Rocky Mountain Energy Council Implementation and Public Comment Meeting Sheraton Hotel, Lakewood, CO August 26, 2003

Final Summary

An intergovernmental planning meeting of the proposed Rocky Mountain Energy Council (RMEC) was held in Lakewood, Colorado on August 26, 2003. Federal Register # . The overarching objectives were to hear public perspectives, revise the RMEC draft Charter and discuss staffing needs. (See Attachment A for a list of attendees and Attachment B for a list of agreements.)

Schedule of Action Items:

When	Action Item	Who
August 29 th	Revise the draft Charter and By-Laws, integrating	Bob Dach
	the comments and agreements of the RMEC	
	members.	
September 5th	Review the revised draft Charter and By-Laws and	RMEC Members
	send comments and changes to Bob Dach.	
Prior to	Develop funding alternatives and a	Funding Workgroup
December 16 th	recommendation.	(Brad Powell – Chair,
		Ron Wenker, and Clark
		Jones)
Prior to	Collaborate with tribes to identify appropriate tribal	Membership
December 16th	representatives for RMEC membership.	Workgroup
		(Bob Middleton, Bob
		Dach, and Linda ????)
Outstanding Act	ion Items from July 8 & 9, 2003 Meeting	
	Website – collect models of sites with parallel	RMEC Staff
	efforts (e.g. collaborative, intergovernmental) for	
	presentation in August meeting.	
	Draft PERT chart/Decision Path with State and	Robbie Roberts and
	Federal processes with an initial focus on oil and	Rick Cables (Interim
	gas permitting	Chair and Vice Chair)
	Develop a list of resources acquired (financial	WHTF
	and/or in-kind) – agencies and what they have	
	offered to provide	
October 2003	Circulate a URL for the draft website to RMEC	RMEC Staff
	members for review	
December 2003	First RMEC meeting to discuss and decide on a list	
	of actions and protocols. Agenda items may	
	include:	
	 Review and establish process to finalize 	
	the Charter and By-Laws	
	 Decide on a communication model and 	
	team	
	 Discuss a list of actions that demonstrates 	

	commitment of RMEC members Review a draft comprehensive implementation plan that outlines measurements of success Discuss and give direction to staff on website design/function	
December	Organize the December meeting – logistics,	RMEC staff, Chair and
	location, and agenda	Vice Chair
December	Launch the RMEC website following the	RMEC staff
	December 2003 meeting	

Meeting Objectives

- 1. To hear the comments and concerns of the general public;
- 2. To discuss and revise the RMEC draft Charter and By-Laws; and
- 3. To discuss and decide on staffing needs and how to fill those needs.

Welcome

Betty Boyd (Colorado State Legislature), Jack Belcher (Subcommittee on Resources and Energy, House Resources committee), Rick Cables (US Forest Service and Interim Vice-Chair of the RMEC), and Robbie Roberts (EPA Region VIII and Interim Chair of the RMEC) welcomed those attending. Robbie Roberts opened the meeting and invited the public to comment.

Public Comments

Members of the public were notified of the RMEC meeting and the opportunity to speak through the Federal Register (FR#). Speakers signed up on the White House Task Force for Energy Project Streamlining website and at the door the morning of the meeting. Sixty members of the public from Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming took the opportunity to convey their concerns and recommendations to the RMEC. Speakers identified themselves as citizens, ranchers, land owners, Navajo tribe members, miners, environmentalists, industry representatives, outdoor recreation advocates, public employees and advocates for low-income energy consumers. Written statements were accepted and all public comments were recoded on videotape (ARE THESE AVAILABLE? If so, add: (available at ???). The following is a summarized list of the concerns presented:

Process Concerns

- Exclusion of Local governments, Tribal governments and National Renewable Energy Labs on the RMEC.
- Exclusion of ranchers, landowners and other citizens directly impacted by energy development decisions
- Lack of opportunities for the public to be directly involved and evidence that the RMEC is listening in good faith to their concerns and issues
- Lack of transparency in the process make information freely available

Substantive Concerns:

- Environmental impacts and protecting the environment (wildlife, habitat, air and water quality, and quality of life/recreational uses); environmental responsibility
- A responsible pace; enough time, in a streamlined process, to allow for thorough evaluation of environmental impacts (energy resources will remain until extracted which allows plenty of time to remove them)

