MEDICAID DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW ANNUAL REPORT

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR_____

Section 1927 (g) (3) (D) of the Social Security Act requires each State to submit an annual report on the operation of its Medicaid Drug Utilization Review (DUR) program. Such reports are to include: descriptions of the nature and scope of the prospective and retrospective DUR programs; a summary of the interventions used in retrospective DUR and an assessment of the education program; a description of DUR Board activities; and an assessment of the DUR program's impact on quality of care as well as any cost savings generated by the program.						
This report covers the period October 1, to September 30, and is due for submission to CMS Central Office by no later than June 30, Answering the attached questions and returning the requested materials as attachments to the report will constitute compliance with the above- mentioned statutory requirement.						
If you have any questions regarding this survey instrument or the DUR Annual Report please contac CMS: DURPolicy@cms.hhs.gov .						

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid O.M.B. control number. The valid O.M.B. control number for this information collection is 0938-0659. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, 7500

Security Boulevard, Attn: Paperwork Reduction Act Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

MEDICAID DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW ANNUAL REPORT

	FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
I. <u>D</u>	EMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION
<u>S</u>	tate Name Abbreviation
<u>N</u>	Medicaid Agency Information
	Identify State person responsible for DUR Annual Report Preparation.
	Name:
	Email Address:
	Area Code/Phone Number:
	PROSPECTIVE DUR (ProDUR) dentify by name and indicate the type of your pharmacy POS vender – (contractor, state-operated ther).
1	 If not state-operated, is the POS vendor also the MMIS fiscal agent? □ Yes □ No
2	. Identify prospective DUR criteria source.
	☐ First Data Bank ☐ Other
3	. Are new prospective DUR criteria approved by the DUR Board? □ Yes □ No

١.	When the pharmacist receives a ProDUR alert message that requires a pharmacist's review, does your system allow the pharmacist to override the alert using the "conflict, intervention and outcome" codes?							
	□ Yes □ No							
5.	Do you receive and review periodic reports from your ProDUR contractor providing individual pharmacy provider activity in summary and in detail?							
	□ Yes □ No							
	If answer above is "Yes", how often is the report received by the agency:							
	\square monthly \square quarterly \square annually							
	a) If you receive reports, do you follow-up with those providers who routinely override with interventions?							
	□ Yes □ No							
	b) If the answer to above is "Yes", by what method do you follow-up?							
	 □ Contact pharmacy □ Refer to Program Integrity for Review □ Other (explain) 							
ó.	Early Refill:							
	a) At what percent threshold do you set your system to edit?							
	Non-controlled drugs:%							
	Controlled drugs:%							

	b)	When an early refill message occurs, does the state require prior authorization?											
		Non-	contr	olled	drugs	s:		Yes		No			
		Cont	rolled	l drug	gs:			Yes		No			
	c)	For n	on-co	ontrol	led dı	rugs, i	if the ansv	ver to 4	(b) abov	e is "Yes	," who ol	btains aut	thorization?
			Pha	rmaci	ist 🗆]	Prescriber	. 🗆	Either				
	d)	For c	ontro	lled o	lrugs,	if the	answer to	o 4 (b) a	above is '	"Yes," wh	ıo obtain	s authori	zation?
			Pha	rmaci	ist 🗆]]	Prescriber	. 🗆	Either				
	e)				led di ervice	_	if the ansv	ver to 4	(b) abov	e is "No,"	' can the	pharmac	ist override
			Yes		N	О							
	f)	For c			_	, if th	e answer	to 4 (b)	above i	s "No," c	an the pl	narmacist	t override at
			Yes		N	б							
7.			-				an early i w the phai			_	-		Pharmacist's
		a) Lo b) Va c) Ot	acatio	n	Rx de de	tails_		Y6	es 🗆	No No			
8.		es yo ills du						on edit	to preve	ent patien	ts from	obtaining	g additional
		Υe	es [No								
	If '	ʻno" d	o you	ı plan	to im	plem	ent this ed	lit?					
		Υe	es [No								
9.	Pro		Туре	indic	cating	by pr	riteria data roblem typ						Alerts by everity level

