A

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	113,908	113,908	---
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.....	18,734	18,734	---
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	443,697	443,697	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	576,339	576,339	---
APPLIED RESEARCH				
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH.....	95,753	95,753	---
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH.....	139,496	139,496	---
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY.....	45,831	45,831	---
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	43,541	43,541	---
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH.....	46,923	46,923	---
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	107,872	107,872	---
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH.....	45,388	65,388	+20,000
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	5,887	5,887	---
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	86,880	86,880	---
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV.....	170,786	175,086	+5,300
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	32,526	32,526	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	820,883	848,183	+25,300
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	37,734	37,734	---
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	25,831	25,831	---
17	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	64,623	64,623	---
18	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD).....	128,397	128,397	---
19	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	11,506	11,506	---
20	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV.....	256,144	261,144	+5,000
21	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	4,838	40,538	+35,700
22	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	9,985	9,985	---
23	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS.....	53,956	53,956	---
24	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	2,000	2,000	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	595,014	635,714	+40,700



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
25 AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS.....	40,429	40,420	---
26 AVIATION SURVIVABILITY.....	4,325	4,325	---
27 DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL.....	2,991	2,991	---
28 AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS.....	12,651	12,651	---
28 ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	7,782	7,782	---
30 TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE.....	5,275	5,275	---
31 ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY.....	1,648	1,646	---
32 SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES.....	100,349	83,158	-17,191
33 SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE.....	52,781	46,481	-4,300
34 CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	5,959	5,959	---
35 PILOT FISH.....	148,865	138,865	-10,000
36 RETRACT LARCH.....	25,365	25,365	---
37 RETRACT JUNIPER.....	80,477	72,477	-8,000
38 RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL.....	869	869	---
39 SURFACE ASW.....	1,060	1,060	---
40 ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT.....	70,551	67,551	-3,000
41 SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS.....	8,044	8,044	---
42 SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN.....	17,864	17,864	---
43 SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES.....	23,716	17,736	-5,980
44 ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS.....	499,961	499,961	---
45 ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS.....	21,026	21,026	---
46 CHALK EAGLE.....	542,700	542,700	---
47 LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS).....	88,734	86,734	-2,000
48 COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION.....	20,881	20,881	---
49 OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM.....	849,277	849,277	---
50 LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) MISSION PACKAGES.....	196,848	188,648	-28,300
51 AUTOMATIC TEST AND RE-TEST.....	8,115	8,115	---
52 CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS.....	7,603	7,603	---
53 MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES.....	105,749	105,749	---
54 MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	1,342	1,342	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
55 JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	21,389	21,389	---
56 COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT.....	43,578	39,310	-4,268
57 OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	7,764	6,284	-1,500
58 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.....	13,200	13,200	---
59 NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM.....	69,415	55,393	-14,022
60 FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT.....	2,588	2,588	---
61 CHALK CORAL.....	176,301	176,301	---
62 NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY.....	3,873	3,873	---
63 RETRACT MAPLE.....	376,028	376,028	---
64 LINK PLUMERIA.....	272,096	252,496	-19,600
65 RETRACT ELN.....	42,233	42,233	---
66 LINK EVERGREEN.....	46,504	46,504	---
67 SPECIAL PROCESSES.....	25,109	25,109	---
68 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	9,659	9,659	---
68 LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY.....	318	318	---
70 NONLETHAL WEAPONS.....	40,912	35,827	-5,285
71 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS.....	54,896	41,896	-13,000
73 DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS.....	58,896	52,896	-6,000
74 GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER.....	43,613	43,613	---
75 REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS).....	21,110	21,110	---
76 TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES.....	5,857	5,857	---
77 ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION.....	8,033	4,033	-4,000
78 LX (R).....	36,859	30,859	-6,000
79 JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED 3ED ELECTRONIC WARFARE...	15,227	15,227	---
81 SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE....	22,393	22,393	---
82 OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT.....	202,939	161,939	-41,000
83 JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING..	11,450	9,450	-2,000
84 ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP.....	6,495	6,495	---
85 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT - MIP.....	332	332	---
TOTAL. DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	4,591,812	4,396,366	-195,446

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
86 TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT.....	25,153	25,153	---
87 OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT.....	46,154	32,035	-14,119
88 AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV.....	25,372	25,372	---
89 STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT.....	53,712	53,712	---
90 MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT.....	11,434	11,434	---
91 AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING.....	2,164	2,164	---
92 P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	1,710	1,710	---
93 WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	9,094	9,094	---
94 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM.....	70,248	62,140	-8,108
95 ADVANCED HAWKEYE.....	193,200	146,200	-47,000
96 H-1 UPGRADES.....	44,115	44,115	---
97 ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS.....	23,227	23,227	---
98 V-22A.....	61,249	54,249	-7,000
99 AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	15,014	15,014	---
100 EA-18.....	18,730	18,730	---
101 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	28,742	28,742	---
102 VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT.....	388,086	388,086	---
103 NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ).....	246,856	230,733	-16,123
104 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY).....	7,106	7,106	---
105 SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING.....	189,112	179,112	-10,000
106 LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	376	376	---
107 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB).....	71,849	61,849	-10,000
108 STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS.....	53,198	63,198	---
109 AIRBORNE MCM.....	38,941	38,941	---
110 MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE ELECTRONIC WARFARE.....	7,832	7,832	---
111 NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG... ..	15,283	15,263	---
112 FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM.....	403,017	403,017	---
113 ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS.....	20,409	20,409	---
114 SSW-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION.....	71,565	71,565	---
115 AIR CONTROL.....	29,037	29,037	---
116 SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS.....	122,083	122,083	---
118 ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM.....	144,706	127,567	-17,139
119 NEW DESIGN SSN.....	72,695	67,695	+5,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
120 SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM .....	38,985	38,985	---
121 SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E .....	48,470	48,470	---
122 NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	3,935	3,935	---
123 VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPH) .....	132,602	132,602	---
124 MINE DEVELOPMENT .....	19,067	14,067	-5,000
125 LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT .....	25,280	35,280	+10,000
126 JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	8,985	8,985	---
127 PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS .....	7,669	7,669	---
128 JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	4,400	4,400	---
129 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) .....	56,889	56,889	---
130 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) .....	86,937	81,937	-15,000
131 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) .....	134,564	121,339	-13,225
132 INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING .....	200	200	---
133 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT .....	8,287	27,287	+19,000
134 NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM .....	29,504	29,504	---
135 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD .....	513,021	513,021	---
136 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) .....	516,456	516,456	---
137 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	2,887	2,887	---
138 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	86,317	66,317	---
139 CH-53K .....	573,187	573,187	---
140 SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC) .....	87,815	55,026	-12,789
141 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	6,300	6,300	---
142 MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) .....	308,037	319,037	+11,000
143 DDG-1000 .....	202,522	202,522	---
144 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM - MIP .....	1,011	1,011	---
145 TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS .....	10,357	10,357	---
146 SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM .....	23,975	23,975	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	5,410,106	5,298,605	-120,503

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
147			
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	45,272	45,272	---
148	78,718	66,718	-13,000
149	123,993	123,993	---
150	4,960	4,960	---
151	8,298	8,298	---
152	45,752	45,752	---
154	878	876	---
155	72,070	72,070	---
156	3,237	3,237	---
157	73,033	73,033	---
158	138,304	138,304	---
159	336,288	336,288	---
160	16,658	16,658	---
161	2,505	2,505	---
162	8,325	8,325	---
163	17,868	17,868	---
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	977,151	964,151	-13,000
168			
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT...	35,949	35,949	---
169	215	215	---
170	8,873	8,873	---
172	96,943	94,525	-2,418
173	30,057	30,057	---
174	4,509	4,509	---
175	13,678	13,678	---
176	12,480	9,480	-3,000
177	76,216	86,216	+10,000
178	27,281	27,281	---
180	2,878	2,878	---
181	32,385	27,685	-4,700
182	39,371	29,471	-9,900
183	4,609	4,609	---
184	99,106	99,106	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
185 CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	39,922	39,922	---
186 CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT.....	1,157	1,157	---
187 ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT.....	22,067	18,567	-5,500
188 WARH IMPROVEMENT.....	17,420	17,420	---
189 TACTICAL DATA LINKS.....	151,208	133,594	-17,614
190 SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION.....	28,366	28,366	---
191 MK-48 ADCAP.....	25,952	25,952	---
192 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS.....	108,938	75,037	-31,899
194 OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS.....	104,023	104,023	---
195 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	77,398	74,258	-3,140
196 COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	32,495	32,495	---
197 MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS.....	158,628	142,078	-14,550
198 MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT.....	20,999	20,999	---
199 USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP).....	14,179	14,179	---
200 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES.....	47,258	47,258	---
201 ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM).....	10,210	10,210	---
206 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE).....	41,829	41,829	---
207 CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES.....	22,780	22,780	---
208 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	23,053	23,053	---
209 WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	296	296	---
212 NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC).....	359	359	---
213 JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS.....	6,166	6,166	---
214 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.....	8,505	8,505	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
216 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	11,613	11,613	---
217 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	18,146	18,146	---
218 RQ-4 UAV.....	498,003	463,003	-35,000
219 MQ-8 UAV.....	47,294	43,294	-4,000
220 RQ-11 UAV.....	718	718	---
221 RQ-7 UAV.....	851	851	---
222 SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO).....	4,813	4,813	---
223 RQ-21A.....	8,192	8,192	---
224 MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT.....	22,559	18,864	-3,895
225 UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP).....	2,000	2,000	---
226 MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT.....	4,719	4,719	---
227 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF).....	21,168	21,168	---
228 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	37,169	37,169	---
229 MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH).....	4,347	4,347	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	2,123,344	1,997,728	-125,616
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	1,162,684	1,162,684	---
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.....	16,266,335	15,877,770	-388,565

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH</b>	<b>46,388</b>	<b>66,388</b>	<b>20,000</b>
Program increase - AGOR mid-life refit		20,000	
<b>FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>170,786</b>	<b>176,086</b>	<b>5,300</b>
Program increase - automated critical care system		5,300	
<b>FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>256,144</b>	<b>261,144</b>	<b>5,000</b>
Program increase - ASW research		5,000	
<b>WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>4,838</b>	<b>40,638</b>	<b>36,700</b>
Program increase - bone marrow registry program		31,500	
Tactical athlete program - transfer from OM.DW		4,200	
<b>SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES</b>	<b>100,349</b>	<b>83,168</b>	<b>-17,181</b>
SSQ-94 trainer program growth		-1,500	
Unmanned surface vehicle development program delay		-3,800	
Unmanned surface vehicle support program growth		-1,000	
Unmanned surface vehicle flight 2 ahead of need		-7,891	
Large diameter unmanned underwater vehicle support program growth		-3,200	
<b>SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE</b>	<b>52,781</b>	<b>48,481</b>	<b>-4,300</b>
Program execution		-4,300	
<b>PILOT FISH</b>	<b>148,865</b>	<b>138,865</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
Classified adjustment		-10,000	
<b>RETRACT JUNIPER</b>	<b>80,477</b>	<b>72,477</b>	<b>-8,000</b>
Classified adjustment		-8,000	
<b>ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>70,551</b>	<b>67,551</b>	<b>-3,000</b>
Stealth funding carryover		-3,000	
<b>SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN &amp; FEASIBILITY STUDIES</b>	<b>23,716</b>	<b>17,736</b>	<b>-5,980</b>
Program execution		-5,980	
<b>LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP</b>	<b>88,734</b>	<b>86,734</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
Support funding growth		-2,000	
<b>LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP MISSION PACKAGES</b>	<b>196,948</b>	<b>168,648</b>	<b>-28,300</b>
Management funding growth		-3,000	
Program execution		-25,300	
<b>COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT</b>	<b>43,676</b>	<b>39,310</b>	<b>-4,266</b>
Program execution		-3,268	
Common array block antenna program growth		-1,000	
<b>OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>7,764</b>	<b>6,264</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
Submarine rescue system program delay		-1,500	



R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>59 NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM</b>	<b>69,416</b>	<b>55,393</b>	<b>-14,022</b>
Program execution		-5,611	
Tactical fuels program growth		-1,700	
Directed energy program growth		-2,711	
Aircraft energy conservation program growth		-4,000	
<b>64 LINK PLUMERIA</b>	<b>272,096</b>	<b>252,496</b>	<b>-19,600</b>
Classified adjustment		-19,600	
<b>70 NONLETHAL WEAPONS</b>	<b>40,812</b>	<b>36,627</b>	<b>-4,285</b>
Program execution		-5,285	
<b>71 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS</b>	<b>54,896</b>	<b>41,896</b>	<b>-13,000</b>
Program execution		-13,000	
<b>73 DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS</b>	<b>58,896</b>	<b>52,896</b>	<b>-6,000</b>
Railgun development excess support		-6,000	
<b>77 ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION</b>	<b>8,033</b>	<b>4,033</b>	<b>-4,000</b>
Program growth		-4,000	
<b>78 LX (R)</b>	<b>36,859</b>	<b>30,859</b>	<b>-6,000</b>
LX (R) development program growth		-6,000	
<b>OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>202,939</b>	<b>161,939</b>	<b>-41,000</b>
Support cost growth		-10,000	
Program delay		-30,000	
Increment II ahead of need		-1,000	
<b>JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING</b>	<b>11,450</b>	<b>9,450</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
Program management excess to requirement		-2,000	
<b>87 OTHER HELD DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>46,154</b>	<b>32,036</b>	<b>-14,119</b>
H-60 development- program delay		-3,064	
Executive helicopter support program growth		-5,000	
MH-XX ahead of need		-8,055	
<b>84 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM</b>	<b>70,248</b>	<b>62,140</b>	<b>-8,108</b>
Program execution		-5,108	
54-bit architecture phasing		-3,000	
<b>95 ADVANCED HAWKEYE</b>	<b>193,200</b>	<b>146,200</b>	<b>-47,000</b>
In-flight refueling program restructure		-27,000	
Tactical targeting technology program growth		-5,000	
Support funding growth		-15,000	
<b>98 V-22A</b>	<b>61,249</b>	<b>54,249</b>	<b>-7,000</b>
Program growth		-7,000	
<b>103 NEXT GENERATION JAMMER</b>	<b>246,856</b>	<b>230,733</b>	<b>-16,123</b>
Program execution		-16,123	
<b>SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING</b>	<b>189,112</b>	<b>179,112</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
Surface combatant development and integration support program growth		-10,000	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
107	<b>SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)</b> Small diameter bomb II integration program growth	61,849 -10,000	-10,000
118	<b>ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR SYSTEM</b> Program execution	127,687 -17,138	-17,138
119	<b>NEW DESIGN SSN</b> Program increase - small business technology insertion	87,895 15,000	15,000
124	<b>MINE DEVELOPMENT</b> Mine Development program growth	14,067 -5,000	-5,000
125	<b>LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT</b> Program increase - small business technology insertion	36,280 10,000	10,000
130	<b>SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)</b> ESSM block II risk reduction	81,937 -15,000	-15,000
131	<b>SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILLEW)</b> SEWIP block 3 preliminary design contract delay	121,339 -13,225	-13,225
133	<b>MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT</b> Program increase - wound care research Program increase - dental research	27,287 13,000 6,000	19,000
140	<b>SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR</b> Program execution	65,026 -12,789	-12,789
142	<b>MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT</b> Spiral 2 government systems engineering program growth Program increase - small business technology insertion	319,037 -4,000 15,000	11,000
148	<b>TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b> GQM-173A program delay BQM-177A program restructure	66,718 -10,000 -3,000	-13,000
172	<b>STRATEGIC SUB &amp; WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT</b> Program execution Program increase - missile component development	84,625 -12,418 10,000	-2,418
176	<b>RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)</b> TIPS program growth	5,480 -3,000	-3,000
177	<b>F/A-18 SQUADRONS</b> Program increase - dual mode Brimstone integration	86,216 10,000	10,000
181	<b>TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER</b> Tactical tomahawk AJR program growth	27,685 -4,700	-4,700
182	<b>INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM</b> Classified adjustment	28,471 -9,900	-9,900
187	<b>ELECTRONIC WARFARE READINESS SUPPORT</b> EW/IO countermeasure capability program growth	16,567 -5,500	-5,500
189	<b>TACTICAL DATA LINKS</b> Program execution	133,694 -17,614	-17,614

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>192 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS</b>	<b>106,936</b>	<b>76,037</b>	<b>-31,899</b>
F-135 improvements ahead of need		-31,899	
<b>195 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS</b>	<b>77,398</b>	<b>74,258</b>	<b>-3,140</b>
AFATDS software development schedule slip		-1,340	
AN/TPS-59 support unjustified growth		-1,800	
<b>MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS</b>			
<b>197 SYSTEMS</b>	<b>166,626</b>	<b>142,076</b>	<b>-14,550</b>
AAV support unjustified growth		-14,550	
<b>218 RQ-4 UAV</b>	<b>498,003</b>	<b>463,003</b>	<b>-35,000</b>
Milestone C delay		-35,000	
<b>219 MQ-8 UAV</b>	<b>47,294</b>	<b>43,294</b>	<b>-4,000</b>
Engineering and technical services program growth		-4,000	
<b>224 MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>22,669</b>	<b>18,664</b>	<b>-3,695</b>
Program execution		-3,695	

## AMPHIBIOUS COMBAT VEHICLE

The Committee is supportive of the Marine Corps' Amphibious Combat Vehicle (ACV) program, which is being developed to replace the rapidly aging Amphibious Assault Vehicle. However, the Committee is aware that the strategy for the ACV program is currently being revised due to a re-evaluation of requirements, estimated costs, and schedule, and that a contract award is unlikely to occur in fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the Committee recommends a rescission of \$78,800,000 from the total \$122,967,000 appropriated in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014, but recommends fully funding the fiscal year 2015 request of \$105,749,000. This will allow the Marine Corps to immediately implement the ACV acquisition strategy once the path forward has been finalized, without unnecessarily reserving resources that could be used for higher priority items. The Committee will continue to support the ACV program as the strategy is refined in future budget submissions and looks forward to continuing discussions with the Marine Corps regarding the ACV program progress.

## AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST

The Committee recommends fully funding the Navy's request for \$8,115,000 for the Automated Test and Re-test effort. According to a recent Navy report, funding for this program will continue throughout the future years defense plan. The Committee understands that this program will provide significant savings to many of the software intensive programs currently in use, as well as those in development, and looks forward to tracking the progress of this effort in future years as the Navy provides additional funding.

## LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP TRAINING

Due to the small crew size and operational concept of the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS), the LCS Total System Training Architecture is a vital component to the success or failure of the LCS program. Limited at sea training opportunities will exist for the crews of the LCS so they need to maximize their shore based training to the greatest extent possible. As the training architecture is such an important component of the LCS program, the Committee is puzzled as to why the Navy has reduced the funding for this effort from the appropriated levels over the last several years. The budget justification material shows that nearly \$100,000,000 has been removed from the LCS training system budget over the last three years. This large reduction could very possibly jeopardize the readiness of the LCS crews as they man ships and begin to take them to sea. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to ensure the entire fiscal year 2015 appropriated amount of \$19,547,000 is allocated towards the LCS Total System Training Architecture.

## ARCTIC CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

The Navy's most recent Arctic Roadmap provides direction and recommendations to enhance the Navy's ability to operate in the arctic region. The roadmap recommended the establishment of an

Arctic Center of Excellence and the development of an arctic engagement plan focused on partnerships with other stakeholders in the arctic region, both public and private. The Committee believes that these recommendations go hand in hand since the Arctic Center of Excellence would support these partnerships. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to establish the Arctic Center of Excellence to support arctic-related training, operations, and scientific research. Further, the Secretary of the Navy is encouraged to consider locations for the Center that could bring together elements from public and private communities, including academia, who can contribute to advancing the nation's interests in the arctic region.

#### WOUND CARE RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$13,000,000 for the wound care research program. The Committee is aware of the continued need to develop wound care technology to improve treatment for combat wounded servicemembers. The Committee is also aware of the challenges associated with treating wounds, particularly in combat environments, and the risk of developing bacteria, biofilms, and other infections. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to research technologies that can prevent biofilm formulation, bacteria, and infections in combat wounds.

#### AUTOMATED CRITICAL CARE SYSTEM

Trauma care for Marines and sailors deployed in remote locations or on ships poses a serious challenge to Navy caregivers. The Committee is extremely concerned with the potential deterioration of these injured servicemembers while being stabilized, evacuated, or transported to a facility possessing high-level medical care. Coordinating and providing care while safely transporting injured patients with life threatening conditions strains medical personnel and other mission resources. Incorporation of state-of-the-art medical device technologies with automated decision controls may well mean the difference between life and death and will have a significant impact in medical care for both the military and civilian communities. The automated critical care system (ACCS) being developed by the Navy has the potential to be such an innovative medical device. The Committee fully supports the ACCS and provides an additional \$5,300,000 to accelerate the development effort.

#### P-8A OPEN ARCHITECTURE

There are numerous benefits to utilizing open architecture in today's software intensive weapon systems. It enables low cost capability insertion, allows numerous capabilities to be supported by a common hardware set, and allows the sharing of information across different platforms. The Committee is aware of the Navy's ongoing effort to develop an open architecture system for the P-8A Poseidon multi-mission maritime aircraft. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue this development effort and to incorporate open architecture into the P-8A as soon as possible.

## AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION

The Committee provides \$10,000,000 as requested for aircraft systems modernization. The Committee is aware that a major modernization effort is the development of technology needed to support the transition of highly integrated photonics into naval aircraft systems. This funding will support requirements study, technology maturation, and system design and demonstration of general-purpose avionics networks. The Committee understands that this work will have a positive impact on life cycle costs associated with maintaining and upgrading networks aboard Navy aircraft. Accordingly, the Committee expects that this effort will continue to receive full funding in fiscal year 2016 and over the future years defense plan.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$23,585,292,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	23,739,892,000
Committee recommendation .....	23,438,982,000
Change from budget request .....	- 300,910,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$23,438,982,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE			
BASIC RESEARCH			
1	314,482	314,482	---
	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....		
2	127,079	127,079	---
	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....		
3	12,929	12,929	---
	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....		
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	454,480	454,480
APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	105,680	110,680	+5,000
	MATERIALS.....		
5	105,747	105,747	---
	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES.....		
8	81,857	96,857	+15,000
	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH.....		
7	172,550	172,550	---
	AEROSPACE PROPULSION.....		
8	118,343	118,343	---
	AEROSPACE SENSORS.....		
9	98,229	91,229	-7,000
	SPACE TECHNOLOGY.....		
10	87,387	87,387	---
	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS.....		
11	125,955	125,955	---
	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY.....		
12	147,789	147,789	---
	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS.....		
13	37,486	37,486	---
	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH.....		
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	1,081,133	1,084,133
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
14	32,177	39,677	+7,500
	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.....		
15	15,800	15,800	---
	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T).....		
16	34,420	34,420	---
	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS.....		
17	91,082	91,082	---
	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO.....		
18	124,236	124,236	---
	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY.....		
19	47,802	47,802	---
	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY.....		
20	69,026	63,026	-6,000
	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY.....		
21	14,031	14,031	---
	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS).....		
22	21,788	21,788	---
	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....		
23	42,046	42,046	---
	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....		
24	23,542	23,542	---
	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....		
25	42,772	52,772	+10,000
	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.....		
26	35,315	35,315	---
	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION.....		
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	583,617	605,317

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT			
27 INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	5,408	5,408	---
31 SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY.....	6,075	6,075	---
32 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY.....	10,980	10,980	---
33 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	2,392	2,392	---
34 INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D.....	833	833	---
35 SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP).....	32,313	30,855	-1,358
37 INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	30,885	30,885	---
39 POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL).....	1,798	1,798	---
40 LONG RANGE STRIKE.....	913,728	913,728	---
42 TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.....	2,869	12,689	+10,000
45 WEATHER SATELLITE FOLLOW-ON.....	39,901	39,901	---
49 F-35 - END.....	4,976	---	-4,976
51 TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM.....	59,004	59,004	---
54 NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE.....	15,722	15,722	---
55 THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR.....	88,825	88,825	---
56 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT).....	156,859	156,859	---
TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT.....	1,372,166	1,375,834	+3,666



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
59 ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING.....	13,324	13,324	---
60 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	1,965	1,965	---
61 TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE.....	39,110	39,110	---
62 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	3,926	3,926	---
63 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB).....	68,759	68,759	---
64 COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS.....	23,746	23,476	-270
65 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS.....	9,462	9,462	---
66 SPACE FENCE.....	214,131	200,131	-14,000
67 AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK.....	30,687	30,687	---
68 SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH END.....	319,501	309,501	-10,000
69 ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	31,112	31,112	---
70 SUBMUNITIONS.....	2,543	2,543	---
71 AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT.....	46,340	46,340	---
72 LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS.....	8,854	8,854	---
73 COMBAT TRAINING RANGES.....	10,129	10,129	---
74 ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT (SPACE).....	---	220,000	+220,000
75 F-35 - EMD.....	583,037	563,037	---
78 LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON.....	4,936	3,438	-1,500
79 ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION.....	59,826	29,826	-30,000
80 JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC).....	78	78	---
81 F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B.....	173,647	173,647	---
82 GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT.....	5,332	5,332	---
83 NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT KC-46.....	778,937	768,937	-10,000
84 ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING.....	8,201	8,201	---
85 CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION.....	---	100,000	+100,000
86 HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E.....	7,497	7,497	---
87 ADVANCED EMF MILSATCOM (SPACE).....	314,378	296,038	-18,340
88 POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE).....	103,662	103,662	---
89 WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE).....	31,426	23,926	-7,500
90 AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2.....	85,938	85,938	---
91 B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.....	98,768	98,768	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
92 NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION.....	198,357	193,357	-5,000
94 FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING.....	8,831	8,831	---
95 NEXTGEN JSTARS.....	73,088	73,088	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	3,337,419	3,560,809	+223,390
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
97 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	24,418	24,418	---
98 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	47,232	47,232	---
99 RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE.....	30,443	30,443	---
101 INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION.....	12,266	12,266	---
102 TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.....	688,509	688,509	---
103 ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE).....	34,364	34,364	---
104 SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP).....	21,161	21,161	---
105 FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION - TEST & EVAL.....	46,955	46,955	---
106 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.....	32,985	32,985	---
107 REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION.....	13,850	13,850	---
108 SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT.....	19,512	19,512	---
110 SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE.....	181,727	177,800	-3,927
111 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS).....	4,938	4,938	---
112 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	18,644	18,644	---
113 ELECTRONIC ACQUISITION SERVICES ENVIRONMENT.....	1,425	1,425	---
114 GENERAL SKILL TRAINING.....	3,790	3,790	---
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	1,183,199	1,179,272	-3,927

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
115 GPS III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT.....	289,760	299,760	---
117 WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE.....	---	2,000	+2,000
118 JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION.....	2,469	2,469	---
119 AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM.....	90,218	90,218	---
120 ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY.....	34,815	34,815	---
122 B-52 SQUADRONS.....	55,457	55,457	---
123 AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM).....	450	450	---
124 B-1B SQUADRONS.....	5,353	4,353	-1,000
125 B-2 SQUADRONS.....	131,580	105,680	-25,900
126 MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS.....	139,109	139,109	---
127 STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM.....	35,603	35,603	---
128 NIGHT FIST - USSTRATCOM.....	32	32	---
130 REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION.....	1,522	1,522	---
131 SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM - SPACE ACTIVITIES.....	3,134	3,134	---
133 MQ-9 UAV.....	170,396	170,396	---
138 F-16 SQUADRONS.....	133,105	133,105	---
137 F-15E SQUADRONS.....	261,969	251,969	-10,000
138 MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION.....	14,831	14,831	---
138 F-22 SQUADRONS.....	156,962	151,362	-5,600
140 F-35 SQUADRONS.....	43,666	43,666	---
141 TACTICAL ATM MISSILES.....	29,739	29,739	---
142 ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM).....	82,195	82,195	---
144 F-15 EPANSS.....	68,944	68,944	---
145 COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY.....	5,095	5,095	---
146 COMBAT RESCUE - PARARESCUE.....	883	883	---
147 AF TENCAP.....	5,812	5,812	---
148 PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT.....	1,081	1,081	---
149 COMPASS CALL.....	14,411	14,411	---
150 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	109,664	94,177	-15,487

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
161 JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM).....	16,897	16,897	---
152 AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC).....	41,066	41,066	---
153 CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC).....	552	552	---
154 AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS).....	180,804	180,804	---
155 TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS.....	3,754	3,754	---
157 COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES.....	7,881	7,881	---
158 TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY--MOD.....	5,891	5,891	---
159 C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK.....	1,782	1,782	---
161 DCAPEs.....	821	821	---
163 SEEK EAGLE.....	23,844	23,844	---
164 USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION.....	16,723	16,723	---
165 WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS.....	5,956	5,956	---
166 DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES.....	4,457	4,457	---
167 MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS.....	60,679	60,679	---
169 CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES.....	67,057	67,057	---
170 AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS.....	13,355	13,355	---
171 AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS.....	5,576	5,576	---
179 SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE.....	12,218	10,897	-1,521
180 E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC).....	28,778	1,700	-27,078
181 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK.....	81,035	81,035	---
182 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	70,497	70,497	---
183 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	892	892	---
185 MILSATCOM TERMINALS.....	55,208	49,950	-5,258
187 AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE.....	108,786	108,786	---
190 GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM).....	4,157	4,157	---
193 SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE).....	20,806	20,806	---
194 WEATHER SERVICE.....	25,102	25,102	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
195 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)...	23,518	23,518	---
198 AERIAL TARGETS .....	8,639	8,639	---
199 SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES .....	498	498	---
200 ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION .....	13,222	13,222	---
201 DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	360	360	---
206 SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER .....	3,674	3,328	-348
207 SPACE WARFARE CENTER .....	2,480	2,071	-409
208 INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE .....	8,592	8,954	+1,638
209 SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	13,482	13,482	---
210 DRAGON U-2 .....	5,511	5,511	---
212 AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	28,113	38,113	+10,000
213 MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	13,516	13,516	---
214 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	27,285	27,285	---
215 PREDATOR UAV (JMIP) .....	1,378	1,378	---
216 RQ-4 UAV .....	244,514	244,514	---
217 NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA) .....	11,096	11,096	---
218 COMMON DATA LINK (COL) .....	38,137	38,137	---
219 NATO AGS .....	232,851	232,851	---
220 SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE .....	20,218	20,218	---
221 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	212,571	212,571	---
222 JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM .....	73,779	73,779	---
223 RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION .....	4,102	4,102	---
225 NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	20,488	20,488	---
226 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS .....	11,596	11,596	---
227 CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	4,938	4,938	---
228 SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) .....	1,212	1,212	---
230 C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS .....	38,773	38,773	---
231 C-17 AIRCRAFT .....	83,773	83,773	---
232 C-130J PROGRAM .....	26,715	26,715	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
233 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRC)	5,172	5,172	---
234 KC-105	2,714	2,714	---
235 OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	27,784	27,784	---
236 CV-22	38,719	38,719	---
237 PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR)	11,008	11,008	---
238 SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	8,405	8,405	---
239 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,407	1,407	---
241 LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	109,685	109,685	---
242 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,209	16,209	---
243 OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	987	987	---
244 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	126	126	---
245 JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	2,603	2,603	---
246 CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	1,589	1,589	---
247 PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	5,026	5,026	---
248 AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	1,394	1,394	---
249 FACILITIES OPERATION--ADMINISTRATION	3,798	3,798	---
250 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	107,314	87,314	-20,000
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	4,276,546	4,174,307	-102,239
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	11,441,120	10,894,820	-446,300
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE	23,739,892	23,438,882	-300,910

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4 MATERIALS Program increase	105,880	110,880 5,000	5,000
6 HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH Program increase	81,957	96,957 15,000	15,000
8 SPACE TECHNOLOGY Excess to need	98,229	91,229 -7,000	-7,000
14 ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS Program increase	32,177	39,677 7,500	7,500
20 ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY Excess to need	69,026	63,026 -6,000	-6,000
25 MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM Program increase	42,772	52,772 10,000	10,000
35 SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP) Excess to need	32,313	30,955 -1,358	-1,358
42 TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER Program increase	2,689	12,689 10,000	10,000
49 F-35 - EMD Duplicate funding	4,976	0 -4,976	-4,976
84 COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	23,746	23,476 -270	-270
66 SPACE FENCE Program delay	214,131	200,131 -14,000	-14,000
68 SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD Wide field of view test beds	319,501	309,501 -10,000	-10,000
74 LIQUID ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT (SPACE) Develop capacity	0	220,000 220,000	220,000
78 LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON Execution adjustment	4,938	3,438 -1,500	-1,500
79 ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION Execution adjustment	59,828	29,828 -30,000	-30,000
83 KC-46 Program decrease	776,937	786,937 -10,000	-10,000
86 COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER Program increase only for Combat Rescue Helicopter	0	100,000 100,000	100,000
87 ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) SMI excess growth	314,378	298,038 -18,340	-18,340

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
89 WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) Resiliency funding excess to need	31,426	23,926 -7,500	-7,500
92 NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION B81-12 tailkit EMD phase 1 favorable cost variances	198,357	193,357 -5,000	-5,000
SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE Personnel costs excess to need	181,727	177,800 -3,927	-3,927
117 WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE Program increase	0	2,000 2,000	2,000
124 B-1B SQUADRONS Execution adjustment	5,353	4,353 -1,000	-1,000
125 B-2 SQUADRONS Flexible strike execution delays Ejection seat safety/sustainability improvement program	131,580	105,680 -29,400 3,500	-26,900
137 F-15E SQUADRONS Execution adjustment	261,969	251,969 -10,000	-10,000
139 F-22 SQUADRONS Execution adjustment	166,962	161,362 -5,600	-5,600
AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM F135 engine program	109,664	94,177 -15,487	-15,487
179 SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	12,218	10,697 -1,521	-1,521
E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC) Low Frequency Transmit System cost and schedule growth	28,778	1,700 -27,078	-27,078
185 MILSATCOM TERMINALS Excess to need - FAB-T downselect delay	55,208	49,950 -5,258	-5,258
206 SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	3,674	3,328 -348	-348
207 SPACE WARFARE CENTER Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	2,480	2,071 -409	-409
208 INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	8,592	6,954 -1,638	-1,638
212 AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS Wide Area Motion Imagery program shortfall	28,113	38,113 10,000	10,000
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT Execution adjustment	107,314	87,314 -20,000	-20,000
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	11,441,120	10,994,820 -446,300	-446,300



## GLOBAL HAWK, U-2, AND HIGH ALTITUDE ISR

The Air Force's fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes to retain the RQ-4 Global Hawk Block 30 fleet while preparing for the divestment of the U-2 fleet in fiscal year 2016. This proposal constitutes a reversal of the Air Force's previous position on the two platforms. The Air Force has explained that its reversal primarily is based on declining operation and sustainment costs for the Global Hawk, making it a more prudent long-term investment. The Committee views the U-2 and the Global Hawk Block 30 as complementary, rather than rival, systems for high altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance; at the same time, the Committee acknowledges that budget constraints in current law have driven the Air Force to choose between the two platforms.

The Committee is concerned, however, by the Air Force's present plan to initiate and complete a precipitous drawdown of the U-2 fleet in fiscal year 2016. The U-2 possesses flight performance, sensor, and other capabilities that the Global Hawk Block 30 does not presently have. Among these U-2 capabilities is carriage of the Optical Bar Camera (OBC), which provides vital imagery enabling American support of the Israel-Egypt peace treaty. The Air Force to date has not proposed a mitigating solution for the loss of the OBC capability after 2016. The Committee understands the Air Force is reviewing alternate options for divestment, including the option of a temporary mixed fleet of Global Hawks and U-2s beyond fiscal year 2016, in order to address these concerns.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided the Air Force with an additional \$10,000,000 to conduct a study of the feasibility of adapting U-2 sensors or similar alternatives to the Block 30. The Committee believes that a favorable solution to improving the electro-optical/infrared capability of the Block 30 would involve a sensor that provides imagery intelligence comparable to or better than that provided by the SYERS-2 on the U-2, including National Image Interpretability Rating Scale score, range, field of regard, and area coverage; preserves the ability for simultaneous carriage of the synthetic aperture radar on the Block 30; does not unduly compromise the availability of SYERS-2 units for U-2 missions in the event that the Air Force opts for a mixed fleet; minimizes the integration work necessary for adaptation to the Block 30 using the Sensor Interface Module; and can be developed and procured at a cost not exceeding the "parity" option identified as meeting Air Combat Command sensor attributes in the report of April 2013. The Committee understands that the ultimate solution will involve reasonable trade-offs between these parameters.

In addition, the Committee believes that it is critical to invest in upgrades that will provide Block 30 with necessary weather avoidance and anti-icing capabilities; improved sensor capabilities are of less consequence if inclement weather remains a significant limiting factor on Block 30 operations, especially in the Pacific Command area of responsibility.

To date, the Air Force has not presented the Committee with a requirements-driven, accountably resourced, and realistically scheduled plan for improving capabilities on the Global Hawk

Block 30 and mitigating the loss of U-2 capabilities such as the OBC after fiscal year 2016. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to present such a plan to the congressional defense committees prior to taking any action to divest the U-2 fleet. The Committee will review the progress of actions by the Air Force in this regard when the fiscal year 2016 budget request is submitted, and intends to take intervening action against full and immediate divestment of the U-2 fleet if such plans are not satisfactory. The Committee also notes that the House version of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014 requires the Air Force to produce a transition plan and prohibits the Air Force from taking any steps toward retirement of the U-2. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to use previously appropriated funds to continue critical sustainment programs for the U-2 until divestment of the fleet is authorized by Congress.

#### COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER

The Committee fully supports the Air Force's decision to proceed with an acquisition program for a new Combat Rescue Helicopter (CRH) despite budget constraints. The CRH will replace the Air Force's rapidly aging fleet of HH-60 helicopters for the combat search and rescue mission. While the fiscal year 2015 request includes no additional funds for CRH, the Air Force has indicated to the Committee that the \$333,558,000 provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 is sufficient to fund the program through fiscal year 2015 given the adjustments the Air Force has made to extend the program schedule. The Committee is aware that the Air Force will need to rectify a \$436,000,000 shortfall in the current future years defense plan to fully fund CRH development, but believes that this cost must be weighed against the considerable costs that likely would be incurred to extend the life of the HH-60 fleet should CRH be terminated. The Committee's recommendation includes \$100,000,000 for CRH to partially address this shortfall and designates these funds as a congressional special interest item. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to keep the Committee informed of the progress of the CRH program, including rates of obligation and expenditure.

#### NEXT GENERATION JSTARS

The Committee fully funds the Air Force request for \$73,088,000 to develop a next generation platform for the moving target indicator and battle management command and control (BMC2) missions currently performed by the E-8C JSTARS fleet. The Committee notes that while the program justification submitted with the fiscal year 2015 request appears to assume that the BMC2 system, sensor systems, communications systems, and air vehicle will be separately competed with the government as the lead system integrator, the Air Force presently is considering alternative acquisition strategies for the new system. Due to the reduction in capability that will result from the retirement of five operational JSTARS aircraft in fiscal year 2015, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to adopt an acquisition strategy for a business jet-based integrated solution with mature technologies that is consistent with the completed analysis of alternatives, will

minimize development cost and schedule, field new aircraft ahead of first planned delivery date in fiscal year 2019, and provide an open system architecture to allow for competitively procured future upgrades. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the congressional defense committees on its selected approach not later than 30 days following the approval of the acquisition strategy for the Next Generation JSTARS.

#### CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, AND NUCLEAR SURVIVABILITY

The Committee understands that the Joint Program Office (JPO) for the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) intends to conduct a live fire test and evaluation on a chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear decontamination system for the JSF in fiscal year 2016. The Committee regards this test as critical for ensuring that the JSF will meet the operational requirements document and be able to conduct missions in denied, high-threat environments. The Committee recommends that the JPO take appropriate steps, utilizing funds made available in this and previous appropriations for development of all three JSF variants, to accelerate this testing into fiscal year 2015 and sustain the industrial base for the development and production of such decontamination systems.

#### AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK

The Committee fully funds the Air Force request of \$25,000,000 to fund analysis of alternatives (AoA) efforts within the Airborne Electronic Attack program, including \$5,000,000 for the Non-Kinetic Counter-Electronics (NKCE) AoA. The Committee supports the Air Force's decision to incorporate the results of the Counter-Electronics High Power Microwave Missile Project joint capability technology demonstration and correlated operational utility assessment into the NKCE AoA. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to begin its NKCE AoA effort as soon as possible, and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to report the results of the NKCE AoA, as well as the Next Generation Electronic Attack AoA and Counter-Integrated Air Defense System AoA, to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the approval of the respective reports.

#### ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES

The Committee understands that the size of the United States Africa Command (AFRICOM) area of responsibility, when coupled with the paucity of base access and supporting infrastructure, places a premium on long range, long endurance intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance assets. The Committee is aware that the Air Force is currently flight testing a medium altitude, long endurance unmanned aerial vehicle with flexible multi-intelligence sensor and communications relay capabilities. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to adopt a plan for these assets that would preserve their ability to be deployed if AFRICOM or any other combatant command that identifies an operational need for such capabilities.

## KC-46A

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to continue to submit quarterly reports on any KC-46A contract modifications with a cost greater than or equal to \$5,000,000, as directed by the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2012.

## BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT COMMAND AND CONTROL

In 2011, the Air Force requested additional funds to develop the beyond line of sight command and control architecture in response to a United States Central Command requirement, and the Committee supported this request. A portion of these funds was used to develop Tactical Airborne Communications Pods (TACPods) for use on unmanned aerial vehicles. The Air Force informed the Committee that the TACPods would be used to support the bi-directional movement of full motion video across common data link radios including thousands of ROVER terminals used by ground forces, and that the capability would be fielded within twelve months of receipt of funds. The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not fielded this capability as planned, but instead has placed TACPods into storage while pursuing a possibly redundant program to provide a similar capability. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to provide a briefing to the Committee not later than November 1, 2014 on the existing and planned activities in support of beyond line of sight command and control for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance systems.

## HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING

The Department of Defense High Performance Computing (HPC) modernization program supports solutions to the complex challenges faced by Department acquisition programs. The HPC program has invested considerable resources to develop and deploy engineering software applications to improve outcomes for weapon system acquisitions. The HPC program includes the Computational Research for Engineering Acquisition Tools and Environments (CREATE) initiative, a program with the potential to substantially reduce costs, shorten schedules, increase design and program flexibility, and improve overall acquisition program performance by speeding up systems integration while identifying and correcting design flaws prior to production. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to institutionalize advances made by HPC pilot projects within the acquisition system by establishing through the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center a systems engineering capability for weapon system virtual prototyping-based HPC applications, including CREATE software.

