The Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that a list of "tax expenditures" be included in the budget. Tax expenditures are defined in the law as "revenue losses attributable to provisions of the Federal tax laws which allow a special exclusion, exemption, or deduction from gross income or which provide a special credit, a preferential rate of tax, or a deferral of liability." These exceptions may be viewed as alternatives to other policy instruments, such as spending or regulatory programs. Identification and measurement of tax expenditures depends importantly on the baseline tax system against which the actual tax system is compared.

The largest reported tax expenditures tend to be associated with the individual income tax. For example, sizeable deferrals, deductions and exclusions are provided for employer contributions for medical insurance, pension contributions and earnings, capital gains, and payments of State and local individual income and property taxes. Reported tax expenditures under the corporate income tax tend to be related to timing differences in the rate of cost recovery for various investments. As is discussed below, the extent to which these provisions are classified as tax expenditures varies according to the conceptual baseline used.

Each tax expenditure estimate in this chapter was calculated assuming other parts of the tax code remained unchanged. The estimates would be different if all tax expenditures or major groups of tax expenditures were changed simultaneously because of potential

interactions among provisions. For that reason, this chapter does not present a grand total for the estimated tax expenditures. Moreover, past tax changes entailing broad elimination of tax expenditures were generally accompanied by changes in tax rates or other basic provisions, so that the net effects on Federal revenues were considerably (if not totally) offset.

Tax expenditures relating to the individual and corporate income taxes are estimated for fiscal years 2004–2010 using three methods of accounting: revenue effects, outlay equivalents, and present values. The present value approach provides estimates of the revenue effects for tax expenditures that generally involve deferrals of tax payments into the future.

The section of the chapter on performance measures and economic effects presents information related to assessment of the effect of tax expenditures on the achievement of program performance goals. This section is a complement to the Government-wide performance plan required by the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993.

The 2004 and 2005 Budgets included a thorough review of important ambiguities in the tax expenditure concept. In particular, this review focused on defining tax expenditures relative to a comprehensive income tax baseline, defining tax expenditures relative to a broad-based consumption tax baseline, and defining negative tax expenditures, i.e., provisions of current law that over-tax certain items or activities. A similar review is presented in the Appendix again this year.

TAX EXPENDITURES IN THE INCOME TAX

Tax Expenditure Estimates

All tax expenditure estimates presented here are based upon current tax law enacted as of December 31, 2004. Expired or repealed provisions are not listed if their revenue effects result only from taxpayer activity occurring before fiscal year 2004. Due to the time required to estimate the large number of tax expenditures, the estimates are based on Mid-Session economic assumptions; exceptions are the earned income tax credit and child credit provisions, which involve outlay components and hence are updated to reflect the economic assumptions used elsewhere in the budget.

The total revenue effects for tax expenditures for fiscal years 2004–2010 are displayed according to the budget's functional categories in Table 19–1. Descriptions of the specific tax expenditure provisions follow the tables of estimates and the discussion of general features of the tax expenditure concept.

As in prior years, two baseline concepts, the normal tax baseline and the reference tax law baseline, are

used to identify tax expenditures. These baseline concepts are thoroughly discussed in Special Analysis G of the 1985 Budget, where the former is referred to as the pre-1983 method and the latter the post-1982 method. For the most part, the two concepts coincide. However, items treated as tax expenditures under the normal tax baseline, but not the reference tax law baseline, are indicated by the designation "normal tax method" in the tables. The revenue effects for these items are zero using the reference tax rules. The alternative baseline concepts are discussed in detail following the tables.

Table 19–2 reports the respective portions of the total revenue effects that arise under the individual and corporate income taxes separately. The location of the estimates under the individual and corporate headings does not imply that these categories of filers benefit from the special tax provisions in proportion to the respective tax expenditure amounts shown. Rather, these breakdowns show the specific tax accounts through which

the various provisions are cleared. The ultimate beneficiaries of corporate tax expenditures could be shareholders, employees, customers, or other providers of capital, depending on economic forces.

Table 19–3 ranks the major tax expenditures by the size of their 2006–2010 revenue effect.

Interpreting Tax Expenditure Estimates

The estimates shown for individual tax expenditures in Tables 19–1, 19–2, and 19–3 do not necessarily equal the increase in Federal revenues (or the change in the budget balance) that would result from repealing these special provisions, for the following reasons.

Eliminating a tax expenditure may have incentive effects that alter economic behavior. These incentives can affect the resulting magnitudes of the activity or of other tax provisions or Government programs. For example, if capital gains were taxed at ordinary rates, capital gain realizations would be expected to decline, potentially resulting in a decline in tax receipts. Such behavioral effects are not reflected in the estimates.

Tax expenditures are interdependent even without incentive effects. Repeal of a tax expenditure provision can increase or decrease the tax revenues associated with other provisions. For example, even if behavior does not change, repeal of an itemized deduction could increase the revenue costs from other deductions because some taxpayers would be moved into higher tax brackets. Alternatively, repeal of an itemized deduction could lower the revenue cost from other deductions if taxpayers are led to claim the standard deduction instead of itemizing. Similarly, if two provisions were repealed simultaneously, the increase in tax liability could be greater or less than the sum of the two separate tax expenditures, because each is estimated assuming that the other remains in force. In addition, the estimates reported in Table 19-1 are the totals of individual and corporate income tax revenue effects reported in Table 19-2 and do not reflect any possible interactions between individual and corporate income tax receipts. For this reason, the estimates in Table 19–1 should be regarded as approximations.

Present-Value Estimates

The annual value of tax expenditures for tax deferrals is reported on a cash basis in all tables except Table 19–4. Cash-based estimates reflect the difference between taxes deferred in the current year and incom-

ing revenues that are received due to deferrals of taxes from prior years. Although such estimates are useful as a measure of cash flows into the Government, they do not accurately reflect the true economic cost of these provisions. For example, for a provision where activity levels have changed, so that incoming tax receipts from past deferrals are greater than deferred receipts from new activity, the cash-basis tax expenditure estimate can be negative, despite the fact that in present-value terms current deferrals do have a real cost to the Government. Alternatively, in the case of a newly enacted deferral provision, a cash-based estimate can overstate the real effect on receipts to the Government because the newly deferred taxes will ultimately be received. Present-value estimates, which are a useful complement to the cash-basis estimates for provisions involving deferrals, are discussed below.

Discounted present-value estimates of revenue effects are presented in Table 19–4 for certain provisions that involve tax deferrals or other long-term revenue effects. These estimates complement the cash-based tax expenditure estimates presented in the other tables.

The present-value estimates represent the revenue effects, net of future tax payments, that follow from activities undertaken during calendar year 2004 which cause the deferrals or other long-term revenue effects. For instance, a pension contribution in 2004 would cause a deferral of tax payments on wages in 2004 and on pension earnings on this contribution (e.g., interest) in later years. In some future year, however, the 2004 pension contribution and accrued earnings will be paid out and taxes will be due; these receipts are included in the present-value estimate. In general, this conceptual approach is similar to the one used for reporting the budgetary effects of credit programs, where direct loans and guarantees in a given year affect future cash flows.

Outlay Equivalents

The concept of "outlay equivalents" is another theoretical measure of the budget effect of tax expenditures. It is the amount of budget outlays that would be required to provide the taxpayer the same after-tax income as would be received through the tax provision. The outlay-equivalent measure allows the cost of a tax expenditure to be compared with a direct Federal outlay on a more even footing. Outlay equivalents are reported in Table 19–5.

Table 19-1. ESTIMATES OF TOTAL INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES

				Total fro	m corporati	ons and inc	lividuals		
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
	National Defense								
1	Exclusion of benefits and allowances to armed forces personnel	2,460	2,490	2,520	2,540	2,560	2,590	2,620	12,830
	International affairs:								
2	Exclusion of income earned abroad by U.S. citizens	2,680	2,750	2,810	2,940	3,100	3,270	3,450	15,570
3	Exclusion of certain allowances for Federal employees abroad Extraterritorial income exclusion	850 5,500	900 5,170	950 4,270	1,000 1,820	1,050 220	1,100 40	1,160 20	5,260 6,370
5	Inventory property sales source rules exception	1,500	1,620	1,770	1,950	2,200	2,430	2,630	10,980
6	Deferral of income from controlled foreign corporations (normal tax method)	7,240	7,000	7,440	7,960	8,510	9,100	9,730	42,740
7	Deferred taxes for financial firms on certain income earned overseas	2,130	2,190	2,260	960				3,220
	General science, space, and technology								
8	Expensing of research and experimentation expenditures (normal tax method)	-2,330	4,110	7,920	6,990	6,260	5,360	4,800	31,330
9	Credit for increasing research activities	4,680	5,130	2,140	910	390	180	50	3,670
10	Energy	000	400	070	000	0.40	100	110	1 000
10 11	Expensing of exploration and development costs, fuels Excess of percentage over cost depletion, fuels	260 1,320	400 1,280	370 1,350	280 1,420	240 1,470	190 1,510	140 1,550	1,220 7,300
12	Alternative fuel production credit	1,040	1,040	1,040	1,040	420		1,000	2,500
13	Exception from passive loss limitation for working interests in oil and gas properties	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
14	Capital gains treatment of royalties on coal	70	70	80	80	100	70	60	390
15	Exclusion of interest on energy facility bonds	100	100	110	110	120	120	130	590
16	Enhanced oil recovery credit	330	340	340	350	360	370	390	1,810
17 18	New technology credit	330 30	470 30	620 30	700 30	800 40	820 40	690 40	3,630 180
19	Tax credit and deduction for clean-fuel burning vehicles	70	70	50	-20	-7 0	- 80	-60	-180
20	Exclusion of conservation subsidies provided by public utilities	100	100	100	100	90	90	90	470
	Natural resources and environment								
21	Expensing of exploration and development costs, nonfuel minerals	230	230	250	250	250	270	270	1,290
22	Excess of percentage over cost depletion, nonfuel minerals							10	10
23	Exclusion of interest on bonds for water, sewage, and hazardous waste facilities	500	530	570	600	630	650	680	3,130
24	Capital gains treatment of certain timber income	70	70	80	80	100	70	60	390
25 26	Expensing of multiperiod timber growing costs	340 300	350 320	370 330	380 340	400 360	410 380	430 400	1,990 1,810
27	Expensing of capital costs with respect to complying with EPA sulfur regulations		10		10	20	40	10	90
28	Exclusion of gain or loss on sale or exchange of certain brownfield sites				-10	-30	-40	-40	-120
	Agriculture								
29	Expensing of certain capital outlays	100	110	130	130	130	140	140	670
30	Expensing of certain multiperiod production costs	50 10	60 10	70 10	70 10	80 10	80 10	80 10	380 50
31 32	Treatment of loans forgiven for solvent farmers	670	730	760	820	990	720	580	3,870
33	Income averaging for farmers	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	200
34	Deferral of gain on sale of farm refiners	10	10	10	20	20	20	20	90
35	Bio-Diesel tax credit		30	30	10				40
	Commerce and housing								
36	Financial institutions and insurance: Exemption of credit union income	1,270	1,330	1,390	1,440	1,510	1,570	1,640	7,550
37	Excess bad debt reserves of financial institutions	-20	-20	-10	–10	-10	1,570	1,040	-30
38	Exclusion of interest on life insurance savings	20,830	22,750	24,070	26,180	28,770	30,980	33,610	143,610
39	Special alternative tax on small property and casualty insurance companies	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50
40	Tax exemption of certain insurance companies owned by tax-exempt organizations	180	190	210	220	230	250	260	1,170
41	Small life insurance company deduction	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	400
42	Housing: Exclusion of interest on owner-occupied mortgage subsidy bonds	1,020	1,110	1,180	1,230	1,320	1,350	1,390	6,470
43	Exclusion of interest on rental housing bonds	360	390	410	420	460	470	480	2,240
44	Deductibility of mortgage interest on owner-occupied homes	61,450	68,870	76,030	81,990	88,990	95,770	102,760	445,540
45	Deductibility of State and local property tax on owner-occupied homes	19,930	16,590	14,830	14,110	13,400	13,000	12,800	68,140
46	Deferral of income from post 1987 installment sales	1,100	1,120	1,140	1,160	1,190	1,200	1,320	6,010
47	Capital gains exclusion on home sales	29,730	32,840	36,270	40,050	44,240	54,660	71,960	247,180
48 49	Exclusion of net imputed rental income on owner-occupied homes	24,590 5,030	28,600 4,900	29,720 4,750	33,210 4,580	36,860 4,410	40,630 4,240	44,786 4,080	185,206 22,060
50	Credit for low-income housing investments	3,660	3,850	4,750	4,580	4,410	4,610	4,080	22,060
51	Accelerated depreciation on rental housing (normal tax method)	750	–156	-993	-1,846	-2,697	-3,961	-5,901	-15,398
	Commerce:				,	,	-,	-,,	-,,,
52	Cancellation of indebtedness	30	30	30	40	40	40	40	190
53	Exceptions from imputed interest rules	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	250
54 55	Capital gains (except agriculture, timber, iron ore, and coal)	25,150	27,200	28,370	30,450 300	36,840	26,900	21,630 430	144,190
JD	Capital gains exclusion of small corporation stock	160	210	250	300	350	390	430	1,720

Table 19-1. ESTIMATES OF TOTAL INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES—Continued

				Total fro	m corporati	ons and inc	dividuals		
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
56	Step-up basis of capital gains at death	24,200	26,140	28,760	31,630	34,790	35,560	33,680	164,420
57	Carryover basis of capital gains on gifts	210	240	290	290	310	430	850	2,170
58	Ordinary income treatment of loss from small business corporation stock sale	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	250
59	Accelerated depreciation of buildings other than rental housing (normal tax method)	-3,250	-4,180	-4,790	-6,940	-10,360	-14,740	-21,240	-58,060
60	Accelerated depreciation of machinery and equipment (normal tax method)	44,690	-11,000	-37,830	-30,920	-27,950	-26,190	-25,760	-148,650
61	Expensing of certain small investments (normal tax method)	1,520	4,820	1,650	-490	-30	140	230	1,500
62	Amortization of start-up costs (normal tax method)	80	50		-40	-90	-140	-170	-440
63	Graduated corporation income tax rate (normal tax method)	2,450	3,190	3,730	3,820	3,920	4,020	4,140	19,630
64	Exclusion of interest on small issue bonds	450	490	510	540	580	590	610	2,830
65	Deduction for U.S. production activities		3,270	5,420	8,750	11,230	11,670	15,860	52,930
66	Special rules for certain film and TV production		90	110	90	70	-40	-90	140
	Transportation								
67	Deferral of tax on shipping companies	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
68	Exclusion of reimbursed employee parking expenses	2,470	2,590	2,730	2,880	3,030	3,180	3,330	15,150
69 70	Exclusion for employer-provided transit passes	410	480 70	550 140	630	710	790	880	3,560
70	Tax credit for certain expenditures for maintaining railroad tracks		70	140	150	110	50	30	480
	Community and regional development								
71	Investment credit for rehabilitation of structures (other than historic)	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	200
72	Exclusion of interest for airport, dock, and similar bonds	850	930	980	1,030	1,100	1,130	1,170	5,410
73 74	Exemption of certain mutuals' and cooperatives' income	60	60	60	70	70	70	70	340
74 75	Empowerment zones, Enterprise communities, and Renewal communities New markets tax credit	1,080 290	1,120 430	1,210 610	1,340 830	1,480 870	1,740 790	1,130 670	6,900 3,770
76	Expensing of environmental remediation costs	80	70	20	-10	-10	-20	-10	-30
77	Deferral of capital gains with respect of dispositions of transmission property		-490	-620	-530	-230	100	360	-920
			100	020	000	200	100	000	020
	Education, training, employment, and social services Education:								
78	Exclusion of scholarship and fellowship income (normal tax method)	1,320	1,400	1,460	1,530	1,600	1,680	1,750	8,020
79	HOPE tax credit	3,320	3,410	3,220	3,320	3,350	3,420	3,580	16,890
80	Lifetime Learning tax credit	2,190	2,130	2,080	2,310	2,340	2,380	2,450	11,560
81	Education Individual Retirement Accounts	110	140	190	240	300	370	440	1,540
82	Deductibility of student-loan interest	760	780	800	810	820	830	840	4,100
83	Deduction for higher education expenses	1,280	1,830	1,840					1,840
84	State prepaid tuition plans	210	490	650	740	830	920	1,010	4,150
85	Exclusion of interest on student-loan bonds	290	310	340	350	370	380	390	1,830
86	Exclusion of interest on bonds for private nonprofit educational facilities	970	1,050	1,120	1,180	1,250	1,290	1,330	6,170
87	Credit for holders of zone academy bonds	90	110	130	130	140	140	140	680
88	Exclusion of interest on savings bonds redeemed to finance educational expenses	10	10	20	20	20	20	20	100
89	Parental personal exemption for students age 19 or over	3,200	2,670	2,110	1,840	1,630	1,450	1,340	8,370
90	Deductibility of charitable contributions (education)	3,690	3,420	3,680	4,030	4,260	4,550	4,870	21,390
91	Exclusion of employer-provided educational assistance	530	560	590	620	650	690	720	3,270
92 93	Special deduction for teacher expenses	150	160	150	20	20	20	20	150 100
93	Discharge of student loan indebtedness Training, employment, and social services:		20	20	20	20	20	20	100
94	Work opportunity tax credit	280	250	280	190	60	30	10	570
95	Welfare-to-work tax credit	60	60	80	60	20	10		170
96	Employer provided child care exclusion	600	620	810	930	970	1,010	1,060	4,780
97	Employer-provided child care credit		8	10	10	10	10	10	50
98	Assistance for adopted foster children	290	310	350	380	420	460	500	2,110
99	Adoption credit and exclusion	450	500	540	560	570	580	600	2,850
100	Exclusion of employee meals and lodging (other than military)	810	850	890	930	970	1,010	1,060	4,860
101	Child credit ²	22,400	32,710	32,810	32,900	32,860	32,790	32,670	164,030
102	Credit for child and dependent care expenses	2,990	3,140	2,810	1,900	1,800	1,710	1,630	9,850
103	Credit for disabled access expenditures	30	40	40	40	40	50	50	220
104	Deductibility of charitable contributions, other than education and health	27,370	29,670	32,550	34,500	36,790	39,410	42,210	185,460
105	Exclusion of certain foster care payments	440	440	440	450	450	460	470	2,270
106	Exclusion of parsonage allowances	430	460	480	510	540	580	610	2,720
	Health								
107	Exclusion of employer contributions for medical insurance premiums and medical care	102,250	112,160	125,690	139,060	152,560	166,190	176,740	760,240
108	Self-employed medical insurance premiums	3,330	3,780	4,330	4,800	5,260	5,760	6,250	26,400
109	Medical Savings Accounts/Health Savings Accounts	620	1,050	1,830	2,650	3,510	3,960	3,910	15,860
110	Deductibility of medical expenses	7,380	8,590	9,140	9,970	11,100	11,890	12,670	54,770
111	Exclusion of interest on hospital construction bonds	1,870	2,020	2,160	2,260	2,400	2,470	2,550	11,840
112	Deductibility of charitable contributions (health)	3,090	3,350	3,670	3,890	4,150	4,450	4,770	20,930
113	Tax credit for orphan drug research	180	210	230	260	290	330	360	1,470
114	Special Blue Cross/Blue Shield deduction	400	390	360	390	340	370	430	1,890
115	Tax credit for health insurance purchased by certain displaced and retired individuals ³	50	60	40	40	40	J 50 I	50	220

Table 19-1. ESTIMATES OF TOTAL INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES—Continued

				Total fro	m corporati	ions and inc	lividuals		
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
	Income security								
116	Exclusion of railroad retirement system benefits	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,000
117	Exclusion of workers' compensation benefits	5,490	5,730	5,940	6,100	6,300	6,520	6,730	31,590
118	Exclusion of public assistance benefits (normal tax method)	410	430	450	470	490	510	480	2,400
119	Exclusion of special benefits for disabled coal miners	60	50	50	50	40	40	40	220
120	Exclusion of military disability pensions		100	110	110	110	120	120	570
	Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings:								
121	Employer plans	46,970	50,330	51,050	52,570	47,530	45,310	44,570	241,030
122	401(k) plans	47,730	45,870	48,140	51,800	56,140	60,930	66,400	283,410
123	Individual Retirement Accounts	7,450	7,340	7,310	6,990	6,680	6,220	5,650	32,850
124	Low and moderate income savers credit	970	1,100	1,170	700				1,870
125	Keogh plans	8,830	9,380	9,980	10,650	11,610	12,650	13,780	58,670
	Exclusion of other employee benefits:								
126	Premiums on group term life insurance	2,070	2,090	2,110	2,110	2,150	2,180	2,200	10,750
127	Premiums on accident and disability insurance	260	280	290	300	310	320	330	1,550
128	Small business retirement plan credit	80	100	120	140	150	150	140	700
129	Income of trusts to finance supplementary unemployment benefits	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
130	Special ESOP rules	1,920	2,060	2,220	2,400	2,580	2,780	3,000	12,980
131	Additional deduction for the blind	30	40	40	40	40	40	40	200
132	Additional deduction for the elderly	1,700	1,810	1,960	1,940	1,900	1,930	1,950	9,680
133	Tax credit for the elderly and disabled	20	20	20	20	10	10	10	70
134	Deductibility of casualty losses	550	250	270	280	290	300	320	1,460
135	Earned income tax credit 4	4,890	4,980	5,420	5,170	5,290	5,480	5,600	26,960
	Social Security								
	Exclusion of social security benefits								
136	Social Security benefits for retired workers	19,200	19,480	19,770	20,470	20,900	21,260	23,720	106,120
137	Social Security benefits for disabled	3,580	3,740	3,870	4,110	4,290	4,500	4,910	21,680
138	Social Security benefits for dependents and survivors	4,140	4,120	3,990	4,030	3,880	3,920	4,060	19,880
	Veterans benefits and services								
139	Exclusion of veterans death benefits and disability compensation	3,300	3,560	3,750	4,030	4,190	4,360	4,520	20,850
140	Exclusion of veterans pensions	1	120	120	120	120	130	140	630
141	Exclusion of GI bill benefits	1	150	160	170	180	190	200	900
142	Exclusion of interest on veterans housing bonds	50	50	50	60	60	60	60	290
	General purpose fiscal assistance								
143	Exclusion of interest on public purpose State and local bonds	26,150	26,530	26,610	26,350	27,140	27,950	28,790	136,840
144	Deductibility of nonbusiness state and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes	45,290	39,090	34,620	32,890	31,850	31,760	32,120	163,240
145	Tax credit for corporations receiving income from doing business in U.S. possessions	1,000	900	500	50	31,030	31,700	02,120	550
143		1,000	300	300	30				330
440	Interest			50		00			000
146	Deferral of interest on U.S. savings bonds	50	50	50	50	60	70	70	300
	Addendum: Aid to State and local governments								
	Deductibility of:								
	Property taxes on owner-occupied homes		16,590	14,830	14,110	13,400	13,000	12,800	68,140
	Nonbusiness State and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes	45,290	39,090	34,620	32,890	31,850	31,760	32,120	163,240
	Exclusion of interest on State and local bonds for:								
	Public purposes	26,150	26,530	26,610	26,350	27,140	27,950	28,790	136,840
	Energy facilities	100	100	110	110	120	120	130	590
	Water, sewage, and hazardous waste disposal facilities	500	530	570	600	630	650	680	3,130
	Small-issues	450	490	510	540	580	590	610	2,830
	Owner-occupied mortgage subsidies	1,020	1,110	1,180	1,230	1,320	1,350	1,390	6,470
	Rental housing	360	390	410	420	460	470	480	2,240
	Airports, docks, and similar facilities	850	930	980	1,030	1,100	1,130	1,170	5,410
	Student loans	290 970	310	340	350	370 1 250	380	390	1,830 6,170
	· ·	1,870	1,050	1,120	1,180	1,250	1,290	1,330	· ·
	Hospital construction	50	2,020 50	2,160 50	2,260 60	2,400 60	2,470 60	2,550 60	11,840 290
	Credit for holders of zone academy bonds	90	110	130	130	140	140	140	680
	OTOGIC TOT HOLDOTO OF ZOTIO GOUGOTHY DOTING	30	110	100	100	170	170	170	000

¹ In addition, the partial exemption from the excise tax and excise credits for alcohol fuels result in a reduction in excise tax receipts (in millions of dollars) as follows: 2004 \$1,450; 2005 \$1,490; 2006 \$1,550; 2007 \$1,590; 2008 \$1,620; 2009 \$1,650; and 2010 \$1,680.

² The figures in the table indicate the effect of the child tax credit on receipts. The effect of the credit on outlays (in millions of dollars) is as follows: 2004 \$8,857; 2005 \$13,516; 2006 \$13,180; 2007 \$12,549; 2008 \$12,040; 2009 \$11,693 and 2010 \$11,364

³ In addition to the receipts shown, there are outlays of \$70 million in 2004, \$90 million in 2005, \$100 million in 2006, \$120 million in 2007, \$130 million in 2008, and \$140 million in 2009 and \$150 million in 2009 mi

The figures in the table indicate the effect of the earned income tax credit on receipts. The effect of the credit on outlays (in millions of dollars) is as follows: 2004 \$33,134;2005 \$33,790; 2006 \$34,132; 2007 \$34,481; 2008 \$34,723; 2009 \$35,517; and 2010 \$36,099.

Note: Provisions with estimates denoted normal tax method have no revenue loss under the reference tax law method.

