SAMPLE STRATEGIC PLAN INSTITUTION EVALUATION*

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

(Date of Evaluation)

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Name of Depository Institution Institution's Identification Number

Address of Institution

Name of Supervisory Agency

Address of Supervisory Agency

NOTE:

This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

^{*} This is a sample format created to reflect the Community Reinvestment Act's requirements for written evaluations pursuant to U.S.C. 12 Section 2906 of the IBBEA for an institution operating in multiple assessment areas, in MSAs and in non-MSAs, in multiple states, including multistate MSAs. It will be adjusted, as appropriate, to reflect each institution's operations. The format assumes that the strategic plan covers the whole institution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Institu	Institution Rating			
	a. b.	Overall Rating			
	0.	1			
II.	Multi	state Metropolitan Area Rating			
	a.	Discussion of assessment areas within multistate metropolitan area examined using the full examination procedures			
	b.				
	D.	Facts and data for multistate metropolitan area assessment areas			
		examined using the limited examination procedures			
III.	State	Rating			
	a.	Metropolitan Area Analysis			
		i. Conclusions			
		ii. Discussion of assessment areas within metropolitan area examined			
		using the full examination procedures			
		iii. Facts and data for metropolitan area examined using the limited examination procedures			
	b.	Non-Metropolitan Statewide Area Analysis			
		i. Conclusions			
		ii. Discussions of non-metropolitan area assessment areas examined using the full examination procedures			
		iii. Facts and data for non-metropolitan area assessment areas examined using the limited examination procedures			
IV.	Appe	ndix			
	a.	Scope of Examination			
	b.	Summary of State and Multistate Metropolitan Area Ratings			

INSTITUTION

	INSTITUTION'S	CRA RATING:	This institution is rated	
--	---------------	-------------	---------------------------	--

Summarize the major factors supporting the institution's rating. When illegal discrimination or discouragement has been identified and has affected the rating, the summary should include a statement that the rating was influenced by violations of the substantive provisions of the antidiscrimination laws. The summary should not mention any technical violations of the antidiscrimination laws.

CONCLUSIONS:

Summarize the facts, data and analyses that were used to determine the overall rating, based on the institution's plan goals and actual performance under the plan. The discussion should be organized broadly around the lending, investment and service goals, as applicable. If the institution has not substantially met its goals, discuss the effect, if any, changed circumstances may have on the rating. Charts and tables should be used whenever possible to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution's performance and reaching conclusions.

Write a paragraph about the institution's record of complying with the antidiscrimination laws (ECOA, FHA, or HMDA) using the following guidelines.

When substantive violations involving illegal discrimination or discouragement are found by the [Agency] or identified through self-assessment(s), state that substantive violations were found, whether they caused the CRA rating to be adjusted downward, and why the rating was or was not adjusted. Identify the law(s) and regulations(s) violated, the extent of the violation(s) (e.g., widespread, or limited to a particular state, office, division, or subsidiary) and characterize management's responsiveness in acting upon the violation(s). Determine whether the institution has policies, procedures, training programs, internal assessment efforts, or other practices in place to prevent discriminatory or other illegal credit practices.

If no substantive violations were found, state that no violations of the substantive provisions of the antidiscrimination laws and regulations were identified. Even if discrimination has not been found, comments related to the institution's fair lending policies, procedures, training programs and internal assessment efforts may still be appropriate. If applicable, technical violations cited in the report of examination should be presented in general terms.

MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA

CRA	RATING FOR (Name o	f MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA):	

[Complete for each multistate metropolitan area where an institution has branches in two or more states within the multistate metropolitan area.]

Summarize the major factors supporting the institution's multistate metropolitan area rating. When illegal discrimination or discouragement has been identified and has affected the rating, the conclusion should include a statement that the rating was influenced by violations of the substantive provisions of the antidiscrimination laws. The conclusion should not mention any technical violations of the antidiscrimination laws.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE IN (Name of MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA):

Discuss the institution's CRA performance within the multistate metropolitan area. The facts, data and analyses that were used to form a conclusion about the rating, as well as the institution's record in assessment areas in the multistate metropolitan area that were examined using the limited examination procedures, should be reflected in the narrative. The discussion should be based on the institution's plan goals and actual performance under the plan, and organized around the lending, investment and service goals, as applicable. If the institution has not substantially met its goals, discuss the effect, if any, changed circumstances may have on the rating. Charts and tables should be used whenever possible to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution's performance and reaching conclusions.

If the institution's assessment area(s) are smaller than the boundaries of the multistate metropolitan area, a discussion of the assessment areas examined should be included. Refer to the assessment area discussion, below.

STATE

CRA RATING FOR (Name of STATE):	
---------------------------------	--

[If the institution has branches in more than one state, complete this section for each state. Otherwise, complete the Metropolitan Area and Non-Metropolitan Statewide Area presentations only, as applicable.]

Summarize the major factors supporting the institution's state rating. When illegal discrimination or discouragement has been identified and has affected the rating, the conclusion should include a statement that the rating was influenced by violations of the substantive provisions of the antidiscrimination laws. The conclusion should not mention any technical violations of the antidiscrimination laws.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE IN (Name of STATE):

Discuss the institution's CRA performance within the state. The facts, data and analyses that were used to form a conclusion about the rating, based on the institution's plan goals and actual performance under the plan, should be reflected in the narrative. The discussion should be organized around the lending, investment and service goals, as applicable. If the institution has not substantially met its goals, discuss the effect, if any, changed circumstances may have on the rating. Charts and tables should be used whenever possible to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution's performance and reaching conclusions.