	□ Yes □ No
10	Section 1927(g)(A) of the Social security Act requires that the pharmacist offer patient counseling at the time of dispensing. Who in your state has responsibility for monitoring compliance with the oral counseling requirement? Check all that apply:
	 a) □ Medicaid agency b) □ State Board of Pharmacy c) □ Other – please explain
11.	. Has the state included <u>Attachment 1 – Pharmacy Oral Counseling Compliance Report</u> a report on state efforts to monitor pharmacy compliance with the oral counseling requirement?
	□ Yes □ No
III. <u>I</u>	RETROSPECTIVE DUR (RetroDUR)
1.	Identify, by name and type, the vendor that performed your Retro DUR activities during the time period covered by this report (company, academic institution, or other organization).
	a) Is the Retro DUR vendor also the Medicaid fiscal agent?
	□ Yes □ No
	b) Is the Retro DUR vendor also the developer/supplier of your retrospective DUR criteria?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "No", please explain:
2.	Does the DUR Board approve the Retro DUR criteria?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "No", please explain

3. Has the state included **Attachment 2 – Retrospective DUR Educational Outreach**

	Summary , a year end summary of the Top 10 problem types for which educational interventions were taken?							
		Yes		No				
IV.	<u>DUR</u>]	BOAR	D AC	<u> </u>				
1			_	a brief summary of DUR Board activities and meeting minutes during the ed by this report as Attachment 3 - Summary of DUR Board Activities.				
		Yes		No				
2	. Does	s your s	state ha	ave a Disease Management Program?				
		Yes		No				
	If "Y	es", ha	ive you	performed an analysis of the program's effectiveness?				
		Yes		No				
	If "Y	es", pl	ease pi	rovide a brief summary of your findings:				
	If "Y	es," is	your D	OUR Board involved with this program?				
		Yes		No				
3	. Does	s your s	state ha	ave an approved CMS Medication Therapy Management Program?				
		Yes		No				
	If "Y	es", ha	ive you	performed an analysis of the program's effectiveness?				
		Yes		No				
	If "Y	es", pl	ease pi	rovide a brief summary of your findings.				
	If "Y	es," is	your I	OUR Board involved with this program?				
		Yes		No				

	II .	'No' ai	e you	plann	ing to develop and implement a program?
			Yes		No
V.	I	PHYSI	CIAN	ADM	INISTERED DRUGS
	p	hysicia rogram	ın adn ıs. Ha	ninister s your	on Act required collection of NDC numbers for covered outpatient red drugs. These drugs are paid through the physician and hospital MMIS been designed to incorporate this data into your DUR criteria and Retro DUR?
			Yes		No
		If "No	o," do	you ha	ave a plan to include this information in your DUR criteria in the future?
			Yes		No
VI	. <u>(</u>	<u>GENEI</u>	RIC P	OLIC	Y AND UTILIZATION DATA
	1.			_	a description of policies that may affect generic utilization percentage as eneric Drug Substitution Policies.
			Yes		No
	2.	Me	dicall	y Nece	requirement that the prescriber write in his own handwriting "Brand essary" for a brand name drug to be dispensed in lieu of the generic s your state have a more restrictive requirement?
			Yes		No
		If "Ye	es", ch	eck all	that apply:
		a)b)c)d)		Re Pr	equire that a MedWatch Form be submitted equire medical reason for override accompany prescriptions eauthorization is required her – please explain
	3.		portin	g perio	c utilization percentage for all covered outpatient drugs paid during od, using the computation instructions in Table 2 - Generic
		Nu	mber	of Gen	eric Claims

