

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> March 15, 2017 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k1-8va0-src9 <b>Comments Due:</b> April 17, 2017 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term “Fiduciary”; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-3491

Definition of Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-15582

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-04096

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Charlotte Schiaffo

**Address:** United States,

**Email:** schiaf@juno.com

---

## General Comment

I cannot believe this is even an issue. To not put the best interest of the client ahead of the financial interest of an adviser is an abdication of responsibility and a way for financial corporations to feather their own pockets while fleecing those they are supposed to represent. What a travesty and disgrace. This rule needs to be enacted now, and should have always been the law. To rule otherwise is to enrich those whose main goal is to rip off consumers while enriching themselves.