METROPOLITAN AREAS

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE IN (Name of METROPOLITAN AREA AND STATE):

Discuss the institution's CRA performance within the metropolitan area. The facts, data and analyses that were used to form a conclusion, as well as the institution's record in assessment areas in the metropolitan areas that were examined using the limited examination procedures, should be reflected in the narrative. The discussion should be based on the institution's plan goals and actual performance under the plan, and organized around the lending, investment and service goals, as applicable. If the institution has not substantially met its goals, discuss the effect, if any, changed circumstances may have on the rating. Charts and tables should be used whenever possible to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution's performance and reaching conclusions.

If the institution's assessment area(s) are smaller than the boundaries of the metropolitan area, a discussion of the assessment areas examined should be included. Refer to the assessment area discussion, below.

NON-METROPOLITAN STATEWIDE AREAS

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN (Name of NON-METROPOLITAN STATEWIDE AREA):

Discuss the institution's CRA performance within the non-metropolitan statewide area. The facts, data and analyses that were used to form a conclusion, as well as the institution's record in assessment areas in the non-metropolitan statewide area that were examined using the limited examination procedures, should be reflected in the narrative. The discussion should be based on the institution's plan goals and actual performance under the plan, and organized around the lending, investment and service goals, as applicable. If the institution has not substantially met its goals, discuss the effect, if any, changed circumstances may have on the rating. Charts and tables should be used whenever possible to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution's performance and reaching conclusions.

A discussion of the assessment areas examined using the full examination procedures should be included. Refer to the assessment area discussion, below.

ASSESSMENT AREA

(for each assessment area examined using the full examination procedures)

Charts or tables may be useful in depicting information throughout the assessment area presentation.

DISCUSSION OF PERFORMANCE IN (ASSESSMENT AREA NAME):

[Repeat for each assessment area.]

STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS AND ACTUAL PERFORMANCE FOR EDEN PRAIRIE AND DAVIS COUNTIES IN MINNESOTA TO OBTAIN SATISFACTORY RATING				
Sample	Strategic Plan Goal	Actual Performance		
	1 . \$1.5 million in small farm loans	1. \$1.32 million in loans		
	2. \$2.0 million in loans to small businesses	2. \$3.7 million in loans.		
	3. \$.5 million in loans to start-up businesses	3. \$.39 million in loans.		
	4. Provide construction/permanent financing for 24-unit elderly low-income housing project	4. Construction line of credit approved for \$960,000. \$100,000 disbursed to date.		

Summarize the facts, data and analyses that were used to form a conclusion on the institution's performance in the assessment area. This should compare and contrast the institution's plan goals for the assessment area and actual performance under the plan. Explain variances between the plan and actual results. If the institution has not substantially met its goals, discuss the performance context and its impact on the conclusion. The discussion should be organized around the lending, investment and service goals, as applicable. Use the chart above to supplement the written summary, and note whether the analysis was conducted using full examination procedures.

ASSESSMENT AREA

For those assessment areas that were examined using the limited examination procedures: (multiple assessment areas within the same multistate metropolitan area, metropolitan area, or non-metropolitan statewide area and not examined using the examination procedures, may be combined into one presentation.)

Charts or tables may be useful in depicting information throughout the presentation.

DISCUSSION OF PERFORMANCE IN (Name of ASSESSMENT):

Summarize the facts and data that were reviewed and indicate whether the institution's performance in the area reviewed is consistent with the institution's record in the multistate metropolitan area, metropolitan area, or non-metropolitan statewide area.

APPENDIX A

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Write a short description of the scope of the examination. At a minimum, discuss the specific products reviewed, the names of affiliates reviewed and their corresponding products, the institution's assessment areas and whether its activities in the assessment areas were reviewed using the examination procedures, and the time period covered in the review. Charts that illustrate the scope of the examination may be useful for large institutions with multiple assessment areas or institution's that use data from their affiliates. Charts, such as the ones below, may be used as a supplement to the discussion of the scope or in lieu thereof.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION [SAMPLE]				
[Note: Example provided for clarity]				
TIME PERIOD REVIEWED	1/1/95 TO 6/30/96			
FINANCIAL INSTITUTION			PRODUCTS REVIEWED	
XYZ National Bank, Eden Prairie, MN			Small Business Small Farm	
FINANCIAL INSTITUTION/ AFFILIATE	AFFILIATE RELATIONSHIP		PRODUCTS REVIEWED	
XYZ Bancorp, Blue Earth, MN	Holding Company		Investments	
XYZ Community Development Corporation, Blue Earth, MN	Holding company subsidiary		Investments	
XYZ Savings Bank, Blue Earth, MN	Thrift - Holding company subsidiary		Mortgage lending	
XYZ National Bank, Tampa, FL	Holding company subsidiary		Credit Cards	
	1			

LIST OF ASSESSMENT AREAS AND TYPE OF EXAMINATION				
ASSESSMENT AREA	TYPE OF EXAMINATION	BRANCHES VISITED	OTHER INFORMATION	
MINNESOTA				
Davis County and Eden Prairie County (contiguous counties)	Full exam procedures			
FLORIDA				
City of Tampa	Ltd. exam procedures			

Strategic Plan Performano	e Evaluation
Sentember 2000	

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF STATE AND MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA RATINGS

State or Multistate Metropolitan area Name	State Rating