- Resource conservation; recognition of the finiteness of the resources and not just continual extraction of fossil fuels
- Energy conservation from turning our lights out to conserving the resource
- Alternative energy sources/systems
- Priority on renewables and balancing the energy portfolio
- Effects on state and local economies (including wild places protection for recreational tourism).
- Long-term, sustainable planning to meet the energy demands of Americans
- Negative effects on energy prices
- Ability to keep energy costs down, especially for low-income energy consumers
- Balance of energy development and environmental and cultural impacts
- Compatibility with existing land use, wildlife habitat and wild places
- Remaining industrial footprint after extraction; lack of compliance with clean up regulations
- Negative impacts to lands with high public value
- Trading environmental impacts for energy development
- Protection of clean air, clean water, wildlife, and the environment
- Lowering the bar for existing environmental regulations
- Focusing on oil and gas and not renewable energy development, which is a long-term option with little price stability
- Lack of focus on efficiency
- Price increases due to restrictive policies (forcing industry to explore for more remote resources)
- Goals that may be just a political spin to override environmental regulations and public involvement
- Ranchers and land owners not receiving their fair share of royalties or input into rule making for royalties and rights
- Compliance not being enforced; industry not following environmental regulations
- Ineffectiveness environmental regulations
- Permanency of environmental impact once an industrial footprint is established

Many of the speakers supported the goals of the RMEC (early collaboration and consultation, intergovernmental cooperation, and removal of duplication that leads to delay) while others questioned the intent behind those goals and the lack of priority for environmental impacts and public involvement.

RMEC Charter

The Charter (draft version 8/19/03) was disseminated to Members prior to the meeting and available to everyone at the meeting. Members reviewed the Charter, the purpose and operational details of the RMEC. The discussion broadly covered the purpose, membership, decision making, executive (closed) sessions, and committees. Several overarching changes included: dividing the document into the Charter (purpose) and By-Laws (operational details); including local and tribal governments; and clarifying terminology (unanimous consent/consensus, conservation – environmental or energy, and committee/workgroups). The following are the suggested revisions and agreements, in order of the draft document sections:

Opening Paragraph

Members wrestled with developing the best language to clarify the purpose of the RMEC. They began with the three functions identified in the Federal Register notice for this meeting and

integrated the public's concerns. The following are the revised functions (this is not the final iteration; additions are in **bold** type, suggested changes are in *italics*):

- 1. To develop Federal, State, **Tribal and Local** partnerships for the long-term **conservation and** management of renewable and nonrenewable energy resources on State and Federal public lands **and Federal mineral estates**.
- 2. To *promote* more forward looking and strategic planning on a regional basis for the environmentally responsible development, production, and distribution of the Nation's valuable energy resources.
- 3. To develop processes for early collaboration and consultation among the State and Federal agencies **and local and tribal governments.**

Agreement: The RMEC agreed with the changes in language and to further refinement based on their discussions (inclusion of Tribal and Local government representatives, conservation of resources, and federal mineral estates).

The general understanding was that the third function would not take away or supercede any single agency's mission.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed that the preamble of the Charter will outline who the partners are (State, Federal, Local and Tribal), which lands will be included (federal and state lands and mineral estates), what resources (renewable and nonrenewable), and language on conservation and environmental responsibility.

Membership on the RMEC was discussed in the context of - what representatives are missing and what is the appropriate size for achieving the purposes? The existing Members agreed with the public that it was important to include local and tribal governments. Many felt the most efficient way to include local governments was to invite county governments. The inclusion of tribal governments is more challenging. There was recognition that the difficulty is in identifying a few tribal representatives from the large number of self-governing tribes. The concern was how to appropriately include Tribal representatives without the RMEC becoming an unmanageable/unproductive size.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed that membership will include county governments.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed that membership will include tribal representatives; with the understanding that a work group will be formed to identify the most appropriate representatives (see following agreement).

One way to alleviate a potential size issue, to slim down existing membership - each agency would have one representative, was discussed. The question became how does the RMEC define an "agency" – overarching (eg. DOI), independent (Forest service). From a State perspective there would be loss of partnering opportunities if the state BLM directors were not at the table; they are the most direct State/Federal link on energy development issues, for States.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to establish a Membership Workgroup (Bob Middleton, Bob Dach, and Linda ???) to work with tribes and appropriately identify Tribal representatives for the RMEC. After those representatives are established the RMEC will review the membership and discuss if the right representativeness and size has been achieved for productive advancement towards their purposes.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed that at this time all existing members will remain.

