

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Organizational Sentencing Guidelines Bibliography



*United States Sentencing Commission
March 2003*

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TREATISES, ARTICLES, and MATERIALS	1
Primary Government Materials	1
General Interest and Background	2
Antitrust	5
Audit Related Compliance Issues	6
Business Ethics and Corporate Governance	6
Environmental	7
Food and Drug Administration	9
Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and International Compliance Efforts .	9
Health Care.	10
Labor and Employment	11
Measurement and Assessment	11
Privileges and Voluntary Disclosure	12
Utilities	13
 REPORTS and SURVEYS	 13
 ETHICS and COMPLIANCE WEB SITES	 14
Government.	14
Securities & Exchange Commission (Seabrand Report)	14
Associations/Consortiums/Member Organizations	14
University Programs and Institutes	15
International/Global	15
Not For Profit Organizations	16

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

TREATISES, ARTICLES, and MATERIALS*

Primary Government Materials

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION, FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES, CHAPTER EIGHT—SENTENCING ORGANIZATIONS, *at* www.ussc.gov/orgguide.htm.

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION “REPORT TO CONGRESS: INCREASED PENALTIES UNDER THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002” (JAN. 2003), *at* www.ussc.gov/r_congress/S-Oreport.pdf

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION, CORPORATE CRIME IN AMERICA: STRENGTHENING THE “GOOD CITIZEN” CORPORATION: PROCEEDING OF THE SECOND SYMPOSIUM ON CRIME AND PUNISHMENT IN THE UNITED STATES (Sept. 7-8, 1995), *at* www.ussc.gov/sympo/wcsympo.pdf.

Memorandum from Deputy Attorney General Larry D. Thompson, “Principles of Federal Prosecution of Business Organizations” (Jan 20, 2003), *at* www.usdoj.gov/usao/eousa/foia_reading_room/usam/title9/crm00161.htm

Memorandum from Deputy Attorney General Eric Holder, “Bringing Criminal Charges Against Corporations” (June 16, 1999), *at* www.usdoj.gov/criminal/fraud/policy/Chargingcorps.html

United States Sentencing Commission Supplementary Report on Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations (Aug. 30, 1991), *at* www.ussc.gov/corp/OrgG183091.pdf

* Some of the links provided in this document are Portable Document Files. “PDF” files are documents that can be printed and downloaded from websites using Adobe Acrobat Reader. To download a free copy of the latest version of Adobe Acrobat Reader, point your browser to www.adobe.com/supportservice/custsupport/download.html.

Note: Materials cited to “PLI/CORP” are available on Westlaw or at www.pli.edu.

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

General Interest and Background

Cindy R. Alexander, et al., *Evaluating Data on Corporate Sentencing: How Reliable are the U.S. Sentencing Commission's Data?*, 13 FED. SENT. REP. NO. 2, 108 ((Sept/Oct. 2000).

Cindy R. Alexander, et al., *Regulating Corporate Criminal Sanctions: Federal Guidelines and the Sentencing of Public Firms*, 42 J.L. & ECON. 393 (1999).

Cindy R. Alexander and Mark A. Cohen, *New Evidence on the Origins of Corporate Crime*, in 17 MANAGERIAL AND DECISION ECONOMICS 421 (1996).

Henry Amoroso, *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines Endorsement of Corporate-Level Restitution: Furtherance of Public Policy or Discrimination on the Base of Entity Capitalization?*, 18 CAMPBELL L. REV. 225 (1996).

David F., Axelrod, et al., *The Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations: Writing on a Clean Slate*, in WHITE COLLAR CRIME (ABA Ctr. for Continuing Leg. Educ. eds., 2000).

Michael L. Benson & Francis T. Cullen, *COMBATING CORPORATE CRIME: LOCAL PROSECUTORS AT WORK* (Northeastern University Press 1998).

H. Lowell Brown, *Vicarious Criminal Liability of Corporations for the Acts of Their Employees and Agents*, 41 LOY. L. REV. 279 (1995).

