

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Received: March 14, 2017
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k1-8v97-4h0e
Comments Due: April 17, 2017
Submission Type: API

Docket: EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule - Retirement Investment Advice; Best Interest Contract Exemption; etc.

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-3491

Definition of Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment

Document: EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-14273

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-04096

Submitter Information

Name: Elmer Ewing

Address: 1520 Slaterville Rd
Ithaca, 14850

General Comment

As retirees, my wife and I approve of the Fiduciary Rule in its present form, and object to any delay in applying it. Our reasons are as follows:

- 1) If we seek advice on a savings plan, we want the right to know if the advisor will have a financial incentive to lead us toward a fund from which he or she would profit.
- 2) There may be an important difference between the advice we receive from a neutral party and a salesman--we should have the right to know, and have it up front.
- 3) We have seen no convincing evidence that implementation of the rule would be a disservice to us as investors.
- 4) Because of the original rule, firms with investment advisors have already instituted procedures to reduce the conflicts of interest we have mentioned above. Talk of delaying the implementation and amending the Rule will have a dampening effect on these steps that are now being taken in the right direction.