

Contents

Contents	v
Figures and Tables	ix
Executive Summary	xix
Acknowledgments	xxiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 History	2
1.2 Concerns Raised	3
1.3 Organizing the Research Project	4
1.3.1 Evaluate the Performance of Current Smoke Alarm Technology	4
1.3.2 Test Conditions Representative of Current Fatal Residential Fires	5
1.3.3 Evaluate the Efficacy of Current Requirements for Number and Location of Smoke Alarms.	6
1.3.4 Develop Standard Nuisance Alarm Sources to Be Included in the Test Program.	7
1.3.5 Examine Other Fire Detection Technologies in Combination with Smoke Alarms	7
1.3.6 Obtain Data on the Potential for Improvements in Performance by New Technologies	8
1.3.7 Include Fuel Items That Incorporate Materials and Constructions Representative of Current Residential Furnishings	8
1.3.8 Fully Characterize Test Detectors and Alarms in a Consistent Manner to Facilitate Comparisons	8
1.3.9 Utilize Fire Models to Extend the Applicability of the Test Arrangements and Maximize the Test Instrumentation	8
1.3.10 Make All of the Data Collected as Widely Accessible as Possible	8
1.3.11 Provide Opportunities to Enhance Public Fire Safety Education	9
1.4 Project Oversight	9
2 Residential Fire Alarms, Sensor Response and Calibration in the FE/DE	11
2.1 Residential Alarms Included in the Study	11
2.2 The fire emulator/detector evaluator	12
2.3 Smoke Aerosols	14
2.4 Calibration of Smoke and CO alarms	18

2.5 Alarm Identification	24
2.6 Evaluation of Unmodified Alarm Response	28
2.7 Effect of Sensor Board Location on Response	47
2.8 Thresholds for Modified Alarms	62
3 Fire Source Test Scenarios and Geometries	65
3.1 Scenario Development	65
3.2 Material Selection	67
3.2.1 Upholstered Furniture	67
3.2.2 Mattress	69
3.2.3 Cooking Materials	69
3.3 Ignition Methodology	70
3.3.1 Flaming Ignition	70
3.3.2 Smoldering Ignition	71
3.3.3 Cooking Ignition	72
3.4 Test Geometry	72
3.4.1 Manufactured Home	72
3.4.2 Two-story Home	73
4 Fire Source Testing Instrumentation	77
4.1 Temperature	77
4.2 Sample Mass	82
4.3 Primary Gases – CO, CO ₂ , and O ₂	83
4.4 FTIR Gas Analysis	84
4.5 Optical Density	84
4.6 Smoke Properties	87
4.7 Smoke and CO Alarm Response	89
4.8 Sprinkler Response	90
4.9 Mechanical Heat Alarm Response	91
5 Fire Source Test Results and Calculations	93
5.1 Tests Conducted	93
5.2 Test Data	95
5.3 Calculation of Alarm Times	104
5.4 Calculation of Time to Untenable Conditions	119
5.4.1 Tenability Limits	119
5.4.2 Tenability Times	121
5.5 Assessment of Overall Alarm Performance	122
5.6 Aerosol Concentration and Size Measurements	124
5.6.1 Mass and Number Concentration	125
5.6.2 Particle Size Analysis	137
5.7 Measurement Uncertainty	147