Bob Middleton, White House Task Force on Energy Project Streamlining (Task Force) briefly noted the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) requirements, as he understood them from his conversation with General Services Administration. FACA requirements would not be

engaged if the RMEC membership was comprised of employees of Federal and State agencies, and local and tribal governments.

Section I: Establishment of the Council

Members discussed the meaning of "unanimous consent" and consensus. To some "unanimous consent" means everyone fully agrees and consensus means general buy-in but may include a minority opinion. The term consensus allows for more flexibility.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to change "unanimous consent" to consensus.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to strike.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to include a full membership list in the Charter.

Section II: RMEC Coordination Office - No comments

Section III: Meetings

This discussion centered on the criteria for topics to be addressed in executive sessions (closed to the public) and some perceptual risks. It is important to have RMEC discussions open to the public, with the understanding that there are some issues that are proprietary. The Members agreed to use executive session wisely. Defining wise use of executive sessions led to revisions to the four matters in the draft Charter that delineate topics for closed sessions. The discussion culminated with the removal of the last two and the creation of a new third matter.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed that Executive sessions be held only for consideration of the following matters (**bold** items are additions, strikethrough items are removed):

- 1. RMEC participation on civil litigation, or in mediation or negotiation undertaken in lieu of likely civil litigation;
- 2. Trade secrets or other confidential commercial or financial information;
- 3. Personnel matters related to the RMEC coordination office staff.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to strike the following sentences, because moving out of executive session is a decision covered by the decision making policies in the Charter: **Agreement**: Executive sessions will be recorded. In cases where a challenge is made to the propriety nature of an executive session, the recordings may be made available to a judge to determine whether the session was limited to the three matters outlined in the Charter.

Section VI: Decision Making

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to change the title of this section to Decision Making and to change "unanimous consent" to consensus.

Section V: Agendas – No comments

Section VI: Workgroups

Questions were raised about the feasibility of having workgroup meetings open to the public. The general understanding was that the intent of workgroups was to be ad-hoc and the most logical way to work maybe through conference calls, which would not allow easy access for the public. There was an expectation that all workgroups would report back at an open RMEC meeting, thus providing the opportunity for public comment.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to add language similar to "where possible" and "at minimum the public will have an opportunity to comment" to this section.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed that workgroups would, predominately, not be decision making bodies. If a workgroup becomes a decision making body they would be responsible to create opportunities for public review and comment.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to change the term "committees" to workgroups.

Section VII: Records and Reporting – No comments

<u>Section VIII: Public Participation and Outreach</u> – No comments

Section IX: Amendments – No comments

Overall Draft Charter

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to split the draft into two attached documents: 1. The Charter will identify the purpose and other timeless components; and 2. The By-Laws will identify how the RMEC will operate.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed the document will clarify the term "conservation" – energy conservation and/or environmental/resource conservation as applicable.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed they will not revisit the issues covered on the Charter in the meeting today.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed, in principle, to the proposed changes. They agreed to adopt the Draft Charter as guiding principles and as a work in progress (re-titled, "Interim Charter and By-Laws") until the December 2003 followed by a thirty-day public comment period.

<u>ACTION:</u> Bob Dach will revise the Charter and By-Laws based on the agreements and comments voiced at this meeting. The revised draft will be disseminated to Members at the end of the week. Members will review it and send comments by September 5th (this will not include language on who the Tribal representative will be).

Staffing

Robbie Roberts, interim Chair, presented a proposal for four RMEC staff positions: executive director, deputy director, administrative assistant, and a public affairs person. He also presented a quick summary of the Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPA) and Interagency (IAG) Details as options for trading government employees between agencies. In the immediate future the REMC staff would organize the December meeting and follow up with the workgroups established.

In deciding how many positions to fill and how, several alternatives were presented:

- Advertise for the positions, searching for the most qualified and committed candidates. The
 challenges are the time it takes to fill advertised position and how those positions would be
 funded.
- Utilize the IPA/IAG employee alternative. The challenges are that employees would not be transferred to Denver and the level of commitment from the employee maybe split.
- Concurrently, work with an interim volunteer staff and advertise for one-year positions, filling the positions as funding becomes available. The challenges are the split focus of the volunteer employees and funding options for the one-year staff.

