# IX. Child Poverty and Income 

## Child Poverty

The child poverty rate between 1996 and 2000, as measured by the Census Bureau's official poverty measure, has fallen by 21 percent, from 20.5 to 16.2 percent (see Graph 9:1 and Table $9: 1)$. The 2000 child poverty rate is the lowest since 1978.

Child poverty rates vary widely for different demographic groups. Although the poverty rates for African American and Hispanic children have fallen dramatically in the past four years, their poverty rates are still more than three times the rate for white, non-Hispanic children. While one in ten white, non-Hispanic children is poor, about three in ten African American or Hispanic children are living in poverty. However, since 1996, the African American child poverty rate dropped from 39.9 percent to 30.9 percent -- the lowest level on record. Over the same period, the Hispanic child poverty rate dropped from 40.3 percent to 28.0 percent -- the largest four-year drop on record.

There are also significant differences in child poverty rates by marital status. A child living in a single-parent family is about four-and-one-half times as likely to be poor as a child living in a two-parent family ${ }^{1}$. In married two-parent families, about one child in twelve is poor (8.2 percent), whereas 40 percent of the children living in a female-headed, single-parent family are poor.

The official poverty measure is based on a definition of income that includes cash income received by the individual or family. Non-cash (e.g., food stamps and housing subsidies) and non-cash transfers are not included in the income definition, nor are subtractions or additions to income made through the tax system. To determine an individual's or a family's poverty status, the total cash income is compared to a standard of basic needs, the poverty threshold, which varies by the size of the family. In 2000, the poverty threshold for a family of four (two adults plus two children) was $\$ 17,463$.

The Census Bureau also produces a series of poverty statistics using alternative definitions of income that incorporate other additions and reductions to income, such as capital gains and losses, near-cash transfers, and Federal and State taxes, including the payroll tax and the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). Using this expanded definition of income, the 2000 child poverty rate decreases to 12.7 percent from 16.2 percent based on the official definition. Inclusion of the

[^0]EITC alone removed more than 2.4 million poor children from poverty. (See Graph 9.1 and Table 9:1).

While the poverty rate indicates the proportion of the population that is poor, the poverty gap indicates the income deficit for those in poverty, that is, the amount of money that would be required to raise all poor families to the poverty line. Table 9:2 displays the poverty gap for families with children from 1990 to 2000 using a pre-transfer measure of the poverty gap, the official measure of poverty, and an alternative measure of poverty that includes near-cash transfers and Federal and State taxes, including the EITC.

## Income

Income is another important factor in considering how families are faring. Here results are even more preliminary than for employment and earnings, although better data are becoming available over time. Most current information relies on administrative records that typically examine family income defined as the total of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), food stamps, and earnings. However, this information does not take into account other sources of income, such as: the Earned Income Tax Credit, child support and Supplemental Security Income (SSI), the income of other household members, in-kind supports such as child care or Medicaid, nor, on the other side of the ledger, the expenses that families incur when they are working. The Current Population Survey (CPS) and some early studies of families leaving welfare are based on household surveys. These surveys, along with others in progress, ultimately will provide this information.

CPS data for the period 1996 to 2000 indicate that the average annual income of all femaleheaded families with children increased, as did employment and earnings as described earlier in this report. This measure of income includes both earnings and a broad range of transfer programs.

There are significantly different views about use of these data, with disagreement over whether income or trends in the economic status of families provide a more reliable picture of family financial well-being, whether family or household income should be the measure, how to assess the limitations associated with all available data sets, and the extent to which changes over this period can be associated with welfare reform.

## The TANF Child Poverty Regulation

Section 413(i) of the Social Security Act requires the Chief Executive Officer of each State to submit to the Department an annual statement of the child poverty rate in the State. If the State experiences an increase in its child poverty rate of five percent or more as a result of the TANF program(s) in the State, it must submit and implement a corrective action plan. The Department is required to establish the methodology by which a State will determine the child poverty rate.

The Department published a final rule to implement this section of the law on June 23, 2000 (65 FR 39233). The final regulation stipulates that, rather than requiring each State to determine its own child poverty rate, the Department of Health and Human Services will implement this
statutory requirement by using the Census Bureau child poverty data. The regulation postponed the development of a child poverty rate for certain jurisdictions (i.e., Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands) until reliable data are available. The final rule was based on several principles: using the most reliable and objective data on child poverty currently available; assuring that the child poverty rate was assessed in relation to the TANF program in the State and other appropriate contextual circumstances; and limiting the administrative burden by requiring that States provide only those data readily available and necessary to implement the statute.