All estimates have been rounded to the nearest \$10 million. Provisions with estimates that rounded to zero in each year are not included in the table.

Table 19–2. ESTIMATES OF TAX EXPENDITURES FOR THE CORPORATE AND INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES (in millions of dollars)

					0	-	1111110113 0	i dollars)					Land St. de	d l .			
		0004	0005	0000		orations	0000	0010	0000 10	0004	0005	0000	Individ		0000	0010	0000 10
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
1	National Defense Exclusion of benefits and allowances to armed forces personnel									2,460	2,490	2,520	2,540	2,560	2,590	2,620	12,830
2	International affairs: Exclusion of income earned abroad by U.S. citizens									2,680	2,750	2,810	2,940	3,100	3,270	3,450	15,570
3	Exclusion of certain allowances for Fed- eral employees abroad									850	900	950	1000	1050	1100	1160	5,260
4 5	Extraterritorial income exclusion	5,500	5,170	4,270	1,820	220	40	20	6,370								
6	ception Deferral of income from controlled foreign	1,500	1,620	1,770	1,950	2,200	2,430	2,630	10,980								
7	corporations (normal tax method) Deferred taxes for financial firms on cer-	7,240	7,000	7,440	7,960	8,510	9,100	9,730	42,740								
	tain income earned overseas	2,130	2,190	2,260	960				3,220								
8	General science, space, and technology Expensing of research and experimentation expenditures (normal tax method)	-2,280	4,010	7,770	6,850	6,140	5,250	4,700	30,710	-50	100	150	140	120	110	100	620
9	Credit for increasing research activities	4,630		2,100	910	390	180	50	3,630	50	50	40					40
10	Expensing of exploration and develop- ment costs, fuels	230	350	320	240	210	160	120	1,050	30	50	50	40	30	30	20	170
11	Excess of percentage over cost depletion, fuels	1,210	1,180	1,240	1,310	1,350	1,390	1,430	6,720	110	100	110	110	120	120	120	580
12 13	Alternative fuel production credit Exception from passive loss limitation for working interests in oil and gas prop-	1,000		1,000	1,000	400			2,400	40	40	40	40	20			100
14	erties									20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
15	Exclusion of interest on energy facility	20								70 80	70 80	80 90	80 90	100	70 100	60 110	390 490
16 17	bonds Enhanced oil recovery credit New technology credit	300 330		20 310 620	20 320 700	20 330 800	20 340 820	20 350 690	100 1,650 3,630	30	30	30	30	30	30	40	160
18 19	Alcohol fuel credits 1 Tax credit and deduction for clean-fuel	20	20	20	20	30	30	30	130	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50
20	burning vehicles Exclusion of conservation subsidies pro-	20	30	40	20	-10	-20	-10	20	50	40	10	-40	-60	-60	-50	-200
	vided by public utilities									100	100	100	100	90	90	90	470
21 22	Natural resources and environment Expensing of exploration and develop- ment costs, nonfuel minerals Excess of percentage over cost depletion,	210	210	230	230	230	250	250	1,190	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
23	nonfuel minerals Exclusion of interest on bonds for water,							10	10								
24	sewage, and hazardous waste facilities Capital gains treatment of certain timber	110	110	110	120	120	120	130	600	390	420	460	480	510	530	550	2,530
25	income Expensing of multiperiod timber growing									70	70	80	80	100	70	60	390
26	Tax incentives for preservation of historic	230		250	260	280	290	300	1,380	110	110	120	120	120	120	130	610
27	structures	230		250	260	270	290	300	1,370	70	80	80	80	90	90	100	440
28	complying with EPA sulfur regulations Exclusion of gain or loss on sale or ex-		10		10	20	40	10	90								
	change of certain brownfield sites Agriculture				-10	-20	-30	-30	-90					-10	-10	-10	-30
29 30	Expensing of certain capital outlays Expensing of certain multiperiod produc-	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100	80	90	110	110	110	120	120	570
31	tion costs Treatment of loans forgiven for solvent	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50	40	50	60	60	70	70	70	330
32	farmers Capital gains treatment of certain income									10 670	10 730	10 760	10 820	10 990	10 720	10 580	50 3,870
33 34	Income averaging for farmers Deferral of gain on sale of farm refiners	10	10	10	20	20	20	20	90	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	200
35	Bio-Diesel tax credit Commerce and housing										30	30	10				40
36 37	Financial institutions and insurance: Exemption of credit union income Excess bad debt reserves of financial	1,270	1,330	1,390	1,440	1,510	1,570	1,640	7,550								
38	institutions Exclusion of interest on life insurance	-20	-20	-10	-10	-10			-30								
39	savings	2,010	2,180	2,270	2,570	2,880	3,070	3,330	14,120	18,820	20,570	21,800	23,610	25,890	27,910	30,280	129,490
40	nies Tax exemption of certain insurance	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50								
	companies owned by tax-exempt or- ganizations	180	190	210	220	230	250	260	1,170								
41	Small life insurance company deduc- tion	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	400								
	Housing:	1	ı		ļ	' '	ļ	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	' '	ı	ļ	

Table 19–2. ESTIMATES OF TAX EXPENDITURES FOR THE CORPORATE AND INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES—Continued (in millions of dollars)

					Corr	orations	1111110113 0	· dollaroj					Individ	duala			
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
_		2004	2003	2000	2007	2000	2009	2010	2000-10	2004	2003	2000	2007	2000	2009	2010	2000-10
42	Exclusion of interest on owner-occu- pied mortgage subsidy bonds	220	230	230	240	250	250	260	1,230	800	880	950	990	1,070	1,100	1,130	5,240
43	Exclusion of interest on rental housing bonds	80	80	80	80	90	90	90	430	280	310	330	340	370	380	390	1,810
44	Deductibility of mortgage interest on owner-occupied homes			00	00		00	00	400	61,450	68,870	76,030	81,990	88,990	95,770	102,760	445,540
45	Deductibility of State and local property tax on owner-occupied homes									19,930	16,590	14,830	14,110	13,400	13,000	12,800	68,140
46	Deferral of income from post 1987 in- stallment sales	290	290	300	300	310	310	320	1,540	810	830	840	860	880	890	1.000	4.470
47 48	Capital gains exclusion on home sales Exclusion of net imputed rental income									29,730	32,840	36,270	40,050	44,240	54,660	71,960	247,180
49	on owner-occupied homes Exception from passive loss rules for									24,590	28,600	29,720	33,210	36,860	40,630	44,786	185,206
50	\$25,000 of rental loss									5,030	4,900	4,750	4,580	4,410	4,240	4,080	22,060
	ments	2,930	3,080	3,210	3,350	3,510	3,690	3,880	17,640	730	770	800	840	880	920	970	4,410
51	Accelerated depreciation on rental housing (normal tax method)	-10	-50	-100	-140	-200	-280	-390	-1,100	760	-110	-900	-1,700	-2,500	-3,690	-5,510	-14,300
52	Commerce: Cancellation of indebtedness									30	30	30	40	40	40	40	190
53 54	Exceptions from imputed interest rules Capital gains (except agriculture, tim-									50	50	50	50	50	50	50	250
55	ber, iron ore, and coal) Capital gains exclusion of small cor-									25,150	27,200	28,370	30,450	36,840	26,900	21,630	144,190
56	poration stock Step-up basis of capital gains at death									160 24,200	210 26,140	250 28,760	300 31,630	350 34,790	390 35,560	430 33,680	1,720 164,420
57	Carryover basis of capital gains on gifts									210	240	290	290	310	430	850	2,170
58	Ordinary income treatment of loss from small business corporation stock																
59	sale									50	50	50	50	50	50	50	250
	other than rental housing (normal tax method)	-2,980	-3,850	-4,340	-6,170	-9,220	-12,620	-17,320	-49,670	-280	-330	-450	-760	-1,140	-2,110	-3,930	-8,390
60	Accelerated depreciation of machinery and equipment (normal tax method)	37,080	-8,780	-32,880	-26,480	-23,310	-21,260	-20,290	-124,220	7,610	-2,220	-4,950	-4,440	-4.640	-4,930	-5,470	-24,430
61	Expensing of certain small investments (normal tax method)	680	1,780	680	-390	-140	-30	-10	110	840	3,040	970	-100	110	170	240	1,390
62	Amortization of start-up costs (normal tax method)	70	40		–40	-80	-120	-150	-390	10	10		100	-10	-20	-20	-50
63	Graduated corporation income tax rate (normal tax method)	2,450	3,190	3,730	3,820	3,920	4,020	4,140	19,630	10	10			-10	-20	-20	-30
64	Exclusion of interest on small issue	100	100	100	100	110	110	110	530	350	390	410	440	470	480	500	2,300
65 66	Deduction for U.S. production activities		2,560	4,330	7,110	9,130	9,470	12,860	42,900		710	1,090	1,640	2,100	2,200	3,000	10,030
00	Special rules for certain film and TV production		70	90	70	60	-30	-70	120		20	20	20	10	-10	-20	20
67	Transportation Deferral of tax on shipping companies	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100								
68	Exclusion of reimbursed employee park- ing expenses					-				2,470	2,590	2,730	2,880	3,030	3,180	3,330	15,150
69	Exclusion for employer-provided transit passes									410	480	550	630	710	790	880	3,560
70	Tax credit for certain expenditures for maintaining railroad tracks		70	140	150	110	50	30	480	410	400	330	000	710	730	000	0,000
	Community and regional development		70	140	130	110	30	30	400								
71	Investment credit for rehabilitation of structures (other than historic)	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
72	Exclusion of interest for airport, dock, and similar bonds	180	190	190	200	210	210	220	1,030	670	740	790	830	890	920	950	4,380
73	Exemption of certain mutuals' and co- operatives' income	60	60	60	70	70	70	70	340								
74	Empowerment zones, Enterprise commu- nities, and Renewal communities	280	290	310	340	370	420	190	1,630	800	830	900	1,000	1,110	1,320	940	5,270
75 76	New markets tax credit Expensing of environmental remediation	70	110	150	210	220	200	170	950	220	320	460	620	650	590	500	2,820
77	costs	70	60	20	-10	-10	-20	-10	-30	10	10						
''	dispositions of transmission property		-490	-620	-530	-230	100	360	-920								
	Education, training, employment, and social services																
78	Education: Exclusion of scholarship and fellowship																
79	income (normal tax method)									1,320 3,320	1,400 3,410	1,460 3,220	1,530 3,320	1,600 3,350	1,680 3,420	1,750 3,580	8,020 16,890
80 81	Lifetime Learning tax credit Education Individual Retirement Ac-									2,190	2,130	2,080	2,310	2,340	2,380	2,450	11,560
82	counts Deductibility of student-loan interest									110 760	140 780	190 800	240 810	300 820	370 830	440 840	1,540 4,100
83	Deduction for higher education expenses									1,280	1,830	1,840	310	020	000	040	1,840
84 85	State prepaid tuition plans Exclusion of interest on student-loan									210	490	650	740	830	920	1,010	4,150
00	bonds	60	60	70	70	70	70	70	350	230	250	270	280	300	310	320	1,480

Table 19–2. ESTIMATES OF TAX EXPENDITURES FOR THE CORPORATE AND INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES—Continued (in millions of dollars)

						•	1111110113 0	- dollaroj									
					Corp	orations							Indivi	duals			
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
86	Exclusion of interest on bonds for pri-																
	vate nonprofit educational facilities	210	210	220	230	230	240	250	1,170	760	840	900	950	1020	1050	1080	5,000
87	Credit for holders of zone academy bonds	90	110	130	130	140	140	140	680								
88	Exclusion of interest on savings bonds redeemed to finance educational ex-																
89	penses Parental personal exemption for stu-									10	10	20	20	20	20	20	100
	dents age 19 or over									3,200	2,670	2,110	1,840	1,630	1,450	1,340	8,370
90	Deductibility of charitable contributions (education)	510	540	560	590	620	660	700	3,130	3,180	2,880	3,120	3,440	3,640	3,890	4,170	18,260
91	Exclusion of employer-provided edu- cational assistance									530	560	590	620	650	690	720	3,270
92	Special deduction for teacher ex-													000	000	720	
93	penses Discharge of student loan indebted-									150	160	150					150
	ness Training, employment, and social serv-										20	20	20	20	20	20	100
94	ices: Work opportunity tax credit	240	210	240	160	50	30	10	490	40	40	40	30	10			80
95	Welfare-to-work tax credit	50		70	50	20	10		150	10	10	10	10				20
96 97	Employer provided child care exclusion Employer-provided child care credit		8	10	10	10	10	10	50	600		810	930	970	1010	1060	4,780
98 99	Assistance for adopted foster children Adoption credit and exclusion									290 450	310 500	350 540	380 560	420 570	460 580	500 600	2,110 2,850
100	Exclusion of employee meals and lodging (other than military)									810		890	930	970	1,010	1,060	4,860
101	Child credit ²									22,400		32,810	32,900	32,860	32,790	32,670	164,030
102	Credit for child and dependent care expenses									2,990	3,140	2,810	1,900	1,800	1,710	1,630	9,850
103	Credit for disabled access expendi- tures	10	10	10	10	10	20	20	70	20	30	30	30	30	30	30	150
104	Deductibility of charitable contributions, other than education and health	1,170	1,230	1.290	1.360	1,430	1,500	1,570	7,150	26,200	28,440	31,260	33,140	35,360	37,910	40,640	178,310
105	Exclusion of certain foster care pay- ments	.,	1,200	1,200	1,000	1,100	1,000	1,070	7,100	440	,	440	450	450	460	470	2,270
106	Exclusion of parsonage allowances									430	460	480	510	540	580	610	2,720
107	Health Exclusion of employer contributions for																
107	medical insurance premiums and med-																
108	ical care									102,250	112,160	125,690	139,060	152,560	166,190	176,740	760,240
109	miums Medical Savings Accounts/Health Savings									3,330	3,780	4,330	4,800	5,260	5,760	6,250	26,400
110	Accounts Deductibility of medical expenses									620 7,380	1,050 8,590	1,830 9,140	2,650 9,970	3,510 11,100	3,960 11,890	3,910 12,670	15,860 54,770
111	Exclusion of interest on hospital construc-	400	410	430	440	450	460	480	2,260	1,470	,	1,730	1,820	1,950	2,010	2,070	9,580
112	Deductibility of charitable contributions										,		·			·	
113	(health) Tax credit for orphan drug research	150 180	210	160 230	170 260	180 290	190 330	200 360	900 1,470	2,940	3,190	3,510	3,720	3,970	4,260	4,570	20,030
114 115	Special Blue Cross/Blue Shield deduction Tax credit for health insurance purchased	400	390	360	390	340	370	430	1,890								
	by certain displaced and retired individ- uals 3									50	60	40	40	40	50	50	220
	Income security									30	00	40	40		30	30	220
116	Exclusion of railroad retirement system benefits									400	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,000
117	Exclusion of workers' compensation ben-									5,490		5,940	6,100	6,300	6,520	6,730	
118	efits Exclusion of public assistance benefits										,		·		,	·	31,590
119	(normal tax method) Exclusion of special benefits for disabled									410		450	470	490	510	480	2,400
120	coal miners Exclusion of military disability pensions									60 100	50 100	50 110	50 110	40 110	40 120	40 120	220 570
	Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings:																
121	Employer plans									46,970		51,050	52,570	47,530	45,310	44,570	241,030
122 123	401(k) plansIndividual Retirement Accounts									47,730 7,450	45,870 7,340	48,140 7,310	51,800 6,990	56,140 6,680	60,930 6,220	66,400 5,650	283,410 32,850
124	Low and moderate income savers credit									970	1,100	1,170	700				1,870
125	Keogh plans Exclusion of other employee benefits:									8,830		9,980	10,650	11,610	12,650	13,780	58,670
126	Premiums on group term life insurance									2,070	2,090	2,110	2,110	2,150	2,180	2,200	10,750
127	Premiums on accident and disability in- surance									260	280	290	300	310	320	330	1,550
128 129	Small business retirement plan credit Income of trusts to finance supplementary	40	50	60	70	80	80	70	360	40	50	60	70	70	70	70	340
130	unemployment benefits Special ESOP rules	1600	1720	1870	2030	2190	2390	2610	11,090	20 320		20 350	20 370	20 390	20 390	20 390	100 1,890
131 132	Additional deduction for the blind									30 1,700	40	40	40 1,940	40	40 1,930	40 1,950	200 9,680
133	Tax credit for the elderly and disabled									20	20	1,960 20	20	1,900 10	10	10	70
134 135	Deductibility of casualty losses Earned income tax credit ⁴									550 4,893		270 5,423	280 5,168	290 5,287	300 5,480	320 5,597	1,460 26,955

Table 19-2. ESTIMATES OF TAX EXPENDITURES FOR THE CORPORATE AND INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES—Continued (in millions of dollars)

							11111110110 0										
					Corp	orations							Indivi	duals			
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
136	Social Security Exclusion of social security benefits Social Security benefits for retired workers Social Security benefits for disabled									19,200 3,580	19,480 3,740	19,770 3,870	20,470 4.110	20,900 4,290	21,260 4,500	23,720 4,910	
138	Social Security benefits for disabled Social Security benefits for dependents and survivors									4,140	4,120	3,990	4,030	3,880	3,920		, , , , , ,
139 140 141	Veterans benefits and services Exclusion of veterans death benefits and disability compensation Exclusion of veterans pensions Exclusion of GI bill benefits									3,300 110 130	3,560 120 150	3,750 120 160	4,030 120 170	4,190 120 180	4,360 130 190		630
142	Exclusion of interest on veterans housing bonds	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50	40	40	40	50	50	50	50	240
143 144	General purpose fiscal assistance Exclusion of interest on public purpose State and local bonds Deductibility of nonbusiness state and local taxes other than on owner-occu-	6,210	6,390	6,580	6,780	6,990	7,190	7,410	34,950	19,940	20,140	20,030	19,570	20,150	20,760	21,380	,,,,,,
145	pied homes	1,000	900	500	50				550	45,290	39,090	34,620	32,890	31,850	31,760	32,120	163,240
146	Interest Deferral of interest on U.S. savings bonds									50	50	50	50	60	70	70	300
	Addendum: Aid to State and local governments Deductibility of: Property taxes on owner-occupied homes									19.930	16,590	14.830	14.110	13.400	13.000	12.800	68.140
	Nonbusiness State and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes									45.290	39.090	34.620	32.890	31.850	31.760	32,120	
	Exclusion of interest on State and local bonds for: Public purposes Energy facilities	6,210	6,390 20	6,580 20	6,780 20	6,990 20	7,190 20	7,410 20	34,950 100	19,940	20,140	20,030	19,570 90	20,150	20,760	21,380	101,890
	Water, sewage, and hazardous waste disposal facilities Small-issues Owner-occupied mortgage subsidies Rental housing Airports, docks, and similar facilities Student loans Private nonprofit educational facilities Hospital construction Veterans' housing	110 100 220 80 180 60 210 400	110 100 230 80 190 60 210 410	110 100 230 80 190 70 220 430	120 100 240 80 200 70 230 440	120 110 250 90 210 70 230 450	120 110 250 90 210 70 240 460	130 110 260 90 220 70 250 480	600 530 1,230 430 1,030 350 1,170 2,260 50	390 350 800 280 670 230 760 1,470	420 390 880 310 740 250 840 1,610	460 410 950 330 790 270 900 1,730 40	480 440 990 340 830 280 950 1,820	510 470 1,070 370 890 300 1,020 1,950	530 480 1,100 380 920 310 1,050 2,010	550 500 1,130 390 950 320 1,080 2,070	2,530 2,300 5,240 1,810 4,380 1,480 5,000 9,580
	Credit for holders of zone academy bonds	90	110	130	130	140	140	140	680								

¹ In addition, the partial exemption from the excise tax and excise credits for alcohol fuels result in a reduction in excise tax receipts (in millions of dollars) as follows: 2004 \$1,450; 2005 \$1,490; 2006 \$1,550; 2007 \$1,590; 2008 \$1,620; 2009 \$1,650; and 2010 \$1,680.

² The figures in the table indicate the effect of the child tax credit on receipts. The effect of the credit on outlays (in millions of dollars) is as follows: 2004 \$8,857; 2005 \$13,516; 2006 \$13,180; 2007 \$12,549; 2008 \$12,040; 2009 \$11,693 and 2010 \$11,364

³ In addition to the receipts shown, there are outlays of \$70 million in 2004, \$90 million in 2005, \$100 million in 2007, \$130 million in 2008, and \$140 million in 2009 and \$150 million in 2010 projected.

⁴ The figures in the table indicate the effect of the carred income tax credit on receipts. The effect of the credit on outlays (in millions of dollars) is as follows: 2004 \$33,134;2005 \$33,790; 2006

All estimates have been rounded to the nearest \$10 million. Provisions with estimates that rounded to zero in each year are not included in the table.

⁴The figures in the table indicate the effect of the earned income tax credit on receipts. The effect of the credit on outlays (in millions of dollars) is as follows: 2004 \$33,134;2005 \$33,790; 2006 \$34,132; 2007 \$34,481; 2008 \$34,723; 2009 \$35,517; and 2010 \$36,099.

Note: Provisions with estimates denoted normal tax method have no revenue loss under the reference tax law method.

Table 19–3. INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES RANKED BY TOTAL 2006–2010 PROJECTED REVENUE EFFECTS

Provision	2006	2006–10
Exclusion of employer contributions for medical insurance premiums and medical care	125,690	760,240
Deductibility of mortgage interest on owner-occupied homes	76,030	445,540
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: 401(k) plans	48,140	283,410
Capital gains exclusion on home sales	36,270	247,180
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Employer plans	51,050	241,030
Exclusion of net imputed rental income on owner-occupied homes	32,550 29,720	185,460 185,206
Step-up basis of capital gains at death	28,760	164,420
Child credit	32,810	164,030
Deductibility of nonbusiness State and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes	34,620	163,240
Capital gains (except agriculture, timber, iron ore, and coal)	28,370	144,190
Exclusion of interest on life insurance savings	24,070	143,610
Exclusion of interest on public purpose State and local bonds	26,610	136,840
Social Security benefits for retired workers	19,770	106,120
Deductibility of State and local property tax on owner-occupied homes	14,830	68,140
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Keough plans	9,980 9,140	58,670 54,770
Deductibility of medical expenses Deduction for U.S. production activities	5,420	52,930
Deferral of income from controlled foreign corporations (normal tax method)	7,440	42,740
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Individual Retirement Accounts	7,310	32,850
Exclusion of workers' compensation benefits	5,940	31,590
Expensing of research and experimentation expenditures (normal tax method)	7,920	31,330
Earned income tax credit	5,423	26,955
Self-employed medical insurance premiums	4,330	26,400
Exception from passive loss rules for \$25,000 of rental loss	4,750	22,060
Credit for low-income housing investments	4,010	22,050
Social Security benefits for disabled	3,870	21,680
Deductibility of charitable contributions (education)	3,680 3,670	21,390 20,930
Exclusion of veterans death benefits and disability compensation	3,750	20,850
Social Security benefits for dependents and survivors	3,990	19,880
Graduated corporation income tax rate (normal tax method)	3,730	19,630
HOPE tax credit	3,220	16,890
Medical Savings Accounts / Health Savings Accounts	1,830	15,860
Exclusion of income earned abroad by U.S. citizens	2,810	15,570
Exclusion of reimbursed employee parking expenses	2,730	15,150
Special ESOP rules	2,220	12,980
Exclusion of interest on hospital construction bonds	2,520 2,160	12,830 11,840
Lifetime Learning tax credit	2,080	11,560
Inventory property sales source rules exception	1,770	10,980
Premiums on group term life insurance	2,110	10,750
Credit for child and dependent care expenses	2,810	9,850
Additional deduction for the elderly	1,960	9,680
Parental personal exemption for students age 19 or over	2,110	8,370
Exclusion of scholarship and fellowship income (normal tax method)	1,460	8,020
Exemption of credit union income	1,390	7,550
Excess of percentage over cost depletion, fuels	1,350	7,300 6,900
Empowerment zones, Enterprise communities, and Renewal communities Exclusion of interest on owner-occupied mortgage subsidy bonds	1,210 1,180	6,900 6,470
Extraterritorial income exclusion	4,270	6,370
Exclusion of interest on bonds for private nonprofit educational facilities	1,120	6,170
Deferral of income from post 1987 installment sales	1,140	6,010
Exclusion of interest for airport, dock, and similar bonds	980	5,410
Exclusion of certain allowances for Federal employees abroad	950	5,260
Exclusion of employee meals and lodging (other than military)	890	4,860
Employer provided child care exclusion	810	4,780
State prepaid tuition plans	650 800	4,150
Deductibility of student-loan interest	800 760	4,100 3,870
New markets tax credit	610	3,770
Credit for increasing research activities	2,140	3,670
New technology credit	620	3,630
Exclusion for employer-provided transit passes	550	3,560
Exclusion of employer-provided educational assistance	590	3,270
Deferred taxes for financial firms on certain income earned overseas	2,260	3,220
Exclusion of interest on bonds for water, sewage, and hazardous waste facilities	570	3,130
Adoption credit and exclusion	540 510	2,850
Exclusion of interest on small issue bonds	510	2,830

Table 19–3. INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES RANKED BY TOTAL 2006–2010 PROJECTED REVENUE EFFECTS—Continued