Pamela H. Bucy, *Corporate Sentencing: Some Critical Reflections on the Federal Corporate Sentencing Guidelines*, 71 WASH. U. L. Q. 397 (1993).

Pamela H. Bucy, *Corporate Ethos: A Standard for Imposing Corporate Criminal Liability*, 75 MINN. L. REV. 1095 (1991).

Cedric C. Chaa, et al., *Room for Flexibility In Sentencing Corporations: Good Corporate Citizenship May Mitigate Harsh Results Under Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, NAT'L L. J., Jan 16, 1995, C2.

Mark A. Cohen, *Theories of Punishment and Empirical Trends in Corporate Criminal Sanctions*, 17 MANAGERIAL AND DECISION ECON. 399 (1996).

COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS AND THE CORPORATE SENTENCING GUIDELINES: PREVENTING CRIMINAL AND CIVIL LIABILITY (Jeffrey M. Kaplan, et al. eds., Clark Boardman Callaghan, 1998).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

CORPORATE CRIME: CONTEMPORARY DEBATES (Frank Pearce & Lauren Snider, eds., University of Toronto Press 1995).

CORPORATE SENTENCING GUIDELINES: COMPLIANCE AND MITIGATION (Jed S. Rakoff, et al. eds., Law Journal Seminar Press 1998).

Paula J. Desio, *Powerful or Powerless: Does Your Compliance Officer Make the Grade?*, 12 ETHIKOS 11 (1998).

Joseph F.C. DiMeato, et al., *Corporate Criminal Liability: A Bibliography*, 28 W.St.U.L.Rev.1 (2001).

Dawn-Marie Driscoll, et al., *Business Ethics and Compliance: What Management Is Doing and Why*, BUS. SOC'Y REV., 1999, at 35-51.

Beth Elliot-Dumler, *Will the Federal Sentencing Guidelines Force Employers to Violate the Law?*, 14 PREVENTIVE L. REP. 32 (1995).

Jay N. Fastow, *Step Two of the Sentencing Guidelines: Assign Overall Responsibility to Oversee Compliance to "High Level Personnel,"* 1057 PLI/CORP. 301 (1998).

Paul E. Fiorelli, *Fine Reductions Through Effective Ethics Programs*, 56 ALB. L. REV. 403 (1992).

Lawrence Friedman, *In Defense of Corporate Criminal Liability*, 23 HARV. J.L. & PUB. POL'Y 833 (2000).

Richard S. Gruner, *How Compliance Program's Fail: Lessons from the Con Edison Probation Sentence*, 1177 PLI/CORP. 495 (2000).

RICHARD S. GRUNER, CORPORATE CRIMES AND SENTENCING (Bus. L., Inc., 2d ed. 1997).

Richard S. Gruner, *Towards an Organizational Jurisprudence: Transforming Corporate Criminal Law Through Federal Sentencing Reform*, 36 ARIZ. L. REV. 407 (1994).

Richard S. Gruner, *Just Punishment and Adequate Deterrence for Organizational Misconduct: Scaling Economic Penalties Under the New Corporate Sentencing Guidelines*, 66 SOUTHERN CALIF. L. REV. 225 (1992).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Jan Heller, et al., *GUIDE TO PROF'L DEVELOPMENT IN COMPLIANCE* (Aspen Publishers 2001).

Charles L. Howard & Thomas Furtado, *THE U.S. SENTENCING GUIDELINES: WHAT AN ORGANIZATIONAL OMBUDS MIGHT WANT TO KNOW AND SHARE WITH MANAGEMENT* (Ombuds Ass'n 1999).

Kevin B. Huff, *The Role of Corporate Compliance Programs in Determining Corporate Criminal Liability: A Suggested Approach*, 96 COLUM. L. REV. 1252 (1996).

Kirk S. Jordan & Joseph E. Murphy, *Compliance Programs: What the Government Really Wants*, 1177 PLI/CORP. 529 (2000).

Molly E. Joseph, *Organizational Sentencing*, 35 AM. CRIM. L. REV. 1017 (1998).

