## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

Received: March 11, 2017 Status: Pending\_Post Tracking No. 1k1-8v72-sure Comments Due: March 17, 2017 Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-3491 Definition of Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-14025 Comment on FR Doc # 2017-04096

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

## **General Comment**

As someone who has been a fiduciary for a number of years, I certainly support the intent of the rule to make sure all financial professionals are required to work in the clients best interest. As a fiduciary this the standard that I uphold now. What I do not agree with is how onerous the rule is and believe it will end up causing many advisors to stop working with low and middle income families who truly need help. I strongly believe that this rule needs to be reviewed and much of it needs to be redesigned.