## HUMAN PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The Committee recognizes and supports Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) research in human performance monitoring, which is a key element of human-machine interface technology. Human monitoring is as important as equipment monitoring as the two

must perform in tandem at optimum levels for successful mission completion and personnel safety. The Committee encourages the Director of the AFRL to continue to research nano-bio manufacturing of materials and sensor devices that are capable of detecting biomarkers and other substances correlating to human body conditions such as stress, fatigue, and organ damage.

#### LIQUID ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The Committee believes that the United States should rely on domestically manufactured launch vehicles as the foundation for access to space and is concerned about the reliance of some national security space launches on rocket engines produced in Russia. Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes \$220,000,000 to begin risk reduction and development of a next-generation liquid rocket engine that is manufactured in the United States, meets the requirements of the national security space community, and is ready for launch not later than fiscal year 2022 using full and open competition. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as practicable, to submit a report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act that includes a risk reduction and development plan for a next-generation liquid rocket engine program. The report must analyze national security and civil space rocket engine development requirements, examine the costs and benefits of public-private partnerships for development of the engine, and estimate costs for development, procurement, and operations and maintenance for the life of the program.

#### SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM HIGH

The Committee supports the Air Force decision to review the overhead persistent infrared mission to lower costs, increase resilience, and achieve better mission performance. The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is conducting an Analysis of Alternatives to mitigate obsolescence and ensure resilient options beyond the current program of record. The Committee encourages quick completion of this review to ensure adequate time to start operational demonstrations as appropriate and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the findings of the review to the congressional defense committees immediately upon completion.

#### AIR FORCE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 above the request for a regionally focused technology transfer innovation pilot program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to conduct a pilot program on public-private technology transfer ventures between Department of Defense research and development centers and regionally focused technology incubators, with the goal of increasing the commercialization of intellectual property developed in the Department's research and development enterprise in support of critical cross-service technological needs such as energetics, unmanned systems, and rapid

prototyping. Technology incubator partners should be selected through full and open competition emphasizing strong business plans, demonstrated expertise in mentorship and commercialization, and strong regional partnerships.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM SPACE MODERNIZATION INITIATIVE

The budget request includes \$32,900,000 for the Global Positioning System (GPS) III Space Modernization Initiative (SMI) to address issues related to design, systems, engineering, program management, obsolescence, and efficiencies for GPS satellites. The Committee recommendation includes full funding for the GPS III SMI but directs the Secretary of the Air Force to allocate \$20,000,000 to study technological maturation, including the use of an alternative digital GPS payload, and risk reduction consistent with the GPS enterprise analysis of alternatives.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM USER EQUIPMENT

The Military GPS User Equipment (MGUE) program provides M-code GPS receivers with improved capability to counter emerging threats and interference with positioning, navigation, and timing capabilities. The Department of Defense will field MGUE receivers across a broad range of Army, Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps platforms. The Committee understands that the Department is accelerating the implementation of M-code and supports those efforts. The Committee recommends \$156,659,000, which fully funds the fiscal year 2015 request for MGUE, including technology development, platform integration, and system engineering and integration activities. The Committee supports the Air Force strategy of implementing a proactive, collaborative MGUE platform integration activity to mitigate risk, and encourages the Service Secretaries to procure MGUE receivers in fiscal year 2016.

insert 256A-B

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION,  
DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$17,086,412,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	16,766,084,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,077,900,000
Change from budget request .....	+311,816,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,077,900,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

## EJECTION SEAT SAFETY/SUSTAINABILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Committee is concerned by Air Force data that indicate safety concerns with legacy ejection seat technology for aircrews using helmet mounted displays. The Committee recommendation includes \$6,000,000 for improvements or replacement of legacy aircraft ejection seats that address documented safety concerns and requirements. In implementing this program, the Secretary of the Air Force should consider all technology used or approved in current Department of Defense programs to ensure that these ejection seat improvements or replacements are achieved through a full and open acquisition process.

256A

## COST SHARING OF FORWARD-DEPLOYED NUCLEAR WEAPONS

The United States currently provides the vast majority of the funding necessary to sustain forward-deployed nuclear weapons in Europe. In light of the growing costs of this mission, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees outlining the proportional contributions of NATO members to the cost of sustaining forward-deployed nuclear weapons. This report should include the effects of NATO proportional cost-sharing on the Department's five year costs of maintaining forward-deployed nuclear weapons and shall be submitted not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.





(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
26 JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD..	26,688	26,688	---
27 SO/LTC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	8,682	8,682	---
28 COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT.....	69,675	79,675	+10,000
29 FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING.....	30,000	24,000	-6,000
30 COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES--PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT..	283,694	291,694	+8,000
32 ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT.....	8,470	8,470	---
33 DISCRIMINATION SENSDR TECHNOLOGY.....	45,110	43,110	-2,000
34 WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	14,068	34,068	+20,000
35 ADVANCED C4ISR.....	15,329	13,284	-2,045
36 ADVANCED RESEARCH.....	16,584	16,584	---
37 JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	19,335	19,335	---
38 AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21) - THEATER CA..	2,544	2,544	---
39 SPECIAL PROGRAM--MDA TECHNOLOGY.....	51,033	40,433	-10,600
40 ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS.....	129,723	128,723	---
41 SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY.....	179,883	179,883	---
42 ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS.....	12,000	12,000	---
43 ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS.....	60,000	50,000	-10,000
44 COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY.....	25,839	22,839	-3,000
45 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEV..	132,674	132,674	---
46 JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	10,965	10,965	---
47 JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	131,960	121,960	-10,000
52 DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG..	91,095	91,095	---
53 EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	33,706	33,706	---
54 GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	16,836	16,836	---
55 DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY.....	29,883	29,883	---
57 STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	57,796	57,796	---
58 MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT.....	72,144	82,700	+10,556
58 JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM.....	7,405	5,405	-2,000
59 ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES.....	92,246	92,246	---
60 COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	243,265	243,265	---
60XX DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM.....	---	250,000	+250,000
62 NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	386,926	386,926	---
63 SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.....	312,821	312,821	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
64 DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	10,682	10,682	---
65 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE.....	15,776	15,776	---
66 QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS.....	69,319	64,319	-5,000
68 MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE.....	3,000	3,000	---
71 TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY.....	81,148	81,148	---
72 OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT.....	31,800	31,800	---
73 CMMD SYSTEMS.....	46,066	48,066	---
74 SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	57,622	49,622	-8,000
TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	2,933,402	3,173,313	+239,911
77 DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	41,072	41,072	---
79 WALKOFF.....	90,558	90,558	---
80 ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM.....	15,518	15,518	---
81 ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM..	51,462	51,462	---
82 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT.....	299,598	292,798	-6,800
83 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT.....	1,003,768	1,047,168	+43,400
84 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	179,236	179,236	---
85 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS.....	392,893	392,893	---
86 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS.....	410,863	398,249	-12,614
87 SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA.....	310,261	295,261	-15,000
88 AEGIS BMD.....	929,208	860,708	-68,500
89 SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM.....	31,346	31,346	---
90 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS.....	6,389	6,389	---
91 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC.....	443,484	431,484	-12,000
92 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT.....	46,387	46,387	---
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC).....	58,530	58,530	---
94 REGARDING TRENCH.....	16,199	16,199	---
95 SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX).....	64,409	84,409	---
96 ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS.....	96,803	288,842	+172,039
97 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST.....	386,482	350,582	-35,900
98 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS.....	465,294	446,794	-38,500
99 HUMANITARIAN DEMINING.....	10,194	10,194	---
100 COALITION WARFARE.....	10,139	10,139	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
101 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM.....	2,907	2,907	---
102 ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES.....	190,000	170,000	-20,000
103 DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT...	3,702	3,702	---
104 WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE.....	53,000	53,000	---
107 JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	7,002	7,002	---
108 JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM.....	7,102	7,102	---
109 LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3).....	123,444	123,444	---
110 AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT.....	283,895	283,895	---
113 SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION.....	12,500	12,500	---
114 JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM.....	2,658	2,658	---
115 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	961	961	---
116 NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT ...	7,936	7,936	---
117 PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT.....	70,762	90,762	+20,000
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	6,125,780	6,171,885	+46,125
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
118 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	345,883	345,883	---
119 ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)....	25,459	25,459	---
120 JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)...	17,562	17,562	---
121 WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES.....	6,887	6,887	---
122 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	12,530	12,530	---
123 HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	286	286	---
124 DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM.....	3,244	3,244	---
125 OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES.....	8,500	8,500	---
126 DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION....	15,326	15,326	---
127 DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION.....	19,351	19,351	---
128 DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM.....	41,465	41,465	---
129 DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITY PAY SYSTEM (DRAS).....	10,135	10,135	---
130 DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY.....	9,546	9,546	---
131 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	14,241	14,241	---
132 DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM)....	3,660	3,660	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	532,075	532,075	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
133 DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS).....	5,616	5,516	---
134 JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT.....	3,092	3,092	---
135 CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT.....	254,503	159,003	-95,500
136 ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS.....	21,661	21,661	---
138 JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMTC).....	27,162	27,162	---
139 TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS.....	24,501	24,501	---
142 JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION.....	43,176	43,176	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P).....	---	100,000	+100,000
145 SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.....	44,246	44,748	+500
146 STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPDRT.....	2,665	2,665	---
147 NUCLEAR MATTERS - PHYSICAL SECURITY.....	4,368	4,366	---
148 SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION.....	27,901	27,901	---
149 GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE).....	2,855	2,855	---
150 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	105,944	105,944	---
158 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH.....	400	400	---
159 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER..	1,634	1,634	---
160 DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS.....	12,105	12,105	---
161 DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC).....	50,389	50,389	---
162 R&D IN SUPPDRT OF OOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION..	8,452	8,452	---
163 DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION.....	15,187	19,187	+4,000
164 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT).....	71,362	71,362	---
165 BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS.....	4,100	4,100	---
166 OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC).....	1,956	1,956	---
167 JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT.....	10,321	10,321	---
170 SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES.....	11,552	11,552	---
172 CYBER INTELLIGENCE.....	6,748	6,748	---
174 COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION...	44,005	39,005	-5,000
175 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA.....	36,998	---	-36,998
176 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - WHS.....	612	612	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	44,367	44,367	---
TOTAL, RT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	887,876	854,878	-32,998

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
178 ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS).....	3,988	3,988	---
179 REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC..	1,750	1,750	---
180 DVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY..	286	286	---
181 INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT.....	14,778	14,778	---
182 OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	2,953	2,953	---
183 GLOBAL TREATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT.....	10,350	10,350	---
184 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS D..	28,496	28,496	---
185 JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY.....	11,968	11,968	---
186 PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM.....	1,842	1,842	---
187 C4I INTEROPERABILITY.....	63,558	63,558	---
188 JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING.....	3,931	3,931	---
193 NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT.....	924	924	---
194 DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION...	9,657	9,657	---
195 LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS).....	25,365	25,365	---
196 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK.....	12,671	12,671	---
197 PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI).....	222	222	---
198 KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI).....	32,698	32,698	---
199 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	11,304	11,304	---
200 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	125,854	145,854	+20,000
202 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	33,793	33,793	---
203 JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER.....	13,423	13,423	---
204 NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES).....	3,774	3,774	---
205 JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE.....	951	951	---
206 TELEPORT PROGRAM.....	2,697	2,697	---
208 SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES.....	19,294	15,794	-3,500
212 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	3,234	3,234	---
213 CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP).....	8,846	8,846	---
217 POLICY R&D PROGRAMS.....	7,065	7,065	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
218 NET CENTRICITY.....	23,984	23,984	---
221 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	5,286	5,286	---
224 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	3,400	3,400	---
229 INSIDER THREAT.....	8,870	8,870	---
230 HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM.....	2,110	2,110	---
239 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	22,366	22,366	---
240 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	1,574	1,574	---
241 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS).....	4,409	4,409	---
242 MQ-9 UAV.....	9,702	1,314	-8,388
243 RQ-11 UAV.....	259	---	-259
245 SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV.....	164,233	154,821	-9,412
247 SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	9,490	9,490	---
248 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS.....	75,253	70,089	-5,164
252 WARRIOR SYSTEMS.....	24,681	20,573	-4,088
253 SPECIAL PROGRAMS.....	20,908	20,908	---
259 SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	3,672	3,672	---
262 SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS.....	57,905	55,048	-2,859
264 SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES.....	3,788	3,788	---
265 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE.....	16,225	15,225	-1,000
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	913,557	888,887	-14,670
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	3,118,502	3,257,402	+138,900
DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION.....	---	-69,000	-69,000
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-WIDE.....	16,766,084	17,077,900	+311,818

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	<b>BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES</b>	44,564	34,564	-10,000
	Program decrease		-10,000	
5	<b>NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM</b>	45,488	55,488	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
6	<b>HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES &amp; UNIVERSITIES (HBCU)</b>	24,412	34,412	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
9	<b>BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY</b>	112,242	114,790	2,548
	Program increase		2,548	
11	<b>LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM</b>	51,875	47,875	-4,000
	Program decrease		-4,000	
25	<b>SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>	39,750	34,760	-5,000
	SOF technology development - excess growth		-5,000	
28	<b>COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT</b>	68,675	79,675	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
29	<b>FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING</b>	30,000	24,000	-6,000
	Program decrease		-6,000	
30	<b>COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES-- PROLIFERATION PREVENTION &amp; DEFEAT</b>	283,694	291,694	8,000
	Program increase		8,000	
33	<b>DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY</b>	45,110	43,110	-2,000
	Unjustified growth		-2,000	
34	<b>WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY</b>	14,088	34,088	20,000
	Program increase - interceptor technology		20,000	
35	<b>ADVANCED C4ISR</b>	15,329	13,284	-2,045
	Unjustified growth		-2,045	
39	<b>SPECIAL PROGRAM - MDA TECHNOLOGY</b>	51,033	40,433	-10,600
	Unjustified growth		-10,600	
43	<b>ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS</b>	60,000	60,000	-10,000
	Program decrease		-10,000	
44	<b>COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY</b>	25,539	22,639	-3,000
	Program operations - unjustified request		-3,000	
47	<b>JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS</b>	131,960	121,960	-10,000
	Program decrease		-10,000	
57	<b>MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>	72,144	82,700	10,556
	Program increase		10,556	
58	<b>JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM</b>	7,405	5,405	-2,000
	Program decrease		-2,000	



R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
60XX DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION FUND	0	250,000	250,000
Program increase		250,000	
68 QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	69,318	64,318	-5,000
Program decrease		-5,000	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	57,822	49,822	-8,000
Engineering analysis - unjustified growth		-8,000	
82 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	299,598	292,798	-6,800
THAAD development program support - unjustified growth		-6,800	
83 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	1,003,768	1,047,168	43,400
Program management - unjustified growth		-6,800	
Program increase - CE-II upgrades		43,000	
Program increase - stockpile reliability program		4,000	
Program increase - command launch equipment and fire control upgrades		3,000	
86 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	410,863	398,249	-12,614
System engineering and integration - unjustified growth		-3,914	
Enabling test - transfer not properly accounted		-3,700	
Intelligence and security - unjustified growth		-3,100	
BMD information management systems - unjustified growth		-1,900	
87 SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA	310,261	295,261	-15,000
Unjustified growth		-15,000	
88 AEGIS BMD	929,208	880,708	-48,500
Aegis BMD 5.1 development - cost growth		-37,000	
Aegis testing restructure - excess to requirement		-7,800	
System engineering and integration - unjustified growth		-3,700	
91 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC	443,484	431,484	-12,000
Spiral 6.2-3 - unjustified growth without baseline		-12,000	
96 ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	86,803	268,842	172,038
Israeli Upper tier		20,339	
Israeli Arrow program		45,500	
Short range ballistic missile defense		108,200	
87 BMD Tests	386,482	350,582	-35,900
Flight test delays		-35,900	
98 BMD Targets	485,294	446,794	-38,500
MRBM Type 3 test hardware - lack of justification and schedule delays		-28,500	
MRBM Type 1/2 test hardware - early to need		-10,000	
102 ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	190,000	170,000	-20,000
Program decrease		-20,000	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
117 PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT Additional test for AHW	70,702	90,762 20,000	20,000
135 CENTRAL TEST & EVAL INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT Program decrease Electronic warfare test capability - transfer to OTE line 3	264,603	159,003 -15,000 -80,500	-95,500
143 CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P) Classified adjustment	0	100,000 100,000	100,000
145 SYSTEMS ENGINEERING Program increase	44,246	44,746 500	500
163 DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION Program increase	15,187	19,187 4,000	4,000
COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING			
174 TRANSFORMATION Program decrease	44,005	39,005 -5,000	-5,000
175 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA Transfer to OM,DW	36,998	0 -36,998	-36,998
200 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM Program increase	125,854	145,854 20,000	20,000
208 SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES Unjustified growth	19,294	15,794 -3,500	-3,500
242 MQ-9 UAV Underexecution	9,702	1,314 -8,388	-8,388
243 RQ-11 UAV Unjustified new start	259	0 -259	-259
SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS			
245 ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT Commando Solo - new start Mission Training and Prep Systems - unjustified growth C-130 TF radar - underexecution	164,233	164,821 -2,912 -2,500 -4,000	-8,412
248 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS Classified adjustment	75,263	70,089 -5,164	-5,164
252 WARRIOR SYSTEMS Long Range MISO - excess growth	24,661	20,573 -4,088	-4,088
262 SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS Next Generation Surface System - excess growth	57,905	55,046 -2,859	-2,859
265 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE Classified adjustment	16,225	15,225 -1,000	-1,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	3,118,502	3,257,402 138,900	138,900
DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DARPA undistributed reduction		-69,000 -69,000	

HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND MINORITY  
INSTITUTIONS

The Committee includes additional funding for the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions program (HBCU/MI). The HBCU/MI program provides access to scientific and technical information products and services to faculty, staff, and students of Historically Black Colleges and Universities, American Indian Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities, Native American-Serving Nontribal Institutions, and other minority serving institutions.

ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES

The Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO) was created to identify, analyze, and accelerate capabilities to counter strategic adversaries and improve the posture for engaging future threats. As part of its mission, the SCO examines alternative strategies, explores multi-domain solutions, builds partnerships across national security divides, analyzes cost effectiveness, risk and performance, develops prototypes to accelerate capabilities, and increases the operational options available to senior leadership. The Committee is aware that one of the capabilities the SCO is exploring is the early fielding of a smaller tactical electromagnetic railgun system for both land-based and ship-based railgun systems, and encourages this advancement.

Additionally, a reduction to the Advanced Innovative Technologies demonstration and validation program element should be taken from programs other than ship-based guns and land-based guns for base defense.

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

Within its Biomedical Technology line, the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) is encouraged to make resources available for the development of restorative products and technologies which may serve as an alternative to amputation.

Additionally, the Committee supports the leadership initiatives at DARPA to better manage the execution of funds. DARPA's efficiency, financial execution, and ability to obligate funds have greatly improved. Therefore, as DARPA's efficiencies continue to improve, a non-prejudicial reduction of \$69,000,000 will be determined, by program, at the discretion of the Director of DARPA.

LASER-DRIVEN X-RAY TECHNOLOGY

The Committee continues to place a high priority on research to address the threats of nuclear proliferation and nuclear terrorism. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to maintain the fiscal year 2014 funding level in fiscal year 2015 to conduct research to speed development of laser-driven x-ray technologies that will bring this technology closer to the ultimate goal of a field demonstration of stand-off detection of shielded nuclear materials and other weapons of mass destruction.

## MODELING AND SIMULATION

The Committee recognizes the important contributions of the modeling and simulation industry in many sectors of the American economy, and in particular its applications in training warfighters. Modeling and simulation is effective in providing platforms to prepare warfighters and small unit leaders for rapid decision making in asymmetric and irregular combat. The Committee recognizes that modeling and simulation will continue to serve as a valuable and cost effective training method for every warfare specialty and maintenance area. The Committee believes that the Department of Defense should further harness the entrepreneurial and innovative spirit of industry, academia, and the government to facilitate the progress in state-of-the-art training by making greater use of modeling and simulation. The Committee encourages persistent and augmented use of modeling and simulation technology in scenario-based training, aggressive simulation technology research and development efforts, and active endeavors to substitute simulation for more expensive forms of training.

## CYBERSECURITY

The Committee recognizes the importance of sustaining a robust cybersecurity research program within the Department of Defense, particularly as it relates to the interdisciplinary nature of cyber systems and the role of human behavior. The interdisciplinary cybersecurity research model can contribute to the development of novel approaches for risk assessment, which incorporate components of risk beyond computer science such as understanding risk-related fundamental properties of dynamic cyber threats; developing recognition capabilities for new cyber threats; and increasing resilience against attacks. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to leverage information assurance and cyber defense research done through defense agencies, including the National Security Agency, as the Department plans and conducts interdisciplinary research to identify and close cybersecurity gaps.

## HUMAN AND ROBOT COLLABORATION

The Committee recognizes the need to enhance manufacturing by flexibly assigning work to the human or machine most capable of performing a given task, and thereby reducing the need to invest in fixed heavy manufacturing equipment. Accordingly, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to increase resources available for the defense-wide manufacturing science and technology program to support formation of industry-university partnerships on a competitive basis to develop technologies and processes that utilize human and robot collaboration for large scale manufacturing.

## MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

The Committee is pleased that in both the fiscal year 2014 and 2015 budget requests the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) has refocused its efforts from far-term, conceptual programs to near-term programs that are necessary to defend the United States and its

allies from missile threats. The Committee believes that the discrimination of threats is paramount to improving the threat assessment and reliability of the ballistic missile defense system and supports programs designed to improve the discrimination capability of the ballistic missile defense system.

The Committee supports the budget request for the development of the Long Range Discrimination Radar (LRDR); however, the Committee is concerned by the lack of details provided in the budget request submission. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the MDA to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act detailing the strategy for developing and procuring the LRDR. The report shall include the program's objectives and key parameters, a detailed schedule through full operational capability, and a cost estimate by fiscal year.

The Committee also supports the budget request of \$99,500,000 for the re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle (EKV) for the ground-based interceptor as part of the ground-based midcourse defense program. However, the budget request submission lacks details necessary for continued oversight of the program. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the MDA to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act detailing the strategy for developing, procuring, and fielding the re-designed EKV. The report shall include the program's objectives and key parameters, a detailed schedule, and a cost estimate by fiscal year. The report shall also include any necessary legislative provisions that the Director of the MDA may require to fully implement the acquisition strategy for the EKV.

#### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY—IRON DOME

The Committee recommends \$350,972,000 for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system, an increase of \$175,000,000 above the budget request.

Since fiscal year 2011, the Committee has supported the government of Israel by providing more than \$720,000,000 for the Iron Dome system. With the fiscal year 2015 recommendation, that total will increase to more than \$1,070,000,000.

The Committee understands that there is a signed agreement between the Israeli and United States governments concerning the procurement of the Iron Dome system and the necessity for producing various components of the system in the United States. The Committee is also aware that the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) and the Israeli Missile Defense Organization (IMDO) formerly agreed to the United States providing \$680 million between fiscal years 2012 and 2015 for the Iron Dome program. The Committee is concerned that the agreement does not cover the full amount that is recommended for fiscal year 2015. Given the significant American investment in this system, the Committee believes that co-production of parts and components should be accomplished in a way that will maximize American industry participation in interceptor and battery deliveries for Israel's defense needs.

Therefore, the Committee directs that the Director of the MDA may not obligate or expend \$175,000,000 of the amount rec-

ommended in fiscal year 2015 until the Government of Israel submits a sufficiently detailed cost and schedule justification to the Director of the MDA and the Director approves it. The detailed cost and schedule justification must include a detailed timeline for obligation and expenditure of program funds received above the budget request for each fiscal year for which funds were appropriated; copies of signed and ratified contracts, sub-contracts, and teaming arrangements between Israeli and American industry for all Iron Dome co-production efforts; delivery to MDA of all technical data packages as accepted by American industry suppliers for co-production; and a common cost model of Iron Dome components, to be jointly developed and agreed upon by MDA and IMDO that includes recurring and non-recurring engineering costs, estimates for future buys, and actual costs beginning with fiscal year 2013, the required quantities for all components through fiscal year 2019, and component lead-times and delivery schedules.

Additionally, the Committee expects that the Director of the MDA will ensure that Iron Dome operational data has been provided per previous commitments; that this additional funding be applied to the work share percentage for fiscal year 2015 funding between American and Israeli industry as proscribed under the recently signed Iron Dome procurement agreement; and, that the additional funds are required to meet Israeli defense needs. Any funds found to be in excess of Israel's justified and documented needs during fiscal year 2015 may be transferred to appropriations available for the procurement of weapons and equipment according to priority needs.

The Committee also believes that if there is a request for Iron Dome funding for fiscal year 2016, the Director of the MDA must establish for the Committee how those funds will resolve details and agreements needed for American-based co-production of all-up rounds and cover the export of Iron Dome technology to American and Israeli allies, including co-production of parts, components, and all-up rounds of those exports.

The Committee directs the Director of the MDA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than October 1, 2014, on the information provided in the required detailed cost and schedule justification, including the views of the Director and the Under Secretary on its sufficiency.

#### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY—COOPERATION WITH UNIVERSITIES

The Committee commends the Director of the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) for working with universities to best leverage innovative breakthrough research and technologies for next generation ballistic missile defense capabilities. The Committee encourages the Director of the MDA to continue cooperating with universities and to establish a university affiliated research center. Such a center would consolidate core research and development capabilities in areas such as advanced interceptor propulsion systems and high fidelity modeling and simulation and would potentially enhance the ability of the MDA to improve the ground-based midcourse defense

program, augment command and control systems, and increase overall mission assurance.

#### DISABLING AND NEUTRALIZING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION

The budget request includes \$283,694,000 for Counterproliferation Initiatives—Proliferation Prevention and Defeat. The Committee recommendation provides \$291,694,000, an increase of \$8,000,000 above the budget request, to support the demonstration of technology solutions applicable to the Army and related Department of Defense organizations in addressing operations in subterranean environments. The Committee notes that the increased program funding will support a dedicated effort to mature prototypes and demonstrate capabilities in support of the Army to disable and neutralize weapons of mass destruction.

#### ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING

The Committee is aware that additive manufacturing techniques and capabilities have the potential to lower the cost of maintaining aging weapon platforms. Currently, the Department of Defense uses additive manufacturing for design iteration, prototyping, tooling and fixtures, and for some noncritical parts. However, the Department eventually wants to use additive manufacturing to build aerospace parts. The Committee believes that the Department of Defense can utilize additive manufacturing improvements to save money in upfront manufacturing costs, improve fleet readiness by creating on-demand alternatives to the current parts supply chain, reduce parts certification and transition costs, and reduce costs with improved weapon systems parts. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to research creative applications for additive manufacturing technology.

#### SYSTEMS ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

The budget request includes \$44,246,000 for Systems Engineering. The Committee recommendation provides \$44,746,000, an increase of \$500,000 above the budget request to support the Systems Engineering Research Center, a systems-oriented study to assess the current state of the Army's lethality capability and to provide actionable recommendations to transform it at the enterprise level. These recommendations should address multiple dimensions, including technologies, development and acquisition processes, personnel requirements, doctrine, structure and governance, and infrastructure. The intent of these recommendations should have the cumulative intent to transform the Army's lethality capability.

#### CONVENTIONAL PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE

The Committee remains interested in the Department's development efforts for a conventional prompt global strike capability. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, on the Department's ability, or likelihood thereof, to field a conventional prompt global strike capability by fiscal year 2019. The report should incorporate findings from the recently completed conventional prompt global

strike land-based study. The report may include a classified annex if necessary.

#### OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$246,800,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	167,738,000
Committee recommendation .....	248,238,000
Change from budget request .....	+80,500,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$248,238,000 for Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:



(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE			
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
1	74,583	74,583	---
2	45,142	45,142	---
3	48,013	128,513	+80,500
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	167,738	248,238	+80,500
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE	167,738	248,238	+80,500

## EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSIS .....	48,013	128,513	80,500
Electronic Warfare Test Capability—transfer from RDTE,DW line L37 .....	- - -	80,500	- - -

TITLE V  
 REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS  
 DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,649,214,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,234,468,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,334,468,000
Change from budget request .....	+100,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,334,468,000 for the Defense Working Capital Funds accounts. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
 [in thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY .....	13,727	13,727	---
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE .....	61,717	61,717	---
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE .....	44,293	44,293	---
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA .....	1,114,731	1,214,731	100,000
Program increase .....	---	100,000	---
TOTAL, DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS .....	1,234,468	1,334,468	100,000



TITLE VI  
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS  
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$32,699,158,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	31,994,918,000
Committee recommendation .....	31,634,870,000
Change from budget request .....	- 360,048,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$31,634,870,000 for the Defense Health Program. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
10			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
IN-HOUSE CARE.....	8,799,086	8,680,090	-118,996
20			
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE.....	15,412,599	14,582,044	-830,555
30			
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT.....	2,462,096	2,347,972	-114,124
40			
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT.....	1,557,347	1,534,096	-23,251
50			
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	366,223	364,192	-2,031
60			
EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	750,868	738,475	-12,391
70			
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS.....	1,683,694	1,833,694	+150,000
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	31,031,911	30,080,563	-951,348
PROCUREMENT			
180			
INITIAL OUTFITTING.....	13,057	13,057	---
170			
REPLACEMENT AND MODERNIZATION.....	283,030	283,030	---
180			
THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM.....	3,145	3,145	---
190			
INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD (IEHR).....	9,181	9,181	---
SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT.....	308,413	308,413	---
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
90			
RESEARCH.....	10,317	10,317	---
100			
EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT.....	49,015	49,015	---
110			
ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	226,410	226,410	---
120			
DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION.....	97,787	97,787	---
130			
ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT.....	217,898	217,898	---
140			
MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT.....	38,075	38,075	---
150			
CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT.....	15,092	15,092	---
160			
UNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH.....	---	691,300	+691,300
SUBTOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION..	654,594	1,245,894	+691,300
TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.....	31,994,918	31,634,870	-360,048

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>IN-HOUSE CARE</b>	<b>8,799,086</b>	<b>8,680,090</b>	<b>-118,996</b>
NiCOE satellites - growth overstated in justification materials		-704	
FECA expenses - transfer not properly accounted		-377	
Army identified excess		-76,000	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-30,000	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-26,715	
SOCOM embedded behavioral health providers - transfer from OM,DW		14,800	
<b>PRIVATE SECTOR CARE</b>	<b>15,412,599</b>	<b>14,582,044</b>	<b>-830,555</b>
Historical underexecution		-750,000	
Pharmaceutical drugs - excess growth		-200,000	
Program adjustment to restore benefit proposals		180,000	
Printing and reproduction - excess growth		-2,555	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-58,000	
<b>CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT</b>	<b>2,462,098</b>	<b>2,347,972</b>	<b>-114,124</b>
Historical underexecution		-100,000	
Program increase - wounded warrior military adaptive sports program		5,000	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-19,124	
<b>INFORMATION MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>1,557,347</b>	<b>1,534,096</b>	<b>-23,251</b>
Other intra-government purchases - unjustified growth		-22,300	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-651	
<b>MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>366,223</b>	<b>364,192</b>	<b>-2,031</b>
Reduction in civilian FTEs - not properly accounted		-770	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-1,261	
<b>EDUCATION AND TRAINING</b>	<b>750,866</b>	<b>738,475</b>	<b>-12,391</b>
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-12,391	
<b>BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>1,663,694</b>	<b>1,833,694</b>	<b>150,000</b>
Air Force FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
Army FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
Navy FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>31,031,911</b>	<b>30,080,663</b>	<b>-951,248</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT</b>	<b>308,413</b>	<b>308,413</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT</b>			
Peer-reviewed alcohol and substance abuse disorders research		4,000	
Peer-reviewed ALS research		7,500	
Peer-reviewed alzheimer research		12,000	
Peer-reviewed autism research		6,000	
Peer-reviewed bone marrow failure disease research		3,200	
Peer-reviewed breast cancer research		120,000	
Peer-reviewed cancer research		15,000	
Peer-reviewed Duchenne muscular dystrophy research		3,200	
Peer-reviewed gulf war illness research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed lung cancer research		10,500	

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Peer-reviewed multiple sclerosis research		5,000	
Peer-reviewed orthopedic research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research		80,000	
Peer-reviewed spinal cord research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed reconstructive transplant research		15,000	
Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological health research		125,000	
Peer-reviewed tubercous sclerosis complex research		8,000	
Peer-reviewed vision research		10,000	
Global HIV/AIDS prevention		8,000	
HIV/AIDS program increase		12,900	
Joint warfighter medical research		45,000	
Therapeutic service dog training program		3,000	
<b>TOTAL, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>654,594</b>	<b>1,245,894</b>	<b>591,300</b>



## REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR THE DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language included by the Committee should not be interpreted as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the In-House Care budget sub-activity from other budget sub-activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the In-House Care budget sub-activity as a congressional special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity into the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity or any other budget sub-activity will require the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for operation and maintenance funds.

The Committee also remains concerned with continual reports that substantial amounts of funding are transferred from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity without the submission of written notification as required by prior year Department of Defense Appropriations Acts. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$10,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity not later than fifteen days after such a transfer. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act that delineates transfers of funds in excess of \$10,000,000, and the dates any transfers occurred, from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity to any other budget sub-activity groups for fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program budget activities and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

## CARRYOVER AUTHORITY

For fiscal year 2015, the Committee recommends one percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2014 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

## ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD

The Committee has grown increasingly alarmed about the inability of the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to develop

and procure interoperable electronic health records. Despite the mandate for full interoperability included in the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2008 and significant funding investments, the two Departments still do not have electronic health record systems that are able to meaningfully exchange patient data.

The Committee is deeply concerned with the lack of progress by the two Departments to achieve full interoperability and believes that there must be more cooperation throughout the two Departments to find, develop, and implement the best solution that will allow interoperability in a timely manner. The Committee understands that the two Departments have begun implementation of a temporary system that allows some administrators and clinicians to view and manipulate patient data; however, the number of providers that has access to this system is extremely limited at this point. Additionally, while this system is designed to improve interoperability in the near term, the Committee remains concerned about interoperability in the future, when each Department will have a different records system than what is currently in use.

The Committee includes a provision that restricts the amount of funding that may be obligated for the Interagency Program Office (IPO), the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization (DHMSM) program, and the Defense Information Management Exchange (DMIX) to 25 percent of the funding provided until the Secretary of Defense provides the House and Senate Appropriations Committees an expenditure plan that includes numerous reporting requirements.

Furthermore, the Committee directs the IPO to continue to provide quarterly reports on the progress of interoperability between the two Departments to the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Defense and the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies.

The Committee reminds the Program Executive Officer (PEO) of the Defense Healthcare Management Systems (DHMS) to not lose sight of the ultimate goal of interoperability with the enhanced health record system of the Department of Veterans Affairs. The PEO DHMS, in conjunction with the director of the IPO, is directed to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on the cost and schedule of the program, to include milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligation reports. The Committee further directs the PEO DHMS to continue briefing the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on a quarterly basis, coinciding with the report submission.

#### JOINT WARFIGHTER MEDICAL RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommendation includes \$45,000,000 for the continuation of the joint warfighter medical research program. The funding shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and Service medical requirements and to continue prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. The funding shall not be used for new projects nor for basic research, and it shall be

awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the Services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research, and the benefit the research will provide to the Department of Defense.

#### PEER-REVIEWED CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAMS

The Committee recommendation includes \$120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$20,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, \$10,500,000 for the peer-reviewed lung cancer research program, and \$15,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: colorectal cancer, genetic cancer research, kidney cancer, listeria vaccine for cancer, liver cancer, melanoma and other skin cancers, mesothelioma, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer, and the link between scleroderma and cancer.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers and their families.

The Committee continues to support the establishment of a task force to focus on research for metastasized cancer with a focus on clinical and translational research aimed at extending the lives of advanced stage and recurrent patients. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act on the status of establishing such a task force.

#### PEER-REVIEWED PROSTATE CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program. The Committee is aware that the pace of prostate cancer research may possibly be enhanced through the use of data derived from large patient studies that include long-term health records, bio-specimen repositories, and pre-existing research. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research that applies state-of-the-art proteomic analysis, bioinformatics, and mathe-

mational models to such data to improve disease classification, risk assessment, education, treatment, and management.

#### INTELLIGENT PROSTHETICS

The Committee recommends \$30,000,000 for the peer-reviewed orthopedics research program. The Committee is aware that many of the injuries sustained by servicemembers in combat include multiple limb trauma and are often distinct from trauma typically seen in the civilian environment, thus requiring a unique solution set. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research at the intersection of bio-engineering, neuroscience, and rehabilitation to support neural interfaces to peripheral nerves and advanced prosthetics that deliver more functionality to amputees.

#### PRESCRIPTION DRUG ABUSE

The Committee remains concerned with pain management prescription medication dependency among servicemembers. It is imperative that proper steps are taken to prevent overmedication and that treatment options are available for those facing possible addiction. The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense currently provides a range of medication therapy management services at military treatment facilities. These services are designed to optimize therapy or the adherence to therapy between providers, pharmacists, and patients. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees detailing the progress of including pharmacists in the care team provided by the Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH), the success rate of patients in properly adhering to medicine treatment and prescription levels, and if there have been cases in which the inclusion of a pharmacist in the PCMH has contributed to reducing the level of medication taken by patients who may have been overmedicating.

#### HYPERBARIC OXYGEN THERAPY

Traumatic brain injuries (TBI) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are the signature wounds of more than a decade of conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan. Since 2000, the military has accounted for more than 280,000 cases of traumatic brain injury and nearly 120,000 diagnoses of new post-traumatic stress disorder. Patients suffering from these conditions are often prescribed various psychotropic drugs to ease their symptoms. These drugs often have negative side effects and carry the risk of leading to dependency.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense has studied the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy as an alternative treatment for servicemembers suffering from TBI and PTSD. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy involves breathing pure oxygen in a pressurized room which allows for the intake of more oxygen. The oxygen enters the bloodstream and stimulates the release of growth factors and stem cells, which, in turn, promote healing. The Committee understands that although private sector research has shown positive effects of using the therapy, the Department of De-

fense has decided not to pursue its use, citing that clinical trials failed to show positive results.

The Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review and provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy to treat TBI and PTSD. The report should include a review of the clinical trials completed by the Department of Defense, an assessment of the conclusions reached by the trials, an assessment of whether the trials were appropriately administered, and a review of private sector research on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy and whether those conclusions are similar or different from the Department of Defense study.

#### CHILDHOOD TRAUMA IN MILITARY DEPENDENTS

The Committee is concerned with the rise in outpatient mental health visits among children of active duty and reserve servicemembers. The Committee believes that it is imperative to develop efficient means to identify children at risk of deployment-related mental health issues and to provide tools, education, and guidance to the professionals and families caring for these children. It is also important that the proper steps are taken to prevent predictable mental health problems from manifesting in dependent children. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to make the prevention of childhood trauma in military dependents a priority.

#### AIR FORCE MILITARY ACUITY MODEL TRAINING PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the Air Force Military Acuity Model has provided benefits such as more efficient and improved access to care. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to consider expanding use of the model throughout the military health system.

#### TRAUMA CLINICAL RESEARCH REPOSITORY

Traumatic injuries continue to cause nearly all combat deaths, as well as many in the general civilian population. Numerous advances in trauma care have been achieved through the medical research undertaken by the Department of Defense; this life-saving impact of trauma research can be seen on the battlefield and in civilian trauma response. To capitalize on these important research investments, the Committee included \$5,000,000 in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 to create a trauma clinical research repository accessible to both public and private researchers. With the projected decline in military funding as a result of the imminent end of combat operations, there remains an urgency to maintain the momentum on advances in trauma care and bridge the lessons learned from the military to civilian communities. The trauma clinical research repository will help breakthroughs in research lead to significant advances in treatment, particularly for battlefield injuries. Data shared in the trauma repository should be utilized for future research, such as studies to identify biomarkers of trauma-related organ dysfunction or studies on new life-saving pharmaceuticals.

The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) and the Director of the Defense Health Agency to fully implement the trauma clinical research repository and to collaborate with other federal entities to create a task force to identify existing critical research gaps. Future research must focus on these gaps to supplement advances in care achieved over the past decade of combat operations, translate the lessons learned into the civilian community, and maintain the momentum in trauma research.

#### TELEMEDICINE

The Committee is aware of the advantages of using telemedicine to enhance medical care provided to servicemembers while at home or when deployed around the world.

The use of telemedicine increases access to care, reduces patient and specialist travel, decreases lost work times, and saves money by avoiding referrals to the private sector.

The Committee supports expanding telemedicine projects that can lower the cost of military health care and maintain the skill proficiencies of military medical personnel. The Committee encourages the Service Surgeons General to appropriately fund and expand telemedicine initiatives, particularly for beneficiaries at overseas military treatment facilities.

#### PRESERVATION OF MUSCLE MASS DURING IMMOBILIZATION PERIODS

The Committee believes that high-level motor performance and endurance is critical for servicemembers in combat. While servicemembers typically have above average skeletal muscle strength and relative resistance to fatigability, during long periods of immobilization, which can occur in theater, while traveling, or when recuperating from injuries, muscle de-training often occurs. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research on training that enhances muscle fitness and decelerates the process of muscle de-training.

#### COOPERATION BETWEEN MILITARY MEDICAL FACILITIES, CIVILIAN HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, AND UNIVERSITIES

The Committee recognizes the importance of cooperation between military medical facilities, universities, and other civilian partners to provide valuable medical trauma training to sustain the education of military medical providers. This training and real-life experience contributes to maintaining the capabilities of the National Guard Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Explosives Enhanced Response Force Packages, the National Guard Homeland Response Forces, and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces.

The Committee encourages the Service Surgeons General and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to continue to pursue trauma training with civilian partners in order to maintain unit medical readiness at optimum levels as military healthcare providers maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents.

## CANINE THERAPY RESEARCH

The Committee is aware of the potential benefits of canine therapy for treatment of servicemembers suffering from traumatic brain injuries (TBI) or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). While still experimental, canine therapy may be a promising alternative to pharmaceutical treatment.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is currently studying if and why canines can help servicemembers cope with debilitating injuries and whether this treatment can be substantially utilized in the future. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to continue this research to evaluate the effectiveness of canine therapy in treating servicemembers suffering from TBI and PTSD.

## THERMAL INJURY PREVENTION

The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to establish standards to prevent thermal injuries caused by ground combat and tactical vehicles. Survivability enhancement efforts such as fire prevention, fire suppression, and fuel containment should continually be addressed in order to provide the best possible protection for servicemembers.