Jeffrey Kaplan, *The Sentencing Guidelines: The First Ten Years* (Nov./Dec. 2001 ethikos), at Singerpubs.com/ethikos/Kaplan%20Guidelines%2010%20years.htm

DANIEL A. KILE, *BUSINESS CONDUCT & ETHICS: HOW TO SET UP A SELF-GOVERNANCE PROGRAM* (Bus. L. 1995).

Lisa A. Kuca et al., *The Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations: Writing on a Clean Slate*, in *WHITE COLLAR CRIME L-19* (American Bar Association Center for Continuing Legal Education, 2000).

Marie McKendall, et al., *Ethical Compliance Programs & Corp. Illegality: Testing the Journal of Business Ethics*, 367-83 (June 2002).

Diana E. Murphy, *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations: A Decade of Promoting Compliance and Ethics*, 87 IOWA L. REV. 697 (2002)

Ilene H. Nagel & Winthrop M. Swenson, *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Corporations: Their Development, Theoretical Underpinnings, and Some Thoughts About Their Future*, 71 WASH. U. L.Q. 205 (1993).

Jeffrey S. Parker & Raymond A. Atkins, *Did the Corporate Criminal Sentencing Guidelines Matter? Some Preliminary Empirical Observations*, 42 J.L. & ECON. 423 (1999).

Charles H. Roistacher, *Federal Sentencing Guidelines and Organizational Defendants*, 41 FED. BUS. NEWS & J. 416 (1994).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

John R. Steer, *Changing Organizational Behavior - The Federal Sentencing Guidelines Experiment Begins to Bear Fruit*, unpublished paper (Apr. 26, 2001), at www.ussc.gov/orgguide.htm

Winthrop M. Swenson & Mary E. Didier, *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Practical Overview of Their Background, Intent, and Implications*, in *THE HEALTH CARE COMPLIANCE PROFESSIONAL'S MANUAL* 3-2:1 (Roy Snell, et al., eds. 1999).

Linda Klebe Trevino, et al., *Moral Person and Moral Manager: How Executives Develop a Reputation for Ethical Leadership*, 42 CALIF. MGMT. REV. 4 (2000).

Dan K. Webb & Steven F. Molo, *Some Practical Considerations in Developing Effective Compliance Programs: A Framework for Meeting the Requirements of The Sentencing Guidelines*, 375 WASH. U. L.Q. 71 (1993).

Herbert I. Zinn, *Nuclear Weapons Systems and Compliance Programs: What Is It That Makes Them So Scary?*, 943 PLI/CORP. 191 (1996).

Antitrust

Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, *Corporate Leniency Policy*, at www.usdoj.gov/atr/public/guidelines/lencorp.htm..

Howard Adler, Jr., *Interview With Gary Spratling: Dramatic Changes in Criminal Antitrust Enforcement*, 5 BUS. CRIMES BULL.: COMPLIANCE & LITIG. 1 (1998).

Stephen Calkins, *Corporate Compliance and The Antitrust Agencies' Bi-modal Penalties*, 60 LAW & CONTEMP. PROBS. 127 (1997).

Richard S. Gruner, *Avoiding Fines through Offense Monitoring, Detection, and Disclosure: The Race for Amnesty*, *Advanced Corporate Compliance Workshop*, PLI/Corp. 77 (2001).

Scott D. Hammond, *When Calculating the Costs & Benefits of Applying for Corporate Amnesty, How Do You Put a Price Tag on an Individual's Freedom?* (March 8, 2001), at www.usdoj.gov/atr/public/speeches/7647.pdf

Gary R. Spratling, *The Corporate Leniency Policy: Answers to Recurring Questions*, 1 CORP. COMPLIANCE 325 (1999).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Audit Related Compliance Issues

Karen Boxer & Helaine Gregory, *Compliance is Good for your Corporate Health*, 1178_ PLI/CORP. 387 (1998).