- Continue with volunteer staff until funding is established. The challenge is split focus of volunteer staff and the uncertainty of funding (length of time in acquiring it and the amount) which would leave the volunteer staff in place indefinitely.
- Fill two positions: executive director and administrative assistant.
- Fill three positions: executive director, administrative assistant, and a public affairs person. There was some disagreement about the public affairs position. Some members felt it was possible to continue to cover this with volunteer employees, while others felt that due to the public interest surrounding the RMEC it was an important position to fill.

Importance was placed on the level of commitment the staff would have to the RMEC, that it needs to be the employee's sole focus.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to work to fill three staff positions through IGA/IPA for one year (agencies will cover the costs). The positions will be the executive director, administrative assistant and public affairs person. Ron Wenker extended his commitment of office space in Denver.

The alternatives raised the question: how would the RMEC develop a funding source? Members established a Funding Workgroup to develop alternatives and a recommendation.

Agreement: The RMEC agreed to establish a Funding Workgroup (Brad Powell (Chair), Marty Ott, Ron Wenker, and Clark Jones) to identify funding needs and options and present a recommendation. The group will disseminate a report prior to the December 16, 2003 meeting.

Memorandum or Understanding

Due to the lateness of the hour Robbie Roberts suggested this topic be tabled. The draft MOU will be revised based on changes to the Charter and By-Laws. The Members agreed.

ATTACHMENT A – Attendees (Members and Public)

Abbreviations:

ACHP -Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

BLM – Bureau of Land Management

CEQ - Council on Environmental Quality

DOE – Department of Energy

DOI – Department of Interior

DOT, RSPA, OPS -

EPA - Environmental Protection Agency

FERC - Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FS – Forest Service

FWS – US Fish and Wildlife Service

MMS -Minerals Management Service

NPS – National Park Service OSM – Office of Surface Mining

RMOTC – Rocky Mtn Oilfield Testing Center

USACE – US Army Corps of Engineers USDA – US Department of Agriculture

USFS – US Forest Service USGS – US Geological Survey

WGA – Western Governors Association

WHTF - White House Task Force on Energy Project

Streamlining

Attendees (RMEC Members and Agency Employees):

Linda Anania, BLM

Steven Arnold, Colorado Dept.

of Health

John Bebout, BLM - Wyoming

Cindy Beeler, EPA

Bill Becker, DOE

Jack Belcher, House Resources

Committee

Bob Bennett, BLM - Wyoming

John Blankenship, FWS

Betty Boyd, Colorado State

Representative

Lowell Braxton, Utah

Bob Bush, ACHP

Rick Cables, USDA - FS

Nola Cooke, EPA

Jill Cooper, Colorado Dept. of

Public Health

Paul Cough,, EPA

Dean Crandell, USDA - FS

Bob Dach, FWS

Brandy Dalton-Hathway,

Senator Nighthorse,

Colorado

Doug Dennison, Garfield

County

George Diwachak, BLM

Anthony Dvorak, DOE -

Argonne Lab

Patrick Etchart, DOI-MMS

Rick Frost, NPS

Dale Hall, FWS

Jim Hartman, DOE-WAPA

Shane Henry, Colorado Dept.

Natural Resources

Scott Haight, BLM-Montana Melody Holm, USDA - FS Mike Hughes, Resolve Cathy Iverson, DOE

Ramey Johnson, Colorado

Representative

Alan Kesterke, BLM

John Keck, NPS

Dale Keys, US Institute for Environmental Conflict

Resolution

Karen Kochenbach, USACE

Jerry Kotas, DOE

John Krummel, DOE – Argonne

Lab

David LaRoche, WHTF

Doug Larson, WGA

Conrad Lass, BLM

Robert Lawrence, EPA

Bill Lawson, DOE

Brian Macke, Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Comm.