## EFFICIENCY OF PATIENT CARE DELIVERY

The Committee understands the necessity of developing technologies to improve the efficiency of patient care in military treatment facilities. The Committee recognizes that, in the event of a mass casualty, military treatment facilities would experience a significant increase in patient volume. The ability to maximize efficiency and effectiveness of care would be critical to the management of an overwhelming surge in patient volume and intensity. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to research the development of an automated resource management system to improve patient care, both in normal and emergency situations.

## MILITARY MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAM

The Committee is pleased that the Secretary of Defense created a pilot program to improve efforts to treat servicemembers suffering from mental health disorders in the National Guard and reserve components through community partnerships. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to expand this program to include additional community partners through a competitive and merit-based award process.

## MULTI-DISCIPLINARY BRAIN RESEARCH

The Committee supports the pursuance of multi-disciplinary research toward translational medicine that may provide better diagnostic tools and treatment outcomes for servicemembers who suffer from traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other neurotrauma. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support researchers, scientists, and health care professionals in this field and to encourage them to share their findings with the Department of Defense. With prop-

er support, translational research in this field could lead to the integration of new treatments in military treatment facilities and could improve care in the near-term.

ACCESS TO MILITARY TREATMENT FACILITIES

The Committee is aware of unobligated balances remaining from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011. The Committee believes that these unobligated balances should be utilized for the purposes for which originally appropriated. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act on any remaining balances from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011. The report shall include the amount of remaining balances and an execution plan for these funds that will improve access to the Department's military treatment facilities.

insert 288A

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,004,123,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	828,868,000
Committee recommendation .....	828,868,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$828,868,000 for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

(In thousands of dollars)

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	222,728	222,728	---
PROCUREMENT .....	10,227	10,227	---
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION .....	595,913	595,913	---
TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE .....	828,868	828,868	---

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,015,885,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	820,687,000
Committee recommendation .....	944,687,000
Change from budget request .....	+124,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$944,687,000 for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:



## NON-OPIOID PAIN MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT

The Committee remains concerned with pain management prescription medication dependency and addiction among servicemembers. Many servicemembers have endured physical and emotional wounds associated with combat and have relied on prescription opioids, sometimes for years, to manage their pain. While over-prescription of narcotics is a problem that must be addressed, the Committee believes that all patients have the right to effective relief of both acute and chronic pain. Narcotic abuse requires a multi-pronged approach, but the development of non-narcotic pain relief strategies is an essential component. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to establish a peer-reviewed research program to research and develop alternatives to opioid-based pain management.

288A

## EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

(In thousands of dollars)

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
COUNTER-NARCOTICS SUPPORT .....	719,096	669,631	- 49,465
Transfer to National Guard counter-drug program .....	---	- 89,465	---
Program increase .....	---	40,000	---
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM .....	101,591	105,591	4,000
Young Marines—drug demand reduction .....	---	4,000	---
NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-DRUG PROGRAM .....	---	169,465	169,465
Transfer from counter-narcotics support .....	---	89,465	---
Program increase .....	---	80,000	---
TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DE- FENSE .....	820,687	944,687	124,000

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

The Committee remains concerned about the continued decrease in funding for the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account. The efforts being funded in this account are instrumental in not just monitoring, detecting, and interdicting drug shipments on their way into the United States, but in providing intelligence support to track laundered drug money that often flows to transnational crime organizations. Drug trafficking remains a major source of funding for terrorist organizations and contributes to violence in parts of the United States and instability in many other countries. The Committee understands the unique capabilities that the Department of Defense has in supporting this mission, and recommends an additional \$40,000,000 specifically for counter-narcotics support.

## BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIAL

The Committee understands that the funding included in the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account is divided into three major categories of funding: counter-narcotics support, the drug demand reduction program, and the National Guard counter-drug program. The Committee recommends dividing the funding into three separate sub-activity groups to provide greater transparency and oversight of the program and its budget execution. In lieu of formal reprogramming guidance and restrictions, the Committee directs the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Counter-narcotics and Global Threats) to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees not less than 15 days before transferring funding in excess of \$5,000,000 between any lines. In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to request funding for each separate sub-activity group under the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account in the fiscal year 2016 budget submission and subsequent budget years.

## NATIONAL GUARD STATE PLANS

The Committee is disappointed that, for the third year in a row, the budget request underfunds the National Guard counter-drug program. The Committee recognizes the importance of the mission of the National Guard counter-drug program as a support organiza-

tion to combatant commands and federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies. To help address the lack of funding of this important program, the Committee recommends an additional \$80,000,000 for fiscal year 2015.

#### JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	---
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	\$115,058,000
Committee recommendation .....	65,464,000
Change from budget request .....	-49,594,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$65,464,000 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

#### EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

(In thousands of dollars)

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS .....	49,594	---	-49,594
Carryover .....	---	-10,000	---
Excess to need .....	---	-39,594	---
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL .....	38,001	38,001	---
MOBILIZATION DESIGNEES .....	5,683	6,683	---
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATIONS .....	7,300	7,300	---
FACILITIES .....	12,032	12,032	---
TRAVEL .....	624	624	---
OTHER (SUPPLIES) .....	824	824	---
TOTAL, JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND .....	115,058	65,464	-49,594

#### JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	---
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	\$20,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	---
Change from budget request .....	-20,000,000

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

#### SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	---
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	\$10,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,000,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,000,000 for Support for International Sporting Competitions.

#### SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS

The Committee understands that the Support for International Sporting Competitions Fund is one way in which the Department of Defense helps support people with disabilities. The Support for International Sporting Competitions Fund proposed for fiscal year 2015 is a no-year appropriation that provides \$10,000,000 for continuing Department of Defense support to national and inter-

national sporting events. In addition, the Committee is aware that funds remain available from the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2003. The Committee supports the request for fiscal year 2015 and expects the Secretary of Defense to allocate remaining prior year funds towards this effort. The Committee would entertain a reprogramming of funds if required for proper execution of these funds to fully support these events.

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$316,000,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	311,830,000
Committee recommendation .....	311,830,000
Change from budget request .....	-----

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$311,830,000 for the Office of the Inspector General. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

#### EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	310,830	310,830	---
PROCUREMENT .....	1,000	1,000	---
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL .....	311,830	311,830	---

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Since 2008, Congress has provided the Department of Defense Inspector General (DODIG) with additional funding to support increased audit, investigative, assessment, and evaluation capabilities, commonly referred to as the DODIG Growth Plan. The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2013 directed the DODIG to provide an updated requirements plan to establish future staffing objectives based on oversight needs and current budgetary realities. The Committee understands that the Inspector General is currently reviewing future DODIG funding requirements to support its ability to conduct robust oversight and reduce waste, fraud, and abuse in the Department. The Committee believes that the DODIG must have a robust and stable source of funding to operate effectively and efficiently, and it was pleased to see that the fiscal year 2015 budget supports stable funding for the DODIG compared to previous fiscal years. The Committee remains supportive of the revised DODIG funding requirement, which balances both current fiscal realities and its oversight needs, and it directs the Secretary of Defense to request full funding for the DODIG in the fiscal year 2016 budget request and the future years defense plan.



TITLE VII  
RELATED AGENCIES

NATIONAL AND MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS

The National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program budgets funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act consist primarily of resources for the Director of National Intelligence including the Intelligence Community Management staff, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, the National Security Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the intelligence services of the Departments of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and the CIA Retirement and Disability fund.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

The Committee's budget review of classified programs is published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. The intelligence community, Department of Defense, and other organizations are expected to fully comply with the recommendations and directions in the classified annex accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2015.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND  
DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$514,000,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	514,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	514,000,000
Change from budget request .....	- - -

This appropriation provides payments of benefits to qualified beneficiaries in accordance with the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (P.L. 88-643), as amended by Public Law 94-522. This statute authorized the establishment of the CIA Retirement and Disability System for certain employees and authorized the establishment and maintenance of a fund from which benefits would be paid to those beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$514,000,000 for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund. This is a mandatory account.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$528,229,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	510,194,000
Committee recommendation .....	501,194,000
Change from budget request .....	- 9,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$501,194,000 for the Intelligence Community Management Account.

The Committee understands that there is confusion within the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) as to whether section 8105 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 impacts or otherwise hinders the ability of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) to take actions necessary to improve its budget processes by implementing capability-based budget formulation and execution. As the Committee has previously indicated to the ODNI, nothing in this section is intended to inhibit or otherwise preclude the CIA from continuing its ongoing efforts to implement an activity/capability-based budget as has been directed in the appropriations Acts. Nothing in this Act, Committee report, or classified annex accompanying the report, is intended to preclude, nor shall it be construed as precluding the CIA from conducting any further such activity or capability-based budget activities.

#### WILDLIFE TRAFFICKING

The Committee is concerned that wildlife poaching and trafficking, particularly of African elephant ivory, can be used as a source of funding by terrorist organizations, extremist militias, and transnational organized crime syndicates in Central and Eastern Africa. The Committee encourages the Director of National Intelligence to work with American and international law enforcement and partner countries to share information and analysis on transnational criminal organizations, terrorist entities, corrupt officials, and others that facilitate illegal wildlife trafficking.

## TITLE VIII

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The accompanying bill includes 138 general provisions. Most of these provisions were included in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 and many have been included in the Defense Appropriations Acts for a number of years. A description of each provision follows.

Section 8001 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Section 8002 provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Section 8003 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless expressly provided for a greater period of availability elsewhere in the Act.

Section 8004 provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of certain funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 8005 has been amended and provides for the general transfer authority of working capital funds to other military functions.

Section 8006 has been amended and provides that the tables titled "Explanation of Project Level Adjustments" in the Committee report and classified annex shall be carried out in the manner provided by the tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

Section 8007 provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

Section 8008 provides for limitations on the use of transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Section 8009 provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8010 has been amended and provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Section 8011 provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8012 has been amended and provides that civilian personnel of the Department of Defense may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations.

Section 8013 prohibits funding from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.



Section 8014 prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Section 8015 provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Section 8016 provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Section 8017 has been amended and makes permanent the prohibition of funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of certain surplus firearms and small arms ammunition or ammunition components.

Section 8018 provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Section 8019 has been amended and provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Section 8020 provides that no funding for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Section 8021 provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code, in anticipation of receipt of contributions from the Government of Kuwait.

Section 8022 has been amended and provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Section 8023 has been amended and prohibits funding from being used to establish new Department of Defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC), with certain limitations and reduces funding provided for FFRDCs.

Section 8024 provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Section 8025 defines the congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Section 8026 provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense depot maintenance activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels, as well as the production of components and other defense-related articles.

Section 8027 has been amended and provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal defense procurement memorandum of understanding by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Section 8028 provides for the availability of funds contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment

Recovery Account for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1991.

Section 8029 provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units that are excess to the needs of the Air Force located at Grand Forks Air Force Base, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Ellsworth Air Force Base, and Minot Air Force Base to Indian Tribes located in the states of Nevada, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington.

Section 8030 provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Section 8031 has been amended and prohibits the use of Working Capital Funds to purchase specified investment items.

Section 8032 has been amended and provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other programs as specified.

Section 8033 provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for the design, development, and deployment of General Defense Intelligence Program intelligence communications and intelligence information systems.

Section 8034 provides for the availability of funds for the mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

Section 8035 requires the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act, chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code.

Section 8036 provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Section 8037 places certain limitations on the use of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Section 8038 places restrictions on converting to contractor performance an activity or function of the Department of Defense unless it meets certain guidelines provided.

(RESCISSIONS)

Section 8039 has been amended and provides for the rescission of \$964,648,000 from the following programs:

2013 Appropriations:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
MQ-1 payload .....	\$27,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	
Howitzer, SP 155 109A6 .....	5,000,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Mid-tier networking vehicular radio .....	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
RQ-4 UAV .....	47,200,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Standard missile .....	27,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C-130 modifications .....	12,500,000
MQ-1B modifications .....	16,300,000
MQ-1B spares .....	4,500,000
MQ-9 .....	37,800,000

Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Minuteman III modifications .....	7,100,000
Missile support equipment .....	6,700,000
2014 Appropriations:	
Other Procurement, Army:	
JTRS HMS radio .....	100,000,000
WIN-T increment 2 .....	100,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
Aviation life support modifications .....	6,267,000
Common electronic countermeasures .....	17,355,000
E-2D hawkeye .....	30,000,000
F/A-18E/F advance procurement .....	75,000,000
P-8A contract savings .....	43,000,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Classified programs .....	25,000,000
Rolling airframe missile .....	5,036,000
Sidewinder .....	5,000,000
Standard missile .....	46,400,000
Tomahawk obsolescence .....	10,000,000
Other Procurement, Navy:	
National airspace system .....	1,505,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
A-10 .....	45,300,000
MQ-1B spares .....	2,100,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Evolved expendable launch vehicle .....	118,685,000
Minuteman III modifications .....	2,500,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
Biometric enabled intelligence .....	5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
Amphibious combat vehicle .....	78,800,000
JATAS termination .....	20,000,000
Marine Corps combat services support .....	6,600,000

Section 8040 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Section 8041 prohibits funding from being obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless specifically appropriated for that purpose.

Section 8042 provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the combatant commands, defense agencies, and joint intelligence activities.

Section 8043 prohibits funds from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003 level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Section 8044 has been amended and prohibits the transfer of Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds to other agencies except as specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Section 8045 prohibits funding from being used for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Section 8046 prohibits funding from being used to purchase supercomputers which are not manufactured in the United States.

Section 8047 prohibits funding made available in this or any other Act from being used to pay the salary of anyone who ap-

proves or implements a transfer of administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without express authorization of the Congress.

Section 8048 provides for prior congressional notification of article or service transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Section 8049 prohibits funding from being used for contractor bonuses being paid due to business restructuring.

Section 8050 provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available for pay of military personnel in connection with support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Section 8051 provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Section 8052 provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Section 8053 provides for the availability of funds to implement cost effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Landstuhl Army Regional Medical Center, and Ramstein Air Base, Germany.

Section 8054 provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Section 8055 provides for a waiver of "Buy America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Section 8056 prohibits funding from being used to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Section 8057 prohibits funding in this Act from being used for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Section 8058 provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8059 provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Section 8060 prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Section 8061 provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Section 8062 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and is designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Section 8063 provides for a waiver by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Section 8064 provides for a limitation on funding from being used to support non-appropriated funds that purchase alcoholic beverages.

Section 8065 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Army to other activities of the Federal Government for classified purposes.

Section 8066 has been amended and provides for the forced matching of disbursements and obligations made by the Department of Defense in the current fiscal year.

Section 8067 provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide to the Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund.

Section 8068 provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Section 8069 has been amended and provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Section 8070 prohibits funding from being obligated to modify command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command operational and administrative control of Navy forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet or to give United States Transportation Command operational and administrative control of certain aircraft.

Section 8071 has been amended and provides for the funding of prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Section 8072 has been amended and provides that funds made available in this Act for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for the current fiscal year.

Section 8073 prohibits funding from being used to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Section 8074 has been amended and provides that the budget of the President for the subsequent fiscal year shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations for the military personnel, operation and maintenance, and procurement accounts.

Section 8075 prohibits funding from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Section 8076 provides the Secretary of Defense with the authority to make grants in the amounts specified.

Section 8077 prohibits funding from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Section 8078 prohibits funding from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Section 8079 provides that at the time members of reserve components of the armed forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Section 8080 provides for the use of current and expired Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Section 8081 prohibits funding from being used to transfer program authority relating to current tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army and requires the Army to retain responsibility for and operational control of the MQ-1C unmanned aerial vehicle.

Section 8082 provides funding under certain conditions for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program for the purpose of enabling the Pacific Command to execute certain Theater Security Cooperation activities.

Section 8083 has been amended and limits the availability of funding provided for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology, which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Section 8084 provides for the adjustment of obligations within the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation.

Section 8085 has been amended and provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence for the current fiscal year.

Section 8086 is new and prohibits changes to the Army Contracting Command-New Jersey without prior notification.

Section 8087 provides for the transfer of funds by the Director of National Intelligence to other departments and agencies for the purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Section 8088 provides for limitations on funding provided for the National Intelligence Program to be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds in accordance with section 102A(d) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-1(d)).

Section 8089 directs the Director of National Intelligence to submit a future-years intelligence program reflecting estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations.

Section 8090 defines the congressional intelligence committees as being the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Section 8091 directs the Department of Defense to continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Enduring Freedom or any other named operations in the Central Command area of operation on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution Report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Section 8092 provides the authority to transfer funding from operation and maintenance accounts for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to the central fund for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Section 8093 provides that funds appropriated in this Act may be available for the purpose of making remittances and transfers to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Section 8094 provides that any agency receiving funds made available in this Act shall post on a public website any report required to be submitted by Congress with certain exceptions.

Section 8095 prohibits the use of funds for federal contracts in excess of \$1,000,000 unless the contractor agrees not to require, as a condition of employment, that employees or independent contractors agree to resolve through arbitration any claim or tort related to, or arising out of, sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention, and to certify that each covered subcontractor agrees to do the same.

Section 8096 has been amended and provides funds for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Section 8097 prohibits the Office of the Director of National Intelligence from employing more Senior Executive employees than are specified in the classified annex.

Section 8098 prohibits funding from being used to pay a retired general or flag officer to serve as a senior mentor advising the Department of Defense unless such retired officer files a Standard Form 278 or successor form.

Section 8099 provides for the purchase of heavy and light armed vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection purposes up to a limit of \$250,000 per vehicle.

Section 8100 has been amended and provides grants through the Office of Economic Adjustment to support critical existing and enduring military installation and missions on Guam, as well as any potential Department of Defense growth.

Section 8101 prohibits the Secretary of Defense from taking beneficial occupancy of more than 3,000 parking spaces to be provided by the BRAC 133 project unless certain conditions are met.

Section 8102 requires monthly reporting of the civilian personnel end strength by appropriation account to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8103 has been amended and prohibits funding to separate, or to consolidate from within, the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget.

Section 8104 has been amended and provides the Director of National Intelligence with general transfer authority with certain limitations.

Section 8105 has been amended and provides \$540,000,000 to remain available in the Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund until September 30, 2021 for the purpose of manning, operating, sustaining, equipping, and modernizing Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruisers.

~~\$547,100,000~~ ) Section 8106 reduces the total amount appropriated in this Act by ~~\$547,100,000~~ to reflect savings due to favorable exchange rates.

Section 8107 prohibits funding to transfer or release any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112-74.

Section 8108 prohibits funding to modify any United States facility (other than the facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba) to house any

individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112-74.

Section 8109 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with corporations with unpaid tax liabilities.

Section 8110 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with a corporation that was convicted of a federal criminal violation in the past 24 months.

Section 8111 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000.

Section 8112 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Child Soldiers Prevention Act of 2008.

Section 8113 prohibits funding from being used to violate the War Powers Resolution Act.

Section 8114 prohibits funds from being used to purchase or lease new light duty vehicles except in accordance with the Presidential Memorandum-Federal Fleet Performance.

Section 8115 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract with a person or entity listed in the Excluded Parties List System/System for Award Management as having been convicted of fraud against the Federal Government.

Section 8116 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to Rosoboronexport, except under certain conditions.

Section 8117 prohibits the use of funds for the purchase of manufacture of a United States flag unless such flags are treated as covered items under section 2533a(b) of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8118 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds for purposes of high priority sexual assault prevention and response program requirements.

Section 8119 prohibits the use of funds for certain activities in Afghanistan.

Section 8120 provides that funds may be used to provide ex gratia payments to local military commanders for damage, personal injury, or death that is incident to combat operations in a foreign country.

Section 8121 has been amended and prohibits the use of funds to conduct any environmental study related to Minuteman III silos.

Section 8122 prohibits the use of funds to cancel the avionics modernization program of record for C-130 aircraft.

Section 8123 has been amended and prohibits the use of funding to reduce the force structure at Lajes Field, Azores, Portugal.

Section 8124 prohibits the use of operation and maintenance funds in contradiction to 49 U.S. Code Section 41106 pertaining to the Civil Reserve Air Fleet.

Section 8125 prohibits the use of funds for flight demonstration teams outside of the United States.

Section 8126 prohibits the use of funds providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation.

Section 8127 prohibits the use of funds by the National Security Agency targeting American persons under authorities granted in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978.



Section 8128 is new and provides for the transfer of funds to the Maritime Administration for expenses related to the Ready Reserve Force.

Section 8129 is new and provides for the transfer of up to \$1,000,000 to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development Trust Fund.

Section 8130 is new and provides \$533,500,000 to fully fund an increase in basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law.

Section 8131 is new and provides \$244,700,000 for basic allowance for housing for military personnel.

Section 8132 is new and prohibits the use of funds to reduce, convert, decommission, or otherwise move to nondeployed status any Minuteman III ballistic missile silo that contains a deployed missile.

Section 8133 is new and prohibits the use of funds to divest E-3 airborne warning and control system aircraft.

Section 8134 is new and prohibits the use of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty until ratified by the Senate.

Section 8135 is new and provides \$139,000,000 to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations.

Section 8136 is new and prohibits the transfer of certain helicopters from the Army National Guard to the regular Army.

Section 8137 is new and provides \$1,000,000,000 for military readiness.

Section 8138 is new and limits the availability of funds for activities authorized under Section 1208 of Public Law 112-81.

Section 8139 is new and prohibits the use of funds in contravention of Sec. 1035 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014.

Section 8140 is new and prohibits the use of funds to implement changes to hair standards and grooming policies for female servicemembers.