Paul E. Fiorelli, *The Role of Internal Audit: COSO Control Standards Make Internal Auditors Natural Allies in Compliance and Ethics Efforts*, 7 Prevention of Corp. Liab. (BNA) 103 (October 10, 1999).

PAUL E. FIORELLI & CYNTHIA J. ROONEY, *THE FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES: GUIDELINES FOR INTERNAL AUDITORS* (Instit. of Internal Auditors Research Foundation 1996).

Peter A. Gish, *The Self-critical Analysis Privilege and Environmental Audit Reports*, 25 ENVTL. L. 73 (1995).

Charles R Lotter, et al., *Developing and Implementing a Compliance Program: Evaluating the Corporation's System of Internal Controls*, in CORPORATE COUNSEL'S GUIDE TO THE ORGANIZATIONAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES, (William A. Hancock ed., Bus. L., Inc. 1995).

Business Ethics and Corporate Governance

John Bray, *The High Road to Profits*, 44 SECURITY MGMT. 46 (2000).

Marshall Barron Clinard, *CORPORATE ETHICS & CRIME* (Sage Pubs., 1983).

John D. Copeland, *The Tyson Story: Building an Effective Ethics and Compliance Program*, 5 DRAKE J. AGRIC. L. 305 (2000).

CORPORATION ETHICS: THE QUEST FOR MORAL AUTHORITY (George Forell & William H. Lazareth eds., Fortress Press, 1980).

Charles A. De Monaco, *Governance Implications of the Bestfoods and CareMark Decisions on Compliance Programs*, 22 DIRECTOR'S MONTHLY (Nat'l Assoc. of Corp. Dirs., Wash., D.C., Dec. 1998).

Mary E. Didier & Winthrop M. Swenson, *Thou Shall Not Improperly Delegate Authority—Thoughts on the U.S. Sentencing Commission's "Step Three,"* 14 PREVENTIVE L. REP. 9 (1995).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

THOMAS DONALDSON & PATRICIA WERHANE, *ETHICAL ISSUES IN BUSINESS: A PHILOSOPHICAL APPROACH* (Prentice Hall 1998).

Melvin A. Eisenberg, *Corporate Governance: The Board of Directors and Internal Control*, 19 *CARDOZO L. REV.* 237 (1997).

David B. Fein & Kevin Shea, *Covering Corporate Compliance: What You Can Learn From the Organizational Sentencing Guidelines and Caremark*, *NEW JERSEY L.J.*, Dec. 22, 1997, at 28.

Paul E. Fiorelli, *Why Comply? Directors Face Heightened Personal Liability after Caremark*, 41 *BUS. HORIZONS* 4 (July 17, 1998).

EDWIN M. HARTMAN, *ORGANIZATIONAL ETHICS & THE GOOD LIFE* (Oxford University Press, 1996).

DANIEL A. KILE, *BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS: HOW TO SET UP A SELF-GOVERNANCE PROGRAM* (1995).

THE LEGITIMATE CORPORATION: ESSENTIAL READINGS IN BUSINESS ETHICS & CORPORATE GOVERNANCE (Brenda Sutton, ed., Blackwell Business 1993).

Diana E. Murphy, *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations: A Decade of Promoting Compliance and Ethics*, 87 *IOWA L. REV.* 697 (2002).

Irvin B. Nathan, “*Challenge of Heightened Corporate Compliance: Rules of Survival for In-House Counsel in the Post-Enron Era*,” March 2003, ABA-CLE Publication on White Collar Crime 2003 at page L-1.

Environmental

United States Environmental Protection Agency, “Incentives for Self-Policing: Discovery, Disclosure, Correction, and Prevention of Violations,” at www.epa.gov/compliance/resources/policies/incentives/auditing/finalpolstate.pdf.

Mark H. Allenbaugh, *What’s Your Water Worth? Why We Need Federal Fine Guidelines For Corporate Environmental Crimes*, 48 *AM. U. L. REV.* 925 (1999).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Jane Barrett, *Criminal Enforcement of Environmental Laws: Sentencing Environmental Crimes Under the United States Sentencing Guidelines – A Sentencing Lottery*, 22 ENVTL. LAW. 1421 (1992).