Provision	2006	2006–10
Exclusion of parsonage allowances	480	2,720
Alternative fuel production credit	1,040	2,500
Exclusion of public assistance benefits (normal tax method)	450	2,400
Exclusion of certain foster care payments	440	2,270
Exclusion of interest on rental housing bonds	410	2,240
Carryover basis of capital gains on gifts	290	2,170
Assistance for adopted foster children	350	2,110
Exclusion of railroad retirement system benefits	400	2,000
Expensing of multiperiod timber growing costs	370	1,990
Special Blue Cross/Blue Shield deduction Low and moderate income savers credit	360	1,890
Deduction for higher education expenses	1,170 1,840	1,870 1,840
Exclusion of interest on student-loan bonds	340	1,830
Tax incentives for preservation of historic structures	330	1,810
Enhanced oil recovery credit	340	1,810
Capital gains exclusion of small corporation stock	250	1,720
Premiums on accident and disability insurance	290	1,550
Education Individual Retirement Accounts	190	1,540
Expensing of certain small investments (normal tax method)	1,650	1,500
Tax credit for orphan drug research	230	1,470
Deductibility of casualty losses	270	1,460
Expensing of exploration and development costs, nonfuel minerals	250	1,290
Expensing of exploration and development costs, fuels	370	1,220
Tax exemption of certain insurance companies owned by tax-exempt organizations	210	1,170
Exclusion of GI bill benefits	160	900
Small business retirement plan credit	120	700
Credit for holders of zone academy bonds	130	680
Expensing of certain capital outlays	130	670
Exclusion of veterans pensions	120	630
Exclusion of interest on energy facility bonds	110	590
Work opportunity tax credit	280	570
Exclusion of military disability pensions	110	570
Tax credit for corporations receiving income from doing business in U.S. possessions	500	550
Tax credit for certain expenditures for maintaining railroad tracks	140	480
Exclusion of conservation subsidies provided by public utilities	100	470
Small life insurance company deduction	80 80	400 390
Capital gains treatment of royalties on coal		390
Capital gains treatment of certain timber income		380
Exemption of certain mutuals' and cooperatives' income		340
Deferral of interest on U.S. savings bonds	50	300
Exclusion of interest on veterans housing bonds		290
Ordinary income treatment of loss from small business corporation stock sale		250
Exceptions from imputed interest rules		250
Tax credit for health insurance purchased by certain displaced and retired individuals		220
Exclusion of special benefits for disabled coal miners		220
Credit for disabled access expenditures		220
Investment credit for rehabilitation of structures (other than historic)	40	200
Income averaging for farmers	40	200
Additional deduction for the blind	40	200
Cancellation of indebtedness	30	190
Alcohol fuel credits	30	180
Welfare-to-work tax credit	80	170
Special deduction for teacher expenses	150	150
Special rules for certain film and TV production	110	140
Income of trusts to finance supplementary unemployment benefits	20	100
Exclusion of interest on savings bonds redeemed to finance educational expenses	20	100
Exception from passive loss limitation for working interests in oil and gas properties	20	100
Discharge of student loan indebtedness	20	100
Deferral of tax on shipping companies		100
Expensing of capital costs with respect to complying with EPA sulfur regulations		90
Deferral of gain on sale of farm refiners		90
Tax credit for the elderly and disabled	20	70 50
Treatment of loans forgiven for solvent farmers		50 50
Special alternative tay on small property and equality incurence companies		วบ
Special alternative tax on small property and casualty insurance companies		50

Table 19–3. INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES RANKED BY TOTAL 2006–2010 PROJECTED REVENUE EFFECTS— Continued

(in millions of dollars)

Provision	2006	2006–10
Excess of percentage over cost depletion, nonfuel minerals		10
Expensing of environmental remediation costs	20	-30
Excess bad debt reserves of financial institutions	-10	-30
Exclusion of gain or loss on sale or exchange of certain brownfield sites		-120
Tax credit and deduction for clean-fuel burning vehicles	50	-180
Amortization of start-up costs (normal tax method)		-440
Deferral of capital gains with respect of dispositions of transmission property	-620	-920
Accelerated depreciation of buildings other than rental housing (normal tax method)		-58,061
Accelerated depreciation of machinery and equipment (normal tax method)	-37,830	-148,650

Table 19–4. PRESENT VALUE OF SELECTED TAX EXPENDITURES FOR ACTIVITY IN CALENDAR YEAR 2004

	Provision	2004 Present Value of Revenue Loss
1	Deferral of income from controlled foreign corporations (normal tax method)	6,360
2	Deferred taxes for financial firms on income earned overseas	2,160
3	Expensing of research and experimentation expenditures (normal tax method)	2,220
4	Expensing of exploration and development costs—fuels	160
5	Expensing of exploration and development costs—nonfuels	
6	Expensing of multiperiod timber growing costs	200
7	Expensing of certain multiperiod production costs—agriculture	140
8	Expensing of certain capital outlays—agriculture	180
9	Deferral of income on life insurance and annuity contracts	25,020
10	Accelerated depreciation on rental housing	5,210
11	Accelerated depreciation of buildings other than rental	543
12	Accelerated depreciation of machinery and equipment	39,380
13	Expensing of certain small investments (normal tax method)	670
14	Amortization of start-up costs (normal tax method)	50
15	Deferral of tax on shipping companies	20
16	Credit for holders of zone academy bonds	200
17	Credit for low-income housing investments	3,870
18	Deferral for State prepaid tuition plans	1,310
19	Exclusion of pension contributions—employer plans	85,040
20	Exclusion of 401(k) contributions	82,400
21	Exclusion of IRA contributions and earnings	3,460
22	Exclusion of contributions and earnings for Keogh plans	3,000
23	Exclusion of interest on public-purpose bonds	14,650
24	Exclusion of interest on non-public purpose bonds	5,680
25	Deferral of interest on U.S. savings bonds	230
26	Expensing of capital costs with respect to complying with EPA sulfur regulations	

Table 19-5. OUTLAY EQUIVALENT ESTIMATES OF INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES

						-	millions o	i uoliais)									
						orations	2222	2212	2222 /2	2001			Individ		2222		T
	National Defense Exclusion of benefits and allowances to	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
'	armed forces personnel									2,860	2,880	2,920	2,950	2,980	3,010	3,040	14,900
2	Exclusion of income earned abroad by U.S. citizens									3,530	3,640	3,700	3,880	4,100	4,320	4,560	20,560
3	Exclusion of certain allowances for Fed- eral employees abroad									1,100	1,150	1,210	1,280	1,350	1,410	1,490	6,740
4 5	Extraterritorial income exclusion	8,470		6,570	2,800	330	50	30	9,780								
6	ception Deferral of income from controlled foreign	2,310		2,720	3,000	3,390	3,740	4,050	16,900								
7	corporations (normal tax method) Deferred taxes for financial firms on certain income earned overseas	7,240		7,440 2,260	7,960 960	8,510	9,100	9,730	42,740 3.220								
8	General science, space, and technology Expensing of research and experimentation expenditures (normal tax meth-	-2,280		7,770	6,850	6,140	5,250	4,700	30,710	-50	100	150	140	120	110	100	620
9	od) Credit for increasing research activities	7,120		3,230	1,400	590	270	70	5,560	80	80	60					620 60
10	Expensing of exploration and development costs, fuels	230	350	330	250	210	180	140	1,110	40	70	60	50	40	30	30	210
11	Excess of percentage over cost depletion, fuels	1,570		1,690	1,760	1,820	1,880	1,910	9,060	140	140	150	150	170	170	180	
12 13	Alternative fuel production credit Exception from passive loss limitation for working interests in oil and gas properties	1,330	1,330	1,330	1,330	530			3,190	70 20	70 20	70 20	70 20	30 20	20	20	170
14	Capital gains treatment of royalties on coal									100	100	110	110	140	100	90	
15	Exclusion of interest on energy facility bonds	30 400		30	30	30	30	30	150	120 10	120 10	130	130	140 10	140 10	160	700
16 17 18	Enhanced oil recovery credit	470 470 20	680	420 880 20	430 1,000 20	440 1,130 30	450 1,160 30	460 970 30	2,200 5,140 130	10	10	10 10	10 10	10	10	10	
19 20	Tax credit and deduction for clean-fuel burning vehicles	30	40	50	30	-10	-30	-10	30	70 130	50 130	10 130	-50 130	-80 130	-80 120	-70 120	
	Natural resources and environment									130	130	130	130	130	120	120	630
21	Expensing of exploration and develop- ment costs, nonfuel minerals Excess of percentage over cost depletion,	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50								
23	nonfuel minerals Exclusion of interest on bonds for water,	280	280	300	300	320	320	340	1,580	20	20	30	30	30	30	30	150
24	sewage, and hazardous waste facilities Capital gains treatment of certain timber income	150	150	150	170	170	170	180	840	560 100	610 100	670 110	700 110	740 140	770 100	800 90	
25	Expensing of multiperiod timber growing costs	310	320	330	350	370	380	400	1,830	140	140	140	150	150	150	150	
26	Tax incentives for preservation of historic structures	230	240	250	260	270	290	300	1,370	70	80	80	80	90	90	100	440
27	Expensing of capital costs with respect to complying with EPA sulfur regulations		20		10	30	70	10	120								
28	Exclusion of gain or loss on sale or ex- change of certain brownfield sites				-10	-20	-30	-50	-110					-10	-10	-20	-40
29 30	Agriculture Expensing of certain capital outlays Expensing of certain multiperiod produc-	20	30	30	30	30	30	30	150	100	110	130	130	130	140	140	670
31	tion costs Treatment of loans forgiven for solvent	10	10	20	20	20	20	20	100	50	60	70	70	80	80	80	
32	farmers									10 960	10 1,040	10 1,090	10 1,170	10 1,410 50	10 1,030	10 830	5,530
33 34 35	Income averaging for farmers Deferral of gain on sale of farm refiners Bio-Diesel tax credit	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100	40	40 40	40 40	40 10		50	50	230
	Commerce and housing																
36 37	Financial institutions and insurance: Exemption of credit union income Bad debt reserves of financial institu-	1,620	1,690	1,760	1,840	1,920	2,000	2,080	9,600								
38	tions Exclusion of interest on life insurance	-30	-30	-10	-10	-10			-30								
39	savings	2,280	2,450	2,520	2,840	3,180	3,380	3,660	15,580	21,380	23,090	24,260	26,130	28,560	30,690	33,310	142,950
40	nies Tax exemption of certain insurance	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50								
41	companies owned by tax-exempt or- ganizations Small life insurance company deduc-	270		320	330	350	380	400	1,780								
	tion Housing:	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	600								
42	Exclusion of interest on owner-occu- pied mortgage subsidy bonds	310	320	320	330	350	350	360	1,710	1,160	1,270	1,380	1,430	1,550	1,590	1,640	7,590

Table 19–5. OUTLAY EQUIVALENT ESTIMATES OF INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES—Continued (in millions of dollars)

		(iii minions or donars)															
					·	orations							Indivi				
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
43 44	Exclusion of interest on rental housing bonds Deductibility of mortgage interest on	110	110	110	110	120	120	120	580	410	450	480	490	540	550	560	2,620
45	owner-occupied homes Deductibility of State and local property									61,450	68,870	76,030	81,990	88,990	95,770	102,760	445,540
46	tax on owner-occupied homes Deferral of income from post 1987 in-									19,930	16,590	14,830	14,110	13,400	13,000	12,800	68,140
47	stallment sales	290	290	300	300	310	310	320	1,540	810 34,980	830 38,630	840 42,670	860 47,120	880 52,050	890 68,320	890 89,950	4,360 300,110
48 49	Exclusion of net imputed rental income Exception from passive loss rules for									32,790	38,130	39,630	44,280	49,150	54,170	59,710	246,940
50	\$25,000 of rental loss Credit for low-income housing invest-									5,030	4,900	4,750	4,580	4,410	4,240	4,240	22,220
51	Accelerated depreciation on rental	3,910		4,290 -98	4,470	4,680	4,920	5,170	23,530	1,050	1,100	1,150	1,200	1,250	1,320	1,380	6,300
52	housing (normal tax method) Commerce: Cancellation of indebtedness	-8	-46	-96	-143	-197	-275	-389	-1,102	758 30	-110 30	-895 30	-1,703 40	-2,500 40	-3,686 40	-5,512 40	-14,296 190
53 54	Exceptions from imputed interest rules Capital gains (except agriculture, tim-									50	50	50	50	50	50	50	250
55	ber, iron ore, and coal)									35,930	38,860	40,530	43,500	52,620	38,430	30,900	205,980
56	poration stock									220 32,260	270 34,860	340 38,340	400 42,180	460 46,390	530 47,410	610 44,910	2,340 219,230
57	Carryover basis of capital gains on gifts									250	280	340	350	370	530	1,060	2,650
58	Ordinary income treatment of loss from small business corporation stock																
59	Accelerated depreciation of buildings									60	60	60	60	60	60	60	300
60	other than rental housing (normal tax method)	-2,980	-3,850	-4,340	-6,170	-9,220	-12,620	-17,320	-49,670	-280	-330	-450	-760	-1,140	-2,110	-3,930	-8,390
61	and equipment (normal tax method) Expensing of certain small investments	37,080	-8,780	-32,880	-26,480	-23,310	-21,260	-20,290	-124,230	7,610	-2,220	-4,950	-4,440	-4,640	-4,930	-5,470	-24,430
62	(normal tax method)	680	1,780	680	-390	-140	-30	-10	120	840	3,040	970	-100	110	170	240	1,400
63	tax method)	70	40		-40	-80	-120	-150	-390	10	10			-10	-20	-20	-50
64	(normal tax method) Exclusion of interest on small issue	3,760	4,910	5,730	5,880	6,030	6,180	6,360	30,180								
65	bonds Deduction for U.S. production activities	140	140 3,420	140 5,780	140 9,480	150 12,170	150 12,620	150 17,150	730 57,200	510	560 950	590 1,460	640 2,180	680 2,800	700 2,940	720 4,000	3,330 13,380
66	Special rules for certain film and TV production		70	90	70	60	-30	-70	120		20	20	20	10	-10	-20	20
67	Transportation Deferral of tax on shipping companies	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100								
68	Exclusion of reimbursed employee park- ing expenses									3,190	3,350	3,530	3,710	3,900	4,100	4,300	19,540
69	Exclusion for employer-provided transit passes									510	600	690	790	890	980	1,100	4,450
70	Tax credit for certain expenditures for maintaining railroad tracks		100	190	200	140	70	30	630								
71	Community and regional development Investment credit for rehabilitation of																
72	structures (other than historic) Exclusion of interest for airport, dock, and	20		20	20	20	20	20	100	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
73	similar bonds Exemption of certain mutuals' and co-	250 70		260 70	280 80	290 80	290	310 80	1,430 390	970	1,070	1,140	1,200	1,290	1,330	1,380	6,340
74	operatives' income Empowerment zones, Enterprise communities and Renewal communities	280		310	340	370	420	190	1,630	800	830	900	1,000	1,110	1,320	940	5,270
75 76	New markets tax credit Expensing of environmental remediation	70		150	210	220	200	170	950	220	320	460	620	650	590	500	2,820
77	costs Deferral of capital gains with respect of	90	80	20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-60	20	20						
	dispositions of transmission property Education, training, employment, and so-		-490	-620	-530	-230	100	360	-920								
	cial services Education:																
78	Exclusion of scholarship and fellowship income (normal tax method)									1,450	1,540	1,610	1,680	1,760	1,840	1,930	8,820
79 80	HOPE tax creditLifetime Learning tax credit									4,260 2,800	4,380 2,730	4,130 2,660	4,260 2,960	4,300 3,000	4,380 3,050	4,590 3,150	21,660 14,820
81	Education Individual Retirement Ac-									130	180	240	310	390	470	570	1,980
82 83	Deductibility of student-loan interest Deduction for higher education expenses									900	930 2,340	960 2,360	970	980	990	1,000	4,900 2,360
84 85	State prepaid tuition plans Exclusion of interest on student-loan									270	620	830	950	1,070	1,180	1,300	5,330
86	bonds Exclusion of interest on bonds for pri-	80		100	100	100	100	100	500	330	360	390	410	430	450	460	2,140
87	vate nonprofit educational facilities Credit for holders of zone academy	290		310	320	320	330	350	1,630	1,100	1,220	1,300	1,380	1,480	1,520	1,560	7,240
ı	bonds	130	160	180	190	200	200	190	960		l						

Table 19–5. OUTLAY EQUIVALENT ESTIMATES OF INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES—Continued (in millions of dollars)

		(iii iiiiiiiiiii oi dollala)								Individuals							
			Corporations 2004 2005 2006 2007 2009 2000 2010 2006 10														
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10
88	Exclusion of interest on savings bonds redeemed to finance educational expenses									20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
89	Parental personal exemption for stu- dents age 19 or over									3,550	2,960	2,340	2,040	1,800	1,600	1,480	9,260
90	Deductibility of charitable contributions									· ·			,			,	,
91	(education) Exclusion of employer-provided edu-	510	540	560	590	620	660	700	3,130	3,180	2,880	3,120	3,440	3,640	3,890	3,890	17,980
92	cational assistance Special deduction for teacher ex-									650	690	730	770	810	850	890	4,050
93	penses									190	200	180					180
00	ness Training, employment, and social serv-										20	20	20	20	20	20	100
	ices:		040	0.40	400			40	400								
94 95	Work opportunity tax credit	240 50		240 70	160 50	50 20	30 10	10	490 150	40 10	40 10	40 10	30 10	10			80 20
96	Exclusion of employer provided child care									800	830	1,080	1,240	1,290	1,350	1,410	6,370
97 98	Employer-provided child care Assistance for adopted foster children	6	11	13	15	17	18	20	83	330	350	390	430	470	520	570	2,380
99 100	Adoption credit and exclusion Exclusion of employee meals and									570	640	690	710	730	750	760	3,640
101	lodging (other than military) Child credit 2									990 25,950	1,030 39,010	1,080 32,280	1,130 31,960	1,180 31,450	1,230 31,450	1,290 31,450	5,910 158,590
102	Credit for child and dependent care expenses									3,990	4,190	3,750	2,530	2,400	2,280	2,170	13,130
103	Credit for disabled access expendi- tures	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100	30	30	40	40	40	40	40	200
104	Deductibility of charitable contributions,			1,290	1,360		1,500								37,910		
105	other than education and health Exclusion of certain foster care pay-	1,170	1,230	1,290	1,360	1,430	1,500	1,570	7,150	26,200	28,440	31,260	33,140	35,360		37,910	175,580
106	ments Exclusion of parsonage allowances									510 520	510 550	510 590	510 630	520 660	530 710	540 750	2,610 3,340
107	Health Exclusion of employer contributions for																
107	medical insurance premiums and med-									126,660	139,650	158,980	177,050	195,850	214,730	228,090	974,700
108	ical care									· ·		5,480	6,110	6,700			33,640
109	miums Medical Savings Accounts Health Savings									4,140	4,730	,	·		7,360	7,990	,
110	Accounts Deductibility of medical expenses									520 7,930	1,040 9,280	1,670 9,880	2,450 10,780	3,150 12,050	3,540 12,910	3,520 13,750	14,330 59,370
111	Exclusion of interest on hospital construc- tion bonds	560	570	600	610	620	640	670	3,140	2,130	2,330	2,510	2,640	2,820	2,910	3,000	13,880
112	Deductibility of charitable contributions (health)	150	160	160	170	180	190	200	900	2,940	3,190	3,510	3,720	3,970	4,260	4,260	19,720
113 114	Tax credit for orphan drug research Special Blue Cross/Blue Shield deduction	280 570	310 560	350 510	390 560	430 490	480 530	540 610	2,190 2,700								
115	Tax credit for health insurance purchased by certain displaced and retired individ-																
	uals ³									50	60	40	40	50	50	50	230
116	Income security Exclusion of railroad retirement system																
117	benefits Exclusion of workers' compensation ben-									400	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,000
118	efits Exclusion of public assistance benefits									5,490	5,730	5,940	6,100	6,300	6,520	6,730	31,590
119	(normal tax method) Exclusion of special benefits for disabled									410	430	450	470	490	510	480	2,400
120	coal miners Exclusion of military disability pensions									60 100	50 100	50 110	50 110	40 110	40 120	40 120	220 570
	Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings:																
121 122	Employer plans401(k) plans									57,280 58,210	61,380 55,940	62,260 58,710	64,110 63,170	57,960 68,460	55,260 74,300	54,350 80,980	293,940 345,620
123 124	Individual Retirement Accounts Low and moderate income savers									8,470	9,300	9,140	9,120		8,340	7,790	43,120
	credit									1,150	1,310	1,380	830	14 400	15 700	17 200	2,210
125	Keogh plans Exclusion of other employee benefits:									11,170	11,800	12,500	13,290	14,490	15,780	17,200	73,260
126 127	Premiums on group term life insurance Premiums on accident and disability in-									2,660	2,690	2,700	2,700	2,750	2,790	2,830	13,770
128	Small business retirement plan credit	60	70	90	100	110	110	100	510	350 50	370 70	390 80	400 100	410 100	430 100	440 100	2,070 480
129	Income of trusts to finance supplementary unemployment benefits									20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100
130 131	Special ESOP rules Additional deduction for the blind	2,550	2,740	2,970	3,210	3,460	3,750	4,070	17,460	510 40	540 40	550 50	590 40	620 50	610 50	610 50	2,980 240
132 133	Additional deduction for the elderly Tax credit for the elderly and disabled									2,060 20	2,190 20	2,370 20	2,350 20	2,290 20	2,330 10	2,360 10	11,700 80
134 135	Deductibility of casualty losses Earned income tax credit ⁴									610 5,437	270 5,536	290 6,026	280 5,742	290 5,874	300 6,089	320 5,868	1,480 29,599
100	Social Security									5,407	3,300	5,020	5,172	3,074	3,003	3,000	20,000
	Exclusion of social security benefits:	I				l	l		l l	l		l					

Table 19-5. OUTLAY EQUIVALENT ESTIMATES OF INCOME TAX EXPENDITURES—Continued (in millions of dollars)

_		0							la di dala da									
			Corporations								Individuals							
		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–10	
136	Social Security benefits for retired workers									19,200	19,480	19,770	20,470	20,900	21,260	23,720	106,120	
137 138	Social Security benefits for disabled Social Security benefits for dependents and survivors									3,580 4,140	3,740 4,120	3,870 3,990	4,110 4,030	4,290 3,880	4,500 3,920	4,910 4,060	21,680 19,880	
400	Veterans benefits and services																	
139	Exclusion of veterans death benefits and disability compensation									3,300	3,560	3,750	4,030	4,190	4,360	4,520	20,850	
140 141	Exclusion of veterans pensions Exclusion of GI bill benefits									110 130	120 150	120 160	120 170	120 180	130 190	140 200	630 900	
142	Exclusion of interest on veterans housing bonds	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50	60	60	60	70	70	70	70	340	
	General purpose fiscal assistance																	
143	Exclusion of interest on public purpose State and local bonds	8,620	8,870	9,130	9,410	9,700	9,980	10,280	48,500	28,880	29,170	29,010	28,340	29,180	30,070	30,970	147,570	
144	Deductibility of nonbusiness state and local taxes other than on owner-occu-									45 000	20,000	04.000	00 000	04.050	04 700	00 100	100.040	
145	pied homes Tax credit for corporations receiving in-									45,290	39,090	34,620	32,890	31,850	31,760	32,120	163,240	
	come from doing business in U.S. possessions	1,430	1,290	720	70				790									
440	Interest																	
146	Deferral of interest on U.S. savings bonds									50	50	50	50	60	70	70	300	
	Addendum: Aid to State and local governments: Deductibility of: Property taxes on owner-occupied																	
	homes Nonbusiness State and local taxes									19,930	16,590	14,830	14,110	13,400	13,000	12,800	68,140	
	other than on owner-occupied homes									45,290	39,090	34,620	32,890	31,850	31,760	32,120	163,240	
	Exclusion of interest on State and local bonds for:																	
	Public purposes Energy facilities	8,620 30		9,130 30	9,410 30	9,700 30	9,980 30	10,280 30	48,500 150	28,880 120	29,170 120	29,010 130	28,340 130	29,180 140	30,070 140	30,970 160	147,570 700	
	Water, sewage, and hazardous waste disposal facilities	150	150	150	170	170	170	180	840	560	610	670	700	740	770	800	3.680	
	Small-issues	140	140	140	140	150	150	150	730	510	560	590	640	680	700	720	3,330	
	Owner-occupied mortgage subsidies	310		320	330	350	350	360	1,710	1,160	1,270	1,380	1,430	1,550	1,590	1,640	7,590	
	Rental housing	110 250	110 260	110 260	110 280	120 290	120 290	120 310	580 1,430	410 970	450 1,070	480 1.140	490 1.200	540 1.290	550 1.330	560 1,380	2,620 6.340	
	Student loans	80	80	100	100	100	100	100		330	360	390	410	430	450	460	2,140	
	Private nonprofit educational facilities	290	290	310	320	320	330	350	1,630	1,100	1,220	1,300	1,380	1,480	1,520	1,560	7,240	
	Hospital construction	560	570	600	610	620	640	670	3,140	2,130	2,330	2,510	2,640	2,820	2,910	3,000	13,880	
	Veterans' housing Credit for holders of zone academy	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	50	60	60	60	70	70	70	70	340	
	bonds	130	160	180	190	200	200	190	960									

¹ In addition, the partial exemption from the excise tax and excise credits for alcohol fuels results in a reduction in excise tax receipts (in millions of dollars) as follows: 2004 \$1,450; 2005

\$1,490; 2006 \$1,550; 2007 \$1,590; 2008 \$1,620; 2009 \$1,650; and 2010 \$1,680.