TITLE IX  
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In title IX, the Committee recommends total new appropriations of \$79,445,000,000 as a placeholder for ongoing military operations in Afghanistan. Despite a recent announcement from the Administration regarding plans for an enduring military presence in Afghanistan, no Overseas Contingency Operations budget request has been submitted; therefore this level is subject to change. This new discretionary spending authority for the Department of Defense will provide the necessary resources for deployed servicemembers, including funding for personnel requirements, operational needs, new aircraft to replace combat losses, combat vehicle safety modifications, and maintenance of facilities and equipment.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Title IX contains several general provisions, many of which extend or modify war-related authorities included in previous Acts. A brief description of the recommended provisions follows:

Section 9001 provides that funds made available in this title are in addition to funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for the current fiscal year.

Section 9002 provides for general transfer authority within title IX.

Section 9003 provides that supervision and administration costs associated with a construction project funded with appropriations available for operation and maintenance, the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, or the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund may be obligated at the time a construction contract is awarded.

Section 9004 provides for the procurement of passenger motor vehicles and heavy and light armored vehicles for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the Central Command area of responsibility.

Section 9005 has been amended and provides funding for the Commander's Emergency Response Program, with certain limitations.

Section 9006 provides lift and sustainment resources to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Section 9007 prohibits the establishment of permanent bases in Iraq or Afghanistan or United States control over Iraq oil resources.

Section 9008 prohibits the use of funding in contravention of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

Section 9009 limits the obligation of funding for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund until certain conditions have been met.

Section 9010 provides for the purchase of items of a particular investment unit cost from funding made available for operation and maintenance.

Section 9011 has been amended and provides funding for the operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams.

Section 9012 has been amended and restricts funds provided in operation and maintenance accounts for payments of Coalition Support Funds for reimbursement to the Government of Pakistan until certain conditions are met.

Section 9013 prohibits the use of funds with respect to Syria in contravention of the War Powers Resolution.

Section 9014 prohibits the use of funds in the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund for new construction projects.

Section 9015 is new and limits the obligation of funding for Overseas Contingency Operations until certain conditions have been met.

## TITLE X

Title X contains one new general provision. A brief description of the recommended provision follows:

Section 10001 is new and provides that the amount by which new budget authority exceeds spending caps is \$0.

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the Rules of the House of Representatives:

#### CHANGES IN THE APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of law.

The bill includes a number of provisions, which have been virtually unchanged for many years that are technically considered legislation.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authorizing legislation does not presently authorize each extended availability.

In various places in the bill, the Committee has allocated funds within appropriation accounts in order to fund specific programs.

Changes in the application of existing law found within appropriations headings:

Language is included in various accounts placing a limitation on funds for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not more than \$15,000,000 for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund.

Language is included that provides not more than \$36,000,000 for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not less than \$36,262,000 for the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program, of which not less than \$3,600,000 shall be available for centers.

Language is included that makes available \$8,881,000 for certain classified activities, allows such funds to be transferred between certain accounts, and exempts such funds from the investment item unit cost of items ceiling.

Language is included that provides that any transfer authority provided under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.

Language is included under the various Environmental Restoration accounts that provides that the Service Secretaries may transfer such funds for the purposes of the funds provided under such appropriations headings, and provides that such transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in the Act.

Language is included that provides for specific construction, acquisition, or conversion of vessels under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy".

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy" that provides funds for certain activities.

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide" that provides \$250,000,000 for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program and provides for the transfer of funds subject to certain requirements.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$8,000,000 of funds provided under "Defense Health Program" shall be available for HIV/AIDS prevention education activities.

Language is included that provides for the carry-over of one percent of the Operation and Maintenance account under the "Defense Health Program".

Language is included that limits obligation of funds provided under the "Defense Health Program" that limits the obligation of funds provided for the Interagency Program Management Office, the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization program, and the Defense Medical Information Exchange until submission and approval of an expenditure plan describing certain matters.

Language is included that specifies the use of certain funds provided under "Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction".

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities". Such transfer authority shall be in addition to other transfer authority provided elsewhere in the Act.

Language is included that makes funds available for certain purposes notwithstanding any other provision of law, under "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund".

Language is included under "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund" that provides for the transfer of such funds for the purposes of such fund to other appropriations accounts, provides that such transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in the Act, and requires prior notification of such transfers.

Language is included under "Support for International Sporting Competitions" providing for purposes of such funds.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Language is included that provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless express provision for a greater period of availability is provided elsewhere in this Act.

Language is included that provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year with certain exceptions.

Language is included that provides for general transfer authority.

Language is included that provides for incorporation of project level tables.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2015 and prohibits certain reprogrammings until after submission of a report.

Language is included that provides for limitations on the use and transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Language is included that provides that prohibits funds appropriated in this Act to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Language is included that provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that civilian personnel of the Department may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations, sets forth certain requirements related to end strength for the budget submission, and requires that Department of Army Science and Technology be managed based on budget availability.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Language is included that prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of surplus firearms.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Language is included that provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Language is included that provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Language is included that provides for the number of staff years of technical effort that may be funded for defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Language is included that defines congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Language is included that provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels, as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles.

Language is included that provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy America Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal trade agreement by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the 1991 National Defense Authorization Act, namely facility maintenance and repair and environmental restoration at military installations in the United States.

Language is included that provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units located at Grand Forks, Malmstrom, Mountain Home, Ellsworth, and Minot Air Force Bases to Indian Tribes that are excess to Air Force needs.

Language is included that provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase of specified investment items within Working Capital Fund.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appro-

appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other certain programs authorized under section 503 of the National Security Act.

Language is included that provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for intelligence communications and intelligence information systems for the Services, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the component commands.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$12,000,000 within "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be for mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act (chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code).

Language is included that provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Language is included that provides for the limitations of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Language is included that provides grant authorities for the Department of Defense acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment.

Language is included that provides for the limitations on the conversion of an activity or function of the Department of Defense to contractor performance.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of previously appropriated funds.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless appropriated for that purpose.

Language is included that provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, and Joint Intelligence Activities.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003, level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Language is included that provides that Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies' drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds may not be transferred to other agencies unless specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.



Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase supercomputers manufactured only in the United States unless the Secretary certifies such acquisition must be made for national security purposes.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this or any other Act to transfer administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without expressed authorization of the Congress.

Language is included that provides for prior congressional notification of article transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for contractor bonuses from being paid due to business restructuring.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to be used to support personnel supporting approved non-traditional defense activities.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Language is included that provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds provided by this Act to implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Germany.

Language is included that provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Language is included that provides for a waiver of the "Buy America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Language is included that provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center—fire cartridge and designated as “armor piercing” except for demilitarization purposes.

Language is included that provides for a waiver by the Chief, National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to purchase alcoholic beverages.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under “Operation and Maintenance, Army” to other activities of the Federal Government for classified purposes.

Language is included that provides for the forced matching of disbursement and obligations made by the Department of Defense in fiscal year 2015.

Language is included that provides a limitation of \$200,000,000 for transfer to the Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund, and set forth certain reporting requirements prior to such transfer.

Language is included that provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Language is included that provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative missile defense programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to be obligated to modify the command and control relationship to give the Fleet Forces Command administration and operations control of U.S. Naval Forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to properly complete prior year shipbuilding programs.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in this Act are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until enactment of the authorization Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Language is included that provides that the budget of the President for fiscal year 2015 shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces’ participation in contingency operations that contain certain budget exhibits as defined in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Language is included that provides the Secretary of Defense discretionary authority to make grants to the United Service Organizations and the Red Cross if he determines it to be in the national interest.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Language is included that provides that at the time members of reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation to any available Navy ship construction appropriation to liquidate costs caused by rate adjustments or other economic factors.

Language is included that provides for the use of current and expired "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Language is included that prohibits the transfer of program authorities related to tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army.

Language is included that provides funding and authority for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative program.

Language is included that limits the obligation authority of funds provided for the Director of National Intelligence to the current fiscal year except for research and technology which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Language is included that provides for the adjustment of obligations within the "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" appropriation.

Language is included that prohibits transfers of funds until the Director of National Intelligence submits a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities.

Language is included that prohibits the realignment or personnel reductions for certain Army command sites within prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of up to \$20,000,000 of funds available for the Program Manager for Information Sharing Environment to be transferred to other departments and agencies for certain purposes.

Language is included that sets forth reprogramming and transfer procedures for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides that the Director of National Intelligence shall provide budget exhibits identifying the five year future-years intelligence program.

Language is included that defines the congressional intelligence committees.

Language is included that directs the Department of Defense to report on the Cost of War Execution Report on a monthly basis.

Language is included that provides that funds from certain operation and maintenance accounts may be transferred to a central fund established pursuant to section 2493(d) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that funds may be used for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language is included that provides that agencies post congressionally directed reports on a public website.

Language is included that provides limitations on the award of contracts to contractors that require mandatory arbitration for certain claims as a condition of employment or a contractual relationship. The Secretary is authorized to waive the applicability of these limitations for national security interests.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language is included that provides a limitation on the number of senior executives employed by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

Language is included that provides a limitation on certain senior mentors unless such mentors make certain financial disclosures.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase heavy and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles.

Language is included that provides availability of funds appropriated under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" for activities related to the military buildup of Guam.

Language is included that places limitations on the number of parking spaces provided by the BRAC 133 project, with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports on civilian personnel end strength.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of funds to make certain modifications to the appropriations account structure or the allotment, obligation and disbursement processes.

Language is included that provides transfer authority for the Director of National Intelligence for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for the purpose of manning, operating, sustaining, equipping, and modernizing certain guided missile cruisers subject to certain requirements.

Language is included that prohibits funds to transfer or release certain individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions.

Language is included that prohibits funds to construct, acquire, or modify any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation with an unpaid Federal tax liability.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation convicted of a felony criminal violation within the preceding 24 months.

Language is included that prohibits the Department of Defense from entering into certain agreements with Rosoboronexport with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase or manufacture of a United States flag unless such flag is treated as a covered item under section 2533a(b).

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority to the Services to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities.

Language is included that provides for the payment of ex gratia payments in certain circumstances subject to certain conditions.

Language is included that limits force structure changes at Lajes Field, Azores, Portugal except in accordance with section 1048 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to the Ready Reserve Force for expenses related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language is included that provides funds for transfer to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for pay for military personnel.

Language is included that provides for an additional appropriation and transfer authority for basic allowance for housing for military personnel.

Language is included that sets forth certain reporting requirements related to airborne warning and control.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for construction, renovation, repair, and expansion of public schools on military installations.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for military readiness improvements.

Language is included that requires certain notification on funds provided under section 1208 authorities, with a waiver for exigent circumstances.

Language is included that requires the Chiefs of National Guard and Reserve components to submit the modernization priority assessment for their respective components to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in title IX are in addition to amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015.

Language is included that provides for transfer authority between appropriations made available in title IX.

Language is included that provides for supervision and administration costs associated with overseas contingency operations.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase passenger, heavy, and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles for use in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility.

Language is included that provides funds and authority for the Commander's Emergency Response Program and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that authorizes the use of funds to provide certain assistance to coalition forces supporting military and sta-

bility operations in Afghanistan and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Afghanistan Security Forces Funds and requires certain certifications.

Language is included that authorizes funds in title IX for the purchase of items having an investment unit cost of up to \$250,000, subject to certain conditions.

Language is included that authorizes up to \$150,000,000 under the heading "Operation and Maintenance" for the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams, and operations and activities authorized in the fiscal year 2015 National Defense Authorization, subject to written notice requirements.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of previously appropriated funds.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Coalition Support Funds for the Government of Pakistan.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act in contravention of Sec. 1035 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to implement changes to hair standards and grooming policies for female servicemembers.

Language is included that limits the obligation of funding for Overseas Contingency Operations until certain conditions have been met.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(B)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

(In thousands of dollars)

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization Level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill 2018	2018 compared to 2017	2018 compared to 2014
<b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE</b>						
Military Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	40,908,919	41,180,729	463,715	274,810
Military Personnel, Navy	2014	(1)	27,871,555	27,387,344	311,411	-284,211
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	2014	(1)	12,826,857	12,785,431	224,432	-41,426
Military Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	28,352,963	27,584,362	-569,747	-818,801
Reserve Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	4,483,343	4,304,159	-152,684	-179,134
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2014	(1)	1,875,536	1,838,024	-35,654	-39,512
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	2014	(1)	865,496	859,224	-7,363	-6,278
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	1,745,579	1,652,148	-91,727	-93,431
National Guard Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	7,958,568	7,644,632	-444,845	-313,938
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	3,130,381	3,110,587	-47,428	-18,774
Operation and Maintenance, Army	2014	35,897,777	35,183,786	32,671,080	-3,750,758	-2,511,816
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	2014	40,402,605	40,127,452	39,073,643	-2,390,180	-1,053,859
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	2014	6,385,650	6,298,757	5,984,680	-90,987	-314,077
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2014	37,540,287	37,436,701	35,024,180	-384,635	-2,414,541
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	2014	32,760,412	32,301,885	30,896,741	-884,072	-1,404,844
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2014	3,207,238	3,199,151	2,535,606	-663,817	-863,545
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	2014	1,213,552	1,200,283	1,011,827	-244,620	-189,468
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	2014	263,617	266,561	270,486	-6,892	3,824
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2014	3,189,177	3,149,048	2,989,214	-372,927	-158,832
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	2014	7,100,089	7,102,113	6,116,307	-1,071,424	-985,808
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	2014	8,594,204	8,875,999	6,393,919	-214,907	-282,080
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	2014	13,808	13,808	13,723	207	117
Environmental Restoration, Army	2014	298,815	298,815	201,580	-134,361	-97,255
Environmental Restoration, Navy	2014	316,103	316,103	277,284	-33,300	-38,809
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	2014	438,820	438,820	408,710	-120,547	-31,104
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	2014	10,575	10,757	8,547	-2,698	-2,210
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	2014	237,443	262,443	233,353	-4,190	-29,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	2014	108,759	109,500	103,000	-5,759	-6,600
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	2014	518,111	528,455	365,108	-164,003	-163,347
Aircraft Procurement, Army	2014	5,028,328	5,238,853	5,285,957	-619,269	59,304
Missile Procurement, Army	2014	1,334,083	1,628,083	1,217,463	-385,206	-410,600
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	2014	1,602,328	1,545,580	1,700,736	-180,970	158,178
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2014	1,455,837	1,486,937	1,011,477	-565,291	-434,460
Other Procurement, Army	2014	6,410,318	6,457,751	4,812,234	-1,675,811	-1,855,517
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	2014	17,875,403	17,092,784	14,054,523	-3,483,801	-3,038,281
Weapons Procurement, Navy	2014	3,110,143	3,017,949	3,111,931	39,819	94,285
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	2014	589,267	544,118	829,372	-47,871	-85,258
Shipyards and Conversion, Navy	2014	14,734,033	15,000,704	14,256,361	-879,765	-744,343
Other Procurement, Navy	2014	6,267,252	6,824,824	5,923,379	-440,812	-901,445
Procurement, Marine Corps	2014	1,325,503	1,271,311	927,232	-354,848	-344,079
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	2014	11,323,884	10,880,606	12,046,941	742,042	1,188,338
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2014	5,343,286	5,287,119	4,548,211	-902,935	-720,808
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	2014	798,442	743,442	848,300	49,008	-56,242
Other Procurement, Air Force	2014	16,746,840	16,781,497	16,833,023	448	-158,474
Procurement, Defense-Wide	2014	4,635,304	4,522,960	4,358,121	-71,214	-164,859
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	2014	7,954,132	7,961,486	8,720,000	-1,873,055	-1,241,486
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	2014	15,881,821	15,368,352	15,877,770	-1,109,988	509,418
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	2014	25,718,948	24,847,354	23,438,882	-1,678,710	-1,508,372
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	2014	18,218,284	17,895,538	17,077,800	-2,022,482	-807,838
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	2014	186,300	248,800	248,238	82,870	1,438
Defense Working Capital Funds	2014	1,412,510	1,545,827	1,334,486	-161,715	-211,358
National Defense Sealift Fund	2014	618,500	595,700	0	-564,638	-595,700
Defense Health Program	2014	32,830,528	33,573,582	31,634,870	-1,227,364	-1,938,712
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense	2014	1,057,123	1,057,123	828,868	-472,818	-228,255
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	2014	838,545	1,007,762	944,887	-186,878	-89,075
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	2014	0	0	65,464	-131,950	65,464
Office of the Inspector General	2014	348,000	347,000	311,830	-38,491	-35,170
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	2014	N/A	514,000	514,000	0	0
Intelligence Community Management Account	2014	N/A	552,635	501,194	-10,282	-51,341
Title IX - Overseas Deployments and Other Activities	2014	80,719,789	85,788,849	79,445,000	-8,763,906	-8,323,949
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	2014	400,000	1,500,000	2,000,000	0	500,000

1/ The FY 2014 National Defense Authorization Act authorizes \$136,383,731,000 for military personnel. Note: The bill includes several transfers of funds which may or may not be specifically authorized in law.

## TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is submitted describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill.

Language has been included under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for certain classified activities.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Army" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Navy" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Air Force" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation for specific purposes.

Language has been included under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel of the reserve components; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; and for research, development, test and evaluation for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under "Joint Improvised Explosive Devise Defeat Fund" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; for research, development, test and evaluation; and for defense working capital funds for purposes related to assisting United States forces in the defeat of improvised explosive devices.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8005" which provides for the transfer of working capital funds to other appropriations accounts of the Department of Defense for military functions.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8008" which provides for the transfer of funds between working capital funds and the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense" ap-



appropriation and the "Operation and Maintenance" appropriation accounts.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8015" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program to any other appropriation for the purposes of implementing a Mentor-Protégé Program development assistance agreement.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8050" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to appropriations available for the pay of military personnel in connection with support and services of eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8065" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the federal government.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8067" which provides for the transfer of funds available under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to the Department of State "Global Security Contingency Fund".

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8069" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Procurement, Defense-Wide" and "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide" for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8071" which provides for the transfer of funds under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" to fund prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8087" which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in the Intelligence Community Management Account for the Program Manager for the Information Sharing Environment to other departments and agencies for the purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8092" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army", "Operation and Maintenance, Navy", and "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" to the central fund established for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8093" which provides that funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for the purpose of making remittances to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8096" which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Program to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8104" which provides for the transfer of funds for the National Intelligence Program.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8105" which provides for the transfer of funds from the "Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund" to appropriations

for military personnel; operations and maintenance; research, development, test and evaluation; and procurement only for certain purposes.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8118” which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense to the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force, including Reserve and National Guard, to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8128” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operations and Maintenance, Navy” to the Ready Reserve Force, Maritime Administration account of the Department of Transportation for the expenses related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8129” which provides for the transfer of funds to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8130” which provides for the transfer of funds for pay for military personnel for purposes of fully funding the authorized military pay raise.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8131” which provides for the transfer of funds for basic allowance for housing for military personnel.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8135” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide” for transfer to the Secretary of Education to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 8137” which provides for the transfer of funds to the operation and maintenance accounts of the Army, Navy, Marine, and Air Force, including National Guard and reserve, for purposes of improving military readiness.

Language has been included under title IX “Other Appropriations” which provides for the transfer of funds to Defense Health Program, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund, Office of the Inspector General, and Defense Working Capital Fund.

Language has been included under “General Provisions, Sec. 9002” which provides for the authority to transfer funds between the appropriations or funds made available to the Department of Defense in title IX, subject to certain conditions.

RESCISSIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the rescissions recommended in the accompanying bill:

Aircraft Procurement, Army, 2013/2015 .....	\$27,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army, 2013/2015 .....	5,000,000
Other Procurement, Army, 2013/2015 .....	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015 .....	47,200,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015 .....	27,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015 .....	71,100,000

Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015 .....	13,800,000
Other Procurement, Army, 2014/2016 .....	200,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016 .....	171,622,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016 .....	91,436,000
Other Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016 .....	1,505,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2014/2016 .....	47,400,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2014/2016 .....	121,185,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, 2014/2015 .....	5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, 2014/2015 .....	105,400,000

#### TRANSFER OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the bill contains a general provision which allows for the transfer of unexpended balances from the Operation and Maintenance and Military Personnel accounts to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Defense" account to address shortfalls due to foreign currency fluctuation.

#### DIRECTED RULE MAKING

This bill does not contain any provision that specifically directs the promulgation or completion of a rule.

#### PROGRAM DUPLICATION

No provision of this bill establishes or reauthorizes a program of the Federal Government known to be duplicative of another Federal program, a program that was included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111-139, or a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

#### STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

#### COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(e) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee notes that the accompanying bill does not propose to repeal or amend a statute or part thereof.

#### EARMARK DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Neither the bill nor the report contains any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in Clause 9 of Rule XXI.

COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section 302(a) allocation. This information follows:

~~[INSERT TABLE]~~

Insert 323A

FIVE-YEAR OUTLAY PROJECTIONS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill.

~~[INSERT TABLE]~~

Insert 323B

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

~~FULL COMMITTEE VOTES~~

Insert 323C

Insert 323D-F

Insert 324-337

INSERT ADDITIONAL VIEWS  
338-341

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) Allocation		This Bill	
	Budget Authority	Outlays	Budget Authority	Outlays
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees: Subcommittee on Defense				
Discretionary:				
General Purpose .....	490,944	522,774	490,944	1/ 522,774
Overseas Contingency Operations 2/ .....	79,445	36,839	79,445	36,839
Mandatory.....	514	514	514	514

1/ Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

2/ Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism

323A

[In millions of dollars]

Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:

	<u>Non-OCO</u>		<u>OCO</u>
2015.....	1/ 319,129	1/	36,839
2016.....	99,964		25,039
2017.....	36,482		10,096
2018.....	17,621		3,983
2019 and future years.....	13,352		2,416

1/ Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

Note: OCO is Overseas Contingency

Operations/Global War on Terrorism

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, no new budget or outlays are provided by the accompanying bill for financial assistance to State and local governments.