6 Residential Smoke Alarm Nuisance Source Testing	149
6.1 Nuisance Scenario Tests	150
6.2 Instrumentation	150
6.2.1 Aerosol Instruments	151
6.2.2 Temperature and Humidity	153
6.2.3 Flow Velocity	153
6.2.4 Analog Output Photoelectric, Ionization and Sensors	154
6.3 Results	155
6.3.1 Toasting Scenarios	155
6.3.2 Frying Bacon	165
6.3.3 Frying Butter and Margarine	170
6.3.4 Frying Hamburgers	176
6.3.5 Deep-frying Tortillas and French-fried Potatoes	180
6.3.6 Broiled and Baked/Broiled Pizza	183
6.3.7 Broiling Hamburgers	187
6.3.8 Boiling Spaghetti Pasta	189
6.3.9 Candle Burning	193
6.3.10 Cigarette Smoking	194
6.4 Controlled Incipient Fire Sources	194
6.4.1 Cotton Smolder	195
6.4.2 Wood Smolder	199
6.4.3 Polyurethane Foam Smolder	201
6.5 FE/DE Emulation of Nuisance Sources	207
6.5.1 Cotton Wick Calibration	207
6.5.2 Cotton Smolder Smoke Fire Scenario	211
6.5.3 Wood Smolder Smoke Fire Scenario	211
6.5.4 Candle Flame Nuisance Scenario	220
6.5.5 Heated Margarine or Butter Nuisance Scenario	221
6.5.6 Toasting Bread Nuisance Scenario	221
7 Discussion	231
7.1 Smoke Alarm Activation Time	231
7.2 Tenability Times	236
7.3 Time Needed for Escape	236
7.3.1 Movement Speed	237
7.3.2 Premovement Activities	237
7.3.3 Escape Times	238
7.4 Smoke Alarm Performance	240
7.5 Other Alarm Technologies	245
7.5.1 Carbon Monoxide Alarms	246
7.5.2 Heat Alarms	246

7.5.3 Tell-tale Sprinklers	246
7.6 Comparison with Earlier Tests	247
7.7 Nuisance Alarms	250
8 Summary	253
9 Conclusions	259
10 References	261
Appendix A: Alarm Activation and Time to Untenable Conditions During Tests of Residential Smoke Alarms Included in the Study	A-1
Appendix B: FTIR Gas Measurement in Home Smoke Alarm Tests	B-1

Figures and Tables

Figures

Figure 1. Sample sensor test board used during tests of residential smoke alarms	11
Figure 2. Schematic of the FE/DE (all units in cm)	13
Figure 3. Propene smoke generator.	14
Figure 4. Propene smoke calibration run	15
Figure 5. Smolder source, staged wick ignition device	15
Figure 6. Smolder smoke calibration run	16
Figure 7. Smolder smoke CO calibration run	16
Figure 8. UL grey smoke (smolder smoke) sensitivity limits and FE/DE data	17
Figure 9. UL black smoke sensitivity limits and corresponding FE/DE data	17
Figure 10. Alarm identification coding	24
Figure 11. Calibration runs for Ion-1 alarm	25
Figure 12. Calibration runs for Ion-3 alarm	25
Figure 13. Calibration runs for Ion-4 alarm	26
Figure 14. Calibration runs for Photo-1 alarm	26
Figure 15. Calibration runs for Photo-2 alarm	27
Figure 16. Calibration runs for ASP alarm	27
Figure 17. Calibration runs for CO alarms	28
Figure 18. Photo-1, eight wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 1 and Disp 7 alarms	30
Figure 19. Photo-1, 16 wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 1 and Disp 7 alarms	30
Figure 20. Photo-1, eight wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 1 and Disp 7 alarms	31
Figure 21. Photo-1, eight wicks – 30 s delay, Disp 4 and Disp 10 alarms	31
Figure 22. Photo-1, eight wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 37 and Disp 40 alarms	32
Figure 23. Photo-1, eight wicks, 12 s delay, Disp 46 and Disp 55 alarms	32
Figure 24. Photo-1, eight wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 52 and Disp 58 alarms	33
Figure 25. Photo-1, eight wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 61 and Disp 64 alarms	33
Figure 26. Photo-1, eight wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 10 and Disp 55 alarms	34
Figure 27. Photo-1, 16 wicks – 12 s delay, Disp 10 and Disp 55 alarms	34
Figure 28. Ion-1, three wicks - 30 s delay, Disp 11 and Disp 38 alarms	36
Figure 29. Ion-1, four wicks - 30 s delay, Disp 11 and Disp 38 alarms	36
Figure 30. Ion-1, four wicks - 30 s delay, Disp 2 and Disp 5 alarms	37
Figure 31. Ion-1, six wicks 12 s delay, Disp 2 and Disp 5 alarms	37
Figure 32. Ion-1, four wicks 30 s delay, Disp 8 and Disp 44 alarms	38
Figure 33. Ion-1, six wicks 12 s delay, Disp 8 and Disp 44 alarms	38
Figure 34. Ion-1, six wicks 12 s delay, Disp 41 and Disp 51 alarms	39
Figure 35. Ion-1, three wicks 12 s delay, Disp 53 and Disp 44 alarms	39
Figure 36. Ion-1, six wicks 12 s delay, Disp 60 and Disp 63 alarms	40