Donald A. Carr & William L. Thomas, *Devising a Compliance Strategy Under the ISO 14,000 International Environmental Management Standards*, 15 PACE ENVTL. L. REV. 85 (1997).

Patrick J. Devine, *The Draft Organization Sentencing Guidelines for Environmental Crimes*, 20 COLUM. J. OF ENVTL. L. 249 (1995).

Paul E. Fiorelli & Cynthia J. Rooney, *The Environmental Sentencing Guidelines for Business Organizations: Are There Murky Waters in Their Future?*, 22 B.C. ENVTL. AFF. L. REV. 481 (1995).

Bertram Frey & Matthew Sanders, *Cooperation with Government Agencies Under Environmental Audit Privilege/Immunity Laws, Rules & Policies* (1998), at www.epa.gov/region5/orc/audits/coop_table1.htm

Lisa Ann Harig, *Ignorance is not Bliss: Responsible Corporate Officers Convicted of Environmental Crimes and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 42 DUKE L.J. 145 (1992).

Martin Harrell, *Organizational Environmental Crime and The Sentencing Reform Act of 1984: Combining Fines With Restitution, Remedial Orders, Community Service, and Probation to Benefit the Environment While Punishing the Guilty*, 6 VILL. ENVTL. L.J. 243 (1995).

Robert L. Kracht, *A Critical Analysis of The Proposed Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations Convicted of Environmental Crimes*, 40 VILL. L. REV. 513 (1995).

Jason M. Lemkin, *Deterring Environmental Crime Through Flexible Sentencing: A Proposal for the New Organizational Environmental Sentencing Guidelines*, 84 CAL. L. REV. 307 (1996).

James R. Moore, "Cooperation" with the Government in the Context of Voluntary Disclosure of Potentially Criminal Environmental Violations: A Potential Minefield, CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS 1 (ALI-ABA, 1998).

Ronald A. Sarachan & Charles A. De Monaco, *U.S. Department of Justice: Factors in Decisions on Criminal Prosecutions for Environmental Violations in the Context of Significant Voluntary Disclosure Efforts by the Violators*, 1177 PLI/CORP. 739 (2000).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Donna Solen, *ISO 14000 Emerging International Environmental Law*, 10 FLA. J. INT'L L. 275 (1995).

Steven P. Solow, *Audit Privilege and Immunity Legislation and the Department of Justice Policy on Voluntary Disclosure*, CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS (ALI-ABA Course of Study, Washington, D.C.), Sept. 17-18, 1998, at 21.

Judson W. Starr, W. Warren Hammel, Brian L. Flack, "*Corporate Governance Applies to Environmental Issues Too*," March 2003, ABA-CLE Publication on White Collar Crime at page J-1.

Judson W. Starr & Gregory S. Braker, "Sentencing Guidelines for Corporate Environmental Crimes: Is It Fine Having No Fine Guidelines?," SIXTH ANNUAL FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES SEMINAR (Fed. Bar Assoc., Clearwater Beach, Fla., Apr. 30-May 2, 1997).

Food and Drug Administration

Dana H. Freyer, *Corporate Compliance Programs for FDA-Regulated Companies: Incentives for Their Development and the Impact of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations*, 51 FOOD & DRUG L.J. 225 (1996).

Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and International Compliance Efforts

Frank W. Blue, *A Challenge to Multinational Corporate Counsel: Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Compliance and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines*, 33 TEX. J. BUS. L. 2 (1996).

Christopher F. Corr & Judd Lawler, *Damned If You Do, Damned If You Don't? The OECD Convention and the Globalization of Anti-Bribery Measures*, 32 VAND. J. TRANSNAT'L L. 1249 (1999).

RONALD FRANCIS, *ETHICS & CORPORATE GOVERNANCE: AN AUSTRALIAN HANDBOOK* (Univ. of New South Wales Press Ltd. 2000).