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 1

Date: June 10, 2014

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2015

Motion by: Mr. Frelinghuysen

Description of Motion: To prohibit funds from being used in contravention of Section 1035 of the Fiscal Year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, with associated report language, and withholding 85 percent of Overseas Contingency Operations funds until the Secretary provides a detailed spend plan for those funds, including the assurance that no funds will be used in contravention of Section 1035 of the Fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act.

Results: Adopted 33yeas to 13 nays

### *Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Aderholt  
Mr. Amodei  
Mr. Calvert  
Mr. Carter  
Mr. Cole  
Mr. Crenshaw  
Mr. Cuellar  
Mr. Dent  
Mr. Diaz-Balart  
Mr. Fleischmann  
Mr. Fortenberry  
Mr. Frelinghuysen  
Ms. Granger  
Mr. Graves  
Dr. Harris  
Ms. Herrera Beutler  
Mr. Joyce  
Ms. Kaptur  
Mr. Kingston  
Mr. Latham  
Mrs. Lowey  
Mr. Owens  
Mrs. Roby  
Mr. Rogers  
Mr. Rooney  
Mr. Ryan  
Mr. Simpson  
Mr. Stewart  
Mr. Valadao  
Mr. Visclosky  
Mr. Wolf  
Mr. Womack  
Mr. Yoder

### *Members Voting Nay*

Ms. DeLauro  
Mr. Farr  
Mr. Fattah  
Mr. Honda  
Ms. Lee  
Ms. McCollum  
Mr. Pastor  
Ms. Pingree  
Mr. Price  
Mr. Quigley  
Ms. Roybal-Allard  
Mr. Schiff  
Ms. Wasserman Schultz



## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

### ROLL CALL NO. 2

Date: June 10, 2014

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2015

Motion by: Ms. Lee

Description of Motion: To prohibit funds from being obligated or expended pursuant to the Authorization of Military Force Against Iraq Resolution of 2002.

Results: Defeated 17 yeas to 31 nays.

#### *Members Voting Yea*

Ms. DeLauro  
Mr. Farr  
Mr. Fattah  
Mr. Honda  
Ms. Kaptur  
Ms. Lee  
Mrs. Lowey  
Ms. McCollum  
Mr. Pastor  
Ms. Pingree  
Mr. Price  
Mr. Quigley  
Ms. Roybal-Allard  
Mr. Ryan  
Mr. Schiff  
Mr. Visclosky  
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

#### *Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
Mr. Amodei  
Mr. Bishop  
Mr. Calvert  
Mr. Carter  
Mr. Cole  
Mr. Crenshaw  
Mr. Cuellar  
Mr. Culberson  
Mr. Dent  
Mr. Diaz-Balart  
Mr. Fleischmann  
Mr. Fortenberry  
Mr. Frelinghuysen  
Ms. Granger  
Mr. Graves  
Dr. Harris  
Ms. Herrera Beutler  
Mr. Joyce  
Mr. Kingston  
Mr. Latham  
Mr. Owens  
Mrs. Roby  
Mr. Rogers  
Mr. Rooney  
Mr. Simpson  
Mr. Stewart  
Mr. Valadao  
Mr. Wolf  
Mr. Womack  
Mr. Yoder

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

### ROLL CALL NO. 3

Date: June 10, 2014

Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2015

Motion by: Ms. Lee

Description of Motion: To require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, to submit a classified report, with an unclassified summary, no later than 180 days after enactment, and every 180 days thereafter, on each activity that is being carried out pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force.

Results: Defeated 21 yeas to 27 nays

#### *Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Cuellar  
Ms. DeLauro  
Mr. Farr  
Mr. Fattah  
Mr. Fortenberry  
Ms. Herrera Beutler  
Mr. Honda  
Ms. Kaptur  
Ms. Lee  
Mrs. Lowey  
Ms. McCollum  
Mr. Pastor  
Ms. Pingree  
Mr. Price  
Mr. Quigley  
Mr. Rooney  
Ms. Roybal-Allard  
Mr. Ryan  
Mr. Schiff  
Mr. Visclosky  
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

#### *Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
Mr. Amodei  
Mr. Bishop  
Mr. Calvert  
Mr. Carter  
Mr. Cole  
Mr. Crenshaw  
Mr. Culberson  
Mr. Dent  
Mr. Diaz-Balart  
Mr. Fleischmann  
Mr. Frelinghuysen  
Ms. Granger  
Mr. Graves  
Dr. Harris  
Mr. Joyce  
Mr. Kingston  
Mr. Latham  
Mr. Owens  
Mrs. Roby  
Mr. Rogers  
Mr. Simpson  
Mr. Stewart  
Mr. Valadao  
Mr. Wolf  
Mr. Womack  
Mr. Yoder

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE I</b>					
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL</b>					
Military Personnel, Army.....	40,787,967	41,225,339	41,183,729	+395,762	-41,610
Military Personnel, Navy.....	27,231,578	27,489,440	27,387,344	+155,832	-102,096
Military Personnel, Marine Corps.....	12,766,099	12,919,103	12,785,431	+19,332	-133,672
Military Personnel, Air Force.....	28,519,993	27,815,926	27,564,362	-955,631	-251,564
Reserve Personnel, Army.....	4,377,563	4,459,130	4,304,159	-73,404	-154,971
Reserve Personnel, Navy.....	1,843,966	1,865,034	1,836,024	-7,942	-27,010
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps.....	655,109	670,754	659,224	+4,115	-11,530
Reserve Personnel, Air Force.....	1,723,159	1,675,518	1,652,148	-71,011	-23,370
National Guard Personnel, Army.....	7,776,498	7,682,892	7,644,632	-131,866	-38,260
National Guard Personnel, Air Force.....	3,114,421	3,156,457	3,110,587	-3,834	-45,870
<b>Total, Title I, Military Personnel.....</b>	<b>128,796,287</b>	<b>128,957,593</b>	<b>128,127,640</b>	<b>-668,647</b>	<b>-829,953</b>
<b>TITLE II</b>					
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>					
Operation and Maintenance, Army.....	30,768,069	33,240,148	32,671,980	+1,903,941	-568,168
Operation and Maintenance, Navy.....	36,311,160	39,316,857	39,073,543	+2,762,383	-243,314

324

**KILL PAGES,  
INSERT NEW PAGES,  
ATTACHED**

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps.....	5,397,605	5,909,487	5,984,680	+587,075	+75,193
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force.....	33,248,618	35,331,193	35,024,160	+1,775,542	-307,033
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide.....	31,450,068	31,198,232	30,896,741	-553,327	-301,491
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve.....	2,940,336	2,490,569	2,535,606	-405,330	+45,037
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve.....	1,155,382	1,007,100	1,011,827	-146,555	+4,727
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve.....	155,317	268,582	270,485	+15,168	+1,903
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve.....	3,062,207	3,015,842	2,989,214	-72,993	-26,628
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard.....	6,857,538	6,030,773	6,116,307	-741,223	+85,534
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard.....	6,392,304	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,615	+1,060
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.....	---	5,000	---	---	-5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.....	13,606	13,723	13,723	+117	---
Environmental Restoration, Army.....	298,815	201,560	201,560	-97,255	---
Environmental Restoration, Navy.....	316,103	277,294	277,294	-38,809	---
Environmental Restoration, Air Force.....	439,820	408,716	408,716	-31,104	---
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.....	10,757	8,547	8,547	-2,210	---
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.....	287,443	208,353	208,353	-54,090	+25,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.....	109,500	100,000	103,500	-6,500	+3,000
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.....	500,455	365,108	365,108	-135,347	---
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.....	51,031	212,875	51,875	+844	-161,000
<b>Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance.....</b>	<b>159,869,726</b>	<b>166,002,818</b>	<b>164,631,638</b>	<b>+4,761,812</b>	<b>-1,371,180</b>

3215

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE III					
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army.....	4,844,891	5,702,685	5,295,957	+451,066	+193,272
Missile Procurement, Army.....	1,549,491	1,017,483	1,217,483	-332,008	+200,000
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army.....	1,610,817	1,471,438	1,703,736	+92,925	+232,298
Procurement of Ammunition, Army.....	1,444,067	1,031,477	1,011,477	-432,590	-20,000
Other Procurement, Army.....	4,930,908	1,893,634	4,812,234	-124,674	-81,400
Aircraft Procurement, Navy.....	16,442,794	13,074,317	14,054,523	-2,388,271	+980,206
Weapons Procurement, Navy.....	3,009,157	3,217,045	3,111,931	+102,774	-106,014
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps.....	549,316	771,945	629,372	+80,056	-142,573
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy.....	15,231,364	14,400,625	14,256,361	-975,003	-144,264
Other Procurement, Navy.....	5,572,618	5,975,828	5,923,379	+350,761	-52,449
Procurement, Marine Corps.....	1,240,958	983,352	957,232	-313,726	-56,120
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force.....	10,379,180	11,542,571	12,046,541	+1,667,761	+504,370
Missile Procurement, Air Force.....	4,446,763	4,890,506	4,546,211	+99,448	-144,295
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force.....	729,677	677,400	648,200	-81,477	-29,200
Other Procurement, Air Force.....	18,572,754	16,566,018	16,633,023	60,269	+67,005
Procurement, Defense-Wide.....	4,240,416	4,221,437	4,358,121	+117,705	+136,684
Defense Production Act Purchases.....	60,135	21,638	51,638	-8,497	+30,000
Total, Title III, Procurement.....	92,851,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	-1,567,520

326

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE IV</b>					
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION</b>					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.....	7,126,318	6,593,898	6,720,000	-406,318	+126,102
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy.....	14,949,919	16,286,335	15,877,770	+927,851	-388,565
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force.....	23,585,250	23,739,892	23,438,982	-146,310	-300,910
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	17,086,412	16,766,084	17,077,900	-8,512	+311,816
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense.....	246,800	167,736	248,238	+1,438	+80,500
<b>Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....</b>	<b>62,994,741</b>	<b>63,533,947</b>	<b>63,362,890</b>	<b>+368,149</b>	<b>-171,057</b>
<b>TITLE V</b>					
<b>REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS</b>					
Defense Working Capital Funds.....	1,649,214	1,234,468	1,334,468	-314,746	+100,000
National Defense Sealift Fund.....	597,213	---	---	-597,213	---
<b>Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds.....</b>	<b>2,246,427</b>	<b>1,234,468</b>	<b>1,334,468</b>	<b>-911,959</b>	<b>+100,000</b>

327

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program					
Operation and maintenance.....	30,704,995	31,001,911	30,080,563	-624,432	-951,348
Procurement.....	441,764	308,413	308,413	-133,351	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	1,552,399	654,594	1,245,894	-306,505	+591,300
Total, Defense Health Program 1/.....	32,699,158	31,964,918	31,634,870	-1,064,288	-360,048
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:					
Operation and maintenance.....	398,572	222,728	222,728	-175,844	---
Procurement.....	1,368	10,227	10,227	+8,859	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	604,183	595,913	595,913	-8,270	---
Total, Chemical Agents 2/.....	1,004,123	828,868	828,868	-175,255	---
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense ...					
Counter-narcotics support.....	1,015,885	---	---	-1,015,885	---
Drug demand reduction program.....	---	719,098	669,631	+669,631	-49,465
National Guard counter-drug program.....	---	101,591	105,591	+105,591	+4,000
Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense.....	1,015,885	820,687	944,687	-71,198	+124,000

328

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	---	115,058	60,464	+65,464	-49,594
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.....	---	20,000	---	---	-20,000
Support for International Sporting Competitions 1/.....	---	10,000	10,000	+10,000	---
Office of the Inspector General 1/.....	316,000	311,830	311,830	-4,170	---
<b>Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs.....</b>	<b>35,035,166</b>	<b>34,101,361</b>	<b>33,795,719</b>	<b>-1,239,447</b>	<b>-305,642</b>
<b>TITLE VII</b>					
<b>RELATED AGENCIES</b>					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund.....	514,000	514,000	514,000	---	---
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA).....	528,229	510,194	501,194	-27,035	-9,000
<b>Total, Title VII, Related agencies.....</b>	<b>1,042,229</b>	<b>1,024,194</b>	<b>1,015,194</b>	<b>-27,035</b>	<b>-9,000</b>
<b>TITLE VIII</b>					
<b>GENERAL PROVISIONS</b>					
Additional transfer authority (Sec.8005).....	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	---	---
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec.8019).....	15,000	---	---	-15,000	---
FFRDC (Sec.8023).....	-40,000	---	-40,000	---	-40,000
Rescissions (Sec.8009).....	-1,906,089	-265,685	-964,648	+941,441	-698,963



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec.8050).....	(30,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)	---	---
Global Security Contingency Fund (O&M, Defense-wide transfer) (Sec.8067).....	(200,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)	---	---
Fisher House Foundation (Sec.8068).....	4,000	---	4,000	---	+4,000
National grants (Sec.8076).....	44,000	---	44,000	---	+44,000
Shipbuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec.8080).....	8,000	5,000	5,000	-3,000	---
ICMA transfer authority (Sec.8087).....	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)	---	---
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec.8092).....	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)	---	---
Defense Health O&M transfer authority (Sec.8096).....	(143,067)	(146,857)	(146,857)	(+3,770)	---
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec.8100) (transfer authority).....	(119,400)	(80,596)	(80,596)	(-38,804)	---
Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund (Sec.8105).....	2,244,400	---	540,000	-1,704,400	+540,000
Rescission.....	-1,920,000	---	---	+1,920,000	---
Superintendents review.....	1,000	---	---	-1,000	---
Revised economic assumptions (Sec. 8106).....	-380,000	---	-547,100	-167,100	-547,100
Special Victims Program implementation.....	25,000	---	---	-25,000	---
General/Flag Officers.....	-8,000	---	---	+8,000	---
Working Capital Fund excess cash balances.....	-866,500	---	---	+866,500	---
National Defense Reserve Fleet (O&M, Navy transfer authority) (Sec.8128).....	---	(291,000)	(291,000)	(+291,000)	---
John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development Fund (O&M, Navy transfer authority)(Sec.8129).....	---	(1,000)	(1,000)	(+1,000)	---
<b>Total, Title VIII, General Provisions.....</b>	<b>-2,779,189</b>	<b>-260,685</b>	<b>958,452</b>	<b>+3,737,641</b>	<b>+1,219,137</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE IX					
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO) 3/					
Military Personnel					
Military Personnel, Army (OCO)	5,449,726	---	---	-5,449,726	---
Military Personnel, Navy (OCO)	558,344	---	---	-558,344	---
Military Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO)	777,922	---	---	-777,922	---
Military Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	832,862	---	---	-832,862	---
Reserve Personnel, Army (OCO)	33,352	---	---	-33,352	---
Reserve Personnel, Navy (OCO)	20,238	---	---	-20,238	---
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO)	15,134	---	---	-15,134	---
Reserve Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	20,432	---	---	-20,432	---
National Guard Personnel, Army (OCO)	257,064	---	---	-257,064	---
National Guard Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	6,919	---	---	-6,919	---
Military Personnel (OCO)	---	---	5,100,000	+5,100,000	+5,100,000
Total, Military Personnel	7,971,993	---	5,100,000	-2,871,993	+5,100,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>Operation and Maintenance</b>					
Operation & Maintenance, Army (OCO)	32,369,249	---	---	-32,369,249	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy (OCO)	8,470,808	---	---	-8,470,808	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OCO)	3,369,815	---	---	-3,369,815	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (OCO)	12,746,424	---	---	-12,746,424	---
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (OCO)	6,226,678	---	---	-6,226,678	---
Coalition support funds (OCO)	11,257,000	---	---	(-1,257,000)	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (OCO)	34,674	---	---	-34,674	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (OCO)	55,700	---	---	-55,700	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (OCO)	12,534	---	---	-12,534	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve (OCO)	32,849	---	---	-32,849	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (OCO)	130,471	---	---	-130,471	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard (OCO)	22,200	---	---	-22,200	---
Operation and Maintenance (OCO)	---	---	58,675,000	+58,675,000	+58,675,000
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	63,471,402	---	58,675,000	-4,796,402	+58,675,000
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (OCO)	199,000	---	---	-199,000	---
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (OCO)	4,726,720	---	---	-4,726,720	---
Total, Operation and Maintenance	68,397,122	---	58,675,000	-9,722,122	+58,675,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Procurement					
Aircraft Procurement, Army (OCO)	669,000	---	---	-669,000	---
Missile Procurement, Army (OCO)	128,645	---	---	-128,645	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (OCO)	190,900	---	---	-190,900	---
Other Procurement, Army (OCO)	653,902	---	---	-653,902	---
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (OCO)	211,176	---	---	-211,176	---
Weapons Procurement, Navy (OCO)	86,500	---	---	-86,500	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (OCO)	169,362	---	---	-169,362	---
Procurement, Marine Corps (OCO)	125,984	---	---	-125,984	---
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	188,868	---	---	-188,868	---
Missile Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	24,200	---	---	-24,200	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force (OCO)	137,826	---	---	-137,826	---
Other Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	2,517,846	---	---	-2,517,846	---
Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO)	128,947	---	---	-128,947	---
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO)	1,000,000	---	---	-1,000,000	---
Procurement (OCO)	---	---	12,220,000	+12,220,000	+12,220,000
<b>Total, Procurement</b>	<b>6,233,156</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>12,220,000</b>	<b>+5,986,844</b>	<b>+12,220,000</b>

533

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>Research, Development, Test and Evaluation</b>					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (OCO).....	13,500	---	---	-13,500	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (OCO).....	34,426	---	---	-34,426	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force (OCO).....	9,000	---	---	-9,000	---
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Defense-Wide (OCO).....	78,208	---	---	-78,208	---
<b>Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....</b>	<b>135,134</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>-135,134</b>	<b>---</b>
<b>Revolving and Management Funds</b>					
Defense Working Capital Funds (OCO).....	264,910	---	---	-264,910	---

384

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>National Guard and Reserve Equipment</b>					
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO).....	---	---	2,000,000	+2,000,000	+2,000,000
<b>Other Department of Defense Programs</b>					
<b>Defense Health Program:</b>					
Operation and maintenance (OCO).....	898,701	---	---	-898,701	---
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (OCO).....	376,305	---	---	-376,305	---
Joint IED Defeat Fund (OCO) 2/.....	879,225	---	---	-879,225	---
Office of the Inspector General (OCO).....	10,766	---	---	-10,766	---
Other (OCO).....	---	---	1,450,000	+1,450,000	+1,450,000
<b>Total, Other Department of Defense Programs.....</b>	<b>2,164,997</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>1,450,000</b>	<b>-714,997</b>	<b>+1,450,000</b>
<b>TITLE IX General Provisions</b>					
Additional transfer authority (OCO) (Sec. 9002).....	(4,000,000)	---	(4,000,000)	---	(+4,000,000)
Rescissions (OCO) (Sec. 9013).....	-140,370	---	---	+140,370	---
<b>Total, Title IX .....</b>	<b>85,026,942</b>	<b>79,445,000</b>	<b>79,445,000</b>	<b>-5,581,942</b>	<b>---</b>
<b>Net Grand Total.....</b>	<b>565,093,829</b>	<b>563,698,995</b>	<b>563,898,820</b>	<b>-1,194,809</b>	<b>+199,825</b>

CCB

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RECAP					
Scorekeeping adjustments:					
Lease of defense real property (permanent).....	30,000	31,000	31,000	+1,000	---
Disposal of defense real property (permanent).....	10,000	8,000	8,000	-2,000	---
DHP, O&M to DOD-VA Joint Incentive Fund:					
Defense function.....	-15,000	-15,000	-15,000	---	---
Non-defense function.....	15,000	15,000	15,000	---	---
DHP, O&M to Joint DOD-VA Medical Facility					
Demonstration Fund:					
Defense function.....	-143,087	-148,857	-146,857	-3,770	---
Non-defense function.....	143,087	146,857	146,857	+3,770	---
O&M, Defense-wide transfer to Department of State:					
Defense function.....	-50,000	-30,000	-30,000	+20,000	---
Non-defense function.....	50,000	30,000	30,000	-20,000	---
Tricare accrual (permanent, indefinite auth.) 4/.....					
(OCC) 3/.....	7,256,000	6,963,000	6,963,000	-295,000	---
	164,000	---	---	-164,000	---
-----					
Total, scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
=====					
Adjusted total (includ. scorekeeping adjustments)....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,900,820	-1,654,809	+199,825
Appropriations.....	(576,381,718)	(570,966,680)	(571,865,468)	+4,516,250	(+898,788)
Rescissions.....	(-3,826,089)	(-265,685)	(-964,648)	(+2,861,441)	(-698,963)
=====					
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,900,820	-1,654,809	+199,825
=====					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	128,796,287	128,987,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	159,869,726	160,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
Title III - Procurement.....	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	62,994,751	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	35,095,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	-2,779,189	-200,685	958,452	+3,737,641	+1,219,137
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).....	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	---
-----					
Total, Department of Defense.....	565,093,629	563,698,995	563,898,820	-1,194,809	+199,825
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
-----					
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,900,820	-1,654,809	+199,825
=====					