Linde Marshall, Senator Allard,

Colorado

Kimi Matsumoto, EPA

Bob Middleton, WHTF

Nat Miullo, EPA

Anne Miller, EPA

Frank Montarelli, EPA

Pauni Moorehead, US Senate Committee on Indian

Affairs

Marti Morgan, Senator Allard,

Colorado

Syl Morgan-Smith, NREL

Kerry Moss, NPS

David Mullou Jr., Senate

Committee on Indian

Affairs

Vito Nuccio, USGS

Marty Ott, BLM - Montana

Jill Parker, FWS

Brad Pierce, USGS

Bradley Powell, USDA - FS

Bruce Ramsev, FS

Sandy Rayl, USACE

John Reber, NPS

Cindy Reynolds, EPA

Kevin Riordan, WGA

Robert Roberts, EPA

Linda Rundell, BLM

Lynn Rust, BLM

Jan Sensibaugh, Montana - DEQ

Karen Smith, DOE, Argonne

Alan Standfield, ACHP

Jim States. DOE – RMOTC

Bob Stewart, DOI - Denver

Amos Street, WHTF

Steve Stockton, USACE

Ron Teck, Senator, Colorado

Sherri Thompson, BLM

Wayne Thornton, USDA – FS

Jack Troyer, USDA-FS

Ron Wenker, BLM - Colorado

Kermit Witherbee, BLM

Karl Wundercich, Bureau of

Reclamation

Genevieve Young, Colorado

Attendees (Public):

(* Speakers)

Rich Aiken Booz, Allen, Hamilton

*David Allison, Citizen of Utah Sam Anderson, Consultant

*Steve Andrews, Energy Consultant

*John Aronson, AATA International

Dave Banko, Banko Petroleum Bernadette Barbour, PRBRC

*Michelle Barlow, Wyoming Outdoor Council

*Keith Bauerle, Earthjustice

E. Blackgout, XOM

*Sama Blackwell, Citizen

*Tweetie Blancett, New Mexico Rancher

Robert Blaylock, Black Hills E&P *Steve Bonowski, Colorado

Mountain Club

*Freddie Botur, Wyoming Rancher Michael Bowen, Bowen Gas Corp.

* Dr. Clait Braun, Grouse Inc.

*Mike Brennan, Holland and Hart

*Steven Capra, New Mexico Alliance

*Cathy Carlson, Center for the Wild West

Greg Cassarin, Colorado Mountain Club

Dave Cesark, Williams Production Gargi Chakrabarty, Rocky Mountain News

*Amanda Champany, COPEEN

*Mike Chiropolos, Western Resource Advocates

Shane Collins, Western Area Petroleum Association

Keith Coltine, Reuters

*Harold Copland, Innovative **Energy Technology**

Susan Daggett, Earthjustice

Robert Danielson, Consultant Patrick David, Wyoming Sierra

Club

Don Davis, National Association of Counties

Steve Dayney, XCEL Energy *Stan Dempsey, Colorado Petroleum Association

Joe Deni III, Simplicity Energy

*Mary Ellen Denomy, For Joan

Savage, Rancher

Mark Dickson, Sierra Club

Sammi Diner, EnviroCitizen Pat Dolan, Stone & Webster

Eric Douglas, Citizen

*Patricia Dowd, Sierra Club, WY

Ellen Drew, STEPP

Jack E.

*Pam Eaton, Wilderness Society

*Bob Elderkin, Colorado Mule Deer Association

*Paula Elofson-Gardine,

Environmental Info Network

Ron E., citizen

Jim Felton,

Tony Finneman, Willinston Basin Pipeline

Nancy Greenleele, NPR

*Jennifer Gremmert, Colorado **Energy Assistance Foundation**

Jim Guerclio, Caribou Land

*Clarence Gushurst, Citizen

Kathy Hall, Colorado Oil & Gas Association

Kristina Hanelt, Gunnision Energy Marilyn Hardy, PanAero Corp.

*John Harpole, Mercator Energy

Jim Harris, HE TECH

Chuck Hendricks, Consultant

Jo-Ann Herrick,

Bruce Hinickey, Petroleum Association of Wyoming

*Donna House, Dine' Citizens against Ruining our Environ.

Robin Hubbard, Environment Colorado

*Susan Huesf. Environmental Info Network

Carey Johounesson, Bridge Pipeline *Suzanne Jones, the Wilderness

Society

*Elise Jones, Colorado **Environmental Coalition**

*Steve Jones, Wyoming Outdoor Council

*Josh Joswick, LaPlata, Colorado Commissioner

*Fred Julander, Julander Energy Brain Kennedy, SAIC

*Corrie Knapp, Colorado

Environmental Coalition

Judith Kohler, Associated Press Carrie Kowalski, Environmental

Defense Ron Larson, Colorado Renewable **Energy Society**

Don Law, Prima Exploration

Amy Livingston, Citizen J. Lowell, Wyoming tribune

L.W. M., EFC

Daphine Magnuson, American Gas Assoc.