Barbara George, et al., *On the Threshold of the Adoption of Global Anti-bribery Legislation: A Critical Analysis of Current Domestic and International Efforts Toward The Reduction of Business Corruption*, 32 VAND. J. TRANSNAT'L L. 1 (1999).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Barry Ginsberg & Eric P. Salzman, *Managing Fraud and Illegal Act Risk in Foreign Countries*, 3 BUS. CRIMES BULL.: COMPLIANCE AND LITIG. 6 (1995).

Dove Izraeli & Mark Schwartz, *What Can Latin American Countries Learn from the Federal Sentencing Guidelines?* (March 21, 2003) at www.ti-israel.org/papers/L_America&FSG.htm

Lucinda A. Law, *Transactional Corruption: New Rules for Old Temptations, New Players to Combat a Perennial Evil*, 92 AM. SOC'Y INT'L L. & PROC. 151 (1998).

Lucinda A. Low & Timothy P. Trenkle, *U.S. Anti-Bribery Law Goes Global*, 8 BUS. L. TODAY 14 (1999).

Joseph E. Murphy, *Reducing Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Risk: An Effective Self-Policing Program.*, 5 CORP. CONDUCT Q. 28 (1996).

Wendy C. Schmidt and J. Frank Jonny, *FCPA Demands Due Diligence in Global Dealings*, NAT'L L.J., Mar. 3, 1997, at 3 .

Lori Tansey, *Corporate Compliance Programs: International Implications*, 4 CORP. CONDUCT Q. 1 (1995).

FRANK VOGL, *ETHICS & COMPLIANCE IN A GLOBAL ECONOMY: MAKING THE CASE* (2000).

Health Care

BUILDING A PARTNERSHIP FOR EFFECTIVE COMPLIANCE: A REPORT ON THE GOVERNMENT-INDUSTRY ROUNDTABLE (July 30, 2001), at www.oig.hhs.gov/fraud/docs/complianceguidance/Roundtable0901.pdf

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, *COMPLIANCE PROGRAM GUIDANCE*, at www.oig.hhs.gov/fraud/complianceguidance.html

Thomas E Bartrum, Jr. & L. Edward Bryant, *The Brave New World of Health Care Compliance Programs*, 6 ANNALS OF HEALTH L. 51 (1997).

Pamela H. Bucy, *Health Care Reform and Fraud by Health Care Providers*, 38 VILL. L. REV. 1003 (1993).

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Eric Kraeutler & Lisa Mathewson, *Corporate Compliance Programs for Health Care Organizations Take on a New Importance*, METRO. CORP. COUNSEL, June 1998, at 12.

Lewis Morris & Gary W. Thompson, *Reflections on the Government's Stick and Carrot Approach to Fighting Health Care Fraud*, 51 ALA. L. REV. 319 (1999).

Winthrop M. Swenson, *HHS 'Guidance' Reveals Government's Latest Thinking About Compliance Programs*, 12 ETHIKOS & CORP. CONDUCT Q. 1 (1998).

Labor and Employment

Joseph J. Fleischman, et al., *The Organizational Sentencing Guidelines and the Employment At-Will Rule as Applied to In-House Counsel*, 48 BUS. LAW. 611 (1993).

Richard S. Gruner, *The Impact of Compliance Programs on Employers' Sexual Harassment Liability*, 1165 PLI/Corp. 207 (2000).

Paul J. Siegel, *Cutting-Edge Developments in Compliance: Labor & Employment Law Issues*, 1230 PLI/Corp. 487 (2001).

Measurement and Assessment

Winthrop M. Swenson, *Measuring the Effectiveness of Your Company's Compliance/Ethics Initiatives*, 401 PLI/CORP 1057 (1998).

Linda Klebe Treviño, et al., *Managing Ethics and Legal Compliance: What Works and What Hurts*, 41 CAL. MGMT. REV. 1 (1999).

Gary R. Weaver, et al., *Corporate Ethics Programs as Control Systems: Influences of Executive Commitment and Environmental Factors*, 42 ACAD. MGMT. J. 41 (1999).