Figure 37. Ion-1, six wicks 12 s delay, Disp 57 and Disp 60 alarms	40
Figure 38. Ion-3, six wicks - 12 s delay, Disp 39 and Disp 48 alarms	41
Figure 39. Ion-3, four wicks - 30 s delay, Disp 39 and Disp 48 alarms	41
Figure 40. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 39 and Disp 48 alarms	42
Figure 41. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 39 and Disp 48 alarms	42
Figure 42. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 3 and Disp 6 alarms	43
Figure 43. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 9 and Disp 12 alarms	43
Figure 44. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 30 and Disp 45 alarms	44
Figure 45. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 54 and Disp 56 alarms	44
Figure 46. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 59 and Disp 62 alarms	45
Figure 47. Ion-3, three wicks 30 s delay, Disp 53 and Disp 50 alarms	45
Figure 48. UL grey smoke (smolder smoke) sensitivity limits and FE/DE data	46
Figure 49. Test board layout	47
Figure 50. Axial velocity at a fan speed of 5 Hz	48
Figure 51. Axial velocity at a fan speed of 7 Hz	48
Figure 52. Axial velocity at a fan speed of 12 Hz	49
Figure 53. Ion-1 response, fan speed 5 Hz (see table 4)	50
Figure 54. Ion-1 response, fan speed 7 Hz	50
Figure 55. Ion-1 response, fan speed 12 Hz	51
Figure 56. Ion-1 response, fan speed 5 Hz - repeat test	51
Figure 57. Ion-1 response, fan speed 7 Hz - repeat test	52
Figure 58. Ion-1 response, fan speed 12 Hz - repeat test	52
Figure 59. Ion-3 response, fan speed 5 Hz	53
Figure 60. Ion-3 response, fan speed 7 Hz	53
Figure 61. Ion-3 response, fan speed 12 Hz	54
Figure 62. Ion-3 response, fan speed 5 Hz - repeat test	54
Figure 63. Ion-3 response, fan speed 7 Hz - repeat test	55
Figure 64. Ion-3 response, fan speed 12 Hz - repeat test	55
Figure 65. Ion-4 response, fan speed 5 Hz	56
Figure 66. Ion-4 response, fan speed 7 Hz	56
Figure 67. Ion-4 response, fan speed 12 Hz	57
Figure 68. Ion-4 response, fan speed 5 Hz - repeat test	57
Figure 69. Ion-4 response, fan speed 7 Hz - repeat test	58
Figure 70. Ion-4 response, fan speed 12 Hz - repeat test	58
Figure 71. Photo-3 response, fan speed 5 Hz	59
Figure 72. Photo-3 response, fan speed 7 Hz	59
Figure 73. Photo-3 response, fan speed 12 Hz	60
Figure 74. Photo-3 response, fan speed 5 Hz - repeat test	60
Figure 75. Photo-3 response, fan speed 7 Hz - repeat test	61
Figure 76. Photo-3 response, fan speed 7 Hz - repeat test	61
Figure 77. Measurements of upholstered chair used for smoldering experiments	67
Figure 78. Upholstered chair used for smoldering experiments	67