337

- 1/ Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance
- 2/ Included in Budget under Procurement
- 3/ Global War on Terrorism (GWOT)
- 4/ Contributions to Department of Defense Retirees Health Care Fund (Sec. 725, P.L. 108-375)(CBO est)



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE I</b>					
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL</b>					
Military Personnel, Army.....	40,787,967	41,225,339	41,183,729	+395,762	-41,610
Military Personnel, Navy.....	27,231,512	27,489,440	27,387,344	+155,832	-102,096
Military Personnel, Marine Corps.....	12,766,099	12,919,103	12,785,431	+19,332	-133,672
Military Personnel, Air Force.....	28,519,993	27,815,926	27,564,362	-955,631	-251,564
Reserve Personnel, Army.....	4,377,563	4,459,130	4,304,159	-73,404	-154,971
Reserve Personnel, Navy.....	1,843,966	1,863,034	1,836,024	-7,942	-27,010
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps.....	655,109	670,754	659,224	+4,115	-11,530
Reserve Personnel, Air Force.....	1,723,159	1,675,518	1,652,148	-71,011	-23,370
National Guard Personnel, Army.....	7,776,498	7,682,892	7,644,632	-131,866	-38,260
National Guard Personnel, Air Force.....	3,114,421	3,156,457	3,110,587	-3,834	-45,870
<b>Total, Title I, Military Personnel.....</b>	<b>128,796,287</b>	<b>128,957,593</b>	<b>128,127,640</b>	<b>-668,647</b>	<b>-829,953</b>
<b>TITLE II</b>					
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>					
Operation and Maintenance, Army.....	30,768,069	33,240,148	32,671,980	+1,903,911	-568,168
Operation and Maintenance, Navy.....	36,311,160	39,316,857	39,073,543	+2,762,383	-243,314

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps.....	5,397,605	5,909,487	5,984,680	+587,075	+75,193
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force.....	33,248,618	35,331,193	35,024,160	+1,775,542	-307,033
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide.....	31,450,068	31,198,232	30,896,741	-553,327	-301,491
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve.....	2,940,936	2,490,569	2,535,606	-405,330	+45,037
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve.....	1,158,382	1,007,100	1,011,827	-146,555	+4,727
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve.....	255,317	268,582	270,485	+15,168	+1,903
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve.....	3,062,207	3,015,842	2,989,214	-72,993	-26,628
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard.....	6,857,530	6,030,773	6,116,307	-741,223	+85,534
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard.....	6,392,304	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,615	+1,060
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.....	---	5,000	---	---	-5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.....	13,606	13,723	13,723	+117	---
Environmental Restoration, Army.....	298,815	201,560	201,560	-97,255	---
Environmental Restoration, Navy.....	316,103	277,294	277,294	-38,809	---
Environmental Restoration, Air Force.....	439,820	408,716	408,716	-31,104	---
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.....	10,757	8,547	8,547	-2,210	---
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.....	287,443	208,353	233,353	-54,090	+25,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.....	109,500	100,000	103,000	-6,500	+3,000
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.....	500,455	365,108	365,108	-135,347	---
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.....	51,031	212,875	51,875	+844	-161,000
<b>Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance.....</b>	<b>159,869,726</b>	<b>166,002,818</b>	<b>164,631,638</b>	<b>+4,761,912</b>	<b>-1,371,180</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE III					
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army.....	4,844,891	5,102,685	5,295,957	+451,066	+193,272
Missile Procurement, Army.....	1,549,491	1,017,483	1,217,483	-332,008	+200,000
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army.....	1,610,811	1,471,438	1,703,736	+92,925	+232,298
Procurement of Ammunition, Army.....	1,444,067	1,031,477	1,011,477	-432,590	-20,000
Other Procurement, Army.....	4,936,908	4,893,634	4,812,234	-124,674	-81,400
Aircraft Procurement, Navy.....	16,442,794	13,074,317	14,054,523	-2,388,271	+980,206
Weapons Procurement, Navy.....	3,009,157	3,217,945	3,111,931	+102,774	-106,014
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps.....	549,316	771,945	629,372	+80,056	-142,573
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy.....	15,231,364	14,400,625	14,256,361	-975,003	-144,264
Other Procurement, Navy.....	5,572,618	5,975,828	5,923,379	+350,761	-52,449
Procurement, Marine Corps.....	1,240,958	983,352	927,232	-313,726	-56,120
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force.....	10,379,180	11,542,571	12,046,941	+1,667,761	+504,370
Missile Procurement, Air Force.....	4,446,763	4,690,506	4,546,211	+99,448	-144,295
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force.....	729,677	677,400	648,200	-81,477	-29,200
Other Procurement, Air Force.....	16,572,754	16,566,018	16,633,023	+60,269	+67,005
Procurement, Defense-Wide.....	4,240,416	4,221,437	4,358,121	+117,705	+136,684
Defense Production Act Purchases.....	60,135	21,638	51,638	-8,497	+30,000
-----					
Total, Title III, Procurement.....	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE IV					
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.....	7,126,318	6,593,898	6,720,000	-406,318	+126,102
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy.....	14,949,919	16,266,335	15,877,770	+927,851	-388,565
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force....	23,585,292	23,739,892	23,438,982	-146,310	-300,910
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	17,086,412	16,766,084	17,077,900	-8,512	+311,816
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense.....	246,800	167,738	248,238	+1,438	+80,500
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
TITLE V					
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds.....	1,649,214	1,234,468	1,334,468	-314,746	+100,000
National Defense Sealift Fund.....	597,213	---	---	-597,213	---
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program					
Operation and maintenance.....	30,704,995	31,031,911	30,080,563	-624,432	-951,348
Procurement.....	441,764	308,413	308,413	-133,351	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	1,552,399	654,594	1,245,894	-306,505	+591,300
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total, Defense Health Program 1/.....	32,699,158	31,994,918	31,634,870	-1,064,288	-360,048
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:					
Operation and maintenance.....	398,572	222,728	222,728	-175,844	---
Procurement.....	1,368	10,227	10,227	+8,859	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	604,183	595,913	595,913	-8,270	---
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total, Chemical Agents 2/.....	1,004,123	828,868	828,868	-175,255	---
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense ...	1,015,885	---	---	-1,015,885	---
Counter-narcotics support.....	---	719,096	669,631	+669,631	-49,465
Drug demand reduction program.....	---	101,591	105,591	+105,591	+4,000
National Guard counter-drug program.....	---	---	169,465	+169,465	+169,465
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense.....	1,015,885	820,687	944,687	-71,198	+124,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	---	115,058	85,464	+65,464	-49,594
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.....	---	20,000	---	---	-20,000
Support for International Sporting Competitions 1/.....	---	10,000	10,000	+10,000	---
Office of the Inspector General 1/.....	316,000	311,830	311,830	-4,170	---
<b>Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs.....</b>	<b>35,035,166</b>	<b>34,101,361</b>	<b>33,795,719</b>	<b>-1,239,447</b>	<b>-305,642</b>
=====					
TITLE VII					
RELATED AGENCIES					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund.....	514,000	514,000	514,000	---	---
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA).....	528,229	510,194	501,194	-27,035	-9,000
<b>Total, Title VII, Related agencies.....</b>	<b>1,042,229</b>	<b>1,024,194</b>	<b>1,015,194</b>	<b>-27,035</b>	<b>-9,000</b>
=====					
TITLE VIII					
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Additional transfer authority (Sec.8005).....	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	---	---
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec.8019).....	15,000	---	---	-15,000	---
FFRDC (Sec.8023).....	-40,000	---	-40,000	---	-40,000
Rescissions (Sec.8039).....	-1,906,089	-265,685	-964,648	+941,441	-698,963

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec.8050).....	(30,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)	---	---
Global Security Contingency Fund (O&M, Defense-wide transfer) (Sec.8067).....	(200,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)	---	---
Fisher House Foundation (Sec.8068).....	4,000	---	4,000	---	+4,000
National grants (Sec.8076).....	44,000	---	44,000	---	+44,000
Shipbuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec.8080).....	8,000	5,000	5,000	-3,000	---
ICMA transfer authority (Sec.8087).....	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)	---	---
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec.8092).....	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)	---	---
Defense Health O&M transfer authority (Sec.8096).....	(143,087)	(146,857)	(146,857)	(+3,770)	---
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec.8100) (transfer authority).....	(119,400)	(80,596)	(80,596)	(-38,804)	---
Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund (Sec.8105).....	2,244,400	---	540,000	-1,704,400	+540,000
Rescission.....	-1,920,000	---	---	+1,920,000	---
Superintendents review.....	1,000	---	---	-1,000	---
Revised economic assumptions (Sec. 8106).....	-380,000	---	-545,100	-165,100	-545,100
Special Victims Program implementation.....	25,000	---	---	-25,000	---
General/Flag Officers.....	-8,000	---	---	+8,000	---
Working Capital Fund excess cash balances.....	-866,500	---	---	+866,500	---
National Defense Reserve Fleet (O&M, Navy transfer authority) (Sec.8128).....	---	(291,000)	(291,000)	(+291,000)	---
John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development Fund (O&M, Navy transfer authority)(Sec.8129).....	---	(1,000)	(1,000)	(+1,000)	---
<b>Total, Title VIII, General Provisions.....</b>	<b>-2,779,189</b>	<b>-260,685</b>	<b>960,452</b>	<b>+3,739,641</b>	<b>+1,221,137</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
TITLE IX					
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO) 3/					
Military Personnel					
Military Personnel, Army (OCO).....	5,449,726	---	---	-5,449,726	---
Military Personnel, Navy (OCO).....	558,344	---	---	-558,344	---
Military Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO).....	777,922	---	---	-777,922	---
Military Personnel, Air Force (OCO).....	832,862	---	---	-832,862	---
Reserve Personnel, Army (OCO).....	33,352	---	---	-33,352	---
Reserve Personnel, Navy (OCO).....	20,238	---	---	-20,238	---
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO).....	15,134	---	---	-15,134	---
Reserve Personnel, Air Force (OCO).....	20,432	---	---	-20,432	---
National Guard Personnel, Army (OCO).....	257,064	---	---	-257,064	---
National Guard Personnel, Air Force (OCO).....	6,919	---	---	-6,919	---
Military Personnel (OCO).....	---	---	5,100,000	+5,100,000	+5,100,000
-----					
Total, Military Personnel.....	7,971,993	---	5,100,000	-2,871,993	+5,100,000



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>Operation and Maintenance</b>					
Operation & Maintenance, Army (OCO).....	32,369,249	---	---	-32,369,249	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy (OCO).....	8,470,808	---	---	-8,470,808	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OCO).....	3,369,815	---	---	-3,369,815	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (OCO).....	12,746,424	---	---	-12,746,424	---
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (OCO).....	6,226,678	---	---	-6,226,678	---
Coalition support funds (OCO).....	(1,257,000)	---	---	(-1,257,000)	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (OCO).....	34,674	---	---	-34,674	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (OCO).....	55,700	---	---	-55,700	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (OCO).....	12,534	---	---	-12,534	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve (OCO).....	32,849	---	---	-32,849	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (OCO).....	130,471	---	---	-130,471	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard (OCO).....	22,200	---	---	-22,200	---
Operation and Maintenance (OCO).....	---	---	58,675,000	+58,675,000	+58,675,000
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance.....	63,471,402	---	58,675,000	-4,796,402	+58,675,000
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (OCO).....	199,000	---	---	-199,000	---
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (OCO).....	4,726,720	---	---	-4,726,720	---
Total, Operation and Maintenance.....	68,397,122	---	58,675,000	-9,722,122	+58,675,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>Procurement</b>					
Aircraft Procurement, Army (OCO).....	669,000	---	---	-669,000	---
Missile Procurement, Army (OCO).....	128,645	---	---	-128,645	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (OCO).....	190,900	---	---	-190,900	---
Other Procurement, Army (OCO).....	653,902	---	---	-653,902	---
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (OCO).....	211,176	---	---	-211,176	---
Weapons Procurement, Navy (OCO).....	86,500	---	---	-86,500	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (OCO)...	169,362	---	---	-169,362	---
Procurement, Marine Corps (OCO).....	125,984	---	---	-125,984	---
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (OCO).....	188,868	---	---	-188,868	---
Missile Procurement, Air Force (OCO).....	24,200	---	---	-24,200	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force (OCO).....	137,826	---	---	-137,826	---
Other Procurement, Air Force (OCO).....	2,517,846	---	---	-2,517,846	---
Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO).....	128,947	---	---	-128,947	---
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO).....	1,000,000	---	---	-1,000,000	---
Procurement (OCO).....	---	---	12,220,000	+12,220,000	+12,220,000
<b>Total, Procurement.....</b>	<b>6,233,156</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>12,220,000</b>	<b>+5,986,844</b>	<b>+12,220,000</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (OCO).....	13,500	---	---	-13,500	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (OCO).....	34,426	---	---	-34,426	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force (OCO).....	9,000	---	---	-9,000	---
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide (OCO).....	78,208	---	---	-78,208	---
-----					
Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	135,134	---	---	-135,134	---
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Funds (OCO).....	264,910	---	---	-264,910	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>National Guard and Reserve Equipment</b>					
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO).....	---	---	2,000,000	+2,000,000	+2,000,000
<b>Other Department of Defense Programs</b>					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance (OCO).....	898,701	---	---	-898,701	---
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (OCO).....	376,305	---	---	-376,305	---
Joint IED Defeat Fund (OCO) 2/.....	879,225	---	---	-879,225	---
Office of the Inspector General (OCO).....	10,766	---	---	-10,766	---
Other (OCO).....	---	---	1,450,000	+1,450,000	+1,450,000
<b>Total, Other Department of Defense Programs.....</b>	<b>2,164,997</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>1,450,000</b>	<b>-714,997</b>	<b>+1,450,000</b>
<b>TITLE IX General Provisions</b>					
Additional transfer authority (OCO) (Sec.9002).....	(4,000,000)	---	(4,000,000)	---	(+4,000,000)
Rescissions (OCO) (Sec.9013).....	-140,370	---	---	+140,370	---
<b>Total, Title IX .....</b>	<b>85,026,942</b>	<b>79,445,000</b>	<b>79,445,000</b>	<b>-5,581,942</b>	<b>---</b>
<b>Net Grand Total.....</b>	<b>565,093,629</b>	<b>563,698,995</b>	<b>563,900,820</b>	<b>-1,192,809</b>	<b>+201,825</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RECAP					
Scorekeeping adjustments:					
Lease of defense real property (permanent).....	30,000	31,000	31,000	+1,000	---
Disposal of defense real property (permanent).....	10,000	8,000	8,000	-2,000	---
DHP, O&M to DOD-VA Joint Incentive Fund:					
Defense function.....	-15,000	-15,000	-15,000	---	---
Non-defense function.....	15,000	15,000	15,000	---	---
DHP, O&M to Joint DOD-VA Medical Facility					
Demonstration Fund:					
Defense function.....	-143,087	-146,857	-146,857	-3,770	---
Non-defense function.....	143,087	146,857	146,857	+3,770	---
O&M, Defense-wide transfer to Department of State:					
Defense function.....	-50,000	-30,000	-30,000	+20,000	---
Non-defense function.....	50,000	30,000	30,000	-20,000	---
Tricare accrual (permanent, indefinite auth.) 4/.....	7,258,000	6,963,000	6,963,000	-295,000	---
(OCD) 3/.....	164,000	---	---	-164,000	---
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total, scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Adjusted total (includ. scorekeeping adjustments)....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825
Appropriations.....	(576,381,718)	(570,966,680)	(571,867,468)	(-4,514,250)	(+900,788)
Rescissions.....	(-3,826,089)	(-265,685)	(-964,648)	(+2,861,441)	(-698,963)
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015  
 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
-----					
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
Title III - Procurement.....	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	-2,779,189	-260,685	960,452	+3,739,641	+1,221,137
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).....	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	---
-----					
Total, Department of Defense.....	565,093,629	563,698,995	563,900,820	-1,192,809	+201,825
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
-----					
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825
=====					

- 1/ Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance
- 2/ Included in Budget under Procurement
- 3/ Global War on Terrorism (GWOT)
- 4/ Contributions to Department of Defense Retiree Health Care Fund (Sec. 725, P.L. 108-375)(CBO est)

ADDITIONAL VIEWS  
FISCAL YEAR 2015 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL

With this bill, the Committee has carried out its Constitutional responsibility to recommend the appropriations necessary to provide for the common defense of our Nation. The Committee did this in a collegial and bipartisan fashion consistent with its longstanding traditions.

The base portion of this bill provides \$490,751,963,000 in new budget authority covering all Department of Defense (DoD) and Intelligence Community functions except for Military Construction and Family Housing. This is \$201,825,000 above the President's request, and \$4,109,363,000 above last year's enacted level.

This bill provides the support required for our national security and the protection of U.S. interests at home and abroad. It provides stability for our military personnel, maintains readiness, and preserves the industrial base.

The Committee continues to support efforts on sexual assault prevention and response, supporting high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements, including training and funding of personnel, as requested.

Funding is provided above the President's budget request for traumatic brain injury and psychological health research, suicide prevention outreach programs, and several other invaluable medical programs. The bill and report also carry language aimed at increasing cooperation between the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs in their ongoing efforts to develop interoperable electronic health records.

Continuing problems in DoD's strategic forces are also addressed. While it is disappointing that the Air Force included improvements in this area as unfunded priorities, this shortfall is addressed.

The bill emphasizes maintaining the readiness of US armed forces, one of the most important priorities expressed by the Services' secretaries and Chiefs of Staff. The bill provides \$1,000,000,000 above the request to fill gaps in key readiness programs, including \$135,000,000 for the Army Reserve and Army National Guard. The bill also invests in programs vital to rebuilding and

resetting the force after nearly thirteen years of conflict. Examples include additional funding for facility sustainment and modernization, and depot maintenance.

There is a focus on the important work of meeting the fiscal year 2017 deadline for achieving fully auditable financial statements. The measure provides \$8,000,000 above the request for the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) to make business and financial system improvements throughout the Department.

One other area of the bill we would like to highlight is the funding increase for the Humanitarian Mine Action program. Albeit a small program, its mission is of immense value. All too often, innocent civilians are the victims of explosive remnants of war. It is only right to share our military's expertise with host nations on the detection, clearance, disposal, and demilitarization of explosive ordnance.

With regards to the industrial base, the legislation retains important Buy America provisions that were omitted from the budget request. Several other provisions and initiatives are aimed at securing our industrial base, including \$220,000,000 to establish a program for the domestic development of a next-generation liquid fueled rocket engine.

While the bill includes essential funding and legislative provisions, there are some reasons for concern as well. Fundamentally, these concerns have little to do with the detailed work of the Committee. Rather, these concerns reflect Congress' continued failure to confront the Defense Department's long-term fiscal challenges. In the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Defense Department proposed significant initiatives to modify military pay, streamline TRICARE, and retire several weapons systems. These initiatives were proposed for DoD to stay under the fiscal year 2015 budget cap, provide for future flexibility, and meet the objectives of the US national security strategy.

In this constrained fiscal environment, DoD indicates that growing compensation and health care costs will limit the Department's ability to fund readiness requirements in the future. From a historical perspective, the Department has seen health care costs grow from 4 percent of the Department's base budget in 1990 to almost 10 percent in 2012. Since TRICARE's establishment, Congress has also dramatically limited beneficiary



contributions. DoD has taken steps internally to manage these costs. But these measures alone are insufficient to curb the expected increase in health care costs in the coming years. We look forward to recommendations that the Department of Defense may put forward in the fiscal year 2016 budget request to manage the growth of military compensation.

DoD must also begin to retire aging and underperforming weapons systems to free resources needed for development and fielding of new systems. Unless DoD continues to enhance its weaponry, we risk losing the technological edge that US forces rely upon. Major systems proposed for retirement or termination in this request include: the A-10 Close Air Support (CAS) aircraft, the OH-58D Kiowa Warrior helicopter, the U-2 surveillance aircraft, seven E-3 AWACS aircraft, and the Ground Combat Vehicle.

With few exceptions, these proposals have gained no traction within Congress. Most were excluded or were subject to language prohibiting or postponing their start in the recently passed National Defense Authorization Act. This is not to suggest that the Administration is uniformly correct in these proposals. Nor does this view dismiss the impacts of many of these initiatives. However, the alternative of staying the course and hoping for some fiscal relief in fiscal year 2016 is simply unrealistic. The sooner Congress reaches the consensus required to make the difficult decisions that are essential to deal with the reality of finite resources, the better we can provide for our national defense.

In addition to the lack of congressional action on these proposals, the Administration undercut its own efforts by programming spending levels above the Budget Control Act (BCA) in fiscal years 2016 through 2019, by submitting the Opportunity, Growth, and Security Initiative, and also by submitting unfunded priority lists.

Finally, and perhaps most important, is the problem faced by the Committee on funding for Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO). The Committee has been placed in a very difficult position in its deliberations on the fiscal year 2015 budget request, having to defend a \$79,445,000,000 "place holder". Recent decisions on the post-2014 troop levels in Afghanistan clear up the major policy issue that held back a detailed budget request. Unfortunately, the clarity gained was quickly muddled by the proposed \$5 billion Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund and the \$1 billion European

Reassurance Initiative. At a time when many in Congress are rightfully looking to limit what is an eligible expense in OCO and shift activities to the base budget, these new proposals further complicate the Committee's task. Clarity must be brought to the opaque nature of OCO.

Although this bill leaves a number of long-term issues unresolved, its consideration is necessary and timely. Moreover, the process for preparing this bill, and the recommendations it contains were done in a deliberate and thoughtful manner. As such, this bill upholds the best traditions of the Committee.