2 The figures in the table indicate the effect of the child tax credit on receipts. The effect of the credit on outlays (in millions of dollars) is as follows: 2004 \$8,857; 2005 \$13,516; 2006 \$13,180; 2007 \$12,549; 2008 \$12,040; 2009 \$11,693 and 2010 \$11,364

In addition to the receipts shown, there are outlays of \$70 million in 2004, \$90 million in 2005, \$100 million in 2006, \$120 million in 2007, \$130 million in 2008, and \$140 million in 2009 and \$150 million projected.

⁴ The figures in the table indicate the effect of the earned income tax credit on receipts. The effect of the credit on outlays (in millions of dollars) is as follows: 2004 \$33,134;2005 \$33,790; 2006 \$34,132; 2007 \$34,481; 2008 \$34,723; 2009 \$35,517; and 2010 \$36,099.

Tax Expenditure Baselines

A tax expenditure is an exception to baseline provisions of the tax structure. The 1974 Congressional Budget Act, which mandated the tax expenditure budget, did not specify the baseline provisions of the tax law. As noted previously, deciding whether provisions are exceptions, therefore, is a matter of judgment. As in prior years, most of this year's tax expenditure estimates are presented using two baselines: the normal tax baseline and the reference tax law baseline. An exception is provided for the lower tax rate on dividends and capital gains on corporate shares as discussed below.

The normal tax baseline is patterned on a comprehensive income tax, which defines income as the sum of consumption and the change in net wealth in

a given period of time. The normal tax baseline allows personal exemptions, a standard deduction, and deductions of the expenses incurred in earning income. It is not limited to a particular structure of tax rates, or by a specific definition of the taxpaying unit.

In the case of income taxes, the reference tax law baseline is also patterned on a comprehensive income tax, but it is closer to existing law. Tax expenditures under the reference law baseline are generally tax expenditures under the normal tax baseline, but the reverse is not always true.

Both the normal and reference tax baselines allow several major departures from a pure comprehensive income tax. For example, under the normal and reference tax baselines:

- Income is taxable only when it is realized in exchange. Thus, neither the deferral of tax on unrealized capital gains nor the tax exclusion of imputed income (such as the rental value of owner-occupied housing or farmers' consumption of their own produce) is regarded as a tax expenditure. Both accrued and imputed income would be taxed under a comprehensive income tax.
- A comprehensive income tax would generally not exclude from the tax base amounts for personal exemptions or a standard deduction, except perhaps to ease tax administration.
- There generally is a separate corporate income tax.
- Tax rates are allowed to vary with marital status.
- Values of assets and debt are not generally adjusted for inflation. A comprehensive income tax would adjust the cost basis of capital assets and debt for changes in the price level during the time the assets or debt are held. Thus, under a comprehensive income tax baseline, the failure to take account of inflation in measuring depreciation, capital gains, and interest income would be regarded as a negative tax expenditure (i.e., a tax penalty), and failure to take account of inflation in measuring interest costs would be regarded as a positive tax expenditure (i.e., a tax subsidy).

Although the reference law and normal tax baselines are generally similar, areas of difference include:

Tax rates. The separate schedules applying to the various taxpaying units are included in the reference law baseline. Thus, corporate tax rates below the maximum statutory rate do not give rise to a tax expenditure. The normal tax baseline is similar, except that, by convention, it specifies the current maximum rate as the baseline for the corporate income tax. The lower tax rates applied to the first \$10 million of corporate income are thus regarded as a tax expenditure. Again by convention, the alternative minimum tax is treated as part of the baseline rate structure under both the reference and normal tax methods.

Income subject to the tax. Income subject to tax is defined as gross income less the costs of earning that income. The Federal income tax defines gross income to include: (1) consideration received in the exchange of goods and services, including labor services or property; and (2) the taxpayer's share of gross or net income earned and/or reported by another entity (such as a partnership). Under the reference tax rules, therefore, gross income does not include gifts defined as receipts of money or property that are not consideration in an exchange or most transfer payments, which can be thought of as gifts from the Government. The normal tax baseline also excludes gifts between individuals from gross income. Under the normal tax

baseline, however, all cash transfer payments from the Government to private individuals are counted in gross income, and exemptions of such transfers from tax are identified as tax expenditures. The costs of earning income are generally deductible in determining taxable income under both the reference and normal tax baselines. ²

Capital recovery. Under the reference tax law baseline no tax expenditures arise from accelerated depreciation. Under the normal tax baseline, the depreciation allowance for property is computed using estimates of economic depreciation. The latter represents a change in the calculation of the tax expenditure under normal law first made in the 2004 Budget. The Appendix provides further details on the new methodology and how it differs from the prior methodology.

Treatment of foreign income. Both the normal and reference tax baselines allow a tax credit for foreign income taxes paid (up to the amount of U.S. income taxes that would otherwise be due), which prevents double taxation of income earned abroad. Under the normal tax method, however, controlled foreign corporations (CFCs) are not regarded as entities separate from their controlling U.S. shareholders. Thus, the deferral of tax on income received by CFCs is regarded as a tax expenditure under this method. In contrast, except for tax haven activities, the reference law baseline follows current law in treating CFCs as separate taxable entities whose income is not subject to U.S. tax until distributed to U.S. taxpayers. Under this baseline, deferral of tax on CFC income is not a tax expenditure because U.S. taxpayers generally are not taxed on accrued, but unrealized, income.

In addition to these areas of difference, the Joint Committee on Taxation considers a somewhat broader set of tax expenditures under its normal tax baseline than is considered here.

Double Taxation of Corporate Profits

In a gradual transition to a more economically neutral tax system where corporate income is subject to a single layer of tax, the lower tax rates on dividends and capital gains on corporate equity have not been considered tax preferences since the 2005 Budget. Thus, the difference between ordinary tax rates and the lower tax rates on dividends, introduced by the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 (JGTRRA), does not give rise to a tax expenditure. Similarly, the lower capital gains tax rates applied to gains realized from the disposition of corporate equity do not give rise to a tax expenditure as well. As a

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Gross}$ income does, however, include transfer payments associated with past employment, such as Social Security benefits.

²In the case of individuals who hold "passive" equity interests in businesses, however, the pro-rata shares of sales and expense deductions reportable in a year are limited. A passive business activity is defined to be one in which the holder of the interest, usually a partnership interest, does not actively perform managerial or other participatory functions. The taxpayer may generally report no larger deductions for a year than will reduce taxable income from such activities to zero. Deductions in excess of the limitation may be taken in subsequent years, or when the interest is liquidated. In addition, costs of earning income may be limited under the alternative minimum tax.

consequence, tax expenditure estimates for the lower tax rates on capital, step-up in basis, and the inside build-up on pension assets, 401k plans, IRAs, among others, are limited to capital gains from sources other than corporate equity. The Appendix provides a greater discussion of alternative baselines.

Performance Measures and the Economic Effects of Tax Expenditures

The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA) directs Federal agencies to develop annual and strategic plans for their programs and activities. These plans set out performance objectives to be achieved over a specific time period. Most of these objectives will be achieved through direct expenditure programs. Tax expenditures, however, may also contribute to achieving these goals. The report of the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee on GPRA 3 calls on the Executive branch to undertake a series of analyses to assess the effect of specific tax expenditures on the achievement of agencies' performance objectives.

The Executive Branch is continuing to focus on the availability of data needed to assess the effects of the tax expenditures designed to increase savings. Treasury's Office of Tax Analysis and Statistics of Income Division (IRS) have developed a new sample of individual income tax filers as one part of this effort. This new "panel" sample will follow the same taxpayers over a period of at least ten years. The first year of this panel sample was drawn from tax returns filed in 2000 for tax year 1999. The sample will capture the changing demographic and economic circumstances of individuals and the effects of changes in tax law over an extended period of time. Data from the sample will therefore permit more extensive, and better, analyses of many tax provisions than can be performed using only annual ("cross-section") data. In particular, data from this panel sample will enhance our ability to analyze the effect of tax expenditures designed to increase savings. Other efforts by OMB, Treasury, and other agencies to improve data available for the analysis of savings tax expenditures will continue over the next several

Comparison of tax expenditure, spending, and regulatory policies. Tax expenditures by definition work through the tax system and, particularly, the income tax. Thus, they may be relatively advantageous policy approaches when the benefit or incentive is related to income and is intended to be widely available. ⁴ Because there is an existing public administrative and private compliance structure for the tax system, the incremental administrative and compliance costs for a tax expenditure may be low in many cases. In addition, some tax expenditures actually simplify the operation of the tax system, (for example, the exclusion for up to \$500,000 of capital gains on home sales). Tax expend-

³Committee on Government Affairs, United States Senate, "Government Performance and Results Act of 1993" (Report 103–58, 1993). itures also implicitly subsidize certain activities. Spending, regulatory or tax-disincentive policies can also modify behavior, but may have different economic effects. Finally, a variety of tax expenditure tools can be used e.g., deductions; credits; exemptions; deferrals; floors; ceilings; phase-ins; phase-outs; dependent on income, expenses, or demographic characteristics (age, number of family members, etc.). This wide range means that tax expenditures can be flexible and can have very different economic effects.

Tax expenditures also have limitations. In many cases they add to the complexity of the tax system, which raises both administrative and compliance costs. For example, targeting personal exemptions and credits can complicate filing and decision-making. The income tax system may have little or no contact with persons who have no or very low incomes, and does not require information on certain characteristics of individuals used in some spending programs, such as wealth. These features may reduce the effectiveness of tax expenditures for addressing certain income-transfer objectives. Tax expenditures also generally do not enable the same degree of agency discretion as an outlay program. For example, grant or direct Federal service delivery programs can prioritize activities to be addressed with specific resources in a way that is difficult to emulate with tax expenditures. Finally, tax expenditures may not receive the same level of scrutiny afforded to other programs.

Outlay programs have advantages where direct Government service provision is particularly warranted such as equipping and providing the armed forces or administering the system of justice. Outlay programs may also be specifically designed to meet the needs of low-income families who would not otherwise be subject to income taxes or need to file a tax return. Outlay programs may also receive more year-to-year oversight and fine tuning, through the legislative and executive budget process. In addition, many different types of spending programs including direct Government provision; credit programs; and payments to State and local governments, the private sector, or individuals in the form of grants or contracts provide flexibility for policy design. On the other hand, certain outlay programs such as direct Government service provision may rely less directly on economic incentives and private-market provision than tax incentives, which may reduce the relative efficiency of spending programs for some goals. Spending programs also require resources to be raised via taxes, user charges, or Government borrowing, which can impose further costs by diverting resources from their most efficient uses. Finally, spending programs, particularly on the discretionary side, may respond less readily to changing activity levels and economic conditions than tax expenditures.

Regulations have more direct and immediate effects than outlay and tax-expenditure programs because regulations apply directly and immediately to the regulated party (i.e., the intended actor) generally in the private sector. Regulations can also be fine-tuned more

⁴Although this section focuses upon tax expenditures under the income tax, tax expenditures also arise under the unified transfer, payroll, and excise tax systems. Such provisions can be useful when they relate to the base of those taxes, such as an excise tax exemption for certain types of consumption deemed meritorious.

quickly than tax expenditures, because they can often be changed as needed by the executive branch without legislation. Like tax expenditures, regulations often rely largely upon voluntary compliance, rather than detailed inspections and policing. As such, the public administrative costs tend to be modest relative to the private resource costs associated with modifying activities. Historically, regulations have tended to rely on proscriptive measures, as opposed to economic incentives. This reliance can diminish their economic efficiency, although this feature can also promote full compliance where (as in certain safety-related cases) policymakers believe that trade-offs with economic considerations are not of paramount importance. Also, regulations generally do not directly affect Federal outlays or receipts. Thus, like tax expenditures, they may escape the degree of scrutiny that outlay programs receive. However, major regulations are subjected to a formal regulatory analysis that goes well beyond the analysis required for outlays and tax-expenditures. To some extent, the GPRA requirement for performance evaluation will address this lack of formal analysis.

Some policy objectives are achieved using multiple approaches. For example, minimum wage legislation, the earned income tax credit, and the food stamp program are regulatory, tax expenditure, and direct outlay programs, respectively, all having the objective of improving the economic welfare of low-wage workers.

Tax expenditures, like spending and regulatory programs, have a variety of objectives and effects. When measured against a comprehensive income tax, for example, these include: encouraging certain types of activities (e.g., saving for retirement or investing in certain sectors); increasing certain types of after-tax income (e.g., favorable tax treatment of Social Security income); reducing private compliance costs and Government administrative costs (e.g., the exclusion for up to \$500,000 of capital gains on home sales); and promoting tax neutrality (e.g., accelerated depreciation in the presence of inflation). Some of these objectives are well suited to quantitative measurement, while others are less well suited. Also, many tax expenditures, including those cited above, may have more than one objective. For example, accelerated depreciation may encourage investment. In addition, the economic effects of particular provisions can extend beyond their intended objectives (e.g., a provision intended to promote an activity or raise certain incomes may have positive or negative effects on tax neutrality).

Performance measurement is generally concerned with inputs, outputs, and outcomes. In the case of tax expenditures, the principal input is usually the revenue effect. Outputs are quantitative or qualitative measures of goods and services, or changes in income and investment, directly produced by these inputs. Outcomes, in turn, represent the changes in the economy, society, or environment that are the ultimate goals of programs.

Thus, for a provision that reduces taxes on certain investment activity, an increase in the amount of investment would likely be a key output. The resulting production from that investment, and, in turn, the associated improvements in national income, welfare, or security, could be the outcomes of interest. For other provisions, such as those designed to address a potential inequity or unintended consequence in the tax code, an important performance measure might be how they change effective tax rates (the discounted present-value of taxes owed on new investments or incremental earnings) or excess burden (an economic measure of the distortions caused by taxes). Effects on the incomes of members of particular groups may be an important measure for certain provisions.

An overview of evaluation issues by budget function. The discussion below considers the types of measures that might be useful for some major programmatic groups of tax expenditures. The discussion is intended to be illustrative and not all encompassing. However, it is premised on the assumption that the data needed to perform the analysis are available or can be developed. In practice, data availability is likely to be a major challenge, and data constraints may limit the assessment of the effectiveness of many provisions. In addition, such assessments can raise significant challenges in economic modeling.

National defense. Some tax expenditures are intended to assist governmental activities. For example, tax preferences for military benefits reflect, among other things, the view that benefits such as housing, subsistence, and moving expenses are intrinsic aspects of military service, and are provided, in part, for the benefit of the employer, the U.S. Government. Tax benefits for combat service are intended to reduce tax burdens on military personnel undertaking hazardous service for the Nation. A portion of the tax expenditure associated with foreign earnings is targeted to benefit U.S. Government civilian personnel working abroad by offsetting the living costs that can be higher than those in the United States. These tax expenditures should be considered together with direct agency budget costs in making programmatic decisions.

International affairs. Tax expenditures are also aimed at goals such as tax neutrality. These include the exclusion for income earned abroad by nongovernmental employees and exclusions for income of U.S.-controlled foreign corporations. Measuring the effectiveness of these provisions raises challenging issues.

General science, space and technology; energy; natural resources and the environment; agriculture; and commerce and housing. A series of tax expenditures reduces the cost of investment, both in specific activities such as research and experimentation, extractive industries, and certain financial activities and more generally, through accelerated depreciation for plant and equipment. These provisions can be evaluated along a number of dimensions. For example, it could be useful to consider the strength of the incentives by measuring their effects on the cost of capital (the interest rate which investments must yield to cover their costs) and effective tax rates. The impact of these provisions on the amounts of corresponding forms of investment (e.g.,

research spending, exploration activity, equipment) might also be estimated. In some cases, such as research, there is evidence that the investment can provide significant positive externalities that is, economic benefits that are not reflected in the market transactions between private parties. It could be useful to quantify these externalities and compare them with the size of tax expenditures. Measures could also indicate the effects on production from these investments such as numbers or values of patents, energy production and reserves, and industrial production. Issues to be considered include the extent to which the preferences increase production (as opposed to benefitting existing output) and their cost-effectiveness relative to other policies. Analysis could also consider objectives that are more difficult to measure but still are ultimate goals, such as promoting the Nation's technological base, energy security, environmental quality, or economic growth. Such an assessment is likely to involve tax analysis as well as consideration of non-tax matters such as market structure, scientific, and other information (such as the effects of increased domestic fuel production on imports from various regions, or the effects of various energy sources on the environment).

Housing investment also benefits from tax expenditures. The imputed net rental income from owner-occupied housing is excluded from the tax base. The mortgage interest deduction and property tax deduction on personal residences also are reported as tax expenditures because the value of owner-occupied housing services is not included in a taxpayer's taxable income. Taxpayers also may exclude up to \$500,000 of the capital gains from the sale of personal residences. Measures of the effectiveness of these provisions could include their effects on increasing the extent of home ownership and the quality of housing. Similarly, analvsis of the extent of accumulated inflationary gains is likely to be relevant to evaluation of the capital gains for home sales. Deductibility of State and local property taxes assists with making housing more affordable as well as easing the cost of providing community services through these taxes. Provisions intended to promote investment in rental housing could be evaluated for their effects on making such housing more available and affordable. These provisions should then be compared with alternative programs that address housing supply and demand.

Transportation. Employer-provided parking is a fringe benefit that, for the most part, is excluded from taxation. The tax expenditure estimates reflect the cost of parking that is leased by employers for employees; an estimate is not currently available for the value of parking owned by employers and provided to their employees. The exclusion for employer-provided transit passes is intended to promote use of this mode of transportation, which has environmental and congestion benefits. The tax treatments of these different benefits could be compared with alternative transportation policies.

Community and regional development. A series of tax expenditures is intended to promote community and regional development by reducing the costs of financing specialized infrastructure, such as airports, docks, and stadiums. Empowerment zone and enterprise community provisions are designed to promote activity in disadvantaged areas. These provisions can be compared with grants and other policies designed to spur economic development.

Education, training, employment, and social services. Major provisions in this function are intended to promote post-secondary education, to offset costs of raising children, and to promote a variety of charitable activities. The education incentives can be compared with loans, grants, and other programs designed to promote higher education and training. The child credits are intended to adjust the tax system for the costs of raising children; as such, they could be compared to other Federal tax and spending policies, including related features of the tax system, such as personal exemptions (which are not defined as a tax expenditure). Evaluation of charitable activities requires consideration of the beneficiaries of these activities, who are generally not the parties receiving the tax reduction.

Health. Individuals also benefit from favorable treatment of employer-provided health insurance. Measures of these benefits could include increased coverage and pooling of risks. The effects of insurance coverage on final outcome measures of actual health (e.g., infant mortality, days of work lost due to illness, or life expectancy) or intermediate outcomes (e.g., use of preventive health care or health care costs) could also be investigated.

Income security, Social Security, and veterans benefits and services. Major tax expenditures in the income security function benefit retirement savings, through employer-provided pensions, individual retirement accounts, and Keogh plans. These provisions might be evaluated in terms of their effects on boosting retirement incomes, private savings, and national savings (which would include the effect on private savings as well as public savings or deficits). Interactions with other programs, including Social Security, also may merit analysis. As in the case of employer-provided health insurance, analysis of employer-provided pension programs requires imputing the value of benefits funded at the firm level to individuals.

Other provisions principally affect the incomes of members of certain groups, rather than affecting incentives. For example, tax-favored treatment of Social Security benefits, certain veterans' benefits, and deductions for the blind and elderly provide increased incomes to eligible parties. The earned-income tax credit, in contrast, should be evaluated for its effects on labor force participation as well as the income it provides lower-income workers.

General purpose fiscal assistance and interest. The tax-exemption for public purpose State and local bonds reduces the costs of borrowing for a variety of purposes (borrowing for non-public purposes is reflected under

other budget functions). The deductibility of certain State and local taxes reflected under this function primarily relates to personal income taxes (property tax deductibility is reflected under the commerce and housing function). Tax preferences for Puerto Rico and other U.S. possessions are also included here. These provisions can be compared with other tax and spending policies as means of benefitting fiscal and economic conditions in the States, localities, and possessions. Finally, the tax deferral for interest on U.S. savings bonds benefits savers who invest in these instruments. The extent of these benefits and any effects on Federal borrowing costs could be evaluated.

The above illustrative discussion, although broad, is nevertheless incomplete, omitting important details both for the provisions mentioned and the many that are not explicitly cited. Developing a framework that is sufficiently comprehensive, accurate, and flexible to reflect the objectives and effects of the wide range of tax expenditures will be a significant challenge. OMB, Treasury, and other agencies will work together, as appropriate, to address this challenge. As indicated above, over the next few years the Executive Branch's focus will be on the availability of the data needed to assess the effects of the tax expenditures designed to increase savings.

Descriptions of Income Tax Provisions

Descriptions of the individual and corporate income tax expenditures reported upon in this chapter follow. These descriptions relate to current law as of December 31, 2004, and do not reflect proposals made elsewhere in the Budget. Nine additional provisions are considered when compared to the 2005 Budget. These are: (1) Expensing of capital costs with respect to complying with EPA sulfur regulations, (2) Exclusion of gain or loss on sale or exchange of certain brownfield sites, (3) Bio-Diesel tax credit, (4) Imputed net rental income on owner occupied housing, (5) Deduction for US production activities, (6) Special rules for certain film and TV production, (7) Tax credit for certain expenditures for maintaining railroad tracks, (8) Deferral of capital gains with respect of dispositions of transmission property, and (9) Discharge of student loan indebtedness.

National Defense

1. **Benefits and allowances to armed forces personnel.**—The housing and meals provided military personnel, either in cash or in kind, as well as certain amounts of pay related to combat service, are excluded from income subject to tax.

International Affairs

2. Income earned abroad.—U.S. citizens who lived abroad, worked in the private sector, and satisfied a foreign residency requirement may exclude up to \$80,000 in foreign earned income from U.S. taxes. In addition, if these taxpayers receive a specific allowance for foreign housing from their employers, they may also exclude the value of that allowance. If they do not

receive a specific allowance for housing expenses, they may deduct against their U.S. taxes that portion of such expenses that exceeds one-sixth the salary of a civil servant at grade GS-14, step 1 (\$74,335 in 2004).

- 3. Exclusion of certain allowances for Federal employees abroad.—U.S. Federal civilian employees and Peace Corps members who work outside the continental United States are allowed to exclude from U.S. taxable income certain special allowances they receive to compensate them for the relatively high costs associated with living overseas. The allowances supplement wage income and cover expenses like rent, education, and the cost of travel to and from the United States.
- 4. Extraterritorial income exclusion. ⁵—For purposes of calculating U.S. tax liability, a taxpayer may exclude from gross income the qualifying foreign trade income attributable to foreign trading gross receipts. The exclusion generally applies to income from the sale or lease of qualifying foreign trade property and certain types of services income. The FSC Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act of 2000 created the extraterritorial income exclusion to replace the foreign sales corporation provisions, which the Act repealed. The exclusion is generally available for transactions entered into after September 30, 2000.
- 5. Sales source rule exceptions.—The worldwide income of U.S. persons is taxable by the United States and a credit for foreign taxes paid is allowed. The amount of foreign taxes that can be credited is limited to the pre-credit U.S. tax on the foreign source income. The sales source rules for inventory property allow U.S. exporters to use more foreign tax credits by allowing the exporters to attribute a larger portion of their earnings abroad than would be the case if the allocation of earnings was based on actual economic activity.
- 6. Income of U.S.-controlled foreign corporations.—The income of foreign corporations controlled by U.S. shareholders is not subject to U.S. taxation. The income becomes taxable only when the controlling U.S. shareholders receive dividends or other distributions from their foreign stockholding. Under the normal tax method, the currently attributable foreign source pre-tax income from such a controlling interest is considered to be subject to U.S. taxation, whether or not distributed. Thus, the normal tax method considers the amount of controlled foreign corporation income not yet distributed to a U.S. shareholder as tax-deferred income.
- 7. Exceptions under subpart F for active financing income.—Financial firms can defer taxes on income earned overseas in an active business. Taxes on income earned through December 31, 2006 can be deferred.

⁵The determination of whether a provision is a tax expenditure is made on the basis of a broad concept of "income" that is larger in scope than is "income" as defined under general U.S. income tax principles. For that reason, the tax expenditure estimates include, for example, estimates related to the exclusion of extraterritorial income, as well as other exclusions, notwithstanding that such exclusions define income under the general rule of U.S. income taxation.

General Science, Space, and Technology

- 8. Expensing R&E expenditures.—Research and experimentation (R&E) projects can be viewed as investments because, if successful, their benefits accrue for several years. It is often difficult, however, to identify whether a specific R&E project is successful and, if successful, what its expected life will be. Under the normal tax method, the expensing of R&E expenditures is viewed as a tax expenditure. The baseline assumed for the normal tax method is that all R&E expenditures are successful and have an expected life of five years.
- 9. **R&E** credit.—The research and experimentation (R&E) credit is 20 percent of qualified research expenditures in excess of a base amount. The base amount is generally determined by multiplying a "fixed-base percentage" by the average amount of the company's gross receipts for the prior four years. The taxpayer's fixed base percentage generally is the ratio of its research expenses to gross receipts for 1984 through 1988. Taxpayers may also elect an alternative credit regime. Under the alternative credit regime the taxpayer is assigned a three-tiered fixed-base percentage that is lower than the fixed-base percentage that would otherwise apply, and the credit rate is reduced (the rates range from 2.65 percent to 3.75 percent). A 20percent credit with a separate threshold is provided for a taxpayer's payments to universities for basic research. The credit applies to research conducted before January 1, 2006 and extends to research conducted in Puerto Rico and the U.S. possessions.

