From: ted@jenningsgroup.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 2:51 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Theodore F Pikes

ted@jenningsgroup.com 503 869 5308 10501 NE 19th St Vancouver WA 98664

I sincerely hope that your Summer Spill Proposal does not intend to harm the salmon runs in any way. The dams are the main cause of the decline of the salmon species and you should be enhancing runs, not putting them at more risk. Power sources are renewable. Fish species are not! Please promote conservation of energy!

From: gizmo007man@hotmail.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 4:14 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Walt Pisarczyk

gizmo007man@hotmail.com

16110 N.E. 31st Ave.

Ridgefield wa 98642

The gov't has a responsibility to maintain and protect the fish the dams have displaced. They have written agreements to do this when they built the dams. You do not now have the right to kill fish by limiting the spill of water needed to flush the smolts to the ocean. The impact is felt years later (such as this year when only 40% of the estimated fish returned.) I am opposed to the proposed policy to limit the flows of water that will impact these fish. Walt Pisarczyk

From:ppb800@yahoo.comSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 4:46 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Philip Pridmore none ppb800@yahoo.com 503-452-8558 2226 SW Luradel Portland Or 97219

I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—spill--for uncertain measures and promises, especiallyy given the dismal track record of broken promises.

From:steve.preston@att.netSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:23 PMTo:BPA Public InvolvementSubject:Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Steve Preston Fisherman steve.preston@att.net

NO spill means more fish kill! Don't do away with the spill at Bonneville! The no spill of 2001 is showing why the record spring return of this year was downgraded by 40%. This is because the spill restrictions in 2001 killed many down going smolt. Look at the Willamette River, it's in the middle of the predicted record run! There are no spills to contend with on the Willamette, hence the record run. The smolts had a chance. But if you don't allow spill to hasten the migration to sea of the smolts, many will be killed, and this has disasterous effects long term. Those killed smolts back in 2001 just can't be recovered. The wild fish families killed cannot be recovered. Sure, we can make more hatchery fish, but what about the wild runds? It's just not right to make such permanent decisions to cut the spill, and move toward salmon and steelhead extinction on the Columbia. How about allowing spill, and forgetting the current proposals, saving Wild fish populations. Steve Preston - Salem steve.preston@att.net

From: Sent: To: Subject: Stauffer, Nicki - A-7 on behalf of Wright, Stephen J - A-7 Friday, June 18, 2004 8:06 AM Kuehn, Ginny - DM-7 FW: summer spill option

-----Original Message-----From: Velma Porter [mailto:vmporter@webtv.net] Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2004 10:17 PM To: Wright, Stephen J - A-7 Subject: summer spill option

As a regional rate payer I support the amended summer spill proposal & encourage you to move in the direction of a more cost effective operation while moving to a more fiscally practical means of protecting the endangered fish. Thanks

From: porterka@access4less.net

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 3:27 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Louis Porter voter! porterka@access4less.net

19255 S Ridge Rd

Oregon City OR 97045

keep the spill! Tempted to not vote 'for' any incumbent, while this is going on. You have spent millions to get the fish here, now you are throwing it away. You are showing your greed.

From:steve@poppforest.comSent:Thursday, June 10, 2004 2:17 PMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Stephen J Popp

steve@poppforest.com 5036352775

Lake Oswego OR 97035

Gentlemen: I oppose your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. The recent poor returns following the lack of spill in 2001 are evidence that the spill measure has value and should be retained. When the dams were first placed in the Columbia River in the 1930s and 1940s the citizens of the Northwest were assured that the salmon runs, though likely to be impacted, would receive whatever mitigation efforts that would be necessary to protect the resource. The story since has been a long and sad one. Now another mitigation effort is being withdrawn. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving only pennies on our electric bills. That is a poor tradeoff for the citizens of the Northwest. Your responsibility as stewards of this priceless resource is to take whatever steps are necessary, no matter how inconvenient, to protect our fish. Please do not allow any reduction of spill in this or any future periods. Sincerely, Steve Popp

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 9:46 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Rob Pont

From:

pont1@rvi.net 541-787-0014 220 Gordon Way S. Grants Pass OR 97527

To: Robert Lohn, Regional Administrator NOAA-Fisheries 525 N.E. Oregon Street Portland, OR 97232 Brigadier General Wm. Grisoli U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 220 N.W. 8th Avenue Portland, OR 97209 Stephan J. Wright, Administrator Bonneville Power Administration 905 N.E. 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97208 Gentlemen: I am a native Oregonian and an active outdoorsman. I am writing to you today opposing your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. The recent poor returns following the lack of spill in 2001 appear to be evidence that the spill measure has value and should be retained. When the dams were first placed in the Columbia River in the 1930s and 1940s the citizens of the Northwest were assured that the salmon runs, though likely to be impacted, would receive whatever mitigation efforts that would be necessary to protect the resource. The story since has been a long and sad one. Now another mitigation effort is being withdrawn. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving only pennies on our electric bills. That is a poor tradeoff for the citizens of the Northwest. Your clear responsibility as stewards of this priceless resource is to take whatever steps are necessary, no matter how inconvenient, to protect our fish. I ask that you do that by not allowing any reduction of spill in this or any future periods. Sincerely, Rob Pont

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Bruce Polley Sportfisherman bruce@airteknw.com 503-625-7058 27845 SW Ladd Hill Rd Sherwood OR 97140

Dear Sir, I understand that BPA is considering reducing the summer spill program. I am very much opposed to to this idea. I am a business owner and avid sportfisherman. The economic impact of sportfishing is spread throught the region and a very important aspect of our local economy. Endangered stocks of salmon and steelhead will be adversely effected by any reductions in the summer spill program. The 2004 returns of adult spring chinook salmon were overestimated by 40%. It is becoming clear that reductions in the spill program were the most likely reason. All of us know that with the modifications to the river systems, mainly the dams the natural flow cycles have been greatly changed. In recent years of high water and summer spills the abundance of wild and hatchery fish have been obvious. We also all know that reductions in summer spill will reduce salmon and steelhead returns. Any consideration of reducing these spills will reduce the returns. I ask you to please not reduce the summer spill program and return it to 2000 levels if at all possible. Salmon and steelhead are part of our heritage. There are other energy sources available including nuclear power which will ultimately produce more power than the dams. I would encourage more effort in that direction. Thank you for your time in reading these comments. I will attend the public meetings. Regards, Bruce Polley

From: leroyp302@comcast.net

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 2:09 PM

To: BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Leroy Pluard

leroyp302@comcast.net 360-694-3190 6021 NE 58th st. Vancouver WA 98661

The BPA looks at this spill as if it would be money lost if the spill is not reduced, when in fact it is found money if the spill is reduced. Every dime the BPA makes in electricity is found money, at the expense of fish. There has been enough damage to the fish runs without letting a few dollars steer us into increasing that mortality! While making money on power generation is not a bad thing in general, it seems as if the BPA does little as it is to mitigate the damage. It doesn't need to exacerbate things by trying to squeeze every last dime possible at the expense of the salmon and steelhead.

From:pushkara50@yahoo.comSent:Friday, June 11, 2004 7:52 AMTo:BPA Public Involvement

Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

View open comment periods on http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm

Antar Pushkara

pushkara50@yahoo.com 541 683 3608 85091 Larson Rd Eugene OR 97405

I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer Salmonid migrants in the Columbia River. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help juvenile salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years when smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—good survival rates due to spill for uncertain measures and promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon smolts, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by continuing summer spill. Sincerely, Antar Pushkara