DOE F 1325.8 e Electronic Form Approved by CGIR - 01/20/95
(8-89)

United States Government **Department of Energy**

Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: June 9, 2004

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEP-4

SUBJECT: Supplement Analysis for the Transmission System Vegetation Management Program FEIS (DOE/EIS-0285/SA-218- Bonners Ferry-Troy Corridor, 1/1 to 18/8) **Project No. V-S-04/03**

TO: Tom Murphy
Natural Resource Specialist – TFS/Bell-1

Proposed Action: Vegetation Management along the Bonners Ferry-Troy 1/1 to 18/8 Transmission Line Right of Way (ROW). The line is an 115kV Single Circuit Transmission Line having an easement width of 100 feet. The proposed work will be accomplished in the indicated sections of the transmission line corridor as identified in Attachment 1, Checklist.

Location: The project is located in Boundary County, Idaho and Lincoln County, Montana in the BPA Spokane Region.

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA).

Description of the Proposal: BPA proposes to clear unwanted vegetation in the rights-of-ways and around tower structures that may impede the operation and maintenance of the subject transmission lines. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards. BPA plans to conduct vegetation control with the goal of removing tall growing vegetation that is currently or will soon be a hazard to the transmission line. BPA's overall goal is to have low-growing plant communities along the rights-of-way to control the development of potentially threatening vegetation.

Analysis: This project meets the standards and guidelines for the Transmission System Vegetation Management Program Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD).

Planning Steps:

1. **Identify facility and the vegetation management need.**

   The project will take place along the Bonners Ferry-Troy ROW from structure tower 1/1 to 18/8. Approximately 158 acres are scheduled for control. Target vegetation is primary Ponderosa Pine and Douglas fir with minor amounts of deciduous tree vegetation. The work involved will be to clear tall growing vegetation that is currently or will soon pose a hazard to the lines and selectively eliminating tall growing vegetation *before* it reaches a height or density to begin competing with low-growing vegetation. Minor stump treatment may be used to reduce resprouting of selected broadleaf vegetation. All work will take place in existing rights-of-ways, around structures and on access roads.

Also, all off right-of-way trees that are potentially unstable and will fall within a minimum distance or into the zone where the conductors swing will be removed. All work will be accomplished by manual or mechanical control methods to assure that there is little potential harm to non-target vegetation and to low-growing plants. Except for roads and structure sites, desirable low-growing plants will not be disturbed. The work will provide system reliability and fire protection.

The vegetation control will be ongoing every 8 years as tall growing vegetation and target trees are identified.

2. Identify surrounding land use and landowners/managers and any mitigation.

The transmission line ROW traverses private ownership lands consisting of small, managed woodlots. There are agricultural parcels scattered throughout the project area. Coordination has occurred with USFS and BLM as they have minor land holdings in the project area. The types and density of trees to be removed are noted on Attachment 1, Checklist. No other agencies or Tribal involvement exists.

The landowner will be contacted if trees pose a hazard to the line. This contact will be by letters, phone calls and onsite visits. In areas of active cattle grazing (1/1 to 18/1), debris will be chipped or removed from the site. From 5/5 to 5/7, all equipment and vehicles shall be cleaned prior to entering fenced areas. From 16/2 to 16/8, the property owner will be advised if merchantable products are available for utilization.

3. Identify natural resources and any mitigation.

Surface Water Resources: Surface water resources (i.e. lakes, rivers, streams, creeks, wetlands) within a ½ mile vicinity of the corridor have been identified through BPA's GIS system (i.e.Tview2) but no planned work activities will impact these mapped areas.

For any work performed within close proximity of wetlands, the following mitigation measures will be observed:

- No vehicle equipment will enter wetlands.
- All work will be performed using handheld equipment.
- All fueling operations will be performed outside the wetland area.

For any work performed within close proximity of lakes, rivers, streams and creeks, the following buffers and mitigation measures will be observed to avoid disturbing any potential fish habitat:

- Low-growing vegetation that provides shade will be protected. A 35-foot buffer will be observed to protect the creek's canopy.
- Herbicide use will be limited to either Triclopyr using a 100-foot buffer or Glyphosate using a 25-foot buffer.
- Cut trees will not be felled into the creek unless directed to do so by the State or Federal Fish & Wildlife.
- Vehicles will be kept away from water channels to minimize erosion and sedimentation of waters.
- Standard erosion control practices will be employed, if necessary, to prevent sedimentation of the water.

Irrigation sources, Wells or Springs
A spring has been identified between structure towers 7/2 and 7/3. Only hand cutting vegetation control methods will be used, no herbicides will be used.

T&E Species and Habitats
A species list request was received from the US Fish and Wildlife Service on May 7, 2004 identifying listed species potentially occurring in the project county. The information concerning T&E species and habitats was verified using several databases (i.e. Tview2, Northwest Sub basin Geographic Data Browser, Natural Heritage Program, USFW TESS). A determination of No Effect was made for all ESA listed species and Essential Fish Habitat for the project

Sensitive Areas
No visually sensitive areas have been identified.

Cultural Resources
No cultural resources have been identified. If archaeological material is discovered during the course of vegetation management activities, all work will be halted and a professional archaeologist will be notified.

Erosion Control
Erosion potential will be minimal due the method of cutting (handheld chainsaws).

All issues concerning wildlife, fish, plants and cultural resources have been addressed and work within the project corridor is expected to have a "no effect" on any natural or cultural resources present. If any T&E animal activity is observed, project activity will be suspended until a revised assessment is performed.

Prior to the beginning of the work, the contractor will be provided with a set of the project maps, supplemental information as well as with a list of management prescriptions from the Vegetation Management EIS.

4. Determine vegetation control and debris disposal methods.

Vegetation control on all lands will be performed using manual and mechanical methods. Approved herbicides with the appropriate buffers will use in selected spans of the ROW. In addition, minor stump treatment may be used to reduce resprouting of selected broadleaf vegetation.

Debris will be disposed of either by lop and scatter, chipped in residential/high traffic sites or chipped/removed from active grazing pastures.

5. Determine revegetation methods, if necessary.

Due to minimal soil disturbance, no seeding is planned.

6. Determine monitoring needs.

The right-of-way identified in the checklist will be inspected after completion of the work to determine if all hazard trees have been removed from these areas. Follow-up monitoring will occur in the fall of 2004 and the summer of 2005.

7. Prepare appropriate environmental documentation.

Findings: This Supplement Analysis finds that 1) the proposed actions are substantially consistent with the Transmission System Vegetation Management Program FEIS (DOE/EIS-0285) and ROD, and; 2) there are no new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed actions or their impacts. Therefore, no further NEPA documentation is required.

/s/ James R. Meyer for
Michael A. Rosales
Environmental Physical Scientist

CONCUR: /s/*Thomas C. McKinney* DATE:6/10/2004
Thomas C. McKinney
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment

cc:
L. Croff- -KEC-4
T. McKinney – KEC-4
J. Meyer – KEP-4
J. Sharpe – KEPR-4
M. Rosales – KEPR/Bell-1
P. Key – LC-7
J. Hilliard Creecy – T-DITT2
K. Rodd – TF/DOB-1
J. Lahti – TFS/Bell-1
D. Labrosse – TFS/Bell-1
M. Borrows – TFSK/Ellensburg
Environmental File – KEC-4
Official File – KEP-4 (EQ-14)

Mrosales:tmb:4722:6/9/2004 (KEP-KEPR/BELL-1-W:\EP\2004 FILES\EQ\EQ-14-Supplement Analasys\FEIS-0285-SA-218-Bonners Ferry-Troy.doc)