# 55. Sovereign Bank, FSB, Wyomissing, PA [Docket No. 03–3035–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed May 12, 2003. Without admitting fault or liability, Sovereign Bank, FSB (Sovereign) agreed to pay an administrative payment in the amount of \$3,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of Sovereign's failure to perform a property inspection on one HUD/FHAinsured multifamily project.

#### 56. Stellar Mortgage Company, Houston, TX [Docket No. 01–1577–MR]

Action: On March 25, 2004, Stellar Mortgage Company (SMC) was served with the Government's Complaint for Civil Money Penalty in the amount of \$173,500

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where SMC: Engaged in a scheme to circumvent HUD/FHA requirements and submitted false HUD–1 Settlement Statements to HUD; submitted loan applications containing false information to HUD; failed to implement and maintain a quality control plan in compliance with HUD/FHA requirements; and shared office space with employees (other than receptionists) of another entity.

# 57. Suburban Mortgage Association, Inc., (SMA), Bethesda, MD [Docket No. 03–3095–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed September 22, 2003. Without admitting fault or liability, Suburban Mortgage Association (SMA) agreed to pay an administrative payment in the amount of \$3,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of SMA's failure to perform a property inspection on one HUD/FHAinsured multifamily project.

### 58. Sunset Mortgage, LP, Franklin Center, PA [Docket No. 03–3171–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed March 26, 2004. Without admitting fault or liability, Sunset Mortgage, LP (SM) agreed to pay HUD a civil money penalty in the amount of \$76,000.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where SM: Failed to ensure that its registered branches met HUD/FHA requirements regarding office space and facilities; failed to include a sign clearly identifying the branch to the public; failed to ensure that loan applications were taken by authorized employees of SM; and failed to ensure that its employees worked exclusively for SM.

# 59. SunTrust Bank, Atlanta, GA [Docket No. 03–3233–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed March 16, 2004. Without admitting fault or liability, SunTrust Bank (STB) agreed to pay an administrative payment in the amount of \$6,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of STB's failure to perform property inspections on HUD/FHA-insured multifamily projects.

### 60. Tennessee Housing Development Agency, Nashville, TN [Docket No. 03– 3242–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed July 22, 2004. Without admitting fault or liability, Tennessee Housing Development Agency (THDA) agreed to pay an administrative payment of \$3,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of THDA's failure to perform a property inspection on one HUD/FHA-insured multifamily project.

# 61. Trustmark National Bank (TNB), Jackson, MS [Docket No. 03–3236–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed January 15, 2004. Without admitting fault or liability, Trustmark National Bank (TNB) agreed to pay an administrative payment in the amount of \$3,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of TNB's failure to perform a property inspection on one HUD/FHA-insured multifamily project.

### 62. Two Thousand Two New World Mortgage Services, Inc., d/b/a New World Mortgage, Inc., [Docket No. 03– 3157–MR]

Action: On November 12, 2003, the Board issued a letter to New World Mortgage (NWM) withdrawing its HUD/FHA approval for five years. The Board also voted to impose a civil money penalty in the amount of \$113,000.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where NWM: Failed to adopt and maintain a quality control plan in accordance with HUD requirements; failed to implement a quality control plan in accordance with HUD requirements; allowed an employee who is suspended under the Department's regulations to participate in the origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans; and failed to timely notify HUD of a change in NWM's name.

#### 63. U.S. Bank, NA, Minneapolis, MN [Docket Nos. 03–3049–MR, 03–3072– MR, 03–3235–MR]

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed May 12, 2004. Without admitting fault

or liability, U.S. Bank, NA (USB) agreed to pay an administrative payment of \$54,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of USB's failure to perform property inspections on HUD/FHAinsured multifamily projects.

# 64. USGI, Inc., La Plata, MD [Docket No. 03–3116–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed October 31, 2003. Without admitting fault or liability, USGI, Inc. (USGI) agreed to pay an administrative payment in the amount of \$3,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of USGI's failure to perform a property inspection on one HUD/FHAinsured multifamily project.

#### 65. Westminster Mortgage Company, Beverly Hills, CA, [Docket No. 03–3023– MR]

Action: On May 20, 2003, Westminster Mortgage Company (WMC) was served with the Government's Complaint for Civil Money Penalty in the amount of \$5,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of WMC's failure to perform a property inspection on one HUD/FHAinsured multifamily project.

### 66. Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority, Madison, WI [Docket No. 02–2153–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed March 14, 2003. Without admitting fault or liability, Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority (WHEDA) agreed to pay an administrative payment in the amount of \$12,000.

Cause: The Board took this action as a result of WHEDA's failure to perform property inspections on HUD/FHA-insured multifamily projects.

