Workshop on Fire Growth and Spread on Objects NIST, Gaithersburg, MD March 4-6, 2002

Development of FDMS Tools to Generate Data for Fire Safety Engineering and Modeling

**Marc Janssens** 



The William States Lee College of Engineering Department of Engineering Technology Fire Safety Engineering Technology Program

### **INTRODUCTION (1 OF 2)**

- UNC Charlotte initiated a 3-year research program in September 2001 under a grant from NIST
- Objectives of the program
  - Year 1: Develop software tools to calculate ignition, flame spread, and heat release rate properties of materials
  - Year 2: Develop algorithms and computer programs to calculate the fire curve for different types of fuel packages
  - Year 3: Evaluate predictive capability of these sub-models
- This paper provides an overview of year 1 activities and invites comments from workshop attendees





### **INTRODUCTION (2 OF 2)**

- The main limitation of compartment fire models is that they do not predict the fire (Babrauskas, 1996)
- Extensive database of fire curves is not feasible
- More practical approach involves the development of correlations and sub-models
  - Items found in residences (chairs, TV sets, etc.)
  - Larger fuel packages (commodities, cars, etc.)
  - Room/corner test is the most common geometry
- Sub-models require material property data for ignition, flame spread, and heat release



# TEST EQUIPMENT Cone Calorimeter (1 of 2)





# **TEST EQUIPMENT Cone Calorimeter (2 of 2)**





# **TEST EQUIPMENT LIFT Apparatus (1 of 2)**





# **TEST EQUIPMENT LIFT Apparatus (2 of 2)**





#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Introduction

- Ignition properties are determined on the basis of time to ignition measured at different heat fluxes
- Data analysis leads to material properties that can be used to predict ignition under real fire conditions
- Procedures for analysis of ignition data of thick solids generally consist of two steps
  - Determine minimum or critical heat flux for ignition
  - Plot a function of  $t_{ig}$  (e.g.  $1/\sqrt{t_{ig}}$ ) vs. heat flux and calculate thermal inertia from the slope of a linear fit through the data

Eight different procedures are being examined



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Schematic





#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Quintiere & Harkleroad (1984)

- Developed for analyzing LIFT data (ASTM E 1321)
- Assumptions:  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $h_c = 15$  W/m<sup>2</sup>-K
- Determine CHF by bracketing (=minimum heat flux)
- Calculate T<sub>ig</sub> and h<sub>ig</sub> from

$$CHF = 0.015(T_{ig} - T_{\infty}) + \sigma(T_{ig}^{4} - T_{\infty}^{4}) \equiv h_{ig}(T_{ig} - T_{\infty})$$

Plot CHF to heat flux ratio as a function of  $\sqrt{t_{ig}}$ 

$$\frac{CHF}{\dot{q}_{e}''} = \begin{cases} \frac{2h_{ig}\sqrt{t_{ig}}}{\sqrt{\pi k\rho c}} & t_{ig} \le t^{*} \\ 1 & t_{ig} > t^{*} \end{cases}$$



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Mikkola & Wichman (1989)

Based on two analyses of thermal ignition model

- Laplace transform solution of linearized heat conduction
- Integral solution of problem with non-linear heat losses

Plot  $1/\sqrt{t_{iq}}$  as a function of heat flux (n = 2)

$$t_{ig} = \frac{\pi}{4} k\rho c \frac{(T_{ig} - T_{\infty})^n}{\left(\dot{q}_e^{"} - CHF\right)^n}$$

CHF is estimated from intercept with abscissa

■ *T*<sub>iq</sub> is calculated from

$$CHF = h_c (T_{ig} - T_{\infty}) + \varepsilon \sigma (T_{ig}^4 - T_{\infty}^4)$$



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Toal, Silcock & Shields (1989)

Uses concept of constant flux-time product (first introduced by Smith at OSU in 1981)

Plot heat flux versus (1/t<sub>ig</sub>)<sup>1/n</sup>

$$FTP = \left(\dot{q}_e'' - CHF\right)^n t_{ig}$$

Value for *n* is found by trial and error to get a best-fit line through the data points

Typically n is between 1 and 2



### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Delichatsios, Panagiotou & Kiley (1991)

- **Specimens are blackened**  $\Rightarrow \varepsilon = 1$
- Convective surface heat losses are ignored
- High heat fluxes (> 3×CHF)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{ig}}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi k\rho c} (T_{ig} - T_{\infty})} (\dot{q}_e'' - 0.64CHF)$$