Privileges and Voluntary Disclosure

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Nancy Horton Burke, *The Price of Cooperating with the Government: Possible Waiver of Attorney-Client and Work Product Privileges*, 49 BAYLOR L. REV. 33 (1997).

John Calvin Conway, *Self-evaluative Privilege and Corporate Compliance Audits*, 68 S. CAL. L. REV. 621 (1995).

Brian C. Elmer & Ramona E. Romero, *Voluntary Disclosure Programs: Confession May be Good for the Soul, But is it Good for the Body Corporate?*, in CORPORATE COUNSEL'S GUIDE TO THE ORGANIZATIONAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES (William A. Hancock ed., Bus. L., Inc. 1995)

Ethics Resource Center Fellows Program, *Model Legislation or Employee Confidentiality and Non-Retributory Reporting Systems*, in COMPLIANCE MANUAL, PREVENTION OF CORPORATE LIABILITY (BNA/ACCA Dec., 1999), at www.ethics.org/fellows/confirpt.html

Michael Goldsmith & Chad W. King, *Policing Corporate Crime: The Dilemma of Internal Compliance Programs*, 50 VAND. L. REV. 1 (1997).

Michael Ray Harris, *Promoting Corporate Self-Compliance: An Examination of the Debate Over Legal Protection for Environmental Audits*, 23 ECOLOGY L.Q. 663 (1996).

Gerald Heller, *The Important But Murky Law of the Self-Critical Analysis Privilege*, 45 FED. L. REV. 51 (1998).

Benedict P. Kuehne, *Protecting the Privilege in the Corporate Setting: Conducting and Defending Internal Corporate Investigations*, 9 ST. THOMAS L. REV. 651 (1997).

Joseph E. Murphy & Ilise Feitshans, *Protecting the Compliance Audit*, 943 PLI/CORP. 667 (1996).

Jeffrey W. Nunes, *COMMENT: Organizational Sentencing Guidelines: The Conundrum of Compliance Programs and Self-reporting*, 27 ARIZ. ST. L.J. 1039 (1995).

Utilities

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Mike France, *Are Telecoms Discussing Compliance or Colluding? Companies Say they Share Information in An Effort to Ward Off Steep Penalties Under the Sentencing Guidelines*, NAT'L L.J., Jan. 30, 1995, at B1.

Lawrence J. LaShomb, Jr., *Forming a Compliance Program at an Electric and Natural Gas Utility*, 5 CORP. CONDUCT Q. 25 (1996).

REPORTS and SURVEYS

SOURCES AND CONSEQUENCES OF WORKPLACE PRESSURE: INCREASING THE RISK OF UNETHICAL AND ILLEGAL BUSINESS PRACTICES (1997).

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CHARTERED LIFE UNDERWRITERS & CHARTERED FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS AND ETHICS OFFICER ASSOCIATION, *TECHNOLOGY & ETHICS IN THE WORKPLACE: THE ETHICAL IMPACT OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES ON WORKERS* (1998).

ETHICS OFFICER ASSOCIATION 2000 MEMBER SURVEY, (1997), *at* [www.eoa.org/eoa_resources/reports/MS2000_wo\\$.pdf](http://www.eoa.org/eoa_resources/reports/MS2000_wo$.pdf)

ETHICS RESOURCE CENTER, 2000 NATIONAL BUSINESS ETHICS SURVEY, *at* www.ethics.org/2000survey.html

ETHICS RESOURCE CENTER, 1994 NATIONAL BUSINESS ETHICS SURVEY, *at* www.ethics.org/1994survey.html

Russell Mohkiber, *The Top 100 Corporate Criminals of the 1990s*, CORP. CRIME REP., Sept. 7, 1999, *at* www.corporatepredators.org/top100.html

PRICE WATERHOUSE, LLP, *TRANSPARENCY, INTEGRITY & GOOD GOVERNANCE: THE FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT AND RELATED INTERNATIONAL INITIATIVES TO COMBAT CORRUPTION* (1997).