Energy

- 10. Exploration and development costs.—For successful investments in domestic oil and gas wells, intangible drilling costs (e.g., wages, the costs of using machinery for grading and drilling, $_{
 m the}$ costunsalvageable materials used in constructing wells) may be expensed rather than amortized over the productive life of the property. Integrated oil companies may deduct only 70 percent of such costs and must amortize the remaining 30 percent over five years. The same rule applies to the exploration and development costs of surface stripping and the construction of shafts and tunnels for other fuel minerals.
- 11. **Percentage depletion.**—Independent fuel mineral producers and royalty owners are generally allowed to take percentage depletion deductions rather than cost depletion on limited quantities of output. Under cost depletion, outlays are deducted over the productive life of the property based on the fraction of the resource extracted. Under percentage depletion, taxpayers deduct a percentage of gross income from mineral production at rates of 22 percent for uranium; 15 percent for oil, gas and oil shale; and 10 percent for coal. The deduction is limited to 50 percent of net income from the property, except for oil and gas where the deduction can be 100 percent of net property income. Production from geothermal deposits is eligible for percentage depletion at 65 percent of net income, but with no limit on output and no limitation with respect to qualified

producers. Unlike depreciation or cost depletion, percentage depletion deductions can exceed the cost of the investment.

- 12. Alternative fuel production credit.—A non-taxable credit of \$3 per oil-equivalent barrel of production (in 1979 dollars) is provided for several forms of alternative fuels. The credit is generally available if the price of oil stays below \$29.50 (in 1979 dollars). The credit generally expires on December 31, 2002.
- 13. Oil and gas exception to passive loss limitation.—Owners of working interests in oil and gas properties are exempt from the "passive income" limitations. As a result, the working interest-holder, who manages on behalf of himself and all other owners the development of wells and incurs all the costs of their operation, may aggregate negative taxable income from such interests with his income from all other sources.
- 14. Capital gains treatment of royalties on coal.—Sales of certain coal under royalty contracts can be treated as capital gains rather than ordinary income.
- 15. **Energy facility bonds.**—Interest earned on State and local bonds used to finance construction of certain energy facilities is tax-exempt. These bonds are generally subject to the State private-activity bond annual volume cap.
- 16. **Enhanced oil recovery credit.**—A credit is provided equal to 15 percent of the taxpayer's costs for tertiary oil recovery on U.S. projects. Qualifying costs include tertiary injectant expenses, intangible drilling and development costs on a qualified enhanced oil recovery project, and amounts incurred for tangible depreciable property.
- 17. New technology credits.—A credit of 10 percent is available for investment in solar and geothermal energy facilities. In addition, a credit of 1.5 cents (indexed for inflation) is provided per kilowatt hour of electricity produced from certain renewable resources. Generally, qualifying sources include wind, closed-loop biomass, open-loop biomass including agricultural livestock waste nutrients, geothermal energy, solar energy, small irrigation, landfill gas, and trash combustion used to produce electricity at a facility placed in service before January 1, 2006. For facilities using open-loop biomass, small irrigation, landfill gas, or trash combustion, the credit rate is reduced by half. In addition, refined coal produced at a facility placed in service before January 1, 2009 cn claim a credit at a rate of \$4.375 per ton (indexed for inflation).
- 18. Alcohol fuel credits.—An income tax credit is provided for ethanol that is derived from renewable sources and used as fuel. The credit equals 53 cents per gallon in 2001 and 2002; 52 cents per gallon in 2003 and 2004; and 51 cents per gallon through 2010. To the extent that ethanol is mixed with taxable motor fuel to create gasohol, taxpayers may claim an exemption of the Federal excise tax rather than the income tax credit. In addition, small ethanol producers are eligible for a separate 10 cents per gallon credit.
- 19. Credit and deduction for clean-fuel vehicles and property.—A tax credit of 10 percent (not to ex-

ceed \$4,000) is provided for purchasers of electric vehicles. Purchasers of other clean-fuel burning vehicles and owners of clean-fuel refueling property may deduct part of their expenditures. The deduction and credit are reduced by 75 percent for vehicles placed in service in 2006 and are not available for vehicles placed in service after December 31, 2006.

20. Exclusion of utility conservation subsidies.— Non-business customers can exclude from gross income subsidies received from public utilities for expenditures on energy conservation measures.

Natural Resources and Environment

- 21. **Exploration and development costs.**—Certain capital outlays associated with exploration and development of nonfuel minerals may be expensed rather than depreciated over the life of the asset.
- 22. **Percentage depletion.**—Most nonfuel mineral extractors may use percentage depletion rather than cost depletion, with percentage depletion rates ranging from 22 percent for sulfur to 5 percent for sand and gravel.
- 23. Sewage, water, solid and hazardous waste facility bonds.—Interest earned on State and local bonds used to finance the construction of sewage, water, or hazardous waste facilities is tax-exempt. These bonds are generally subject to the State private-activity bond annual volume cap.
- 24. Capital gains treatment of certain timber.— Certain timber sales can be treated as a capital gain rather than ordinary income.
- 25. Expensing multiperiod timber growing costs.—Most of the production costs of growing timber may be expensed rather than capitalized and deducted when the timber is sold. In most other industries, these costs are capitalized under the uniform capitalization rules.
- 26. *Historic preservation*.—Expenditures to preserve and restore historic structures qualify for a 20-percent investment credit, but the depreciable basis must be reduced by the full amount of the credit taken.
- 27. Expensing of capital costs with respect to complying with EPA sulfur regulations.—Small refiners are allowed to deduct 75 percent of qualified capital costs incurred by the taxpayer during the taxable year. This provision was introduced by the American Jobs Creation Act (AJCA) enacted in 2004.
- 28. Exclusion of gain or loss on sale or exchange of certain brownfield sites.—This provision was introduced by the AJCA enacted in 2004. This exclusion applies to taxpayers who have incurred remediation expenditures in an amount which exceeds the greater of \$550,000 or 12 percent of the fair market value of the property at the time such property was acquired by the eligible taxpayer, determined as if there were not a presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant on the property which is complicating the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of the property.

Agriculture

- 29. Expensing certain capital outlays.—Farmers, except for certain agricultural corporations and partnerships, are allowed to expense certain expenditures for feed and fertilizer, as well as for soil and water conservation measures. Expensing is allowed, even though these expenditures are for inventories held beyond the end of the year, or for capital improvements that would otherwise be capitalized.
- 30. Expensing multiperiod livestock and crop production costs.—The production of livestock and crops with a production period of less than two years is exempt from the uniform cost capitalization rules. Farmers establishing orchards, constructing farm facilities for their own use, or producing any goods for sale with a production period of two years or more may elect not to capitalize costs. If they do, they must apply straight-line depreciation to all depreciable property they use in farming.
- 31. Loans forgiven solvent farmers.—Farmers are forgiven the tax liability on certain forgiven debt. Normally, debtors must include the amount of loan forgiveness as income or reduce their recoverable basis in the property to which the loan relates. If the debtor elects to reduce basis and the amount of forgiveness exceeds the basis in the property, the excess forgiveness is taxable. For insolvent (bankrupt) debtors, however, the amount of loan forgiveness reduces carryover losses, then unused credits, and then basis; any remainder of the forgiven debt is excluded from tax. Farmers with forgiven debt are considered insolvent for tax purposes, and thus qualify for income tax forgiveness.
- 32. Capital gains treatment of certain income.— Certain agricultural income, such as unharvested crops, can be treated as capital gains rather than ordinary income.
- 33. *Income averaging for farmers.*—Taxpayers can lower their tax liability by averaging, over the prior three-year period, their taxable income from farming and fishing.
- 34. **Deferral of gain on sales of farm refiners.** A taxpayer who sells stock in a farm refiner to a farmers' cooperative can defer recognition of gain if the taxpayer reinvests the proceeds in qualified replacement property.
- 35. **Bio-Diesel tax credit.**—An income tax credit of \$0.50, similar to Ethanol benefits, is available for each gallon of biodiesel used or sold. Biodiesel derived from virgin sources (agri-biodiesel) receives an increased credit of \$1.00 per gallon. The provision was introduced by the AJCA in 2004, and is set to expire on after December 31, 2006.

Commerce and Housing

This category includes a number of tax expenditure provisions that also affect economic activity in other functional categories. For example, provisions related to investment, such as accelerated depreciation, could be classified under the energy, natural resources and environment, agriculture, or transportation categories.

36. *Credit union income*.—The earnings of credit unions not distributed to members as interest or dividends are exempt from income tax.

- 37. **Bad debt reserves.**—Small (less than \$500 million in assets) commercial banks, mutual savings banks, and savings and loan associations may deduct additions to bad debt reserves in excess of actually experienced losses.
- 38. Deferral of income on life insurance and annuity contracts.—Favorable tax treatment is provided for investment income within qualified life insurance and annuity contracts. Investment income earned on qualified life insurance contracts held until death is permanently exempt from income tax. Investment income distributed prior to the death of the insured is tax-deferred, if not tax-exempt. Investment income earned on annuities is treated less favorably than income earned on life insurance contracts, but it benefits from tax deferral without annual contribution or income limits generally applicable to other tax-favored retirement income plans.
- 39. Small property and casualty insurance companies.—For taxable years beginning before January 1, 2004, insurance companies that were not life insurance companies and which had annual net premiums of less than \$350,000 were exempt from tax; those with \$350,000 to \$1.2 million of annual net premiums could elect to pay tax only on the income earned by their taxable investment portfolio. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2003, stock non-life insurance companies are generally exempt from tax if their gross receipts for the taxable year do not exceed \$600,00 and more than 50 percent of such gross receipts consists of premiums. Mutual non-life insurance companies are generally tax-exempt if their annual gross receipts do not exceed \$150,000 and more than 35 percent of gross receipts consist of premiums. Also, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2003, non-life insurance companies with no more than \$1.2 million of annual net premiums may elect to pay tax only on their taxable investment income.
- 40. *Insurance companies owned by exempt organizations*.—Generally, the income generated by life and property and casualty insurance companies is subject to tax, albeit by special rules. Insurance operations conducted by such exempt organizations as fraternal societies and voluntary employee benefit associations, however, are exempt from tax.
- 41. **Small life insurance company deduction.**—Small life insurance companies (gross assets of less than \$500 million) can deduct 60 percent of the first \$3 million of otherwise taxable income. The deduction phases out for otherwise taxable income between \$3 million and \$15 million.
- 42. *Mortgage housing bonds*.—Interest earned on State and local bonds used to finance homes purchased by first-time, low-to-moderate-income buyers is tax-exempt. The amount of State and local tax-exempt bonds that can be issued to finance these and other private activity is limited. The combined volume cap for private

- activity bonds, including mortgage housing bonds, rental housing bonds, student loan bonds, and industrial development bonds was \$62.50 per capita (\$187.5 million minimum) per State in 2001, and \$75 per capita (\$225 million minimum) in 2002. The Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000 accelerated the scheduled increase in the state volume cap and indexed the cap for inflation, beginning in 2003. States may issue mortgage credit certificates (MCCs) in lieu of mortgage revenue bonds. MCCs entitle home buyers to income tax credits for a specified percentage of interest on qualified mortgages. The total amount of MCCs issued by a State cannot exceed 25 percent of its annual ceiling for mortgage-revenue bonds.
- 43. Rental housing bonds.—Interest earned on State and local government bonds used to finance multifamily rental housing projects is tax-exempt. At least 20 percent (15 percent in targeted areas) of the units must be reserved for families whose income does not exceed 50 percent of the area's median income; or 40 percent for families with incomes of no more than 60 percent of the area median income. Other tax-exempt bonds for multifamily rental projects are generally issued with the requirement that all tenants must be low or moderate income families. Rental housing bonds are subject to the volume cap discussed in the mortgage housing bond section above.
- 44. Interest on owner-occupied homes.—Owner-occupants of homes may deduct mortgage interest on their primary and secondary residences as itemized nonbusiness deductions. The mortgage interest deduction is limited to interest on debt no greater than the owner's basis in the residence and, for debt incurred after October 13, 1987; it is limited to no more than \$1 million. Interest on up to \$100,000 of other debt secured by a lien on a principal or second residence is also deductible, irrespective of the purpose of borrowing, provided the debt does not exceed the fair market value of the residence. Mortgage interest deductions on personal residences are tax expenditures because the value of owner-occupied housing services is not included in a taxpayer's taxable income.
- 45. **Taxes on owner-occupied homes.**—Owner-occupants of homes may deduct property taxes on their primary and secondary residences even though they are not required to report the value of owner-occupied housing services as gross income.
- 46. *Installment sales*.—Dealers in real and personal property (i.e., sellers who regularly hold property for sale or resale) cannot defer taxable income from installment sales until the receipt of the loan repayment. Nondealers (i.e., sellers of real property used in their business) are required to pay interest on deferred taxes attributable to their total installment obligations in excess of \$5 million. Only properties with sales prices exceeding \$150,000 are includable in the total. The payment of a market rate of interest eliminates the benefit of the tax deferral. The tax exemption for nondealers with total installment obligations of less than \$5 million is, therefore, a tax expenditure.

47. *Capital gains exclusion on home sales.*—A homeowner can exclude from tax up to \$500,000 (\$250,000 for singles) of the capital gains from the sale of a principal residence. The exclusion may not be used more than once every two years.

- 48. *Imputed net rental income on owner occupied housing*.—The implicit rental value of home ownership, net of expenses such as mortgage interest and depreciation, is excluded from income. The appendix provides a greater explanation of this new addition to the tax expenditure budget.
- 49. **Passive loss real estate exemption.**—In general, passive losses may not offset income from other sources. Losses up to \$25,000 attributable to certain rental real estate activity, however, are exempt from this rule.
- 50. Low-income housing credit.—Taxpayers who invest in certain low-income housing are eligible for a tax credit. The credit rate is set so that the present value of the credit is equal to 70 percent for new construction and 30 percent for (1) housing receiving other Federal benefits (such as tax-exempt bond financing), or (2) substantially rehabilitated existing housing. The credit is allowed in equal amounts over 10 years. State agencies determine who receives the credit; States are limited in the amount of credit they may authorize annually. The Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000 increased the per-resident limit to \$1.50 in 2001 and to \$1.75 in 2002 and indexed the limit for inflation, beginning in 2003. The Act also created a \$2 million minimum annual cap for small States beginning in 2002; the cap is indexed for inflation, beginning in 2003.
- 51. Accelerated depreciation of rental property.— The tax depreciation allowance provisions are part of the reference law rules, and thus do not give rise to tax expenditures under the reference method. Under the normal tax method, however, economic depreciation is assumed. This calculation is described in more detail in the Appendix.
- 52. Cancellation of indebtedness.—Individuals are not required to report the cancellation of certain indebtedness as current income. If the canceled debt is not reported as current income, however, the basis of the underlying property must be reduced by the amount canceled.
- 53. *Imputed interest rules*.—Holders (issuers) of debt instruments are generally required to report interest earned (paid) in the period it accrues, not when paid. In addition, the amount of interest accrued is determined by the actual price paid, not by the stated principal and interest stipulated in the instrument. In general, any debt associated with the sale of property worth less than \$250,000 is excepted from the general interest accounting rules. This general \$250,000 exception is not a tax expenditure under reference law but is under normal law. Exceptions above \$250,000 are a tax expenditure under reference law; these exceptions include the following: (1) sales of personal residences worth more than \$250,000, and (2) sales of farms and

small businesses worth between \$250,000 and \$1 million.

54. Capital gains (other than agriculture, timber, iron ore, and coal).—Capital gains on assets held for more than 1 year are taxed at a lower rate than ordinary income. Under the revised reference law baseline used for the 2005 Budget, the lower rate on capital gains is considered a tax expenditure under the reference law method, but only for capital gains that have not been previously taxed under the corporate income tax. As discussed above, this treatment partially adjusts for the double tax on corporate income and is more consistent with a comprehensive income tax base.

Prior to passage of the Jobs Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (JGTRRA), the top capital gains tax rate for most assets held for more than 1 year was 20 percent. For assets acquired after December 31, 2000, the top capital gains tax rate for assets held for more than 5 years was 18 percent. Since January 1, 2001, tax-payers may mark-to-market existing assets to start the 5-year holding period. Losses from the mark-to-market are not recognized.

For assets held for more than 1 year by taxpayers in the 15-percent ordinary tax bracket, the top capital gains tax rate was 10 percent. After December 31, 2000, the top capital gains tax rate for assets held by these taxpayers for more than 5 years was 8 percent.

JGTRRA reduced the previous 20 percent and 18 percent rates on net capital gains to 15 percent and the previous 10 percent and 8 percent rates to 5 percent (0 percent, in 2008). The lower rates apply to assets held for more than one year. The lower rates apply to assets sold after May 6, 2003 through 2008.

- 55. Capital gains exclusion for small business stock.—An exclusion of 50 percent is provided for capital gains from qualified small business stock held by individuals for more than 5 years. A qualified small business is a corporation whose gross assets do not exceed \$50 million as of the date of issuance of the stock.
- 56. Step-up in basis of capital gains at death.— Capital gains on assets held at the owner's death are not subject to capital gains taxes. The cost basis of the appreciated assets is adjusted upward to the market value at the owner's date of death. After repeal of the estate tax for 2010 under the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (EGTRRA) of 2001, the basis for property acquired from a decedent will be the lesser of fair market value or the decedent's basis. Certain types of additions to basis will be allowed so that assets in most estates that are not currently subject to estate tax will not be subject to capital gains tax in the hands of the heirs.
- 57. Carryover basis of capital gains on gifts.—When a gift is made, the donor's basis in the transferred property (the cost that was incurred when the transferred property was first acquired) carries-over to the donee. The carryover of the donor's basis allows a continued deferral of unrealized capital gains. Even though the estate tax is repealed for 2010 under

EGTRRA, the gift tax is retained with a lifetime exemption of \$1 million.

- 58. Ordinary income treatment of losses from sale of small business corporate stock shares.— Up to \$100,000 in losses from the sale of small business corporate stock (capitalization less than \$1 million) may be treated as ordinary losses. Such losses would, thus, not be subject to the \$3,000 annual capital loss write-off limit.
- 59. Accelerated depreciation of non-rental-housing buildings.—The tax depreciation allowance provisions are part of the reference law rules, and thus do not give rise to tax expenditures under reference law. Under normal law, however, economic depreciation is assumed. This calculation is described in more detail in the Appendix.
- 60. Accelerated depreciation of machinery and equipment.—The tax depreciation allowance provisions are part of the reference law rules, and thus do not give rise to tax expenditures under reference law. Under the normal tax baseline, this tax depreciation allowance is measured relative to economic depreciation. This calculation is described in more detail in the Appendix.
- 61. Expensing of certain small investments.—As of 2003, under prior law, qualifying investments in tangible property up to \$25,000 could have been expensed rather than depreciated over time. The amount eligible for expensing was decreased to the extend the taxpayer's qualifying investment during the year exceeded \$200,000. For 2003, however, the expensing limit was temporarily increased to \$100,000, the phase-out limit was temporarily increased to \$400,000, and computer software became temporarily eligible for expensing treatment. For 2004, through 2007, these higher limits are indexed for inflation, and computer software continues to be an eligible investment. In all years, the amount expensed cannot exceed the taxpayer's taxable income for the year. The prior rules will apply for taxable years beginning after 2007.
- 62. Business start-up costs.—Business start-up costs are costs incurred prior to the creation of an active trade or business that would be deductible if incurred in connection with the operation of an existing trade or business. If the start-up costs were incurred on or before October 22, 2004, a taxpayer could elect to amortize them over 60 months. For costs incurred after that date, a taxpayer may elect to deduct up to \$5,000 of start-up costs, but this deductible amount is reduced (but now below zero) by the amount by which the taxpayer's total start-up costs for the year exceed \$50,000. Non-deducted start-up costs incurred after October 22, 2004 are amortized over a 15-year period. The normal-tax method treats the 60-month amortized amounts and the deducted amounts as tax expenditures; the reference tax method does not.
- 63. **Graduated corporation income tax rate schedule.**—The corporate income tax schedule is graduated, with rates of 15 percent on the first \$50,000 of taxable income, 25 percent on the next \$25,000, and

34 percent on the next \$9.925 million. Compared with a flat 34-percent rate, the lower rates provide an \$11,750 reduction in tax liability for corporations with taxable income of \$75,000. This benefit is recaptured for corporations with taxable incomes exceeding \$100,000 by a 5-percent additional tax on corporate incomes in excess of \$100,000 but less than \$335,000.

The corporate tax rate is 35 percent on income over \$10 million. Compared with a flat 35-percent tax rate, the 34-percent rate provides a \$100,000 reduction in tax liability for corporations with taxable incomes of \$10 million. This benefit is recaptured for corporations with taxable incomes exceeding \$15 million by a 3-percent additional tax on income over \$15 million but less than \$18.33 million. Because the corporate rate schedule is part of reference tax law, it is not considered a tax expenditure under the reference method. A flat corporation income tax rate is taken as the baseline under the normal tax method; therefore the lower rates is considered a tax expenditure under this concept.

- 64. **Small issue industrial development bonds.**—Interest earned on small issue industrial development bonds (IDBs) issued by State and local governments to finance manufacturing facilities is tax-exempt. Depreciable property financed with small issue IDBs must be depreciated, however, using the straight-line method. The annual volume of small issue IDBs is subject to the unified volume cap discussed in the mortgage housing bond section above.
- 65. **Deduction for U.S. production activities.** This provision was introduced by the AJCA in 2004 and allows for a deduction equal to a portion of taxable income attributable to domestic production. For taxable years beginning in 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008, the amount of the deduction is 5, 5, 5, 6, and 7 percent, respectively. For taxable years beginning after 2008, the amount of the deduction is 9 percent.
- 66. Special rules for certain film and TV production.—Taxpayers may deduct up to \$15 million (\$15 million in certain distressed areas) per production expenditures in the year incurred. Excess expenditures may be deducted over three years using the straight line method. This provision was introduced by the AJCA enacted in 2004. Under prior law, production expenses were depreciated.

Transportation

- 67. **Deferral of tax on U.S. shipping companies.**—Certain companies that operate U.S. flag vessels can defer income taxes on that portion of their income used for shipping purposes, primarily construction, modernization and major repairs to ships, and repayment of loans to finance these investments. Once indefinite, the deferral has been limited to 25 years since January 1, 1987.
- 68. Exclusion of employee parking expenses.— Employee parking expenses that are paid for by the employer or that are received in lieu of wages are excludable from the income of the employee. In 2004,

the maximum amount of the parking exclusion is \$195 (indexed) per month. The tax expenditure estimate does not include parking at facilities owned by the employer.

- 69. Exclusion of employee transit pass expenses.—Transit passes, tokens, fare cards, and vanpool expenses paid for by an employer or provided in lieu of wages to defray an employee's commuting costs are excludable from the employee's income. In 2005, the maximum amount of the exclusion is \$105 (indexed) per month.
- 70. Tax credit for certain expenditures for maintaining railroad tracks.—Eligible taxpayers may claim a credit equal to the lesser of 50 percent of maintenance expenditures and the product of \$3,500 and the number of miles of track owned or leased. This provision was introduced by the AJCA in 2004.

Community and Regional Development

- 71. **Rehabilitation of structures.**—A 10-percent investment tax credit is available for the rehabilitation of buildings that are used for business or productive activities and that were erected before 1936 for other than residential purposes. The taxpayer's recoverable basis must be reduced by the amount of the credit.
- 72. Airport, dock, and similar facility bonds.—Interest earned on State and local bonds issued to finance high-speed rail facilities and government-owned airports, docks, wharves, and sport and convention facilities is tax-exempt. These bonds are not subject to a volume cap.
- 73. Exemption of income of mutuals and cooperatives.—The incomes of mutual and cooperative telephone and electric companies are exempt from tax if at least 85 percent of their revenues are derived from patron service charges.
- 74. Empowerment zones, enterprise communities, and renewal communities.—Qualifying businesses in designated economically depressed areas can receive tax benefits such as an employer wage credit, increased expensing of investment in equipment, special tax-exempt financing, accelerated depreciation, and certain capital gains incentives. The Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 expanded the existing provisions by adding the "New York City Liberty Zone." In addition, the Working Families Tax Relief Act of 2004 extended the District of Columbia Enterprise Zone and the District of Columbia first time homebuyer credit by two years through 2005.
- 75. New markets tax credit.—Taxpayers who invest in a community development entity (CDE) after December 31, 2000 are eligible for a tax credit. The total equity investment available for the credit across all CDEs is \$1.0 billion in 2001, \$1.5 billion in 2002 and 2003, \$2.0 billion in 2004 and 2005, and \$3.5 billion in 2006 and 2007. The amount of the credit equals (1) 5 percent in the year of purchase and the following 2 years, and (2) 6 percent in the following 4 years. A CDE is any domestic firm whose primary mission is to serve or provide investment capital for low-income communities/individuals; a CDE must be accountable

to residents of low-income communities. The Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000 created the new markets tax credit.

- 76. Expensing of environmental remediation costs.—Taxpayers who clean up certain hazardous substances at a qualified site may expense the clean-up costs, rather than capitalize the costs, even though the expenses will generally increase the value of the property significantly or appreciably prolong the life of the property. The Working Families Tax Relief Act of 2004 extended this provision for two years, allowing remediation expenditures incurred before December 31, 2005 to be eligible for expensing.
- 77. Deferral of capital gains with respect of dispositions of transmission property.—This provision, introduced by the AJCA, provides for the deferral of gains from sales or dispositions to implement Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or State electric restructuring policy.

Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services

- 78. Scholarship and fellowship income.—Scholarships and fellowships are excluded from taxable income to the extent they pay for tuition and course-related expenses of the grantee. Similarly, tuition reductions for employees of educational institutions and their families are not included in taxable income. From an economic point of view, scholarships and fellowships are either gifts not conditioned on the performance of services, or they are rebates of educational costs. Thus, under the reference law method, this exclusion is not a tax expenditure because this method does not include either gifts or price reductions in a taxpayer's gross income. The exclusion, however, is considered a tax expenditure under the normal tax method, which includes gift-like transfers of Government funds in gross income (many scholarships are derived directly or indirectly from Government funding).
- 79. **HOPE** tax credit.—The non-refundable HOPE tax credit allows a credit for 100 percent of an eligible student's first \$1,000 of tuition and fees and 50 percent of the next \$1,000 of tuition and fees. The credit only covers tuition and fees paid during the first two years of a student's post-secondary education. In 2004, the credit is phased out ratably for taxpayers with modified AGI between \$85,000 and \$105,000 (\$42,000 and \$52,000 for singles), indexed.
- 80. Lifetime Learning tax credit.—The non-refundable Lifetime Learning tax credit allows a credit for 20 percent of an eligible student's tuition and fees. For tuition and fees paid after December 31, 2002, the maximum credit per return is \$2,000. The credit is phased out ratably for taxpayers with modified AGI between \$85,000 and \$105,000 (\$42,000 and \$52,000 for singles) (indexed beginning in 2002). The credit applies to both undergraduate and graduate students.
- 81. **Deduction for Higher Education Expenses.**—The maximum annual deduction for qualified higher education expenses is \$4,000 in 2004 for taxpayers with

adjusted gross income up to \$130,000 on a joint return (\$65,000 for singles). Taxpayers with adjusted gross income up to \$160,000 on a joint return (\$80,000 for singles) may deduct up to \$2,000 beginning in 2004. No deduction is allowed for expenses paid after December 31, 2005.

- 82. Education Individual Retirement Accounts.—Contributions to an education IRA are not tax-deductible. Investment income earned by education IRAs is not taxed when earned, and investment income from an education IRA is tax-exempt when withdrawn to pay for a student's tuition and fees. The maximum contribution to an education IRA in 2004 is \$2000 per beneficiary. The maximum contribution is phased down ratably for taxpayers with modified AGI between \$190,000 and \$220,000 (\$95,000 and \$110,000 for singles).
- 83. **Student-loan interest.**—Taxpayers may claim an above-the-line deduction of up to \$2,500 on interest paid on an education loan. Interest may only be deducted for the first five years in which interest payments are required. In 2004, the maximum deduction is phased down ratably for taxpayers with modified AGI between \$100,000 and \$130,000 (\$50,000 and \$65,000 for singles), indexed.
- 84. State prepaid tuition plans.—Some States have adopted prepaid tuition plans and prepaid room and board plans, which allow persons to pay in advance for college expenses for designated beneficiaries. In 2001 taxes on the earnings from these plans are paid by the beneficiaries and are deferred until tuition is actually paid. Beginning in 2002, investment income is not taxed when earned, and is tax-exempt when withdrawn to pay for qualified expenses.
- 85. **Student-loan bonds.**—Interest earned on State and local bonds issued to finance student loans is tax-exempt. The volume of all such private activity bonds that each State may issue annually is limited.
- 86. **Bonds for private nonprofit educational institutions.**—Interest earned on State and local Government bonds issued to finance the construction of facilities used by private nonprofit educational institutions is not taxed.
- 87. Credit for holders of zone academy bonds.—Financial institutions that own zone academy bonds receive a non-refundable tax credit (at a rate set by the Treasury Department) rather than interest. The credit is included in gross income. Proceeds from zone academy bonds may only be used to renovate, but not construct, qualifying schools and for certain other school purposes. The total amount of zone academy bonds that may be issued is limited to \$1.6 billion—\$400 million in each year from 1998 to 2005.
- 88. *U.S.* savings bonds for education.—Interest earned on U.S. savings bonds issued after December 31, 1989 is tax-exempt if the bonds are transferred to an educational institution to pay for educational expenses. The tax exemption is phased out for taxpayers with AGI between \$89,750 and \$119.750 (\$59,850 and \$74,850 for singles) in 2004.

- 89. **Dependent students age 19 or older.**—Taxpayers may claim personal exemptions for dependent children age 19 or over who (1) receive parental support payments of \$1,000 or more per year, (2) are full-time students, and (3) do not claim a personal exemption on their own tax returns.
- 90. Charitable contributions to educational institutions.—Taxpayers may deduct contributions to nonprofit educational institutions. Taxpayers who donate capital assets to educational institutions can deduct the asset's current value without being taxed on any appreciation in value. An individual's total charitable contribution generally may not exceed 50 percent of adjusted gross income; a corporation's total charitable contributions generally may not exceed 10 percent of pre-tax income.
- 91. *Employer-provided educational assistance*.— Employer-provided educational assistance is excluded from an employee's gross income even though the employer's costs for this assistance are a deductible business expense. EGTRRA permanently extended this exclusion and extended the exclusion to also include graduate education (beginning in 2002).
- 92. **Special deduction for teacher expenses.**—Educators in both public and private elementary and secondary schools, who work at least 900 hours during a school year as a teacher, instructor, counselor, principal or aide, may subtract up to \$250 of qualified expenses when figuring their adjusted gross income (AGI).
- 93. **Discharge of student loan indebtedness.**—Certain professionals who perform in underserved areas, and as a consequence get their student loans discharged, may not recognize such discharge as income. This provision was expanded by the AJCA to include health professionals.
- 94. Work opportunity tax credit.—Employers can claim a tax credit for qualified wages paid to individuals who begin work on or before December 31, 2005 and who are certified as members of various targeted groups. The amount of the credit that can be claimed is 25 percent for employment of less than 400 hours and 40 percent for employment of 400 hours or more. The maximum credit per employee is \$2,400 and can only be claimed on the first year of wages an individual earns from an employer. Employers must reduce their deduction for wages paid by the amount of the credit claimed.
- 95. Welfare-to-work tax credit.—An employer is eligible for a tax credit on the first \$20,000 of eligible wages paid to qualified long-term family assistance recipients during the first two years of employment. The credit is 35 percent of the first \$10,000 of wages in the first year of employment and 50 percent of the first \$10,000 of wages in the second year of employment. The maximum credit is \$8,500 per employee. The credit applies to wages paid to employees who are hired on or before December 31, 2005.
- 96. *Employer-provided child care exclusion.*—Up to \$5,000 of employer-provided child care is excluded from an employee's gross income even though the em-

ployer's costs for the child care are a deductible business expense.

- 97. Employer-provided child care credit.—Employers can deduct expenses for supporting child care or child care resource and referral services. EGTRRA provides a tax credit to employers for qualified expenses beginning in 2002. The credit is equal to 25 percent of qualified expenses for employee child care and 10 percent of qualified expenses for child care resource and referral services. Employer deductions for such expenses are reduced by the amount of the credit. The maximum total credit is limited to \$150,000 per taxable year.
- 98. Assistance for adopted foster children.—Taxpayers who adopt eligible children from the public foster care system can receive monthly payments for the children's significant and varied needs and a reimbursement of up to \$2,000 for nonrecurring adoption expenses. These payments are excluded from gross income.
- 99. Adoption credit and exclusion.—Taxpayers can receive a nonrefundable tax credit for qualified adoption expenses. The maximum credit is \$10,390 per child for 2004, and is phased-out ratably for taxpayers with modified AGI between \$155,860 and \$195,860. The credit amounts and the phase-out thresholds are indexed for inflation beginning in 2003. Unused credits may be carried forward and used during the five subsequent years. Taxpayers may also exclude qualified adoption expenses from income, subject to the same maximum amounts and phase-out as the credit. The same expenses cannot qualify for tax benefits under both programs; however, a taxpaver may use the benefits of the exclusion and the tax credit for different expenses. Stepchild adoptions are not eligible for either benefit.
- 100. *Employer-provided meals and lodging*.—Employer-provided meals and lodging are excluded from an employee's gross income even though the employer's costs for these items are a deductible business expense.
- 101. *Child credit.*—Taxpayers with children under age 17 can qualify for a \$1,000 refundable per child credit. The maximum credit declines to \$500 in 2011 and later years. The credit is phased out for taxpayers at the rate of \$50 per \$1,000 of modified AGI above \$110,000 (\$75,000 for singles).
- 102. Child and dependent care expenses.—Married couples with child and dependent care expenses may claim a tax credit when one spouse works full time and the other works at least part time or goes to school. The credit may also be claimed by single parents and by divorced or separated parents who have custody of children. Expenditures up to a maximum \$3,000 for one dependent and \$6,000 for two or more dependents are eligible for the credit. The credit is equal to 35 percent of qualified expenditures for taxpayers with incomes of \$15,000. The credit is reduced to a minimum of 20 percent by one percentage point for each \$2,000 of income in excess of \$15,000.

- 103. **Disabled access expenditure credit.**—Small businesses (less than \$1 million in gross receipts or fewer than 31 full-time employees) can claim a 50-percent credit for expenditures in excess of \$250 to remove access barriers for disabled persons. The credit is limited to \$5,000.
- 104. Charitable contributions, other than education and health.—Taxpayers may deduct contributions to charitable, religious, and certain other non-profit organizations. Taxpayers who donate capital assets to charitable organizations can deduct the assets' current value without being taxed on any appreciation in value. An individual's total charitable contribution generally may not exceed 50 percent of adjusted gross income; a corporation's total charitable contributions generally may not exceed 10 percent of pre-tax income.
- 105. Foster care payments.—Foster parents provide a home and care for children who are wards of the State, under contract with the State. Compensation received for this service is excluded from the gross incomes of foster parents; the expenses they incur are nondeductible.
- 106. **Parsonage allowances.**—The value of a minister's housing allowance and the rental value of parsonages are not included in a minister's taxable income.

Health

- 107. Employer-paid medical insurance and expenses.—Employer-paid health insurance premiums and other medical expenses (including long-term care) are deducted as a business expense by employers, but they are not included in employee gross income. The self-employed also may deduct part of their family health insurance premiums.
- 108. Self-employed medical insurance premiums.—Self-employed taxpayers may deduct a percentage of their family health insurance premiums. Taxpayers without self-employment income are not eligible for the special percentage deduction. The deductible percentage is 60 percent in 2001, 70 percent in 2002, and 100 percent in 2003 and thereafter.
- 109. Medical and health savings accounts.—Some employees may deduct annual contributions to a medical savings account (MSA); employer contributions to MSAs (except those made through cafeteria plans) for qualified employees are also excluded from income. An employee may contribute to an MSA in a given year only if the employer does not contribute to the MSA in that year. MSAs are only available to self-employed individuals or employees covered under an employersponsored high deductible health plan of a small employer. The maximum annual MSA contribution is 75 percent of the deductible under the high deductible plan for family coverage (65 percent for individual coverage). Earnings from MSAs are excluded from taxable income. Distributions from an MSA for medical expenses are not taxable. The number of taxpayers who may benefit annually from MSAs is generally limited to 750,000. No new MSAs may be established after December 31, 2003. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement,

and Modernization Act of 2003 introduced health savings accounts (HSA) which provides a tax-favored savings for health care expenses. The definition of a high-deductible health plan is less restrictive for HSAs than for MSAs.

- 110. **Medical care expenses.**—Personal expenditures for medical care (including the costs of prescription drugs) exceeding 7.5 percent of the taxpayer's adjusted gross income are deductible.
- 111. *Hospital construction bonds.*—Interest earned on State and local government debt issued to finance hospital construction is excluded from income subject to tax.
- 112. Charitable contributions to health institutions.—Individuals and corporations may deduct contributions to nonprofit health institutions. Tax expenditures resulting from the deductibility of contributions to other charitable institutions are listed under the education, training, employment, and social services function.
- 113. *Orphan drugs.*—Drug firms can claim a tax credit of 50 percent of the costs for clinical testing required by the Food and Drug Administration for drugs that treat rare physical conditions or rare diseases.
- 114. *Blue Cross and Blue Shield.*—Blue Cross and Blue Shield health insurance providers in existence on August 16, 1986 and certain other nonprofit health insurers are provided exceptions from otherwise applicable insurance company income tax accounting rules that substantially reduce (or even eliminate) their tax liabilities.
- 115. Tax credit for health insurance purchased by certain displaced and retired individuals.—The Trade Act of 2002 provided a refundable tax credit of 65 percent for the purchase of health insurance coverage by individuals eligible for Trade Adjustment Assistance and certain PBGC pension recipients.

Income Security

- 116. **Railroad retirement benefits.**—Railroad retirement benefits are not generally subject to the income tax unless the recipient's gross income reaches a certain threshold. The threshold is discussed more fully under the Social Security function.
- 117. **Workers' compensation benefits.**—Workers compensation provides payments to disabled workers. These benefits, although income to the recipients, are not subject to the income tax.
- 118. **Public assistance benefits.**—Public assistance benefits are excluded from tax. The normal tax method considers cash transfers from the Government as taxable and, thus, treats the exclusion for public assistance benefits as a tax expenditure.
- 119. Special benefits for disabled coal miners.— Disability payments to former coal miners out of the Black Lung Trust Fund, although income to the recipient, are not subject to the income tax.
- 120. *Military disability pensions*.—Most of the military pension income received by current disabled

retired veterans is excluded from their income subject to tax.

- 121. **Employer-provided pension contributions** and earnings.—Certain employer contributions to pension plans are excluded from an employee's gross income even though the employer can deduct the contributions. In addition, the tax on the investment income earned by the pension plans is deferred until the money is withdrawn.
- 122. **401(k) plans.**—Individual taxpayers can make tax-preferred contributions to certain types of employer-provided 401(k) plans (and 401(k)-type plans like 403(b) plans and the Federal government's Thrift Savings Plan). In 2004, an employee could exclude up to \$14,000 of wages from AGI under a qualified arrangement with an employer's 401(k) plan. This increases to \$14,000 in 2005 and \$15,000 in 2006 (indexed thereafter). The tax on the investment income earned by 401(k)-type plans is deferred until withdrawn.

Employees are allowed to make after-tax contributions to 401(k) and 401(k)-type plans. These contributions are not excluded from AGI, but the investment income of such after-tax contributions is not taxed when earned or withdrawn.

123. *Individual Retirement Accounts*.—Individual taxpayers can take advantage of several different Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs): deductible IRAs, non-deductible IRAs, and Roth IRAs. The annual contributions limit applies to the total of a taxpayer's deductible, non-deductible, and Roth IRAs contributions. The IRA contribution limit is \$3,000 in 2004, \$4,000 in 2005, and \$5,000 in 2008 (indexed thereafter) and allows taxpayers over age 50 to make additional "catchup" contributions of \$1,000 (by 2006).

Taxpayers whose AGI is below \$75,000 (\$55,000 for non-joint filers) in 2004 can claim a deduction for IRA contributions. The IRA deduction is phased out for taxpayers with AGI between \$65,000 and \$75,000 (\$45,000 and \$55,000 for non-joint). The phase-out range increases annually until it reaches \$80,000 to \$100,000 in 2007 (\$50,000 to \$60,000 in 2005 for non-joint filers). Taxpayers whose AGI is above the phase-out range can also claim a deduction for their IRA contributions depending on whether they (or their spouse) are an active participant in an employer-provided retirement plan. The tax on the investment income earned by 401(k) plans, non-deductible IRAs, and deductible IRAs is deferred until the money is withdrawn.

Taxpayers with incomes below \$160,000 (\$110,000 for nonjoint filers) can make contributions to Roth IRAs. The maximum contribution to a Roth IRA is phased out for taxpayers with AGI between \$150,000 and \$160,000 (\$95,000 and \$110,000 for singles). Investment income of a Roth IRA is not taxed when earned nor when withdrawn. Withdrawals from a Roth IRA are penalty free if: (1) the Roth IRA was opened at least 5 years before the withdrawal, and (2) the taxpayer either (a) is at least 591/2, (b) dies, (c) is disabled, or (d) purchases a first-time house.

Taxpayers can contribute to a non-deductible IRA regardless of their income and whether they are an active participant in an employer-provided retirement plan. The tax on investment income earned by non-deductible IRAs is deferred until the money is withdrawn.

- 124. Low and moderate income savers' credit.— The Tax Code provides an additional incentive for lower-income taxpayers to save through a nonrefundable credit of up to 50 percent on IRA and other retirement contributions of up to \$2,000. This credit is in addition to any deduction or exclusion. The credit is completely phased out by \$50,000 for joint filers and \$25,000 for single filers. This temporary credit is in effect from 2002 through 2006.
- 125. **Keogh plans.**—Self-employed individuals can make deductible contributions to their own retirement (Keogh) plans equal to 25 percent of their income, up to a maximum of \$40,000 in 2001. Total plan contributions are limited to 25 percent of a firm's total wages. The tax on the investment income earned by Keogh plans is deferred until withdrawn.
- 126. Employer-provided life insurance benefits.— Employer-provided life insurance benefits are excluded from an employee's gross income even though the employer's costs for the insurance are a deductible business expense, but only to the extent that the employer's share of the total costs does not exceed the cost of \$50,000 of such insurance.
- 127. Small business retirement plan credit.—EGTRRA provides businesses with 100 or fewer employees a credit for 50 percent of the qualified startup costs associated with a new qualified retirement plan. The credit is limited to \$500 annually and may only be claimed for expenses incurred during the first three years from the start of the qualified plan. Qualified startup expenses include expenses related to the establishment and administration of the plan, and the retirement-related education of employees. The credit applies to costs incurred beginning in 2002.
- 128. Employer-provided accident and disability benefits.—Employer-provided accident and disability benefits are excluded from an employee's gross income even though the employer's costs for the benefits are a deductible business expense.
- 129. **Employer-provided supplementary unem- ployment benefits.**—Employers may establish trusts to pay supplemental unemployment benefits to employees separated from employment. Interest payments to such trusts are exempt from taxation.
- 130. Employer Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) provisions.—ESOPs are a special type of tax-exempt employee benefit plan. Employer-paid contributions (the value of stock issued to the ESOP) are deductible by the employer as part of employee compensation costs. They are not included in the employees' gross income for tax purposes, however, until they are paid out as benefits. The following special income tax provisions for ESOPs are intended to increase ownership of corporations by their employees: (1) annual employer contributions are subject to less restrictive limitations; (2)

ESOPs may borrow to purchase employer stock, guaranteed by their agreement with the employer that the debt will be serviced by his payment (deductible by him) of a portion of wages (excludable by the employees) to service the loan; (3) employees who sell appreciated company stock to the ESOP may defer any taxes due until they withdraw benefits; and (4) dividends paid to ESOP-held stock are deductible by the employer.

- 131. Additional deduction for the blind.—Tax-payers who are blind may take an additional \$1,200 standard deduction if single, or \$950 if married in 2004.
- 132. Additional deduction for the elderly.—Taxpayers who are 65 years or older may take an additional \$1,200 standard deduction if single, or \$950 if married in 2004.
- 133. Tax credit for the elderly and disabled.— Individuals who are 65 years of age or older, or who are permanently disabled, can take a tax credit equal to 15 percent of the sum of their earned and retirement income. Income is limited to no more than \$5,000 for single individuals or married couples filing a joint return where only one spouse is 65 years of age or older, and up to \$7,500 for joint returns where both spouses are 65 years of age or older. These limits are reduced by one-half of the taxpayer's adjusted gross income over \$7,500 for single individuals and \$10,000 for married couples filing a joint return.
- 134. *Casualty losses.*—Neither the purchase of property nor insurance premiums to protect its value are deductible as costs of earning income; therefore, reimbursement for insured loss of such property is not reportable as a part of gross income. Taxpayers, however, may deduct uninsured casualty and theft losses of more than \$100 each, but only to the extent that total losses during the year exceed 10 percent of AGI.
- 135. Earned income tax credit (EITC).—The EITC may be claimed by low income workers. For a family with one qualifying child, the credit is 34 percent of the first \$7,660 of earned income in 2004. The credit is 40 percent of the first \$10,750 of income for a family with two or more qualifying children. The credit is phased out beginning when the taxpayer's income exceeds \$14,040 at the rate of 15.98 percent (21.06 percent if two or more qualifying children are present). It is completely phased out when the taxpayer's modified adjusted gross income reaches \$30,338 (\$34,458 if two or more qualifying children are present), \$31,338 (or \$35,458) for those married.

The credit may also be claimed by workers who do not have children living with them. Qualifying workers must be at least age 25 and may not be claimed as a dependent on another taxpayer's return. The credit is not available to workers age 65 or older. In 2004, the credit is 7.65 percent of the first \$5,100 of earned income. When the taxpayer's income exceeds \$6,390 (7,390 if married), the credit is phased out at the rate of 7.65 percent. It is completely phased out at \$11,490 (\$12,490 for married) of modified adjusted gross income.

For workers with or without children, the income levels at which the credit begins to phase-out and the maximum amounts of income on which the credit can be taken are adjusted for inflation. For married tax-payers filing a joint return, the base amount for the phase-out increases by \$2,000 in 2005 through 2007, and \$3,000 in 2008 (indexed thereafter).

Earned income tax credits in excess of tax liabilities owed through the individual income tax system are refundable to individuals. This portion of the credit is shown as an outlay, while the amount that offsets tax liabilities is shown as a tax expenditure.

Social Security

- 136. Social Security benefits for retired workers.—The non-taxation of Social Security benefits that exceed the beneficiary's contributions out of taxed income is a tax expenditure. These additional retirement benefits are paid for partly by employers' contributions that were not included in employees' taxable compensation. Portions (reaching as much as 85 percent) of recipients' Social Security and Tier 1 Railroad Retirement benefits are included in the income tax base, however, if the recipient's provisional income exceeds certain base amounts. Provisional income is equal to adjusted gross income plus foreign or U.S. possession income and tax-exempt interest, and one half of Social Security and tier 1 railroad retirement benefits. The tax expenditure is limited to the portion of the benefits received by taxpayers who are below the base amounts at which 85 percent of the benefits are taxable.
- 137. Social Security benefits for the disabled.— Benefit payments from the Social Security Trust Fund for disability are partially excluded from a beneficiary's gross incomes.
- 138. Social Security benefits for dependents and survivors.—Benefit payments from the Social Security Trust Fund for dependents and survivors are partially excluded from a beneficiary's gross income.

Veterans Benefits and Services

139. Veterans death benefits and disability compensation.—All compensation due to death or dis-

ability paid by the Veterans Administration is excluded from taxable income.

- 140. **Veterans pension payments.**—Pension payments made by the Veterans Administration are excluded from gross income.
- 141. **G.I. Bill benefits.**—G.I. Bill benefits paid by the Veterans Administration are excluded from gross income.
- 142. Tax-exempt mortgage bonds for veterans.— Interest earned on general obligation bonds issued by State and local governments to finance housing for veterans is excluded from taxable income. The issuance of such bonds is limited, however, to five pre-existing State programs and to amounts based upon previous volume levels for the period January 1, 1979 to June 22, 1984. Furthermore, future issues are limited to veterans who served on active duty before 1977.

General Government

- 143. **Public purpose State and local bonds.**—Interest earned on State and local government bonds issued to finance public-purpose construction (e.g., schools, roads, sewers), equipment acquisition, and other public purposes is tax-exempt. Interest on bonds issued by Indian tribal governments for essential governmental purposes is also tax-exempt.
- 144. **Deductibility of certain nonbusiness State** and local taxes.—Taxpayers may deduct State and local income taxes and property taxes even though these taxes primarily pay for services that, if purchased directly by taxpayers, would not be deductible.
- 145. **Business income earned in U.S. possessions.**—U.S. corporations operating in a U.S. possession (e.g., Puerto Rico) can claim a credit against some or all of their U.S. tax liability on possession business income. The credit expires December 31, 2005.

Interest

146. *U.S. savings bonds.*—Taxpayers may defer paying tax on interest earned on U.S. savings bonds until the bonds are redeemed.

Appendix:

TREASURY REVIEW OF THE TAX EXPENDITURE PRESENTATION

This appendix provides a presentation of the Treasury Department's continuing review of the tax expenditure budget. The review focuses on three issues: (1) using comprehensive income as a baseline tax system, (2) using a consumption tax as a baseline tax system, and (3) defining negative tax expenditures (provisions that cause taxpayers to pay too much tax).

The first section of this appendix compares major tax expenditures in the current budget to those implied by a comprehensive income baseline. This comparison includes a discussion of negative tax expenditures. The second section compares the major tax expenditures in the current budget to those implied by a consumption tax baseline, and also discusses negative tax expenditures. The final section addresses concerns that have been raised over the measurement of some current tax expenditures by describing new estimates of the tax expenditure caused by accelerated depreciation and by the tax exemption of the return earned on owner-occupied housing, and an alternative estimate of the tax expenditure for the preferential treatment of capital gains. The final section also provides an estimate of the negative tax expenditure caused by the double tax on corporate profits.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN OFFICIAL TAX EXPENDITURES AND THOSE BASED ON COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

As discussed in the main body of the tax expenditure chapter, official tax expenditures are measured relative to normal law or reference law baselines that deviate from a uniform tax on a comprehensive concept of income. Consequently, tax expenditures identified in the budget can differ from those that would be identified if a comprehensive income tax were chosen as the baseline tax system. This appendix addresses this issue by comparing major tax expenditures listed in the current tax expenditure budget with those implied by a comprehensive income baseline. Many large tax expenditures would continue to be tax expenditures were the baseline taken to be comprehensive income, although some would be smaller. A comprehensive income baseline would also result in a number of additional tax provisions being counted as tax expenditures.