Figure 79. Measurements of upholstered chair used for flaming experiments	68
Figure 80. Upholstered chair used for flaming experiments	68
Figure 81. Mattress used for smoldering and flaming experiments	69
Figure 82. Corn oil used for kitchen cooking materials fire experiments	69
Figure 83. “Electric match” used for flaming ignitions	70
Figure 84. Location of “electric match” ignition source for flaming upholstered chair and mattress experiments	71
Figure 85. Rod used for smoldering ignitions	72
Figure 86. Heating ignition source with cooking oil	72
Figure 87. Layout of manufactured home used for test of smoke alarm performance	74
Figure 88. Layout of first floor of two-story home used for tests of smoke alarm performance	75
Figure 89. Layout of second floor of two-story home used for tests of smoke alarm performance	76
Figure 90. Sample instrumentation showing relative locations of temperature, primary gas analysis, smoke obscuration, and smoke alarm response measurements	77
Figure 91. Instrumentation in manufactured home during tests of smoke alarm performance ..	79
Figure 92. Instrumentation in first floor of two-story home during tests of smoke alarm performance	80
Figure 93. Instrumentation in second floor of two-story home during tests of smoke alarm performance	81
Figure 94. Example of mass loss measurement showing upholstered chair on load cell prior to one of the flaming ignition tests and load cell apparatus mounted beneath manufactured home	82
Figure 95. Optical density instrument designs	84
Figure 96. Smoke properties measurement showing collection lines (foreground) and smoke velocity measurement (background)	87
Figure 97. Calibration of residential ionization chamber	88
Figure 98. Measurement station showing several alarms arranged for testing	89
Figure 99. Measurement station showing unmodified smoke alarms arranged for testing	91
Figure 100. Mass loss of burning mattress during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	96
Figure 101. Gas temperatures from ceiling to floor in remote bedroom during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	97
Figure 102. Gas temperatures from ceiling to floor in main bedroom (ignition location) during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	98
Figure 103. Gas temperatures from ceiling to floor in hallway outside remote bedroom in a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	99
Figure 104. Gas temperatures from ceiling to floor in hallway outside main bedroom in a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	100
Figure 105. Gas temperatures from ceiling to floor in living area during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	101

Figure 106. Gas temperatures from ceiling to floor in front door hallway during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	102
Figure 107. Gas temperature in closed bedroom during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	103
Figure 108. Smoke obscuration at 20 mm and 900 mm below ceiling in remote bedroom during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	105
Figure 109. Smoke obscuration at 20 mm and 900 mm below ceiling in main bedroom (ignition location) during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	106
Figure 110. Smoke obscuration at 20 mm and 900 mm below ceiling in hallway outside remote bedroom during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	107
Figure 111. Smoke obscuration at 20 mm and 900 mm below ceiling in hallway outside main bedroom during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	108
Figure 112. Smoke obscuration at 20 mm and 900 mm below ceiling in living area during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	109
Figure 113. Smoke obscuration at 20 mm and 900 mm below ceiling in front door hallway during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	110
Figure 114. Smoke obscuration at 1520 mm below ceiling in closed bedroom during flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	111
Figure 115. Carbon monoxide concentration 900 mm below ceiling at four locations during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	112
Figure 116. Carbon dioxide concentration 900 mm below ceiling at four locations during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	113
Figure 117. Oxygen concentration 900 mm below ceiling at four locations during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	114
Figure 118. Measured output for several analog-modified smoke alarms in hallway outside main bedroom during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	115
Figure 119. Measured output for several analog-modified carbon monoxide alarms in hallway outside main bedroom during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	116
Figure 120. Measured output for a heat alarm in hallway outside main bedroom during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	117
Figure 121. Measured output for a tell-tale residential sprinkler in hallway outside main bedroom during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	118
Figure 122. Smoke properties for smoldering chair scenario SDC01	127
Figure 123. Smoke properties for flaming chair scenario SDC02	128
Figure 124. Smoke properties for smoldering mattress scenario SDC06	129
Figure 125. Smoke properties for flaming mattress scenario SDC07	129
Figure 126. Smoke properties for smoldering mattress scenario SDC08	130
Figure 127. Smoke properties for flaming chair scenario SDC10	131
Figure 128. Smoke properties for smoldering chair scenario SDC11	133
Figure 129. Smoke properties for cooking oil fire scenario SDC12	134
Figure 130. Smoke properties for cooking oil fire scenario SDC13	134
Figure 131. Smoke properties for smoldering mattress with burn room door closed SDC14 ..	135