	Total Number of Claims
	Generic Utilization Percentage
4.	Indicate the percentage dollars paid for generic covered outpatient drugs in relation to all covered outpatient drug claims paid during this reporting period using the computation instructions in Table 2 - Generic Utilization Data
	Generic Dollars:
	Total Dollars:
	Generic Expenditure Percentage:
VII.	PROGRAM EVALUATION / COST SAVINGS/COST AVOIDANCE
1.	Did your state conduct a DUR program evaluation of the estimated cost savings/cost avoidance?
	□ Yes □ No
2.	Who conducted your program evaluation for the cost savings estimate/cost avoidance? (company, academic institution, other institution) (name)
3.	Please provide your ProDUR and RetroDUR program cost savings/cost avoidance in the chart below.
ProDU	R Total Estimated Avoided Costs
	DUR Total Estimated Avoided Costs
	cost avoidance
Grand	Total estimated Avoided Costs
4.	Please provide the estimated percent impact of your state's cost savings/cost avoidance program compared to total drug expenditures for covered outpatient drugs.
	Use the following formula:
	Divide the estimated Grand Total Estimated Avoided Costs from Question 3 above by the total dollar amount provided in Section VI, Question 4. Then multiply this number by 100.
	Grand Estimated Net Savings Amount ÷ Total Dollar Amount × 100 = <u>%</u>

5.	State has provided the Medicaid Cost Savings/Cost Avoidance Evaluation as <u>Attachment</u> 5 – <u>Cost Savings/Cost Avoidance Methodology</u> .						
	□ Yes □ No						
VIII. <u>I</u>	FRAUD, WASTE, AND ABUSE DETECTION						
A.	LOCK-IN or PATIENT REVIEW AND RESTRICTIVE PROGRAMS						
1.	Do you have a documented process in place that identifies potential fraud or abuse of controlled drugs by beneficiaries ?						
	□ Yes □ No						
	If "Yes," what actions does this process initiate? Check all that apply.						
	a) Deny claims and require pre-authorization						
	b) Refer to Lock In Program						
	c) Refer to Program Integrity Unit						
	d) \square Other (e.g. SURS, Office of Inspector General), please explain:						
2.	Do you have a "lock-in" program?						
	□ Yes □ No						
	If "Yes", what criteria does your state use to identify candidates for lock-in? Check all that apply.						
	☐ Number of controlled substances (CS)						
	☐ Different prescribers of CS						
	☐ Multiple pharmacies						
	☐ Number days' supply of CS						
	☐ Exclusivity of short acting opioids						
	☐ Multiple ER visits						
	□ Other						
	If "Yes" do you restrict the beneficiary to:						
	i. a prescriber only □ Yes □ No						

OMB approved # 0938-0659

	ii. iii.	a pharmacy only \square Yes \square No a prescriber and pharmacy \square Yes \square No
	What	is the usual "lock-in" time period?
		6 months 12 months Other, please explain:
3.	On th	ne average, what percentage of the FFS population is in lock-in status annually?
		%
4.		e provide an estimate of the savings attributed to the lock-in program for the fiscal year review.
	\$	
5.	-	ou have a documented process in place that identifies possible fraud or abuse of controlled by prescribers ?
		Yes \square No
	If "Y	es," what actions does this process initiate? Check all that apply.
	a.	☐ Deny claims written by this prescriber
	b.	☐ Refer to Program Integrity Unit
	c. d.	☐ Refer to the appropriate Medical Board☐ Other – please explain:
5.	-	ou have a documented process in place that identifies potential fraud or abuse of olled drugs by pharmacy providers ?
		Yes \square No
	If "Y	es," what actions does this process initiate? Check all that apply

	 b. □ Refer to Program Integrity Unit c. □ Refer to Board of Pharmacy d. □ Other – please explain:
<u>PR</u>	ESCRPTION DRUG MONITORING PROGRAM (PDMP)
1.	Does your state have a Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP)?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "Yes" does your agency have the ability to query the state's PDMP database?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "Yes", do you require prescribers (in your provider agreement with the agency) to access PDMP patient history before prescribing restricted substances?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "Yes," please explain how the state applies this information to control fraud and abuse.
	If "Yes", do you also have access to border states' PDMP information?
	□ Yes □ No
2.	Are there barriers that hinder the agency from fully accessing the PDMP that prevent the program from being utilized the way it was intended to be to curb abuse?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "Yes" please explain the barriers (e.g. lag time in prescription data being submitted, prescribers not accessing, pharmacists unable to view prescription history before filling scription.

