RESEARCH FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM WORKSHOP: PERSPECTIVE FROM THE OFFICE OF REVIEW

July 13, 2004 Bethesda, Maryland

Mohan Viswanathan, Ph.D.

Deputy Director, Office of Review
National Center for Research Resources
National Institutes of Health
Department of Health and Human Services







RECEIPT DATES

- Program Announcement (G20):
 - Must be postmarked no later than the receipt date.
- Program Announcement (C06):
 - Must be postmarked no later than the receipt date.
- Late Applications:
 - No one at NIH has the authority to allow for or staffing to keep track of late applications.
 - Do not be late!
 - Do not ask for permission to be late!



PROGRAM BASICS

	<u>G20</u>	<u>C06</u>
Most Recent Annoucement	PAR-03-077	PAR-04-122
Due Date	> Every June 1, until 2006	> Sept. 14 & Dec. 15, 2004
PAR Receipt Frequency	Annually	Twice per year
Application Form	PHS 398	Standard Form 424
Submission Limitations	One institution per year	Two applications per institution per fiscal year
Purpose of Program	Alteration-Renovation of Animal Facilities	New Construction, Alteration, or Renovation of Laboratories or Animal Facilities
Request Maximum Request Minimum Institutional Match Waiver for Match	 \$700,000 in Direct Costs None No Longer a requirement Not applicable 	\$1.0 million



APPLICATION PAGE LIMITATIONS

	<u>G20</u>	<u>C06</u>
Research Plan (G20) or Program Narrative (C06)	25 pages maximum	> 40 pages maximum
Program Overview	In 250 words or less state the Specific Aims and Longterm Goals.	Page 4: In 250 words or less state the Specific Aims and Longterm Goals.
Line Drawings	Not counted against the 25-page limit.	Not counted against the 40-page limit.
Appendix Material	Not a means to extend the application.	Not a means to extend the application.



APPLICATION FACE PAGE POINTERS

		<u>G20</u>	<u>C06</u>
Item 2	>	Check "Yes" and type in # and Title of PA	
Item 5	>	Check "No". Items 5a/5b not applicable.	PI Name: Dean or Equivalent
Item 6	>	The initial budget is for one year.	
Item 9			NIH
Item 10			> 93.389 NIH Construction
Item 11			Indicate if Center of Excellence



GENERAL REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

- Impact on existing and future PHS-supported biomedical and behavioral research, research training, and/or research support activities.
- Specific deficiencies that will be remedied, and the impact of correcting these deficiencies on the research activities.
- Appropriateness and suitability of the proposed facilities for the related research activities.
- Adequacy of the proposed administrative arrangements: Institutional commitment, and capability of the PI in administration of the facility.



REQUIRED TABLES-C06

- Net square feet by program and/or investigator.
- Table of gross square feet.
- Summarize use of vacated space.
- Fixed equipment items.
- Certifications- SF424D
- Make sure the text and tables are consistent!



REQUIRED INFORMATION IN RESEARCH PLAN: JUSTIFICATION

- Need: Must be for research purposes, not teaching.
- Relate the justification to the scientific projects and describe how the renovation will facilitate these projects.
 - Research support to benefit from the proposed improvement project should be provided in a table format.
 - List current and pending research grants' direct costs.
 - Indicate the title, principal investigator, grant number, source and annual amount of funding, and start and end dates.
 - Identify if the support is from the PHS.
 - List only those projects that will be active on the start date indicated on the application face page.



REQUIRED INFORMATION IN RESEARCH PLAN: ANIMAL FACILITIES

- A detailed description of any biohazard issues.
- A description of the veterinary support.
- The training and continued education programs for veterinary staff.
- A detailed animal census.
- A description of animal species to be used.
- A description of the composition and procedures of the IACUC.
- Issues regarding animal care, personnel, institutional management and support plan, including charge-back arrangements, financial support, per diems, and the suitability of the institutional setting.