Figure 132. Smoke properties for smoldering chair scenario SDC23	136
Figure 133. Smoke properties for cooking oil fire scenario SDC24	138
Figure 134. Smoke properties for flaming chair scenario SDC25	139
Figure 135. Smoke properties for flaming chair scenario SDC26	139
Figure 136. Smoke properties for smoldering chair scenario with air conditioning SDC27	140
Figure 137. Cascade impactor results for flaming chair scenario SDC02	143
Figure 138. Cascade impactor results for flaming chair scenario SDC10	143
Figure 139. Cascade impactor results for cooking oil fire scenarios SDC12 and SDC13	144
Figure 140. Cascade impactor results for smoldering chair fire scenarios SDC23 and SDC27	145
Figure 141. Schematic of the manufactured home for nuisance testing	151
Figure 142. Ion chamber schematic	152
Figure 143. Response of the ion chamber and MIC to cotton smolder smoke	152
Figure 144. Thermocouple and sampling line placement	153
Figure 145. Photo, Ion, CO and thermistor unit	154
Figure 146. Velocity and speed components for toasting bread nuisance source with and without forced ventilation	157
Figure 147. Toasting bread scenario - toaster on at $t=0$, off at 240 s. No forced flow	158
Figure 148. Toasting bread scenario – toaster on at $t=0$, off at 255 s. No forced flow, remote bedroom window open	160
Figure 149. Toasting bread scenario - toaster on at $t=0$, off at 255 s. Room fan turned on	161
Figure 150. Toasting bread scenario - toaster on at $t=0$, off at 270 s. Room fan turned on	162
Figure 151. Toasting frozen bagel scenario – toaster on at $t=0$, off at 300 s. Room fan turned off	163
Figure 152. Toasting frozen bagel scenario – toaster on at $t=0$, off at 300 s. Room fan turned on	164
Figure 153. Frying bacon scenario - cast-iron pan, LPG hot plate, no forced flow, burner lit at $t=0$, bacon in pan at 60 s	166
Figure 154. Frying bacon scenario - cast-iron pan, LPG hot plate, no forced flow, burner lit at $t=0$, bacon in pan at 60 s	167
Figure 155. Frying bacon scenario - aluminum pan, electric range, no forced flow, bacon in pan at 120 s	168
Figure 156. Frying bacon scenario - aluminum pan, electric range, floor fan on, bacon in pan at 120 s	169
Figure 157. Frying butter scenario - aluminum pan, electric range, floor fan off, butter in pan at $t=0$	171
Figure 158. . Frying butter scenario - aluminum pan, electric range, floor fan off, butter in pan at $t=0$	172
Figure 159. Frying butter scenario - cast iron pan, electric range, floor fan off, butter in pan at $t=0$	173
Figure 160. Frying butter scenario - cast iron pan, electric range, floor fan on, butter in pan at $t=0$	174