C. PAIN MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

1.	Does	your s	tate or	your agency require that Pain Management providers be certified?				
		Yes		No				
2	Does your program obtain the DEA Active Controlled Substance Registrant's File in order to identify prescribers not authorized to prescribe controlled drugs?							
		Yes		No				
		es" do ribing?		pply this DEA file to your ProDur POS edits to prevent unauthorized				
		Yes		No				
	If "Yo	es" ple	ease ex	plain how the information is applied				
	If "No" do you plan to obtain the DEA Active Controlled Substance Registrant's file and apply it to your POS edits?							
		Yes		No				
3.	Do yo	ou app	ly this	DEA file to your RetroDUR reviews?				
		Yes		No				
	If "Yes" please explain how it is applied.							
4.	Do you have measures in place to monitor/manage the prescribing of methadone for pain management? If "yes" check all that apply:							
		deny quant	claim tity lin	override and require PA nits n letters				

D.	<u>OPIOIDS</u>									
	1. Do you currently have POS edits in place to limit the quantity of short-acting opioids?									
		□ Yes □ No								
	If "Yes" what are your limitations?									
		□ 30 day supply□ 90 day supply								
		☐ other, please explain								
	2.	Do you currently have POS edits in place to limit the quantity of long-acting opioids?								
		□ Yes □ No								
		If "Yes" what are your limitations?								
		☐ 30 day supply								
		□ 90 day supply□ other, please explain								
E.	M	ORPHINE EQUIVALENT DAILY DOSE (MEDD)								
	1.	Have you set recommended maximum morphine equivalent daily dose measures?								
		□ Yes □ No								
		If "Yes", what is your maximum morphine equivalent daily dose limit in milligrams?								
		mg per day								

2. Do you provide information to your prescribers on how to calculate the morphine

OMB approved # 0938-0659

	equivalent daily dosage?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "Yes" how is the information disseminated?
	 □ website □ provider notice □ educational seminar □ other, explain
3.	Do you have an algorithm in your POS system that alerts the pharmacy provider that the morphine equivalent daily dose prescribed has been exceeded?
	□ Yes □ No
F. <u>B</u>	<u>UPRENORPHINE</u>
1.	Does your agency set mg per day limits on the use of buprenorphine?
	□ Yes □ No
	If "Yes", please specify the total mg/day?
	 □ 8mg □ 12 mg □ 16 mg □ other, please explain
2.	 What are your limitations on the allowable length of treatment? □ 6 months □ 12 months

OMB approved # 0938-0659

 □ no limit □ other, please explain 							
3.	Do you require that the maximum mg per day allowable be reduced after a set period of time?						
□ Yes □ No							
If "Yes", what is your reduced (maintenance) dosage?							
	□ 8mg□ 12mg□ other, please explain						
4.	What are your limitations on the allowable length of treatment?						
	 ☐ 6 months ☐ 12 months ☐ no limit ☐ other, please explain 						
5.	Do you limit the type of dosage form that can be dispensed to only the sublingual film?						
	□ Yes □ No						
<u>PS</u>	YCHOTROPIC DRUGS/STIMULANTS						
1. Do you have a documented program in place to manage/monitor the appropriate use of psychotropic drugs in children?							
	□ Yes □ No						
	If "Yes", do you manage/monitor:						
	 □ only children in foster care □ all children □ other, please explain 						
	Please briefly explain the specifics of your program(s).						

F.

	If "No" do you plan on implementing a program in the future?							
	□ Yes □ No							
2.	Do you have any documented restrictions or special program in place to monitor/manage or control the use of stimulants?							
	If "yes" is your program limited to:							
	□ children□ adults□ both							
	Please briefly explain your program.							
	Have you developed any innovative practices during the past year which you have included a Attachment 6 - Innovative Practices?							
	□ Yes □ No							
<u>E-</u>	PRESCRIBING PRESCRIBING							
1.	Has your state implemented e-prescribing?							
	□ Yes □ No							
	If "Yes," please respond to Questions 2 and 3 below. If "No," are you planning to develop this capability?							
	□ Yes □ No							
2.	Does your system use the NCPDP Origin Code that indicates the prescription source?							
	□ Yes □ No							

IX.

X.