Current budgetary practice excludes from the list of official tax expenditures those provisions that over-tax certain items of income. This exclusion conforms to the view that tax expenditures are substitutes for direct Government spending programs. However, this treatment gives a one-sided picture of how current law deviates from the baseline tax system. Relative to comprehensive income, a number of current tax provisions would be negative tax expenditures. Some of these also might be negative tax expenditures under the reference law or normal law baselines, expanded to admit negative tax expenditures.

Treatment of Major Tax Expenditures from the Current Budget under a Comprehensive Income Tax Baseline

Comprehensive income, also called Haig-Simons income, is the real, inflation adjusted, accretion to one's economic power arising between two points in time, e.g., the beginning and ending of the year. It includes all accretions to wealth, whether or not realized, whether or not related to a market transaction, and whether a return to capital or labor. Inflation adjusted capital gains (and losses) would be included in comprehensive income as they accrue. Business, investment, and casualty losses, including losses caused by depreciation, would be deducted. Implicit returns, such as those accruing to homeowners, also would be included in comprehensive income. A comprehensive income tax baseline would tax all sources of income once. Thus, it would not include a separate tax on corporate income that leads to the double taxation of corporate profits.

While comprehensive income can be defined on the sources side of the consumer's balance sheet, it sometimes is instructive to use the identity between the sources of wealth and the uses of wealth to redefine it as the sum of consumption during the period plus the change in net worth between the beginning and the end of the period.

Comprehensive income is widely held to be the idealized base for an income tax even though it is not a

perfectly defined concept.⁶ It suffers from conceptual ambiguities, some of which are discussed below, as well as practical problems in measurement and tax administration, e.g., how to implement a practicable deduction for economic depreciation or include in income the return earned on consumer durable goods, including housing, automobiles, and major appliances.

Furthermore, comprehensive income does not necessarily represent an ideal tax base; efficiency or equity might be improved by deviating from comprehensive income as a tax base, e.g., by reducing the tax on capital income in order to further spur economic growth or by subsidizing certain types of activities in order to correct for market failures or to improve the aftertax distribution of income. In addition, some elements of comprehensive income would be difficult or impossible to include in a tax system that is administrable.

Classifying individual tax provisions relative to a comprehensive income baseline is difficult, in part because of the ambiguity of the baseline. It also is difficult because of interactions between tax provisions (or their absence). These interactions mean that it may not always be appropriate to consider each provision in isolation. Nonetheless, Appendix Table 1 attempts such a classification for each of the thirty largest tax expenditures from the Budget.

We classify fifteen of the thirty items as tax expenditures under a comprehensive tax base (those in panel A). Most of these give preferential tax treatment to the return on certain types of savings or investment. They are a result of the explicitly hybrid nature of the existing tax system, and arise out of policy decisions that reflect discomfort with the high tax rate on capital income that would otherwise arise under the current structure of the income tax. Even these relatively clear cut items, however, can raise ambiguities particularly in light of the absence of integration of the corporate and individual tax systems. Given current law's corporate income tax, the reduction or elimination of individual level tax on income from investment in corporate equities might not be a tax expenditure relative to a comprehensive income baseline. Rather, an individual income tax preference might undo the corporate tax penalty (i.e., the double tax). This perspective is reflected in adjustments that have been made to the calculation of the tax expenditures for pensions and several other items in the 2006 budget (as discussed above). However, these adjustments have not been made in all tax expenditure calculations, e.g., no adjustment was made in the exclusion of interest on life insurance saving. A similar line of reasoning could be used to argue that in the case of corporations, expens-

⁶See, e.g., David F. Bradford, *Untangling the Income Tax* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1986), pp. 15–31, and Richard Goode, "The Economic Definition of Income" in Joseph Pechman, ed., *Comprehensive Income Taxation* (Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution, 1977), pp. 1–29.

ing ⁷ of R&E is not a tax expenditure because it serves to offset the corporate tax penalty.

In contrast to treatment in previous budgets, the 2006 budget includes as a tax expenditure the failure to tax net rental income from owner-occupied housing. Because net rental income (gross rents minus depreciation, interest, taxes, and other expenses) would be in the homeowner's tax base under a comprehensive income tax baseline, this item would be a tax expenditure relative to a comprehensive income baseline.

The exclusion of worker's compensation benefits also would be a tax expenditure under comprehensive income principles. Under comprehensive income tax principles, if the worker were to buy the insurance himself, he would be able to deduct the premium (since it represents a reduction in net worth) but should include in income the benefit when paid (since it represents an increase in net worth). If the employer pays the premium, the proper treatment would allow the employer a deduction and allow the employee to disregard the premium, but he would take the proceeds, if any, into income. Current law allows the employer to deduct the premium and excludes both the premium and the benefits from the employee's tax base.

Veteran's death and disability benefits seem likely to represent a tax expenditure. This is clearly the case to the extent they are seen as deferred wages or as transfers. It also is the case to the extent that they are seen as insurance benefits, since the premiums, which come in the form of foregone wages, were not included in taxable income. ⁹

Panel B deals with items that probably are tax expenditures, but that raise issues. Current law allows deductions for home mortgage interest and for property taxes on owner-occupied housing. The tax expenditure budget includes both of these deductions. From one perspective, these two deductions would not be considered tax expenditures relative to a comprehensive tax base; a comprehensive base would allow both deductions. However, this perspective ignores current law's failure to impute gross rental income. Conditional on this failure, the deductions for interest and property taxes might be viewed as inappropriate, because they move the tax system away from rather than towards a comprehensive income tax base. 10 Indeed, the sum of the tax expenditure for these two deductions, plus the tax expenditure for the failure to include net rental income, sums to the tax expenditure for owner-occupied housing relative to a comprehensive income tax base. Con-

 $^7{\rm Expensing}$ means immediate deduction. Proper income tax treatment requires capitalization followed by annual depreciation allowances reflecting the decay in value of the associated R&E spending.

sequently, there is a strong argument for classifying them as tax expenditures relative to a comprehensive income baseline.

The deduction of nonbusiness State and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes also is included in this section. These taxes include income, sales, and property taxes. The stated justification for this tax expenditure is that "Taxpayers may deduct State and local income taxes and property taxes even though these taxes primarily pay for services that, if purchased directly by taxpayers, would not be deductible. ¹¹ The idea is that these taxes represent (or serve as proxies for) consumption expenditures for which current law makes no imputations to income. ¹²

In contrast to the view in the official Budget, however, the deduction for State and local taxes might not be a tax expenditure if the baseline were comprehensive income. Properly measured comprehensive income would include the value of State and local government benefits received, but would allow a deduction for State and local taxes paid. ¹³ Thus, in this sense the deductibility of State and local taxes is consistent with comprehensive income tax principles; it should not be a tax expenditure. Nonetheless, imputing the value of State and local services is difficult and is not done under current law. Consequently, a deduction for taxes might sensibly be viewed as a tax expenditure relative to a comprehensive income baseline. ¹⁴

To the extent that the personal and dependent care exemptions and the standard deduction properly remove from taxable income all expenditures that do not yield suitably discretionary consumption value, or otherwise appropriately adjust for differing taxpaying capacity, then the child care credit and the earned income tax credit would be tax expenditures. In contrast, a competing perspective views these credits as appropriate modifications that account for differing taxpaying capacity. Even accepting this competing perspective, however, one might question why these programs come in the form of credits rather than deductions.

The step-up of basis at death lowers the income tax on capital gains for those who inherit assets below what it would be otherwise. From that perspective it would be a tax expenditure under a comprehensive income baseline. Nonetheless, there are ambiguities. Under a comprehensive income baseline, all real inflation adjusted gains would be taxed as accrued, so there would be no deferred unrealized gains on assets held at death.

The lack of full taxation of Social Security benefits also is listed in panel B. Consider first Social Security retirement benefits. To the extent that Social Security

⁸Suppose a taxpayer buys a one year term unemployment insurance policy at the beginning of the year. At that time he exchanges one asset, cash, for another, the insurance policy, so there is no change in net worth. But, at the end of the year, the policy expires and so is worthless, hence the taxpayer has a reduction in net worth equal to the premium. If the policy pays off during the year (i.e., the taxpayer has a work related injury), then the taxpayer would include the proceeds in income because they represent an increase in his net worth.

⁹The treatment of insurance premiums and benefits is discussed more completely below. ¹⁰If there were no deduction for interest and property taxes, the tax expenditure base (i.e., the proper tax base minus the actual tax base) for owner-occupied housing would equal the homeowner's net rental income: gross rents—(depreciation+interest+property taxes+other expenses). With the deduction for interest and property taxes, the tax expenditure base rises to gross rents minus (depreciation+other expenses).

¹¹Fiscal Year 2003 Budget of the United States Government, Analytical Perspectives (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2002) p. 127.

¹²Property taxes on owner-occupied housing also might serve as a proxy for the value of untaxed local services provided to homeowners. As such, they would be listed in the tax expenditure budget (as configured, i.e., building on the estimate for the failure to tax net rents) twice, once because current law does not tax rental income and again as a proxy for government services received. Property taxes on other consumer durables such as automobiles also might be included twice, owing to current law's exclusion from income of the associated service flow.

¹³U.S. Treasury, Blueprints for Basic Tax Reform (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1977) p. 92.

¹⁴Under the normal tax method employed by the Joint Committee on Taxation, the value of some public assistance benefits provided by State Governments is included as a tax expenditure, thereby raising a potential double counting issue.

is viewed as a pension, a comprehensive income tax would include in income all contributions to Social Security retirement funds (payroll taxes) and tax accretions to value as they arise (inside build-up). ¹⁵ Benefits paid out of prior contributions and the inside build-up, however, would not be included in the tax base because the fall in the value of the individual's Social Security account would be offset by an increase in cash. In contrast, to the extent that Social Security is viewed as a transfer program, all contributions should be deductible from the income tax base and all benefits received should be included in the income tax base.

A similar analysis applies to Social Security benefits paid to dependents and survivors. If these benefits represent transfers from the Government, then they should be included in the tax base. If the taxpaying unit consists of the worker plus dependents and survivors, then to the extent that Social Security benefits represent payments from a pension, the annual pension earnings should be taxed in the same way that earnings accruing to retirees are taxed. However, benefits paid to dependents and survivors might be viewed as a gift or transfer from the decedent, in which case the dependents and survivors should pay tax on the full amount of the benefit received. (In this case the decedent or his estate should pay tax on the pension income as well, to the extent that the gift represents consumption rather than a reduction in net worth).

In addition, dependent and survivors benefits might be viewed in part as providing life insurance. In that case, the annual premiums paid each year, or the portion of Social Security taxes attributable to the premiums, should be deducted from income, since they represent a decline in net worth, while benefits should be included in income. Alternatively, taxing premiums and excluding benefits also would represent appropriate income tax policy.

In contrast to any of these treatments, current law excludes one-half of Social Security contributions (employer-paid payroll taxes) from the base of the income tax, makes no attempt to tax accretions, and subjects some, but not all, benefits to taxation. The difference between current law's treatment of Social Security benefits and their treatment under a comprehensive income tax would qualify as a tax expenditure, but such a tax expenditure differs in concept from that included in the official budget.

The tax expenditures in the official budget ¹⁶ reflect exemptions for lower income beneficiaries from the tax on 85 percent of Social Security benefits. ¹⁷ Historically, payroll taxes paid by the employee represented no more than 15 percent of the expected value of the retirement benefits received by a lower-earnings Social Security beneficiary. The 85 percent inclusion rate is intended

to tax upon distribution the remaining amount of the retirement benefit payment—the portion arising from the payroll tax contributions made by employers and the implicit return on the employee and employer contributions. Thus, the tax expenditure conceived and measured in the current budget is not intended to capture the deviation from a comprehensive income baseline, which would additionally account for the deferral of tax on the employer's contributions and on the rate of return (less an inflation adjustment attributable to the employee's payroll tax contributions). Rather, it is intended to approximate the taxation of private pensions with employee contributions made from after-tax income, 18 on the assumption that Social Security is comparable to such pensions. Hence, the official tax expenditure understates the tax advantage accorded Social Security retirement benefits relative to a comprehensive income baseline.

To the extent that the benefits paid to dependents and survivors should be taxed as private pensions, the same conclusion applies: the official tax expenditure understates the tax advantage.

The deduction for U.S. production activities also raises some problems. To the extent it is viewed as a tax break for certain qualifying businesses ("manufacturers"), it would be a tax expenditure. In contrast, the deduction may prove to be so broad that it is available to most U.S. businesses, in which case it might not be seen as a tax expenditure. Rather, it would represent a feature of the baseline tax rate system, because the deduction is equivalent to a lower tax rate. In addition, to the extent that it is viewed as providing relief from the double tax on corporate profits, it might not be a tax expenditure.

The next category (panel C) includes items whose treatment is less certain. The proper treatment of some of these items under a comprehensive income tax is ambiguous, while others perhaps serve as proxies for what would be a tax expenditure under a comprehensive income base. ¹⁹ Consider, for example, the items relating to charitable contributions. Under existing law, charitable contributions are deductible, and this deduction is considered on its face a tax expenditure in the current budget. ²⁰

The treatment of charitable donations, however, is ambiguous under a comprehensive income tax. If charitable contributions are a consumption item for the giver, then they are properly included in his taxable income; a deduction for contributions would then be a tax expenditure relative to a comprehensive income tax baseline. In contrast, charitable contributions could represent a transfer of purchasing power from the giver

¹⁵ As a practical matter, this may be impossible to do. Valuing claims subject to future contingencies is very difficult, as discussed in Bradford, *Untangling the Income Tax*, pp. 23–24.

¹⁶This includes the tax expenditure for benefits paid to workers, that for benefits paid to survivors and dependents, and that for benefits paid to dependents.
¹⁷The current budget does not include as a tax expenditure the absence of income taxation

¹⁷The current budget does not include as a tax expenditure the absence of income taxation on the employer's contributions (payroll taxes) to Social Security retirement at the time these contributions are made.

¹⁸ Private pensions allow the employee to defer tax on all inside build-up. They also allow the employee to defer tax on contributions made by the employer, but not on contributions made directly by the employee. Applying these tax rules to Social Security would require the employee to include in his taxable income benefits paid out of inside build-up and out of the employer's contributions, but would allow the employee to exclude from his taxable income benefits paid out of his own contributions.

¹⁹See, for example, Goode, *The Economic Definition of Income*, pp. 16–17, and Bradford, *Untangling the Income Tax*, pp. 19–21, and pp.30–31.

²⁰The item also includes gifts of appreciated property, at least part of which represents a tax expenditure relative to an ideal income tax, even if one assumes that charitable donations are not consumption

to the receiver. As such, they would represent a reduction in the giver's net worth, not an item of consumption, and so properly would be deductible, implying that current law's treatment is not a tax expenditure. At the same time, however, the value of the charitable benefits received is income to the recipient. Under current law, such income generally is not taxed, and so represents a tax expenditure whose size might be approximated by the size of the donor's contribution. ²¹

Medical expenditures may or may not be an element of income (or consumption). Some argue that medical expenditures don't represent discretionary spending, and so aren't really consumption. Instead, they are a reduction of net worth and should be excluded from the tax base. In contrast, others argue that there is no way to logically distinguish medical care from other consumption items. Those who view medical spending as consumption point out that there is choice in many health care decisions, e.g., whether to go to the best doctor, whether to have voluntary surgical procedures, and whether to exercise and eat nutritiously so as to improve and maintain one's health and minimize medical expenditures. This element of choice makes it more difficult to argue, at least in many cases, that medical spending is more "necessary" than, or otherwise different from, other consumption spending.

The exemption of full taxation of Social Security benefits paid to the disabled also raises some issues. Social Security benefits for the disabled most closely resemble either Government transfers or insurance. A comprehensive income tax would require the worker to include the benefit fully in his income and would allow him to deduct associated Social Security taxes. If viewed as insurance, he also could include the premium (i.e., tax) and exclude the benefit. The deviation between such treatment and current law's treatment (described above) would be a tax expenditure under a comprehensive income baseline.

In contrast, as described above, the official tax expenditure measures the benefit of exemption for low income beneficiaries from the tax on 85 percent of Social Security benefits. This measurement does not correspond closely to that required under a comprehensive income base. If the payment of the benefit is viewed as a transfer and divorced from the treatment of Social Security taxes, then the current tax expenditure understates the tax expenditure measured relative to a comprehensive income baseline. If the payment of the benefit is viewed as a transfer but the inability to deduct the employee's share of the Social Security tax is simultaneously considered, then it is less likely that the current tax expenditure overstates the tax expenditure relative to a comprehensive income baseline, and in some cases it may generate a negative tax expenditure. If the benefit is viewed as insurance and the tax as a premium, then the current tax expenditure overstates the tax expenditure relative to a comprehensive income baseline. Indeed, in the insurance model, the ability

to exclude from tax only $\frac{1}{2}$ of the premium might suggest that $\frac{1}{2}$ of the payout should be taxed, so that the current tax rules impose a greater tax burden than that implied by a comprehensive income tax, i.e., a negative tax expenditure. 22

The final category (panel D) includes items that would not be tax expenditures under a comprehensive income tax base. A tax based on comprehensive income would allow all losses to be deducted. Hence, the exception from the passive loss rules would not be a tax expenditure.

Major Tax Expenditures under a Comprehensive Income Tax That Are Excluded from the Current Budget

While most of the major tax expenditures in the current budget also would be tax expenditures under a comprehensive income base, there also are tax expenditures relative to a comprehensive income base that are not found on the existing tax expenditure list. These additional tax expenditures include the imputed return from certain consumer durables (e.g., automobiles), the imputed return to consumption of financial services (e.g., checking account services received in kind and paid for by accepting a below market interest rate on deposits), the difference between capital gains (and losses) as they accrue and capital gains as they are realized, private gifts and inheritances received, in-kind benefits from such Government programs as foodstamps, Medicaid, and public housing, the value of payouts from insurance policies, 23 and benefits received from private charities. Under some ideas of comprehensive income, the value of leisure and of household production of goods and services also would be included as tax expenditures. The personal exemption and standard deduction also might be considered tax expenditures, although they can be viewed differently, e.g., as elements of the basic tax rate schedule. The foreign tax credit also might be a tax expenditure, since a deduction for foreign taxes, rather than a credit, would seem to measure the income of U.S. residents properly.

Negative Tax Expenditures

Under current budgetary practice, negative tax expenditures, tax provisions that raise rather than lower taxes, are excluded from the official tax expenditure list. This exclusion conforms with the view that tax expenditures are intended to be similar to Government spending programs.

If attention is expanded from a focus on spendinglike programs to include any deviation from the baseline tax system, negative tax expenditures would be of interest. Relative to a comprehensive income baseline, there are a number of important negative tax expenditures, some of which also might be viewed as negative tax expenditures under an expanded interpretation of the normal or reference law baseline. Among the more important negative tax expenditures is the corporation income tax, or more generally the double

 $^{^{21}{\}rm If}$ recipients tend to be in lower tax brackets, then the tax expenditure is smaller than when measured at the donor's tax rates.

 $^{^{22}}$ In contrast, the passive loss rules themselves, which restrict the deduction of losses, would be a negative tax expenditure when compared to a comprehensive tax base. 23 To the extent that premiums are deductible.

tax on corporate profits, which would be eliminated under a comprehensive income tax. The Jobs and Growth Tax Relief and Reconciliation Act of 2003 (JGTRRA) reduced the tax rate on dividends and capital gains to 15 percent, thus reducing the double tax compared to prior law. Nonetheless, as discussed later in the Appendix, current law still imposes a substantial double tax on corporate profits. The passive loss rules, restrictions on the deductibility of capital losses, and NOL carry-forward requirements each would generate a negative tax expenditure, since a comprehensive income tax would allow full deductibility of losses. If human capital were considered an asset, then its cost (e.g., certain education and training expenses, including perhaps the cost of college and professional school) should be amortizable, but it is not under current law. 24 Some restricted deductions under the individual AMT might be negative tax expenditures as might the phase-out of personal exemptions and of itemized deductions. The inability to deduct consumer interest also might be a negative tax expenditure, as an interest deduction may be required to properly measure income, as seen by the equivalence between borrowing and reduced lending. ²⁵ As discussed above, the current treatment of Social Security payments to the disabled also might represent a negative tax expenditure, if viewed as payments on an insurance policy.

Current tax law also fails to index for inflation interest receipts, capital gains, depreciation, and inventories. This failure leads to negative tax expenditures because comprehensive income would be indexed for inflation. Current law, however, also fails to index for inflation the deduction for interest payments; this represents a (positive) tax expenditure.

The issue of indexing also highlights that even if one wished to focus only on tax policies that are similar to spending programs, accounting for some negative tax expenditures may be required. For example, the net subsidy created by accelerated depreciation is properly measured by the difference between depreciation allowances specified under existing tax law and economic depreciation, which is indexed for inflation. ²⁶

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN OFFICIAL TAX EXPENDITURES AND TAX EXPENDITURES RELATIVE TO A CONSUMPTION BASE

This section compares tax expenditures listed in the official tax expenditure budget with those implied by a comprehensive consumption tax baseline. It first discusses some of the difficulties encountered in trying to compare current tax provisions to those that would be observed under a comprehensive consumption tax. Next, it discusses which of the thirty largest official tax expenditures would be tax expenditures under the consumption tax baseline, concluding that about one-half of the top thirty official tax expenditures would remain tax expenditures under a consumption tax baseline. Most of those that fall off the list are tax incentives for saving and investment.

The section next discusses some major differences between current law and a comprehensive consumption tax baseline that are excluded from the current list of tax expenditures. These differences include the consumption value of owner-occupied housing and other consumer durables, benefits from in-kind Government transfers, and gifts. It concludes with a discussion of negative tax expenditures relative to a consumption tax baseline

Ambiguities in Determining Tax Expenditures Relative to a Consumption Baseline

A broad-based consumption tax is a combination of an income tax plus a deduction for net saving. This follows from the definition of comprehensive income as consumption plus the change in net worth. It therefore seems straightforward to say that current law's deviations from a consumption base are the sum of (a) tax expenditures on an income base associated with exemptions and deductions for certain types of income, plus (b) overpayments of tax, or negative tax expenditures, to the extent net saving is not deductible from the tax base. In reality, however, the situation is more complicated. A number of issues arise, some of which also are problems in defining a comprehensive income tax, but seem more severe, or at least only more obvious, for the consumption tax baseline.

It is not always clear how to treat certain items under a consumption tax. One problem is determining whether a particular expenditure is an item of consumption. Spending on medical care and charitable donations are two examples. The classification below suggests that medical spending and charitable contributions might be included in the definition of consumption, but also considers an alternative view.

There may be more than one way to treat various items under a consumption tax. For example, a consumption tax might ignore borrowing and lending by excluding from the borrower's tax base the proceeds from loans, denying the borrower a deduction for payments of interest and principal, and excluding interest and principal payments received from the lender's tax base. On the other hand, a consumption tax might include borrowing and lending in the tax base by requiring the borrower to add the proceeds from loans in his tax base, allowing the lender to deduct loans from his tax base, allowing the borrower to deduct payments of principal and interest, and requiring the lender to include receipt of principal and interest payments. In

 $^{^{24}\,\}mathrm{Current}$ law offers favorable treatment to some education costs, thereby creating (positive) tax expenditures. Current law allows expensing of that part of the cost of education and career training that is related to foregone earnings and this would be a tax expenditure under a comprehensive income baseline.

²⁵ See Bradford, Untangling the Income Tax, p. 41.

²⁶Accelerated depreciation can be described as the equivalent of an interest free loan from the Government to the taxpayer. Under federal budget accounting principles, such a loan would be treated as an outlay equal to the present value of the foregone interest.

present value terms, the two approaches are equivalent for both the borrower and the lender; in particular both allow the tax base to measure consumption and both impose a zero effective tax rate on interest income. But which approach is taken obviously has different implications (at least on an annual flow basis) for the treatment of many important items of income and expense, such as the home mortgage interest deduction. The classification below suggests that the deduction for home mortgage interest could well be a tax expenditure, but takes note of alternative views.

Some exclusions of income are equivalent in many respects to consumption tax treatment that immediately deducts the cost of an investment while taxing the future cash-flow. For example, exempting investment income is equivalent to consumption tax treatment as far as the normal rate of return on new investment is concerned. This is because expensing generates a tax reduction that offsets in present value terms the tax paid on the investment's future normal returns. Expensing gives the income from a marginal investment a zero effective tax rate. However, a yield exemption approach differs from a consumption tax as far as the distribution of income and Government revenue is concerned. Pure profits in excess of the normal rate of return would be taxed under a consumption tax, because they are an element of cash-flow, but would not be taxed under a yield exemption tax system. Should exemption of certain kinds of investment income, and certain investment tax credits, be regarded as the equivalent of consumption tax treatment? The classification that follows takes a fairly broad view of this equivalence and considers many tax provisions that reduce or eliminate the tax on capital income to be roughly consistent with a broad-based consumption tax.

