

John R. Muschalek Chief Administrative Officer

March 15, 2017

The Office of Regulations and Interpretations
Employee Benefits Security Administration
Attn: Proposed Definition of Fiduciary Regulation
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Room N-5655
Washington, DC 20210

Re: RIN 1210-AB79

Ladies and Gentleman:

By way of background, in January of 2015, Hilltop Holdings Inc. (NYSE: HTH), a Texas-based diversified financial holding company acquired Southwest Securities, Inc., a regional full-service broker-dealer founded in 1972. Hilltop Holdings is the parent company of PlainsCapital Bank, the 6th largest Texas-based bank by deposits, PrimeLending, a mortgage originator ranked 6th in the U.S. for purchase units in 2014, and National Lloyds Corporation, a niche property and casualty underwriter providing homeowners insurance for low value dwellings.

regarding the loan inverte of tablets (Daylardine of) propers in

In addition, HTH owned First Southwest Company, a broker-dealer established in 1946 and renowned for its nationwide public finance advisory business. With complementary business lines and a shared history representing more than 112 years of industry experience, Southwest Securities and FirstSouthwest were combined under the HilltopSecurities (HTS) brand to create a new, stronger firm backed by a well-capitalized, publicly traded parent company to serve as trusted advocates for our clients. The consolidation of these firms represents the blending of two traditions of innovation, integrity and service.

HTS is writing regarding the Department of Labor's ("Department") proposed delay in the applicability date of the regulation under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA") that redefines the term "fiduciary" under section 3(21) of ERISA and section 4975(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and in the applicability dates of the exemptions granted with the final rule. We hope that our comments are helpful in pointing out why a delay is in the interest of retirement investors.

[14] 4、 14、 14、 14、 14 14、 14 14、 14 14、 14 15 15。

HTS needs a delay to ensure our clients understand and are prepared for the changes they will experience as a result of the rule. We have spent significant time revising how our business runs, changing our policies and procedures necessary to make the enormous shift required by the new rules, drafting client correspondence and explanations of revised product offerings necessitated by the rule, and creating compliance and surveillance programs amongst a host of other requirements necessary to comply with this rule. Because of the uncertainty regarding this rule, and the President's Memorandum, we have not fully communicated to clients the ways in which the rule will affect the products and services available to them. We strongly believe that clients will be confused and uncertain if changes are announced that then need to be revisited in light of the President's memorandum. We urge you not to disrupt the retirement market in this manner. The rule should not be applicable until the questions raised by the President are addressed and the new Secretary of Labor determines whether rescission or revisions are required or appropriate.

We do note that the current cost analysis the Department is relying upon is significantly flawed, outdated, and based on incorrect assumptions that are inconsistent with the practices that will be permitted by the rule and the exemptions. We will work with Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) to provide data to the Department responsive to the President's concerns, and updating the Department's understanding of the changing products and services in this market.

We strongly support a delay in the applicability date. No retirement investor's interest will be served if the fiduciary rule goes into effect before clients have certainty on the products and services that can be provided under the final rule. Further, the Department issued FAQs providing additional explanation as recently as seven weeks ago which still need to be digested.

We urge you to grant a delay of at least 60 days as soon as possible, and it should apply to all parts of the rule and exemptions.

Sincerely,

John R. Muschalek

Chief Administrative Officer