Ron Mallestona, KAST Brendan McGuire, Citizen Grant Melvin, IPAMS

*Erik Molvar, Biodiversity Conservation Alliance

*LW Morrow, Fuel Cell Consultant Thomas Moskitls, American Gas

Association

John Muehlhause, Natural Resource Group

David Nelson, CRST

John Nelson,

Paul Notari, Consultant

*Michael O'Beirne, Colorado Fuel

Terry O'Connor, Shell Oil

Derek Officer, Citizen

*Dianna Orf, Colorado Mining Association

Dave P., Earthjustice

Jim Peay, Citizen

Ken Perry, Consultant

*Brad Pierce, EnviroCitizen

*Monica Piergrossi, Citizen

Al Pierson, citizen

Bill Pratt, citizen

Cathy Proctor, Denver Biz Journal

Amanda Protur, Wyoming Rancher

Steve Raabe, Denver Post

*Melinda Reed.

Art Reese, Consultant

*Ken Regelson, Colorado citizen

*Jeffery Reising, Citizen of Wyoming

Mark Roberts, KNRC Radio

*Debra Robinson, Sierra Club

Chandrea Rosenthal, Public **Employees for Environmental**

Responsibility

John Rusupepe, Sierra Club *Chris Rutan, Utah Citizen

Sam Sager,

*Ellen Salvador, COPEEN

Allen Salzmann.

Charles Sauc, KLT Gas

Greg Schaefer, Arch Coal, Inc

*Gregory Scott, Air Quality Consultant

*Harold Schaeffer, Landowner Roberta Schaeffer, Landowner

Greg Schnacke, Colorado Oil and Gas Association

*Janna Six, Citizen

*Lisa Smith, SRCA

*Steve Smith, Western Colorado Congress

*Marc Smith, IPAMS

*Nancy Sorenson, Powder River Basin Resource Council

Margaret Spearman, Consultant Susan Starcevich, Western Area Power Association Theo Stein, Denver Post Charlie Steven, Sierra Club *Ann Stevens, Citizen Dwayne Stratford, Jerry Sullivan, Calpine *Lou Tepe, Consultant Janice Thomas, CRES *Pennie Vance, Powder River Basin Resource Council *John VanDyk, Citizen Larry Volment, Hooand and Hart Philip VonHake, PvH Communications Seth Voytes, Colorado Springs Utilities *Paul Wilkenson, American Gas Assoc. Carla Wilson, IPAMS *Faith Winter, Envrocitizen Ken Wonstolen, COGA Kate Zimmerman, NWF

ATTACHMENT B – Agreements

No.	Agreement				
	Overall Charter				
1.	The RMEC agreed to split the draft Charter into two attached documents: 1. The Charter will identify				
	the purpose and other timeless components; and 2. The By-Laws, will identify how the RMEC will				
	operate.				
2.	The RMEC agreed that the Charter will include a full membership list.				
3.	The RMEC agreed that the preamble of the Charter will outline who the partners are (State, Federal,				
	Local and Tribal), which lands will be included (federal public lands and federal mineral estates),				
	what resources (renewable and nonrenewable), and language on conservation and environmental				
_	responsibility.				
4.	The RMEC agreed, in principle, to the proposed changes. They agreed to adopt the Draft Charter as				
	guiding principles and as a work in progress (re-titled, "Interim Charter and By-Laws") until the				
<i>E</i>	December 2003, followed by a thirty-day public comment period.				
5.	The RMEC agreed they will not revisit Charter issues covered in the meeting today.				
	nbership				
6.	The RMEC agreed that membership will include local governments (county) and tribal				
7	representatives. The PMEC agreed that at this time all existing members will remain				
7. 8.	The RMEC agreed that at this time all existing members will remain. The RMEC agreed to establish a Membership Workgroup (Bob Middleton, Bob Dach, and Linda				
٥.	???) to work with tribes and appropriately identify Tribal representatives for the RMEC. After those				
	representatives are established the RMEC will review the membership and discuss if the right				
	representativeness and size has been achieved for productive advancement towards their purposes.				
Fdit	orial Changes to the Charter				
9.	The RMEC agreed to the following changes to the three functions of the RMEC, with the				
<i>)</i> .	understanding there may be further refinement:				
	1. To develop Federal, State, Tribal and Local partnerships for the long-term conservation				
	and management of renewable and nonrenewable energy resources on State and Federal				
	public lands and Federal mineral estates.				
	2. To <i>promote</i> more forward looking and strategic planning – on a regional basis – for the				
	environmentally responsible development, production, and distribution of the Nation's				
	valuable energy resources.				
	3. To develop processes for early collaboration and consultation among the State and Federal				
	agencies and local and tribal governments.				
10.	The RMEC agreed to change the "voting" section heading to Decision Making and to change the				
1.1	term "unanimous consent" to consensus within the section.				
11.	The RMEC agreed the document will clarify the term "conservation" – energy conservation and/or				
C1 3	environmental/resource conservation as appropriate.				
	r and Vice Chair Positions				
12.	The RMEC agreed that the Chair and Vice Chair positions will rotate annually between all				
г	representatives on the RMEC, and not just State and Federal representatives.				
	eutive Sessions				
13.	The RMEC agreed that Executive sessions be held only for consideration of the following matters				
	(bold items are additions):				
	1. RMEC participation on civil litigation, or in mediation or negotiation undertaken in lieu of likely				
	civil litigation; 2. Trade secrets or other confidential commercial or financial information;				
	2. Trade secrets of other confidencial confinercial of infalicial information,				