Figure 161. Frying margarine scenario - cast iron pan, electric range, floor fan off, margarine in pan at t=0	175
Figure 162. Frying hamburgers - three hamburgers in non-stick frying pan, floor fan off. Hamburgers in pan at t=120 s	177
Figure 163. Frying hamburgers - three hamburgers in non-stick frying pan, floor fan on, living room window open. Hamburgers in pan at t=160 s	178
Figure 164. Frying hamburgers - three hamburgers in non-stick frying pan, floor fan on. Hamburgers in pan at t=150 s	179
Figure 165. Deep-frying Tortillas scenario - steel wok, LPG hotplate, floor fan off, first tortillas in pan at t=360 s	181
Figure 166. Deep-frying french fries scenario - steel wok, LPG hotplate, floor fan off, first french fries in pan at t=360 s	182
Figure 167. Broiling Pizza - one frozen pizza in oven set on broil, floor fan off. Oven on at t=0	183
Figure 168. Bake/ broil pizza - one frozen pizza in oven set on bake and pre-heated to 350 °F, then set to broil 630 s later. Floor fan was off. Pizza in at t=0	185
Figure 169. Bake/ broil pizza - one frozen pizza in oven set on bake and pre-heated to 350 °F, then set to broil 630 s later. Floor fan was on. Pizza in at t=0	186
Figure 170. Broiling hamburgers - four hamburgers in oven set on broil, floor fan off. Oven on at t=0	188
Figure 171. Broiling hamburgers - four hamburgers in oven set on broil, floor fan on. Oven on at t=0	189
Figure 172. Boiling spaghetti pasta - 1/3 package (150 g), floor fan off. Pasta placed in pot at t=360 s	190
Figure 173. Boiling spaghetti pasta - 2/3 package (300 g), floor fan off. Pasta placed in pot at t=450 s	191
Figure 174. Boiling spaghetti pasta - 1/2 package (225 g), lid on, floor fan off. Pasta placed in pot at t=480 s	192
Figure 175. Candles burning - four tea candles burning on electric range top area. Floor fan was off. Candles lit at t=0	193
Figure 176. Cigarette smoking - two smokers smoking one cigarette each in kitchen area. Floor fan was off. Cigarettes lit at t=0	195
Figure 177. Cigarette smoking – two smokers smoking one cigarette each in kitchen area. Floor fan was off. Cigarettes lit at t=0	196
Figure 178. Smoldering cotton wicks – eight sets of four wicks were ignited with a 12 s delay using the staged wick ignition device, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location. Fan was off. Ignition sequence started at t=30 s	197
Figure 179. Smoldering cotton wicks -eight sets of four wicks were ignited with a 12 s delay using the staged wick ignition device, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location. Fan was on. Ignition sequence started at t=30 s	198

Figure 180. Smoldering wood blocks - eight beech wood blocks on an electric hotplate, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location. Fan was off. Power to hotplate on at $t=0$	200
Figure 181. Smoldering wood blocks - eight beech wood blocks on an electric hotplate, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location. Fan was on. Power to hotplate on at $t=0$	201
Figure 182. Smoldering foam - A polyurethane foam block, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location and ignited with an electrically powered nichrome wire heater imbedded in the block. Fan was off. Power to igniter on at $t=0$. Sample flamed at 798 s	203
Figure 183. Smoldering foam - a polyurethane foam block, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location and ignited with an electrically powered nichrome wire heater imbedded in the block. Fan was off. Power to igniter on at $t=0$. Sample stopped smoldering	204
Figure 184. Smoldering foam - a polyurethane foam block, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location and ignited with an electrically powered nichrome wire heater imbedded in the block. Fan was on. Power to igniter on at $t=0$	205
Figure 185. Smoldering foam - a polyurethane foam block, located on the living room floor at the chair burn location and ignited with an electrically powered nichrome wire heater imbedded in the block. Fan was on. Power to igniter on at $t=0$	206
Figure 186. Photoelectric sensor response to cotton smolder smoke calibration test. A and B indicate repeated tests	208
Figure 187. Ionization sensor response to cotton smolder smoke calibration test. A and B indicate repeated tests	209
Figure 188. Electrochemical cell CO sensor response to cotton smolder smoke calibration test. A and B indicate repeated tests	210
Figure 189. Smoldering cotton fire scenario, fan speed 7 Hz	212
Figure 190. Smoldering cotton fire scenario, fan speed 7 Hz	213
Figure 191. Smoldering cotton fire scenario, fan speed 12Hz	214
Figure 192. Smoldering cotton fire scenario, fan speed 12 Hz	215
Figure 193. Smoldering wood fire scenario, fan speed 7 Hz	216
Figure 194. Smoldering wood fire scenario, fan speed 7 Hz	217
Figure 195. Smoldering wood fire scenario, fan speed 12 Hz	218
Figure 196. Smoldering wood fire scenario, fan speed 12 Hz	219
Figure 197. Candle flame , fan speed 7 Hz	220
Figure 198. Margarine heated in a pan , fan speed 7 Hz	222
Figure 199. Margarine heated in a pan, fan speed 7 Hz	223
Figure 200. Butter heated in a pan, fan speed 7 Hz	224
Figure 201. Bread in a toaster, fan speed 7 Hz	225
Figure 202. Bread in a toaster, fan speed 7 Hz	226
Figure 203. Bread in a toaster, fan speed 7 Hz	227
Figure 204. Bread in a toaster, fan speed 12 Hz	228