Low heat fluxes (< 1.1×CHF)</p>

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{ig}}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{k\rho c} (T_{ig} - T_{\infty})} (\dot{q}_e'' - CHF)$$

High heat flux fit crosses abscissa at 0.64×CHF





#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Janssens (1991)

- Based on finite difference solution of thermal ignition problem with non-linear heat losses
- Plot  $(1/t_{ig})^{0.55}$  as a function of heat flux

$$\dot{q}_{e}^{"} = CHF \left[ 1 + 0.73 \left( \frac{k\rho c}{h_{ig}^{2} t_{ig}} \right)^{0.55} \right]$$

- CHF is estimated from intercept with abscissa
- **T**<sub>ig</sub> and  $h_{ig}$  are calculated from

$$CHF = h_c \left( T_{ig} - T_{\infty} \right) + \varepsilon \sigma \left( T_{ig}^4 - T_{\infty}^4 \right) \equiv h_{ig} \left( T_{ig} - T_{\infty} \right)$$



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Tewarson (1995)

- Plot  $1/\sqrt{t_{ig}}$  as a function of heat flux
- Correlate data according to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_{ig}}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi}} \frac{\left(\dot{q}_e^{''} - CHF\right)}{TRP}$$

- Find CHF from intercept of a straight-line fit with the abscissa
- Calculate Thermal Response Parameter (TRP) from the slope



### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Moghtaderi, Novozhilov, Fletcher & Kent (1997)

- Based on integral solution
- Accounts for T<sub>ig</sub> increase with decreasing heat flux
- Plot  $1/\sqrt{t_{ig}}$  as a function of heat flux
  - CHF is the intercept of a straight-line fit with the abscissa
  - Calculate T<sub>ig</sub> at CHF
  - Determine kpc from the slope of a linear fit through the data

$$k\rho c = \frac{8}{3} \left( \frac{S}{T_{ig} - T_{\infty}} \right)^2$$

 $h_c = 11 \text{ W/m}^2$ -K for horizontal Cone calorimeter



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Spearpoint & Quintiere (2001)

- Based on integral solution
- Assumes ε = 1
- Plot  $1/\sqrt{t_{ig}}$  as a function of heat flux

$$t_{ig} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{(2 - \beta_{ig})(1 - \beta_{ig})} \frac{(T_{ig} - T_{\infty})^2}{\dot{q}_e^{"^2}}$$

Intercept with the abscissa is 0.76×CHF

 $h_c = 18 \text{ W/m}^2$ -K for horizontal Cone calorimeter



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES And the winner is?

- Each procedure has unique features
- None of the procedures are perfect (Ngu)
- Properties have to be used in a manner consistent with the assumptions of the ignition data analysis
- Include all procedures in the FDMS software tools?
- Procedures need to be modified to address the following issues
  - Thermally thin and intermediate specimens
  - Some layered products present major problems
  - *h*<sub>c</sub> is not constant but varies with heat flux



### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Specimen Thickness and Composition

#### Thermally thin and intermediate specimens

- Mikkola and Wichman: *n* = 1 for thin, *n* = 2/3 for intermediate
- Janssens and Grenier: focus on data in thick regime
- Dietenberger: weighted average of thin and thick solutions

#### Layered materials

- Veneer over combustible core often presents problems (e.g. marine composites tested by Jacobi and Dembsey)
- Larger-scale test (ICAL) may be more appropriate



### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Thickness Effects (1 of 4)







#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Thickness Effects (2 of 4)





#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Thickness Effects (3 of 4)





#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Thickness Effects (4 of 4)





### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Convection Coefficient (1 of 6)

- The convection coefficient in ignition tests appears to vary as a function of heat flux setting
  - Cone calorimeter horizontal: Janssens and Dillon (2001)
  - Cone calorimeter vertical: Janssens (1991)
  - LIFT: Dietenberger (1994)
- Some data analysis procedures can be modified to account for varying *h*<sub>c</sub>, others cannot
- Measurements will be repeated as part of NIST grant research at UNCC



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Convection Coefficient (2 of 6)





#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Convection Coefficient (3 of 6)