Dated: September 30, 2004.

# John C. Weicher,

Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. E4-2530 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Availability of Draft Conservation Plan for the Sacramento Mountains Checkerspot Butterfly (Euphydryas anicia cloudcrofti)

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of document availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) provides notice that a draft Conservation Plan for the Sacramento Mountains checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas anicia cloudcrofti) (butterfly) is available for review and comment. This Conservation Plan will provide guidance for the conservation and management of the species and its habitat.

**DATES:** Comments on the draft Conservation Plan must be submitted directly to the Service (see **ADDRESSES** section) on or before November 8, 2004 or at the public meeting to be held in October of 2004.

ADDRESSES: The Service will host a public informational session in the Village Council Chambers Room at 201 Burro Street Cloudcroft, New Mexico, on October 13, 2004, from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m.

If you wish to comment via mail, comments and materials should be addressed to the Threatened and Endangered Species Division, Fish and Wildlife Service Southwest Regional Office, Ecological Services, P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87103–1306. Comments and materials received will be made available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment, at the above address. A copy of this document has been posted on the Fish and Wildlife Service Web site at http://www.fws.gov.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah E. Rinkevich, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, or Tracy Scheffler, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, Fish and Wildlife Service, Southwest Regional Office, at the above address (505) 248–6920.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The Sacramento Mountains checkerspot butterfly is found only in high elevation mountain-meadows within the Sacramento Mountains of central New Mexico. In January 1999, the Southwest Center for Biological Diversity petitioned the Service to emergency list the Sacramento Mountains checkerspot butterfly as endangered. The Service published a notice on December 27, 1999, finding that the petition presented substantial information to indicate that listing may be warranted, but that emergency listing was not warranted. The Service then commenced a status review of the species. On September 6, 2001, the Fish and Wildlife Service published a 12month finding and proposed rule to list the Sacramento Mountains checkerspot butterfly as endangered with critical habitat (66 FR 46575). Habitat loss from

proposed development, drought and wildfire, and threats from collection were stated as the reasons for the proposed listing.

In response to growing interest by the local community to conserve the butterfly, the Service began coordination in 2004 with local and Federal partners to assess current threats to the species and develop a draft Conservation Plan. The goal of the draft Conservation Plan is to provide conservation and management recommendations for public and private lands within the range of the butterfly as necessary to alleviate threats to the species and its habitat. Specific conservations actions in the draft Conservation Plan include time and cost estimates and responsible partners.

The Village of Cloudcroft, Otero County, Forest Service, and the Service have signed a Memorandum of Understanding to demonstrate the commitments of the parties to the implementation of the Conservation Plan.

#### **Public Comments Solicited**

The Service solicits written comments on the draft Conservation Plan. All comments received by the date specified above will be considered prior to approval of the Conservation Plan. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name or address, you must state this request prominently at the beginning of your comments. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. To the extent consistent with applicable law, we will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

**Authority:** The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531  $et\ seq.$ ).

Dated: September 3, 2004.

### Esther M. Pringle,

Acting Regional Director, Region 2, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 04–22554 Filed 10–6–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Meeting of the California Desert District Advisory Council

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, in accordance with Public Laws 92–463 and 94–579, that the California Desert District Advisory Council to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), U.S. Department of the Interior, will participate in a field tour of the BLM-administered public lands.

**DATES:** Friday, October 22, 2004, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., and meet in formal session on Saturday, October 23, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. The Saturday meeting will be held at the Needles City Council Chambers, located at 1111 Bailey, Needles, California.

**ADDRESSES:** The field office is located at 101 West Spikes Road in Needles, California.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council and interested members of the public will depart for a field tour from the parking lot of the BLM's field office in Needles at 8 a.m. Tour stops will include the Route 66 interpretive display and a BLM grazing allotment. Presentations and discussions will focus on current grazing management and proposed revisions to the grazing regulations. The public is welcome to participate in the tour, but should plan on providing their own transportation, drinks, and lunch.

Agenda items tentatively scheduled for the Saturday Council meeting will include the election of officers, reports by Advisory Council members, the District Manager and the five District field office managers, a briefing on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's raven management initiative, and an update on the Desert Managers Group's Desert Tortoise Education and Outreach Plan. BLM will also brief the Council on the status of the Eastern San Diego County Resource Management Plan, and review the 10-year anniversary of the passage of the California Desert Protection Act.

All Desert District Advisory Council meetings are open to the public. Time for public comment may be made available by the Council Chairman during the presentation of various agenda items, and is scheduled at the end of the meeting for topics not on the agenda.

Written comments may be filed in advance of the meeting for the California Desert District Advisory Council, c/o Bureau of Land