

March 8, 2017

The Office of Regulations and Interpretations Employee Benefits Security Administration Attn: Proposed Definition of Fiduciary Regulation U.S. Department of Labor 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Room N-5655 Washington, DC 20210

Re: RIN 1210-AB79

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We write regarding the Department of Labor's ("Department") proposed delay in the applicability date of the regulation under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA") that redefines the term "fiduciary" under section 3(21) of ERISA and section 4975(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and in the applicability dates of the exemptions granted with the final rule. We hope that our comments are helpful in pointing out why a delay is in the interest of retirement investors.

We need a delay to ensure our clients understand and are prepared for the changes they will experience as a result of the rule. We have spent significant time determining how our business runs, considering changes to our policies and procedures necessary to make the enormous shift required by the new rules, drafting client correspondence and explanations of the changes necessitated by the rule, and considering creating revised compliance and surveillance programs amongst a host of other requirements necessary to comply with this rule. We are also considering whether we can even offer retirement products to our individual clients going forward given the extensive and costly burdens that the proposed DOL rules will impose upon us. Because of the uncertainty regarding this rule, and the President's Memorandum, we have not communicated to clients the ways in which the rule will affect the products and services available to them. We strongly believe that clients will be bewildered, confused and uncertain if changes are announced that then need to be revisited in light of the President's memorandum. We urge you not to disrupt the retirement market in this manner. The rule should not be applicable until the questions raised by the President are addressed and the new Secretary of Labor determines whether rescission or revisions are required or appropriate.

We do note that the current cost analysis the Department is relying upon is significantly flawed, outdated, and based on incorrect assumptions that are inconsistent with the practices that will be permitted by the rule and the exemptions. We will work to provide data to the Department responsive to the President's concerns, and updating the Department's understanding of the changing products and services in this market.

We strongly support a delay in the applicability date. No retirement investor's interest will be served if the fiduciary rule goes into effect before clients have certainty on the products and services that can be provided under the final rule. Further, the Department issued FAQs providing additional explanation as recently as seven weeks ago which still need to be digested.

We urge you to grant a delay of at least 60 days as soon as possible, and it should apply to all parts of the rule and exemptions.

Sincerely,

Dana L. Bjornson

EVP – Chief Financial and Compliance Officer