

**North American Numbering Council
Conference Call Meeting Minutes
September 25, 2001 (Final)**

I. Time and Place of Meeting. The North American Numbering Council held a conference call meeting beginning at 12:00 noon and concluding at approximately 1:50 p.m. The Federal Communications Commission provided the conference bridge number, 1-888-869-0374 for domestic participants and (904) 779-4767 for international participants.

II. List of Attendees. The following NANC members and alternates were present on the call:

Voting Council Members:

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Robert Atkinson | Columbia University |
| 2. Ed Gould | AT&T |
| 3. Randy Sanders | BellSouth |
| 4. Michael Altschul | CTIA |
| 5. Lori Messing | CTIA |
| 6. Terry Monroe | CompTel |
| 7. Hon. Jo Anne Sanford | NARUC |
| 8. Switzon Wigfall | NARUC |
| 9. Hon. Thomas Dunleavy | NARUC |
| 10. Greg Pattenaude | NARUC |
| 11. Peter Pescosolido | NARUC |
| 12. Hon. Robert B. Nelson | NARUC |
| 13. Beth O'Donnell | NCTA |
| 14. John McHugh | OPASTCO |
| 15. Harold Salters | PCIA |
| 16. Deborah Bell | SBC Communications, Inc. |
| 17. Hoke Knox | Sprint |
| 18. Dan Bart | TIA |
| 19. Rose Travers | USTA |
| 20. James Castagna | Verizon |
| 21. Anna Miller | VoiceStream |
| 22. Peter Guggina | WorldCom |
| 23. Karen Mulberry | WorldCom |
| 24. Dawn Lawrence | XO Communications |

Special Members (Non-voting):

John Manning	NANPA
--------------	-------

Commission Employees:

Cheryl Callahan, Designated Federal Officer (DFO)
Sanford Williams, Alternate DFO
Deborah Blue, Special Assistant to the DFO
Diane Harmon, Acting Chief, Network Services Division

III. Estimate of Public Attendance. Approximately 22 members of the public attended the meeting as observers.

IV. Documents Introduced.

- (1) NANPA Oversight Working Group Status Report to the NANC
- (2) NANPA Technical Requirements Document (Draft)

V. Summary of the Meeting.

A. Opening Remarks. Chairman Atkinson stated that the purpose of the conference call meeting was for the NANC to review and approve the NANPA Technical Requirements Document that was drafted and prepared by the NANPA Oversight Working Group (NOWG). He thanked the NOWG and its Chairman, Pat Caldwell, for producing a very comprehensive and detailed document.

B. **NANPA Oversight Working Group (NOWG) Status Report to the NANC.** Pat Caldwell, Chairman, presented the report to the Council.

Introduction and Update to the Technical Requirements Document. Mr. Caldwell questioned whether the Technical Requirements Document had been released to the public. Cheryl Callahan, DFO, responded that it was her understanding that it would be released to the public after it is finalized by the NANC. Rose Travers, USTA, questioned whether the discussions taking place on the conference call would make a difference since one vendor was on the call and others, such as Telcordia, were not. Ms. Callahan advised that it did not make a difference. She further advised that a Public Notice had been released announcing the purpose of the conference call meeting and that representatives from Telcordia were present at the meeting. Mr. Caldwell stated that the NOWG tried to define specific NANPA requirements in the document. He noted some key areas that were addressed: (1) the performance metrics, (2) specific timeframes for deliverables, (3) electronic systems and interfaces, (4) system requirements in terms of client service needs, (5) pricing is all inclusive in a firm fixed price bid, (6) established accountability for NANPA as steward of the resource in terms of forecasting and NPA relief, and (7) required position guides, job aids and practices that are available on the web site.

Chairman Atkinson stated that he had requested the NANC to provide feedback on the Technical Requirements Document. He indicated that he had received lengthy proposals

and changes from Worldcom and a few simple changes from Bell Canada. After review by the NANC, the changes submitted by Bell Canada were adopted. Peter Guggina, Worldcom, stated that the proposals submitted by Worldcom were intended to produce a higher quality document. He pointed out that early in the process, the NANC had determined, with affirmation by the FCC, that the Requirements Document should be done in the same fashion as the Thousands-block Pooling Requirements Document. Mr. Guggina stated that in reviewing the final document, Worldcom felt that more work should be put into editorial changes and that the document should be put into one stylistic format. Chairman Atkinson inquired whether there were any additional proposals for substantive changes from other NANC members or their organizations. No other proposals for changes were submitted. Initially, the NANC started reviewing Worldcom's proposals section by section. As time became a factor, Chairman Atkinson suggested that, instead of reviewing each section, the NANC should not try to finish a particular section, but continue by quickly assessing Mr. Caldwell's comments.

After review and discussion of Worldcom's proposals, the NANC reached the following consensus: (1) additional changes from Council members should be submitted to Pat Caldwell by close of business (COB) Wednesday, October 3, 2001; (2) the NOWG will produce a final consensus draft by COB Monday, October 8, 2001 identifying any disputes; and, (3) if any major substantive disputes are identified in the last drafting session, a conference call will be scheduled for 2:00 PM on Thursday, October 11, 2001. Chairman Atkinson stated that he will allocate two hours at the October 16-17, 2001 NANC meeting for final action and thanked the NOWG and the NANC for their work on the document.