REQUIRED INFORMATION IN RESEARCH PLAN: ARCHITECTURAL COMPONENTS

- Size (dimensions) and square footage of each component (room, alcove, cubicle) that will be directly affected by the renovation project.
- Engineering criteria applicable to each component (mechanical, electrical, and utilities). Include information such as the number of air changes per hour, electrical power, light levels, hot and cold water, steam.
- Architectural criteria (such as width of corridors and doors, surface finishes).



REQUIRED INFORMATION IN RESEARCH PLAN: LINE DRAWINGS, Part 1 of 2

- Submit on 8-1/2" x 11" paper only.
- Do not submit blueprints!
- Location of the proposed renovation area in the building and the campus location of the building.
- Label all spaces, indicate investigator who will use a given space and where the support staff will work and/or sit.
- Include the as-built drawings of the proposed renovation area and indicate any areas that will be demolished.



REQUIRED INFORMATION IN RESEARCH PLAN: LINE DRAWINGS, Part 2 of 2

- Provide legible floor plans, with the scale clearly indicated.
- Indicate size (dimensions), function, and net and gross square feet of space for each room. List total, net, and gross sq. ft.
- Clearly describe any changes or additions to existing mechanical and electrical systems directly on the plan or attached to the plan.
- Type(s) of new finishes to be applied to room surfaces.
- Indicate all fixed and movable (G20 only) equipment items requested for the renovated area. Label each item and its placement on the plans.



REQUIRED INFORMATION IN RESEARCH PLAN: SAFETY ELEMENTS, Part 1 of 2

- Biological Safety Level Category.
- List of containment devices in each room.
- Traffic pattern (users, material, animal, patients).
- Hazardous waste management.
- Waste management.
- Pest management control.
- Proposed fire protection barriers.
- Proposed sprinkler system.
- Proposed fire alarm system.



REQUIRED INFORMATION IN RESEARCH PLAN SECTION – SAFETY ELEMENTS, Part 2 of 2

- Conformance to National Electrical Code.
- Proposed electrical distribution system (normal, emergency, UPS).
- Proposed security system.
- Proposed telephone/data/LAN distribution system.
- Proposed equipment monitoring system.



INCLUSIONS

- Indicate timetable for the project.
- Include Biographical Sketches only for those investigators who will benefit from this proposal.
- Provide an organizational chart of the institution that defines administrative authority specifically in relation to the proposal.



KEYS TO A SUCCESSFUL APPLICATION

- Well justified need.
- Details demonstrating adequate PHS-supported research that would benefit from improved facilities and/or equipment.
- Well organized application.
- Detailed, clear, and complete line drawings.
- Well described animal welfare program (if applicable).
- Biosafety issues are covered.



APPLICATION FAILINGS

- Lack of PHS supported investigators and/or lack of current or future grants that would benefit. Show start and end dates for each grant.
- Need not clearly articulated.
- Safety issues not addressed.
- Plans unreadable and/or major equipment placement not detailed in line drawings.
- Traffic patterns not described.
- Inappropriate uses described, including teaching or conferences rather than research.
- Failure to include required information or follow grant formatting requirements.
- Unrealistic timeline or cost estimates.



REQUIRED BUDGET INFORMATION

- Justify the construction cost estimate, and provide vendor estimates and bids where possible.
- List and justify all fixed equipment items requested for the renovated area.
- List and justify all movable equipment items requested for the renovated area (allowed in G20 only).



SCIENTIFIC and TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD (STRB)

- 15 Standing Members
- Committee is always supplemented with additional members due to workload.
- Architects familiar with biomedical construction and renovation.
- Veterinarian Scientists for animal facility design and proper use for intended purpose.
- Non-veterinarian Scientists: M.D.s, Ph.D.s, and others as needed to understand the scientific context of the proposal.



OFFICE OF REVIEW STAFF: STRB

These administrators are your points of contact while your application is under review or at anytime you have review-related questions. Program staff will help you with issues outside this purview.

Barbara Nelson, Ph.D.

Scientific Review Administrator for the STRB 301-435-0806

nelsonbj@mail.nih.gov

Sheryl K. Brining, Ph.D.

Director, Office of Review 301-435-0809 sb44k@nih.gov