	3.	Does your program system (MMIS or pharmacy vendor) have the capability to electronically provide a prescriber, upon inquiry, patient drug history data and pharmacy coverage limitations prior to prescribing?									
		□ Yes □ No									
		a) If "Yes," do you have a methodology to evaluate the effectiveness of providing drug information and medication history prior to prescribing?									
		□ Yes □ No									
		b) If "Yes," please explain the evaluation methodology in Attachment 7 – E-Prescribing Activity Summary.									
	c) If "No," are you planning to develop this capability?										
		□ Yes □ No									
XI.	<u>M</u> 2	ANAGED CARE ORGANIZATIONS (MCOs)									
	1. Is your pharmacy program included in the capitation rate (carved-in)?										
	□ Yes □ No □ Partial										
	If "partial" please specify the drug-categories that are carved out.										
	2. Does the state set requirements for the MCO's pharmacy benefit?										
		□ Yes □ No									
		If "Yes" please briefly explain your policy.									
		If "No" do you plan to set standard in the future?									
		□ Yes □ No									
	3.	Does the state require the MCOs to monitor or report their DUR activities?									
		□ Yes □ No									

4.	If "no" do you plan to develop a program to monitor or report MCO DUR activities in the
	future?

□ Yes □ No

XII. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - Attachment 8 – Executive Summary

MEDICAID DRUG UTILIZATION REVIEW ANNUAL REPORT

INSTRUCTIONS: Nomenclature Format for Attachments

States: Please use this standardized format for naming attachments.

ATT#-FFY- State Abbrev-Abbreviated Report name (NO

SPACES!) Example for Arizona: (each state should insert their 2

letter state code) Attachments:

ATT1-201_-AZ-POCCR (Pharmacy Oral Counseling Compliance Report)

ATT2-201_-AZ-REOS (RetroDUR Educational Outreach Summary)

ATT3-201_-AZ-SDBA (Summary of DUR BD Activities)

ATT4-201_-AZ-GDSP (Generic Drug Substitution Policies)

ATT5-201_-AZ-CSCAM (Cost Savings/Cost Avoidance Methodology)

ATT6-201_-AZ-IPN (Innovative Practices Narrative)

ATT7-201_-AZ-EAS (E-Prescribing Activity Summary)

ATT8-201_-AZ-ES (Executive Summary)

I. EXPLANATION FOR ATTACHMENTS AND TABLES

ATTACHMENT 1 - PHARMACY ORAL COUNSELING COMPLIANCE REPORT

This attachment reports the monitoring of pharmacy compliance with **all prospective DUR** requirements performed by the State Medicaid Agency, the State Board of Pharmacy, or other entity responsible for monitoring pharmacy activities. If the State Medicaid Agency itself monitors compliance with these requirements, it may provide a survey of a random sample of pharmacies

with regard to compliance with the Omnibus Budget Reduction Act (OBRA) of 1990 prospective DUR requirement. This report details state efforts to monitor pharmacy compliance with the oral counseling requirement. This attachment should describe in detail the monitoring efforts that were performed and how effective these efforts were in the fiscal year reported.

<u>ATTACHMENT 2 – RETROSPECTIVE EDUCATIONAL OUTREACH</u>

SUMMARY This is a year-end summary report on RetroDUR screening and

educational interventions.

The year-end summary reports should be limited to the **TOP 10** problem with the largest number of exceptions. The results of RetroDUR screening and interventions should be included.

ATTACHMENT 3 – SUMMARY OF DUR BOARD ACTIVITIES

This summary should be a brief descriptive report on DUR Board activities during the fiscal year reported. This summary should:

- Indicate the number of DUR Board meetings held.
- List additions/deletions to DUR Board approved criteria.
 - a) For prospective DUR, list problem type/drug combinations added or deleted.
 - b) For retrospective DUR, list therapeutic categories added or deleted.
- Describe Board policies that establish whether and how results of prospective

DUR screening are used to adjust retrospective DUR screens. Also, describe policies that establish whether and how results of retrospective DUR screening are used to adjust prospective DUR screens.

Describe DUR Board involvement in the DUR education program (e.g., newsletters, continuing education, etc.). Also, describe policies adopted to determine mix of patient or provider specific intervention types (e.g., letters, face-to-face visits, increased monitoring).

ATTACHMENT 4 – GENERIC DRUG SUBSTITUTION POLICIES

Please report any factors that could affect your generic utilization percentage and include any relevant documentation.