Looking at provisions one at a time can be misleading. The hybrid character of the existing tax system leads to many provisions that might make good sense in the context of a consumption tax, but that generate inefficiencies because of the problem of the "uneven playing field" when evaluated within the context of the existing tax rules. It is not clear how these should be classified. For example, many saving incentives are targeted to specific tax favored sources of capital income. The inability to save on a similar tax-favored basis irrespective of the ultimate purpose to which the saving is applied potentially distorts economic choices in ways that would not occur under a broad-based consumption tax. As another example, under a consumption VAT based on the destination principle, there would be a rebate of the VAT on exports and a tax on imports. Does this mean that the extraterritorial income exclusion (the successor of the Foreign Sales Corporation provision) is not a tax expenditure? Resolution comes down to judgments about how broad is broad enough to be considered general, or whether it even matters at all that a provision is targeted in some way. The classification that follows views many savings incentives, even if targeted, as roughly consistent with a broad based consumption tax.

In addition, provisions can interact even once an appropriate treatment is determined. For example, suppose that it is determined that financial flows are out of the tax base. Then the deduction for home mortgage interest would seem to be a tax expenditure. However, this conclusion is cast into doubt because current law generally taxes interest income. When combined with the homeowners' deduction, this results in a zero tax rate on the interest flow, consistent with consumption tax treatment.

Capital gains would not be a part of a comprehensive consumption tax base. Proceeds from asset sales and sometimes borrowing would be part of the cash-flow tax base, but, for transactions between domestic investors at a flat tax rate, would cancel out in the economy as a whole. How should existing tax expenditures related to capital gains be classified? The classification below generally views available capital gains tax breaks as consistent with a broad-based consumption tax because they lower the tax rate on capital income toward the zero rate that is consistent with a consumption-based tax.

Such considerations suggest that trying to compute the current tax's deviations from "the" base of a consumption tax is impossible because deviations cannot be uniquely determined, making it very difficult to do a consistent accounting of the differences between the current tax base and a consumption tax base. Nonetheless, Appendix Table 2 attempts a classification based on the judgments outlined above.

Treatment of Major Tax Expenditures under a Comprehensive Consumption Baseline

As noted above, the major difference between a comprehensive consumption tax and a comprehensive income tax is in the treatment of saving, or in the taxation of capital income. Consequently, many current tax expenditures related to preferential taxation of capital income would not be tax expenditures under a consumption tax. However, preferential treatment of items of income that is unrelated to moderately broad-based saving or investment incentives would remain tax expenditures under a consumption baseline. In addition, several official tax expenditures relating to items of income and expense are difficult to classify properly, while others may serve as proxies for properly measured tax expenditures.

Appendix Table 2 shows thirty large official tax expenditures from the Budget classified according to whether they would be considered a tax expenditure under a consumption tax. Two of the thirty items clearly would be a tax expenditure (shown in panel A) under a consumption tax, while an additional seven (those in panel B) probably would be tax expenditures.

A consumption tax would include in the homeowners' tax base the value of the implicit (gross) rental income from owner-occupied housing. Net rental income is a

component of this, and so would be included as a tax expenditure, relative to a consumption tax baseline. ²⁷

Exclusion of workers' compensation benefits allows an exclusion from income that is unrelated to investment, and so should be included in the base of a comprehensive consumption tax.

Consider next the deductibility of home mortgage interest and of property taxes on owner-occupied housing. Both items would seem to be strong candidates for inclusion as a tax expenditure, given current law's failure to impute the consumption value of housing. That is, focusing on the homeowner's tax base, these deductions move the tax system away from rather than towards the proper treatment of housing services. ²⁸

However, with respect to the home mortgage interest deduction, some ambiguity is introduced by the taxation of interest income to lenders. In a sense, the homeowner's deduction offsets the lenders inclusion, leaving (for equal tax rates) no net tax due on the interest flow, as would be appropriate under a consumption tax. Hence, from the perspective of the entire tax system, it is less clear that the home mortgage interest deduction represents a tax expenditure. ²⁹

Some ambiguity also is introduced by the variable treatment of financial flows possible under a consumption tax. That is, the proper treatment of interest under a consumption tax depends on whether financial flows are in or out of the consumption tax base. If the loans are taken into income (as they would be under some types of consumption taxes), then the associated interest and principal payments should be deductible, otherwise not.

With respect to property taxes on housing as well as other State and local taxes, some ambiguity arises because the tax might not represent consumption—it might be considered a reduction in net worth. Considered alone, this argument perhaps has some merit. However, there are two problems with this argument when viewed from the context of the entire tax system. First, the deduction for property taxes would seem to be inappropriate when there is no imputation for the associated consumption value, as discussed above. Second, the current tax system does not impute the consumption value of State and local services, and tax payments might serve as a proxy for that value, making their deduction unnecessary for the proper measurement of consumption.

The official tax expenditures for Social Security benefits reflects exceptions for low income taxpayers from

the general rule that 85 percent of Social Security benefits are included in the recipient's tax base. The 85 percent inclusion is intended as a simplified mechanism for taxing Social Security benefits as if the Social Security program were a private pension with employee contributions made from after-tax income. Under these tax rules, income earned on contributions made by both employers and employees benefits from tax deferral, but employer contributions also benefit because the employee may exclude them from his taxable income, while the employee's own contributions are included in his taxable income. These tax rules give the equivalent of consumption tax treatment, a zero effective tax rate on the return, to the extent that the original pension contributions are made by the employer, but give less generous treatment to the extent that the original contributions are made by the employee. Income earned on employee contributions is taxed at a low, but positive, effective tax rate. Based on historical calculations, the 85 percent inclusion reflects roughly the outcome of applying these tax rules to a lower-income earner when one-half of the contributions are from the employer and one-half from the employee.

The current tax expenditure measures a tax benefit relative to a baseline that is somewhere between a comprehensive income tax and a consumption tax. The properly measured tax expenditure relative to a consumption tax baseline would include only those Social Security benefits that are accorded treatment more favorable than that implied by a consumption tax, which would correspond to including 50 percent of Social Security benefits in the recipient's tax base.

A similar analysis would apply to exclusion of Social Security benefits of dependents and retirees.

There is a strong case for viewing the child credit and the earned income tax credit as social welfare programs (transfers). As such, they would be tax expenditures relative to a consumption baseline. Nonetheless, these credits could alternatively be viewed as relieving tax on "nondiscretionary" consumption, and so not properly considered a tax expenditure.

The treatment of the items in panels C is less uncertain. Several of these items relate to the costs of medical care or to charitable contributions. As discussed in the previous section of the appendix, there is disagreement within the tax policy community over the extent to which medical care and charitable giving represent consumption items. Medical care is widely held to be consumption, except perhaps the medical care that actually raises, rather than simply sustains the individual's ability to work. Charitable giving, on the other hand, may be considered to be a reduction in net worth that should be excluded from the tax base because it does not yield direct satisfaction to taxpayer who makes the expenditure. In this case, the tax expenditure lies not with the individual making the charitable deduction, but with the exclusion from taxation of the amounts received by the recipient.

There also is the issue of how to tax medical insurance premiums. Under current law, employees do not

²⁷Suppose that the rental value of a house is \$100 per year, and that depreciation is \$20, interest is \$15, property taxes are \$10, and other expenses are \$5. Net rental income is \$50 (gross rents less all items of expense). Hence, net rental income is a component of the gross rent, which is the consumption value of the housing services. Under a real based cash flow tax, in which financial flows are outside the tax base, the homeowner's net tax base would be \$85: gross rents—(property taxes + other expenses), assuming that property taxes are viewed as a reduction in net worth and that he makes no new investment (which would be deductible).

²⁸Using the figures from the example in the previous footnote, the homeowner would pay tax on gross rents minus property taxes minus other expenses, or on \$85. If property taxes and mortgage interest were not deducted, then this would be the size of the tax expenditure. However, current law allows these deductions, which raises the tax expenditure have to \$110.

²⁹ One must guard against double counting here, however, to the extent that current law's general taxation of capital income is calculated elsewhere in the tax expenditure budget as a negative tax expenditure.

have to include insurance premiums paid for by employers in their income. The self-employed also may exclude (via a deduction) medical insurance premiums from their taxable income. From some perspectives, these premiums should be in the tax base because they appear to represent consumption. Yet an alternative perspective would support excluding the premium from tax as long as the consumption tax base included the value of any medical services paid for by the insurance policy, because the premium equals the expected value of insurance benefits received. But even from this alternative perspective, the official tax expenditure might continue to be a tax expenditure under a consumption tax baseline because current law excludes the value of medical services paid with insurance benefits from the employee's taxable income.

If medical spending is not consumption, one approach to measuring the consumption base would ignore insurance, but allow the consumer to deduct the value of all medical services obtained. An alternative approach would allow a deduction for the premium but include the value of any insurance benefits received, while continuing to allow a deduction for a value of all medical services obtained. In either case, the official tax expenditure for the exclusion of employer provided medical insurance and expenses would not be a tax expenditure relative to a consumption tax baseline.

The extraterritorial income exclusion replaces the previous Foreign Sales Corporation program. It provides an exclusion from income for certain exports. To the extent that the program is viewed as a component of a destination based VAT it might not be a tax expenditure. In addition, to the extent that the exclusion reduces the income tax bias against investment it might be consistent with consumption tax principles (i.e., a low tax rate on capital income).

The taxation of Social Security benefits for the disabled also is difficult to classify. As discussed in this appendix above, these benefits generally ought to be taxed because they represent purchasing power. However, the associated Social Security taxes ought to be fully deductible, but they are not. Hence the proper treatment is unclear. Moreover, if the insurance model is applied, the taxation of Social Security benefits might be a negative tax expenditure.

The credit for low income housing acts to lower the tax burden on qualified investment, and so from one perspective would not be a tax expenditure under a consumption tax baseline. However, in some cases the credit is too generous; it can give a negative tax on income from qualified investment rather than the zero tax called for under consumption tax principles. In addition, the credit is very narrowly targeted. Consequently, it could be considered a tax expenditure relative to a consumption tax baseline.

The final panel (D) shows items that are not likely to be tax expenditures under a consumption base. Most of these relate to tax provisions that eliminate or reduce the tax on various types of capital income because a zero tax on capital income is consistent with consumption tax principles.

The deduction for U.S. production activities is not classified as a tax expenditure. This reflects the view that it represents a widespread reduction in taxes on capital income or an offset to the corporate income tax. In contrast to this classification, however, it would be a tax expenditure to the extent that it is viewed as a targeted tax incentive.

The exception from the passive loss rules probably would not be a tax expenditure because proper measurement of income, and hence of consumption, requires full deduction of losses.

Major Tax Expenditures under a Consumption Tax That Are Excluded from the Current Budget

Several differences between current law and a consumption tax are left off the official tax expenditure list. Additional tax expenditures include the imputed consumption value from consumer durables and financial services received in kind, private gifts and inheritances received, possibly benefits paid by insurance policies, in-kind benefits from such Government programs as food-stamps, Medicaid, and public housing, and benefits received from charities. Under some ideas of a comprehensive consumption tax, the value of leisure and of household production of goods and services would be included as a tax expenditure.

A consumption tax implemented as a tax on cash flows would tax all proceeds from sales of capital assets when consumed, rather than just capital gains; because of expensing, taxpayers effectively would have a zero basis. The proceeds from borrowing would be in the base of a consumption tax that also allowed a deduction for repayment of principal and interest, but are excluded from the current tax base. The deduction of business interest expense might be a tax expenditure, since under some forms of consumption taxation interest is neither deducted from the borrower's tax base nor included in the lender's tax base. The personal exemption and standard deduction also might be considered tax expenditures, although they can be viewed differently, e.g., as elements of the basic tax rate schedule.

Negative Tax Expenditures

Importantly, current law also deviates from a consumption tax norm in ways that increase, rather than decrease, tax liability. These could be called negative tax expenditures. The official budget excludes negative tax expenditures on the theory that tax expenditures are intended to substitute for Government spending programs. Yet excluding negative tax expenditures gives a very one-sided look at the differences between the existing tax system and a consumption tax.

A large item on this list would be the inclusion of capital income in the current individual income tax base, including the income earned on inside-build up in Social Security accounts. The revenue from the corporation income tax, or more generally a measure of the double tax on corporate profits, also would be a negative tax expenditure. Depreciation allowances, even

if accelerated, would be a negative tax expenditure since consumption tax treatment generally would require expensing. Depending on the treatment of loans, the borrower's inability to deduct payments of principal and the lender's inability to deduct loans might be a negative tax expenditure. The passive loss rules and NOL carry-forward provisions also might generate negative tax expenditures, because the change in net worth requires a deduction for losses (consumption = income—the change in net worth). If human capital were consid-

ered an asset, then its cost (e.g., certain education and training expenses, including perhaps costs of college and professional school) should be expensed, but it is not under current law. Certain restrictions under the individual AMT as well as the phase-out of personal exemptions and of itemized deductions also might be considered negative tax expenditures. Under some views, the current tax treatment of Social Security benefits paid to the disabled would be a negative tax expenditure.

time. In many years the new tax expenditures are nega-

REVISED ESTIMATES OF SELECTED TAX EXPENDITURES

Accelerated Depreciation

Under the reference tax law baseline no tax expenditures arise from accelerated depreciation. In the past, official tax expenditure estimates of accelerated depreciation under the normal tax law baseline compared tax allowances based on the historic cost of an asset with allowances calculated using the straight-line method over relatively long recovery periods. Normal law allowances also were determined by the historical cost of the asset and so did not adjust for inflation, although such an adjustment is required when measuring economic depreciation, the age related fall in the real value of the asset.

Beginning with the 2004 Budget, the tax expenditures for accelerated depreciation under the normal law concept have been recalculated using as a baseline depreciation rates and replacement cost indexes from the National Income and Product Accounts. ³⁰ The revised estimates are intended to approximate the degree of acceleration provided by current law over a baseline determined by real, inflation adjusted, and economic depreciation. Current law depreciation allowances for machinery and equipment include the benefits of a temporary expensing provision. ³¹ The estimates are shown in tables in the body of the main text, e.g., Table 19–1.

The revised tax expenditure estimates differ substantially from estimates calculated under the old methodology. In general, the new tax expenditure estimates are smaller than the old estimates. ³² In part, this is because the new baseline uses depreciation allowances that are faster than those in the old baseline. In addition, the new baseline calculates depreciation on a replacement cost basis rather on the historic cost basis previously used; this translates into larger depreciation allowances to the extent that asset prices rise over

Owner-Occupied Housing

A homeowner receives a flow of housing services equal in gross value to the rent that could have been earned had the owner chosen to rent the house to others. Comprehensive income would include in the homeowner's tax base this gross rental flow, and would allow the homeowner a deduction for expenses such as interest, depreciation, property taxes, and other costs associated with earning the rental income. Thus, a comprehensive tax base would include in its base the homeowner's implicit net rental income (gross income minus deductions) earned on investment in owner-occupied housing.

In contrast to a comprehensive income tax, current law makes no imputation for gross rental income and allows no deduction for depreciation or for other expenses, such as utilities and maintenance. Current law does, however, allow a deduction for home mortgage interest and for property taxes. Consequently, relative to a comprehensive income baseline, the total tax expenditure for owner-occupied housing is the sum of tax on net rental income plus the tax saving from the de-

tive, indicating that current law's tax depreciation allowances are smaller than those implied by economic depreciation. Because these estimates are on a cash flow, rather than a present value, basis, the negative value does not necessarily indicate that tax depreciation is decelerated relative to economic depreciation over the life of an investment. Even when tax depreciation is accelerated over the life of an investment, negative annual cash flow estimates could obtain in the later years of an investment's economic life. This type of vintage effect contributes importantly to the negative tax expenditures calculated for equipment in 2006-2010 because the temporary expensing provision expires at the end of 2004. Calculations that compare the present value of tax depreciation (without the temporary expensing) with the present value of inflation indexed economic depreciation over each investment's economic life show that for many types of assets tax depreciation is accelerated, but only slightly, assuming a moderate rate of inflation. 33

³⁰ See Barbara Fraumeni, "The Measurement of Depreciation in the U.S. National Income and Product Accounts," in Survey of Current Business 77 No. 7 (Washington, D.C.: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, July, 1997), pp. 7–42, and the National Income and Product Accounts of the United States, Table 7.6, "Chain-type Quantity and Price Indexes for Private Fixed Investment by Type," U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

³¹The temporary provision allows 30 percent of the cost of a qualifying investment to be deducted immediately rather than capitalized and depreciated over time. It is generally effective for qualifying investments made after September 10, 2001 and before September 11, 2004. The Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 raised the deduction to 50 percent depreciation (up from 30 percent) of the cost new equipment purchased after May 5, 2003 and placed into service before January 1, 2005. Qualifying investments generally are limited to tangible property with depreciation recovery periods of 20 years or less, certain software, and leasehold improvements, but this set of assets corresponds closely to machinery and equipment.

³² Estimates under the old methodology are no longer shown in the tables.

³³ U.S. Department of the Treasury, Report to the Congress on Depreciation Recovery Periods and Methods (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, July, 2000), p. 32

duction for property taxes and for home mortgage interest. 34

Prior to 2006, the official list of tax expenditures did not include the exclusion of net implicit rental income on owner-occupied housing. Instead, it included as a tax expenditure deductions for home mortgage interest and for property taxes. While these deductions are legitimately considered tax expenditures, given current law's failure to impute rental income, they are highly flawed as estimates of the total tax advantage to housing; they overlook the additional exclusion of implicit net rental income. To the extent that a homeowner owns his house outright, unencumbered by a mortgage, he would have no home mortgage interest deduction, yet he still would enjoy the benefits of receiving tax free the implicit rental income earned on his house. The treatment of owner-occupied housing has been revised in the 2006 budget, which now includes an item for the exclusion of net rental income of homeowners, 35

Appendix Table 3 as well as the Tables in the body of the main text, e.g., Table 19–1 show estimates of the tax expenditure caused by the exclusion of implicit net rental income from investment in owner-occupied housing. This estimate starts with the NIPA calculated value of gross rent on owner-occupied housing, and subtracts interest, taxes, economic depreciation, and other costs in arriving at an estimate of net-rental income from owner-occupied housing. ³⁶

Accrued Capital Gains

Under a comprehensive income baseline, all real gains would be taxed as accrued. These gains would be taxed as ordinary income rather than at preferential rates. There would be no deferred unrealized gains on assets held at death, nor gains carried over on gifts, or other preferential treatments. Indeed, all of the provisions related to capitals gains listed in the tax expenditure budget would be dropped. Instead, in their place the difference between the ordinary tax on real gains accrued and the actual tax paid would be calculated. For 1999, for instance, the tax on real accrued gains on corporate equity is estimated at \$594 billion. This compares to an estimated tax on realized gains

of \$62 billion, for forgone revenues of \$562 billion. However, this forgone revenue may easily turn into a revenue gain given the limits on capital losses. For 2000, for instance, real accrued losses in corporate equity amounted to \$1.4 trillion. Yet, taxpayers paid an estimated \$70 billion in capital gains taxes. This roughly translates into an overpayment of taxes to the tune of \$464 billion.

Double Tax on Corporate Profits

A comprehensive income tax would tax all sources of income once. Taxes would not vary by type or source of income.

In contrast to this benchmark, current law taxes income that shareholders earn on investment in corporate stocks at least twice, and at combined rates that generally are higher than those imposed on other sources of income. Corporate profits are taxed once at the company level under the corporation income tax. They are taxed again at the shareholder level when received as a dividend or recognized as a capital gain. Corporate profits can be taxed more then twice when they pass through multiple corporations before being distributed to noncorporate shareholders. Corporate level taxes cascade because corporations are taxed on capital gains they realize on the sale of stock shares and on some dividend income received. Compared to a comprehensive income tax current law's double (or more) tax on corporate profits is an example of a negative tax expenditure because it subjects income to a larger tax burden than implied by a comprehensive income baseline.

Appendix Table 3 provides an estimate of the negative tax expenditure caused by the multiple levels of tax on corporate profits. This negative tax expenditure is measured as the shareholder level tax on dividends paid and capital gains realized out of earnings that have been fully taxed at the corporate level. It also includes the corporate tax paid on inter-corporate dividends and on corporate capital gains attributable to the sale of stock shares. The estimate includes the reduction in the dividends and capital gains tax rates enacted in JGTRRA.

The negative tax expenditure is large in magnitude; it exceeds \$33 billion in the years 2006 through in 2010. It is comparable in size (but opposite in sign) to all but the largest official tax expenditures. JGTRRA reduced but did not eliminate the double tax on corporate profits.

³⁴The homeowner's tax base under a comprehensive income tax is net rents. Under current law, the homeowner's tax base is—(interest + property taxes). The tax expenditure base is the difference between the comprehensive income base and current law's tax base, which for homeowners is the sum of net rents plus interest plus property taxes.

³⁵ This estimate combines the positive tax expenditure for the failure to impute rental income with the negative tax expenditure for the failure to allow a deduction for depreciation and other costs.

³⁶ National Income and Production Accounts, Table 2.4.

Appendix Table 1. COMPARISON OF CURRENT TAX EXPENDITURES WITH THOSE IMPLIED BY A COMPREHENSIVE INCOME TAX 1

Description	Revenue Effect 2006
A. Tax Expenditure Under a Comprehensive Income Tax	
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Employer plans	51.050
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: 401(k) plans	48,140
Capital gains exclusion on home sales	36,270
Exclusion of net imputed rental income on owner-occupied housing	29,720
Capital gains (except agriculture, timber, iron ore, and coal)	28,370
Exclusion of interest on public purpose State and local bonds	26,610
Exclusion of interest on life insurance savings	24,070
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Keogh plans	9,980
Expensing of research and experimentation expenditures (normal tax method)	7,920
Deferral of income from controlled foreign corporations (normal tax method)	7,440
Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Individual Retirement Accounts	7,310
Exclusion of workers' compensation benefits	5,940
Extraterritorial income exclusion	4,260
Credit for low-income housing investments	4,010
Exclusion of veterans death beenfits and disability compensation	3,750
B. Possibly a Tax Expenditure Under a Comprehensive Income Tax, But With Some Qualifications Deductibility of mortgage interest on owner-occupied homes Deductibility of nonbusiness state and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes Child credit Step-up basis of capital gains at death Exclusion of Social Security benefits for retired workers Deductibility of State and local property tax on owner-occupied homes Earned income tax credit Deduction for U.S. production activities Exclusion of Social security benefits of dependents and survivors	76,030 34,620 32,810 28,760 19,770 14,830 5,423 5,420 3,990
C. Uncertain Exclusion of employer contributions for medical insurance premiums and medical care Deductibility of charitable contributions, other than education and health Deductibility of medical expenses Deductibility of self-employed medical insurance premiums Social Security benefits for disabled	125,690 32,550 9,140 4,330 3,870
D. Probably Not a Tax Expenditure Under a Comprehensive Income Tax Exception from passive loss rules for \$25,000 of rental loss	4,750

¹The measurement of certain tax expenditures under a comprehensive income tax baseline may differ from the official budget estimate even when the provision would be a tax expenditure under both baselines.

Source: Table 19–2, Tax Expenditure Budget.

Appendix Table 2. COMPARISON OF CURRENT TAX EXPENDITURES WITH THOSE IMPLIED BY A COMPREHENSIVE CONSUMPTION TAX 1

Description	Revenue Effect 2006
A. Tax Expenditure Under a Consumption Base Exclusion of net imputed rental income on owner-occupied housing	29,720 5,940
B. Probably a Tax Expenditure Under a Consumption Base Deductibility of mortgage interest on owner-occupied homes Deductibility of nonbusiness state and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes Child credit Exclusion of Social Security benefits for retired workers Earned income tax credit Exclusion of Social Security benefits of dependents and survivors Exclusion of veterans death beenfits and disability compensation	76,030 34,620 32,810 19,770 5,423 3,990 3,750
C. Uncertain Exclusion of employer contributions for medical insurance premiums and medical care Deductibility of charitable contributions, other than education and health Deductibility of State and local property tax on owner-occupied homes Deductibility of medical expenses Deductibility of self-employed medical insurance premiums Extraterritorial income exclusion Credit for low-income housing investments Social Security benefits for disabled	125,690 32,550 14,830 9,140 4,330 4,260 4,010 3,870
D. Not a Tax Expenditure Under a Consumption Base Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Employer plans Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: 401(k) plans Capital gains exclusion on home sales Step-up basis of capital gains at death Capital gains (except agriculture, timber, iron ore, and coal) Exclusion of interest on public purpose State and local bonds Exclusion of interest on life insurance savings Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Keogh plans Expensing of research and experimentation expenditures (normal tax method) Deferral of income from controlled foreign corporations (normal tax method) Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: Individual Retirement Accounts Deduction for U.S. production activities Exception from passive loss rules for \$25,000 of rental loss	51,050 48,140 36,270 28,760 28,370 26,610 24,070 9,980 7,920 7,440 7,310 5,420 4,750

¹The measurement of certain tax expenditures under a consumption tax baseline may differ from the official budget estimate even when the provision would be a tax expenditure under both baselines.

Source: Table 19-2, Tax Expenditure Budget.

Appendix Table 3. REVISED TAX EXPENDITURE ESTIMATES 1

Provision	Revenue Loss									
LIOAISIOII	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
Imputed Rent On Owner-Occupied Housing Double Tax on corporate profit 2	24,590 -23,730	28,600 -30,170	29,720 -29,600	,	36,860 -31,540	40,630 -33,260	44,786 -35,074			

¹ Calculations described in the appendix text.

² This is a negative tax expenditure, a tax provision that overtaxes income relative to the treatment specified by the baseline tax system.