

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UNDEP SECRETARY
2002 APR 24 PM 1:15
UNITED STATES

COMPLAINT REGARDING INVENTION PROMOTER

Instructions: Read the reverse side of this form before completing and submitting the form. Complete as much of the form as possible and return it to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at the address given on the reverse side. Please type or write clearly.

Invention Promoter's Name: International Product Design Inc/United Licensing Corp/London Communicat-
 Invention Promoter's Address: 12310 Pinecrest Road Reston, VA.
 City Reston State VA Zip Code 20191-1636
 Complainant's Name: Johnny D Symon
 Complainant's Address: Lista de Correos
 City Jimena de la Frontera State Cadiz, Spain Zip Code 11330
 Customer's Name: Johnny D Symon


WHAT IS YOUR COMPLAINT?

Please be as specific as possible within the space provided

Name of mass media invention promoter advertised:
"The Exchange and Mart" publication, UK. Nov-Dec. 1996. IPD advertised as.."America's
Leading Product Development Company".

Invention promotion services offered to be performed:
Complete Product Development, Promotion and Licensing Services. All contractual promises and
obligations broken and unfulfilled.(Signed by Agnes S Montesa,IPD/ Lee Leonard,ULC:12.9.97..both
uncontactable.)

Explanation of complaint between customer and invention promoter:
IPD claimed to operate from Washington DC. at 1429 G Street NW, Suite 266, DC.20005. Letterheads
and envelopes sent by IPD to me had a DC address, but all postmarks were Reston VA. The direct line
number I demanded from the London office for IPD WASHINGTON DC was 703-716-9200, LONDON COMMUNI-
CATIONS, RESTON VA.!.. Project Mousetrap and UIA materials revealed that IPD operate from London
Communications, it's parent company... Sole contact by phone was with Jim McCorkle in Reston who
continually denied me my contractual right to send everything to manufacturers myself. For 1½ years
he sent me lists of manufacturers, signed by himself, which should have been from Fortune 500, and
none of them were, in fact they appeared not to exist at all...Dated the same day, August 11 1999,
that the head of the DTI in UK signed the forced closure notice on IPD's London office, Jim McCorkle
finally reverted to that contractual term and sent manufacturer lists and materials for me to send.
Again, not Fortune 500, and not existing.(Jim McCorkle's assurance that my Product, Accessature,
File no. 1666637, would appear on www.knowledgeexpress.com and then www.inventconnect.com also
proved unfounded.)..Despite the FTC's Rulings, Nov 1998, against the above, in my case they cont-
inued to break them. Even worse they still hold my Intellectual Property, my money etc..Therefore,
should this complaint have to be published world-wide I invite legal firms to consider expediting
legal proceedings against the above companies. All documented evidence will be provided.

Signed:  Date: April 11 2002