ATTACHMENT 5 – COST SAVINGS/COST AVOIDANCE METHODOLOGY

Include copy of program evaluations/cost savings estimates prepared by state or contractor noting methodology used.

ATTACHMENT 6 – INNOVATIVE PRACTICES

Please describe in detailed narrative form any innovative practices that you believe have improved the administration of your DUR program, the appropriateness of prescription drug use and/or have

helped to control costs (e.g., disease management, academic detailing, automated pre- authorizations, continuing education programs).

<u>ATTACHMENT 7 – E-PRESCRIBING ACTIVITY SUMMARY</u>

Please describe all development and implementation plans/accomplishments in the area of e- prescribing. Include any evaluation of the effectiveness of this technology (e.g., number of prescribers e-prescribing, percent e-prescriptions to total prescriptions, relative cost savings).

<u>ATTACHMENT 8 – EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>

TABLE 1 – TOP 10 PROSPECTIVE DUR CRITERIA REVIEWED BY DUR BOARD

Indicate by problem type those criteria with the most significant severity levels that were reviewed in-depth by DUR Board. For each problem type below in the first column list the drugs/ drug category/ disease combinations for which DUR Board conducted in-depth reviews.

PROBLEM TYPE KEY: INAPPROPRIATE - IA; THERAPEUTIC - TC; DRUG DRUG - D/D; DRUG ALLERGY - D/A; DRUG DISEASE – D/D;

Table1	AHFS TC (Level 2)	AHFS TC (Level 4)	AHFS TC (Level 6)	AHFS TC (Level 8)	Drug Name	Disease	Criteria Implemented
IA DOSE1							
IA DOSE2							
IA DOSE3							
TC DUPLICATION1							
TC DUPLICATION2							
TC DUPLICATION3							
D/A INTERACTION1							
D/A INTERACTION2							
D/A INTERACTION3							
IA DUR ATION1							
IA DUR ATION2							
IA DUR ATION3							
D/D INTERACTIONS1	+						
D/D INTERACTIONS2							
D/D INTERACTIONS3							
D/Dis CONTRAINDICATION1							
D/Dis CONTRAINDICATION2							
D/Dis CONTRAINDICATION3							
OTHER (specify)1							
OTHER (specify)2							
OTHER (specify)3							
OTHER (specify)4							
OTHER (specify)5							
OTHER (specify)6							
OTHER (specify)7							
OTHER (specify)8							
OTHER (specify)9							

TABLE 2 – GENERIC UTILIZATION DATA

Please provide the following utilization data for this DUR reporting period for all covered outpatient drugs paid. Exclude Third Party Liability. (COMPLETE TABLE 2)

Computation Instructions:

KEY:

Single-Source (S) - Drugs having an FDA New Drug Application (NDA), and there are no generic alternatives available on the market.

Non-Innovator Multiple-Source (**N**) - Drugs that have an FDA Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA), and there exists generic alternatives on the market.

Innovator Multiple-Source (I) - Drugs which have an NDA and no longer have patent exclusivity.

1. <u>Generic Utilization Percentage:</u> To determine the generic utilization percentage of all covered outpatient drugs paid during this reporting period, use the following formula:

$$N \div (S + N + I) \times 100 = Generic Utilization Percentage$$

2. Generic Expenditures Percentage of Total Drug Expenditures: To determine the generic expenditure percentage (rounded to the nearest \$1000) for all covered outpatient drugs for this reporting period use the following formula:

$$N \div (S + N + I) \times 100 = Generic Expenditure Percentage$$

TABLE 2: GENERIC DRUG UTILIZATION

Single-Source (S) Drugs		Non-Innovato	r (N) Drugs	Innovator Multi-Source (I) Drugs		
Total Number of Claims	Total Reimbursement Amount Less Co-Pay	Total Number of Claims	Total Reimbursement Amount Less Co-Pay	Total Number of Claims	Total Reimbursement Amount Less Co-Pay	

CMS has developed an extract file from the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program Drug Product Data File identifying each NDC along with sourcing status of each drug: S, N, or I (see Key below). This file will be made available from CMS to facilitate consistent reporting across States with this data request.