

JAMES M. INHOFE, OKLAHOMA
SHELLEY MOORE CAPITO, WEST VIRGINIA
KEVIN CRAMER, NORTH DAKOTA
MIKE BRAUN, INDIANA
MIKE ROUNDS, SOUTH DAKOTA
DAN SULLIVAN, ALASKA
JOHN BOOZMAN, ARKANSAS
ROGER WICKER, MISSISSIPPI
RICHARD SHELBY, ALABAMA
JONI ERNST, IOWA

THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE
BENJAMIN L. CARDIN, MARYLAND
BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT
SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, RHODE ISLAND
JEFF MERKLEY, OREGON
KIRSTEN GILLIBRAND, NEW YORK
CORY A. BOOKER, NEW JERSEY
EDWARD J. MARKEY, MASSACHUSETTS
TAMMY DUCKWORTH, ILLINOIS
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6175

RICHARD M. RUSSELL, MAJORITY STAFF DIRECTOR
MARY FRANCES REPKO, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

May 13, 2019

The Honorable Gene Dodaro
Comptroller General of the United States
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Mr. Dodaro,

In August 2017, Hurricane Harvey made landfall, unleashing unprecedented rainfall over southeastern Texas and southwestern Louisiana. Among the structures damaged by Hurricane Harvey were warehouses and trailers housing critical organic peroxide refrigeration systems in the Arkema plant in Crosby, Texas. As a result, three separate fires combusted over 350,000 pounds of organic peroxide, resulting in a week-long evacuation of nearby residents. In 2017, the National Climate Assessment reported that the temperature and precipitation extremes that are associated with climate change may make certain natural disasters more frequent or more intense.¹

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has primary responsibility for overseeing compliance with the Clean Air Act, including requirements under which certain chemical facilities must develop risk management plans (RMP) to detect and prevent or minimize accidental releases of dangerous chemicals from their facilities. Under EPA's regulations, such plans must include worst-case release scenarios for regulated toxic and flammable substances, as well as more likely scenarios that could impact the public. Among other requirements governing the RMPs, EPA directs facilities to identify the major hazards that could impact the facilities and identify safeguards to control the hazards.

Given the potential for uncontrolled releases from chemical facilities as a result of climate change, including from an increase in the intensity and frequency of certain extreme weather events, we are requesting GAO's assistance in addressing the following questions:

- 1) What is known about the number and types of regulated facilities that are located in areas that may be vulnerable to the effects of climate change?

¹U.S. Global Change Research Program, Climate Science Special Report, Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume I (Washington, D.C.: 2017). The U.S. Global Change Research Program is made up of 13 federal departments and agencies that carry out research and support the nation's response to global change.

- 2) To what extent, if at all, do regulated facilities plan for, update and safeguard against potential external hazards that may be exacerbated by climate change in their RMPs?
- 3) What challenges, if any, do regulated facilities face in planning for and safeguarding against potential external hazards that may be exacerbated by climate change?


Thank you for your attention to this important issue. If you have any questions, please contact Michal Freedhoff, a senior member of the minority staff of the Environment and Public Works Committee, at Michal.Freedhoff@epw.senate.gov, or Lauren Tavar of Senator Booker's office at Lauren.Tavar@booker.senate.gov.

With best personal regards, we are,

Sincerely yours,



Tom Carper
Ranking Member
Committee on Environment and
Public Works



Cory A. Booker
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Superfund,
Waste Management, and
Regulatory Oversight