Peer Review Plan

Title of Review:	Study on Nutrition and Wellness Quality in Child Care Settings (SNAQCS)	[X] Influential Scientific Information
Agency:	FNS	[] Highly Influential Scientific Assessment
Agency Contact:	Rich Lucas	
Subject of Review:	Study on Nutrition and Wellne Report Review	ess Quality in Child Care Settings (SNAQCS) Draft
Purpose of Review:	Subject the information to form objectivity.	mal, independent, external peer review to ensure its
Type of Review:	[] Panel	Review [X] Individual Reviewers
	[] Altern	native Process (Briefly Explain):
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start: 1/2020	End: 3/2020 Completed:
Number of Reviewers	s: [X] 3 or fewer	[] 4 to 10 [] More than 10
	Types of Expertise Needed for Re	
of Child and Adult Ca	* ' '	h methodology and statistical analysis; (2) knowledge and operations; and (3) dietary analysis and
evaluation. Reviewers selected by	y: [X] Agency	[] Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name:
Opportunities for Pub	olic Comment?	[] Yes [X] No
Цоти	ate how and when these opportu	unities will be provided:
When		
Peer Reviewers Provi	ded with Public Comments?	[] Yes [X] No
Public Nominations F	Requested for Review Panel?	[] Yes [X] No
Other:		



The reviewers will be charged with two tasks as follows:

- 1. Reviewers will be requested to determine if (i) the data collection as implemented was appropriate, (ii) whether the analyses as carried out reflect the original plans and (iii) whether the analyses are appropriate given the actual implementation of sampling and data collection.
- 2. Reviewers will be charged with evaluating the clarity of hypotheses, the robustness of the methods employed to address the hypotheses, the appropriateness of the methods for the hypotheses being tested, the extent to which the conclusions follow from the analysis, and the strengths and limitations of the overall conclusions. The peer reviewers will be requested, as appropriate, to suggest ways to clarify assumptions, findings, and conclusions; identify oversights, omissions, and inconsistencies; and, if needed, encourage authors to more fully acknowledge limitations and uncertainties.

All peer reviewers will be informed that the Agency does not have funds to make changes that require additional data collection, reconsideration of the research design, or significant modifications to data collection and analysis methods. The reviewers will be informed that the Agency, while it will welcome recommendations that may improve the design of other child nutrition studies, requires an evaluation of the current product that is cognizant of the funding constraints.

Each reviewer will be instructed to supply the results of their review in written form. Because this study is considered influential scientific information, reviewers will be informed that the Agency is required to make available to the public the written charge to the peer reviewers, the peer reviewers' names, the peer reviewers' report(s), and the agency's response to the peer reviewers' report(s).