## FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT ANNUAL REPORT FOIA Data Submission Form for Treasury Bureaus

Fiscal Year 2000 (Oct. 1, 1999-Sept. 30, 2000)

Note: Your reporting begins at item II-B. Those sections marked ANot for bureaus@will be answered by the Departmental Disclosure Office in the combined report.
I. and II-A. (Not for bureaus.)
II.B. Brief Description of your bureau≠s response-time ranges.
Response time in FY 2000 ranged from 2 days for simple requests to six months for requests seeking consumer complaint data about national banks that must be coordinated through our Customer Assistance Group in Houston, Texas.
C. Brief description why some requests are not granted.
The most common reasons why requests for records could not be granted are 1) they are bank examination-related or 2) they involve proprietary business-related information or 3)they involve personal information.
III. Definition of terms and acronyms used in this report.
A. List your agency-specific acronyms or terms
None
B. Basic Terms (This has already been done by Department of Justice. See
<pre>http://www.usdoj.gov/oip/foia_updates/Vol_XVIII_3/page2.htm )</pre>
IV. Exemption 3 Statutes.
A. List of Exemption 3 statutes relied on by agency during fiscal year.
1. Brief description of type(s) of information withheld under each statute.
None

None

2. Statement of whether a court has upheld the use of each statute. If so, then cite

example.

V. FOIA/PA Access Requests. Include all access requests, whether first-party or third-party.

## 5,357. Please note that this figure does not include the 8.853 million pages released through our Web site, http://www.occ.treas.gov.

A. Number of initial requests. Total of the numbers in lines 1 and 2, minus the number in line 3, should equal the number in line 4.

1.	Number of request	s pending as of end of	preceding fiscal year:	0

## B. Disposition of initial requests:

a. Number of times each FOIA exemption used (counting each exemption once per request):

c.	withdrawn <u>64</u>	<u>1                                    </u>	
d.	fee-related <u>10</u>	<u>)                                    </u>	
e.	records not reason	nably described 3	
f.	not a proper FOL	A request for some other i	reason 1
g.	not an agency rec	ord <u>0</u>	
h.	duplicate request	6	
i.	other (specify):		
	records destroyed	l pursuant to records disp	osition schedules 1
	of initial denials of FOlparty or third-party.	IA/PA requests. Again, inclu	ide all access requests,
A. Num	ber of appeals.		
		eived during fiscal year:	
2. Nu	ımber of appeals pro	ocessed during fiscal year:	<u>6</u>
B. Dispos	sition of appeals.		
1. Nu	mber completely upl	neld: 3	
2. Nu	mber partially rever	rsed: 2	
3. Nu	mber completely rev	versed: 0	
	of times each FOIA eace per appeal):	xemption used (counting eac	h exemption
(b)(1	)	(b)(6) <u>3</u>	(b)(7)(E)
<b>(b)</b> (2	(d)	(b)(7)(A) <u>1</u>	(b)(7)(F)
(b)(3	(i)	(b)(7)(B)	(b)(8) <u>3</u>
<b>(b)</b> (4	)2	(b)(7)(C) <u>1</u>	(b)(9)
(b)(5	() <u>3</u>	(b)(7)(D) <u>1</u>	
4. Other a. no reb. referen		sclosure (total):	

	c.	withdrawn
	d.	fee-related
	e.	records not reasonably described
	f.	not a proper request/appeal for some other reason
	g.	not an agency record
	h.	duplicate request
	i.	Other (specify):
VII.	Compli	ance with Time Limits/Status of Pending Requests.
	A. M	edian time for processing requests.
	track. comple perfect would pendin comple	rely report each track of a multi-track system, as well as an expedited processing Following are examples for obtaining the median number: Given 7 requests eted during the fiscal year, aged 10, 25, 35, 65, 75, 80 and 400 days from date of ion to date of completion, the total number of requests completed during the fiscal year be 7 and the median age of the completed requests would be 65 days. If there were 6 g cases aged 10, 20, 30, 50, 120 and 200 days from date of perfection to date of etion, the total number of requests completed would be 6 and the median age would be s (the average of the 2 middle numbers).  1. Simple Requests (report only if multi-track processing is used. If not used, report as N/A).
		a. number of requests processed: <u>5,296</u>
		b. median number of days to process: 2
		2. Complex Requests (specify for any and all tracks used).
		a. number of requests processed: 61
		b. median number of days to process: <u>78</u>
		3. Requests accorded expedited processing.
		a. number of requests processed: 0
		b. median number of days to process:0

B. Status of pending requests (bureaus using multiple tracks may provide

number for each track, as well as total).
1. Number of requests pending at end of FY 2000:3 (This number should match section V-A-4.)
2. Median number of days that such requests were pending as of that date:243
VIII. Comparisons with Previous Years (Not required).
IX. Costs/FOIA Staffing.
A. Staffing levels.
1. Number of full time FOIA personnel: 7
<ul> <li>2. Number of personnel with part-time or occasional FOIA duties (in total work-years): 2.5</li> <li>3. Total number of personnel (in work-years): 9.5</li> <li>B. Total costs (staff and resources combined).</li> </ul>
1. FOIA processing (including appeals): \$ 537,737
2. Litigation-related activities (estimated): \$\\_25,000\\
<ul><li>3. Total Costs: \$ 562,737</li><li>4. Comparison with previous years. (Not required).</li></ul>
C. Statement of additional resources needed for FOIA compliance (optional).
X. Fees.
A. Total fees collected FY 2000: \$ 293,382.00
B. Percentage of total costs: 55 %
XI. FOIA Regulations. (Not for bureaus.)