	3. Personnel matters related to the RMEC coordination office staff.
14.	The RMEC agreed that a decision to move a discussion out of executive session will be done in
	compliance with the decision making policy outlined in the Charter.
15.	Executive sessions will be recorded. In cases where a challenge is made to the propriety nature of an
	executive session, the recordings may be made available to a judge to determine whether the session
	was limited to the three matters outlined in the Charter.
Wor	kgroups
16.	The RMEC agreed to the new section heading Workgroups for "committees" and adding language
	similar to "where possible" and "at minimum the public will have an opportunity to comment" to this
	section.
17.	The RMEC agreed that workgroups would, predominately, not be decision making bodies. If a
	workgroup becomes a decision making body they would be responsible to create opportunities for
	public review and comment.
18.	The RMEC agreed to establish a Funding Workgroup (Brad Powell (Chair), Marty Ott, Ron Wenker,
	and Clark Jones) to identify funding needs and options and present a recommendation. The group
	will disseminate a report prior to the December 16, 2003.
Staff	fing
19.	The RMEC agreed to attempt to fill three staff positions through IGA/IPA for one year (agencies will
	cover the costs). The positions will be the executive director, administrative assistant and public
	affairs person.
	cover the costs). The positions will be the executive director, administrative assistant and public

ATTACHMENT D - Agenda

The Rocky Mountain Energy Council Meeting Tuesday, August 26, 2003 Lakewood Colorado

8:00 – 8:45	Meeting is Convened, Robbie Roberts, Regional Administrator Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Interim Chair, Rocky Mountain Energy Council (RMEC)
	Welcome by Betty Boyd, Colorado State Legislature, Lakewood District.
	Remarks by Jack Blecher, Staff Director, Subcommittee of Resources and Energy, House Resources Committee.
	Overview of First RMEC Meeting, A Design in Process, Rick Cables, Regional Forester, Rocky Mountain Region, US Forest Service; Interim Vice Chair, RMEC
	Opening Statement, Robbie Roberts
8:45 – 10:15	Public Presentations, The Council will take public comments, facilitated by Mike Hughes, RESOLVE, under the direction of the chair.
10:15 – 10:30	Break
10:30 – 12:00	Reconvene Public Participation, continues, as necessary, Robbie Roberts and Mike Hughes.
12:00 - 1:00	Lunch
1:00 – 2:30	Reconvene Public Participation Process, continues, as necessary, Robbie Roberts and Mike Hughes.
2:30 – 3:30	Consideration and Discussion of the Draft RMEC Charter and Memorandum of Understanding, facilitated by Mike Hughes
3:30 – 4:00	Consideration of Staffing Proposal, Robbie Roberts
4:00 – 4:30	Summary and Discussion of Next Steps, including appointment of committee to help plan the December RMEC meeting, Robbie Roberts

Note: Council members should be prepared for the meeting to run beyond 4:30. As this agenda is being

prepared, the number of public presenters is not known, but is thought to be large.