Figure 205. Bread in a toaster, fan speed 12 Hz	229
Figure 206. Average available egress time for several different alarm and fire types with alarms on every level for manufactured home tests	244
Figure 207. Average available egress time for several different alarm and fire types with alarms on every level + bedrooms for manufactured home tests	244
Figure 208. Average available egress time for several different alarm and fire types with alarms in every room for manufactured home tests	245
Figure 209. Test structure, sample interior room, and instrumentation in original 1975 Indiana Dunes Experiments	247

Tables

Table 1. Calibration of smoke and CO alarms	21
Table 2. Listed unmodified alarm sensitivities.	29
Table 3. MIC output and obscuration during tests of two ionization alarms	46
Table 4. Mean axial velocity 5 cm below ceiling	49
Table 5. Threshold criteria for modified alarms	62
Table 6. Top fire scenarios ranked by frequency of occurrence, 1992 – 1996	66
Table 7. Locations for temperature measurement in tests of smoke alarm response	78
Table 8. Locations for primary gas measurement in tests of smoke alarm response	83
Table 9. Locations for primary optical density measurements in tests of smoke alarm response	86
Table 10. Alarm and sprinkler locations in tests of smoke and CO alarm response	90
Table 11. Test conditions for tests conducted in a manufactured home	94
Table 12. Test Conditions for tests conducted in a two-story home	95
Table 13. Alarm Times for Several Smoke Alarms in Hallway Outside Main Bedroom During Flaming Ignition Test of a Mattress, Test SDC05	119
Table 14. Calculated tenability for a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	122
Table 15. Activation times for several smoke alarms during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	123
Table 16. Activation times for several alternative technologies during a flaming ignition test of a mattress, test SDC05	124
Table 17. Cascade impactor results	141
Table 18. Estimated particle size from smoldering chair scenario	146
Table 19. Estimated particle size from flaming sources	147
Table 20. Estimated particle size from cooking oil fire scenario	147
Table 21. Relative error for tenability time and alarm time during tests of residential smoke alarms	148
Table 22. Alarm sensitivity for photoelectric and ionization sensors	155

Table 23. Average time to alarm (in seconds) for several smoke alarms and fire scenarios in a manufactured home	234
Table 24. Average time to alarm (in seconds) for several smoke alarms and fire scenarios in a two-story home	235
Table 25. Sample premovement activity time	238
Table 26. Estimates of required escape times for best and worst case scenarios	240
Table 27. Available egress time (in seconds) for several different alarm technologies and fire scenarios in a manufactured home	242
Table 28. Available egress time (in seconds) for several different alarm technologies and fire scenarios in a two-story home	243
Table 29. Activation time (in seconds) for several different fire detection technologies and fire scenarios in a manufactured home.	246
Table 30. Comparison of alarm times and times to untenable conditions for 1975 and current studies	248
Table 31. Comparison of tenability criteria used in the 1975 and current studies	248
Table 32. Comparison of fire growth rates in the 1975 and current studies	248