PRICE WATERHOUSE, LLP, 1996 SURVEY OF CORPORATE COMPLIANCE PRACTICES (1996).

F. JOSEPH WARIN, GIBSON, DUNN, & CRUTCHER, *CRIMINAL ANTITRUST PROSECUTIONS: 1994-1998, ANALYSIS AND COMMENTARY*.

ETHICS and COMPLIANCE WEB SITES

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Government

United States Sentencing Commission

www.ussc.gov

United States Department of Defense Standards of Conduct Office

www.defenselink.mil/dodgc/defense_ethics/index.html

United States Office of Government Ethics

www.usoge.gov/index.html

Federal Inspectors General and Organizations

www.ignet.gov/

Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General

www.dhhs.gov/progorg/oig/new.html

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Investigative Discretion in Criminal Matters

www.epa.gov/compliance

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Compliance Assistance Centers

www.epa.gov/compliance

Securities & Exchange Commission (Seabrand Report)

www.sec.gov/litigation/investreport/34-44969.htm

Associations/Consortiums/Member Organizations

Association for Practical and Professional Ethics

php.ucs.indiana.edu/~appe/home.html

Business For Social Responsibility

www.bsr.org/

Defense Industry Initiative

www.dii.org/index.html

The Conference Board

www.conference-board.org/

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

University Programs and Institutes

University of San Diego: Ethics Updates
ethics.acusd.edu

Harvard University Program Center for Ethics and the Professions
www.ethics.harvard.edu

Illinois Institute of Technology Center for the Study of Ethics in the Professions: Codes of Ethics Online
www.lit.edu/departments/csep/PublicWWW?codes/codes/html

Ohio University of Institute for Applied and Professional Ethics
www.cata.ohiou.edu

University of Pennsylvania-Wharton Ethics Professionals
www.roundtablegroup.com

University of North Carolina at Charlotte–Center of Applied Ethics
www.uncc.edu/colleges/arts_and_sciences/philosophy/center.html

University of Virginia-Olsson Center for Applied Ethics
www.darden.virginia.edu

International/Global

Australian Association for Professional and Applied Ethics
www.arts.unsw.edu.au/aapae/

Australian Competition and Consumer Commission
www.accc.gov.au

Canadian Centre for Ethics & Corporate Policy
www.ethicscentre.com

Caux Round Table
www.cauxroundtable.org/

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

European Business Ethics Network

www.nijenrode.nl/research/eibe/eben/index.html

Institute for Business Ethics at the University of St. Gallen

www.iwe.unisg.ch/org/iwe/web.nsf/wwwpubhomepage/webhomepageger?opendocument

Institute for Global Ethics

www.globalethics.org/default.html

International Society of Business, Economics and Ethics

www.synethos.org/isbee/default.htm

Transparency International

www.transparency.de/

University of British Columbia Centre for Applied Ethics

www.ethics.ubc.ca/

Not For Profit Organizations

Center for Business Ethics, Bentley College

ecampus.bentley.edu/dept/cbe/

Council for Ethics in Economics

www.businessethics.org

Ethics Resource Center

www.ethics.org/

Ethics Officer Association

www.eoa.org/

Institute of Business and Professional Ethics at DePaul University

www.depaul.edu/ethics/

Markkula Center for Applied Ethics

www.scu.edu/ethics

Disclaimer: This bibliography is provided by Commission staff for general background information and public reference. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and does not represent an endorsement of the viewpoints expressed by the respective authors and entities, nor the official position of the United States Sentencing Commission. Neither the bibliography nor any of the information within the items referenced in the bibliography is binding upon the Commission, the courts, or the parties in any case.

Minnesota Center for Corporate Responsibility

www.stthomas.edu/grad/showprogram.cfm?programID=58

Society of Business Ethics and Business Ethics Quarterly

www.sba.luc.edu/centers/sbe

The Josephson Institute

www.josephsoninstitute.org/

The Wharton Ethics Program

ethics.wharton.upenn.edu

Woodstock Theological Center

www.georgetown.edu/centers/woodstock