Measurements indicate that h<sub>c</sub> is a piece-wise linear function of q<sub>e</sub>

$$h_c \equiv h_0 + h_1 \dot{q}_e''$$

Correlating function can be rewritten as

$$\dot{q}_{e}'' = \sigma(T_{ig}^{4} - T_{\infty}^{4}) + \frac{h_{c}}{\varepsilon}(T_{ig} - T_{\infty}) + \frac{0.71(T_{ig} - T_{\infty})}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{k\rho c}{t_{ig}}\right)^{0.5}$$

 $\sim$  r

This equation is still valid for h<sub>c</sub> which varies with q<sub>e</sub> Marc Janssens – March 5, 2002

#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Convection Coefficient (4 of 6)

$$\left(\frac{k\rho c}{t_{ig}}\right)^{0.5} = C_1 \dot{q}_e'' - C_0$$

 $C_1 = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{0.71(T_{ig} - T_{\infty})} - \frac{h_1}{0.71}$ 

and

$$C_0 = \frac{\varepsilon \sigma (T_{ig}^4 - T_{\infty}^4)}{0.71 (T_{ig} - T_{\infty})} + \frac{h_0}{0.71}$$

T<sub>ig</sub> from intercept (C<sub>0</sub>/C<sub>1</sub>) and kpc from slope (C<sub>1</sub>) Marc Janssens – March 5, 2002

### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Convection Coefficient (5 of 6)

- Example: Red oak calibration decks for ASTM E 84
- Properties
  - Thickness : 25 mm
  - Density : 480 kg/m<sup>3</sup>
  - Moisture content : ~ 6%
  - Emissivity : 0.88
- Duplicate tests at 20, 35, and 50 kW/m<sup>2</sup>
- Additional tests below 20 kW/m<sup>2</sup> to determine q<sup>n</sup><sub>cr</sub>
- T<sub>ig</sub> = 324°C (OK) and kpc = 0.35 kW<sup>2</sup>·s/m<sup>4·</sup>K<sup>2</sup> (higher)



#### PILOTED IGNITION PROPERTIES Convection Coefficient (6 of 6)





#### FLAME SPREAD PROPERTIES Introduction

Opposed-flow flame spread data analysis is based on deRis' formula

$$V = \frac{\Phi}{k\rho c (T_{ig} - T_s)^2}$$

Different practical procedures have been developed

- Quintiere and Harkleroad (consistent with ignition analysis)
- Janssens (no preheat, integral solution to determine T<sub>s</sub>)
- Dietenberger (more fundamental)



#### FLAME SPREAD PROPERTIES Questions

- Is there a need for these data?
- Do opposed-flow flame spread parameters correlate with other flammability characteristics?
- Can a heat release rate apparatus be converted to obtain opposed-flow flame spread data?
- Can the same values be used for lateral and downward spread?



### HEAT RELEASE PROPERTIES Introduction

- Three properties pertain to heat release rate
  - Heat of combustion,  $\Delta H_c$

$$\Delta H_{c}(t) = \frac{\dot{Q}''(t)}{\dot{m}''(t)}$$

Heat of gasification, L

$$L(t) = \frac{\dot{q}_{net}^{"}(t)}{\dot{m}^{"}(t)} = \frac{\dot{q}_{e}^{"} + q_{f}^{"}(t) - \dot{q}_{I}^{"}(t)}{\dot{m}^{"}(t)}$$

Total heat released



## HEAT RELEASE PROPERTIES Heat of Combustion

- Heat release calorimeters measure heat release rate and mass loss rate  $\Rightarrow \Delta H_c$  can easily be calculated
- Forms to report  $\Delta H_c$  (Dillon et al., 1998)
  - Dynamic curve
  - Value at peak heat release rate
  - Average peak value
  - Overall value



## HEAT RELEASE PROPERTIES Heat of Gasification

- Much more difficult to determine because some elements in the equation are not routinely measured
  - Flame heat flux must be estimated
  - Surface temperature must be measured or calculated
- Forms to report *L* (Dillon et al., 1998)
  - Dynamic curve
  - Value at peak heat release rate
  - Average peak value
  - Overall value





## **POSSIBLE DATA SETS**

Focus on materials that were tested in small scale and a in room/corner test (ISO 9705, NFPA 265, etc.)

- ATMI
- Eurefic
- FCRC
- FPL
- LSF
- SBI (?)
- Solutia
- SOPRO (?)
- USCG



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author greatly acknowledges the support from NIST through the grants program



### **QUESTIONS?**

## **SUGGESTIONS?**

### **COMMENTS?**

UNCHARIOTTE