On January 31, 2001, DHHS sent to the Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) of each State, including the District of Columbia, a letter informing them of their child poverty rates for 1996 and 1997. Later in the year, DHHS sent letters to the CEOs informing them of their child poverty rates for 1998 as compared to 1997. As stated in the final rule, because the data are based on samples that inherently include error, DHHS used the 90 percent confidence interval and a one-tailed Z-test for the difference in proportions to calculate the change in poverty rates from 1996 to 1997, and from 1997 to 1998. Using this method, no State's child poverty rate increased by five percent or more in either child poverty assessment period. Therefore, in accordance with the final rule, no State was required to submit a corrective action plan or any additional information for these child poverty assessment periods (see Table 9:3 and Table 9:4.)
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Poverty Rates for All Children for Selected Years, 1979-2000


Table 9:1
Poverty Rates For All Children For Selected Years, 1979-2000

| Poverty Rate | $\mathbf{1 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Official Measure | 16.4 | 22.3 | 19.6 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 20.8 | 20.5 | 19.9 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 16.2 |
| Alternative Measure | 13.6 | 21.3 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 16.2 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 14.4 | 13.1 | 12.7 |

## Table 9:2

Poverty Gan * For All Families With Children 1990-1999 Official and Combrehensive Definitions Of Income ** fln Billions of Dollars)

| Year | Pre-Transfer <br> Povertv Gap | Official Poverty <br> Measure | Reduction In <br> Gap <br> (Pre-Transfer - <br> Official) | Comprehensive <br> Measure Of <br> Poverty | Reduction In Gap <br> (Pre-Transfer- <br> Comprehensivel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 71.8 | 43.1 | 28.7 | 29.3 | 42.5 |
| 1991 | 79.2 | 47.7 | 31.5 | 31.2 | 48.0 |
| 1992 | 81.9 | 49.6 | 32.3 | 33.2 | 48.7 |
| 1993 | 89.0 | 53.1 | 35.9 | 36.9 | 52.1 |
| 1994 | 82.8 | 49.6 | 33.2 | 33.3 | 49.5 |
| 1995 | 74.2 | 44.2 | 30.0 | 25.8 | 48.4 |
| 1996 | 74.2 | 45.6 | 28.6 | 26.3 | 47.9 |
| 1997 | 71.6 | 45.1 | 26.5 | 27.6 | 44.0 |
| 1998 | 63.5 | 42.4 | 21.1 | 26.1 | 37.4 |
| 1999 | 57.7 | 38.3 | 19.4 | 23.9 | 33.8 |

* The poverty gap indicates the income deficit for those in poverty, that is the amount of money that would be required to raise all poor families to the poverty line. This table displays the poverty gap for families with children from 1990 to 1999 using a pre-transfer measure of the poverty gap; the official measure of poverty; and an alternative measure of poverty that includes near-cash tranfers and Federal and State taxes, including EITC.
* Constant 1999 dollars

Table 9:3
State Estimates for Children Under 18 in P overtv for US: 1996 and 1997

| State | 1996 |  |  | 1997 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Point Fstimate \% | 90\% Confidence Interval |  | Proint Fstimate \% | go\% Confidence Intenual |  |
|  |  | Lower Bound \% | Upper Bound \% |  | Lower Bound \% | Upper Bound \% |
| United States | 20.5 | 19.8 | 21.1 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 20.6 |
| Alabama | 25.0 | 23.1 | 27.0 | 23.8 | 21.8 | 25.7 |
| Alaska | 14.8 | 12.7 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 13.9 | 18.4 |
| Arizona | 24.5 | 22.2 | 26.7 | 23.2 | 21.2 | 25.2 |
| Arkansas | 25.7 | 23.5 | 27.8 | 25.0 | 22.8 | 27.2 |
| Calitornia | 25.3 | 23.6 | 27.0 | 24.6 | 22.9 | 26.3 |
| Color ado | 14.3 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 16.6 |
| Connecticut | 14.8 | 12.5 | 17.1 | 14.7 | 12.6 | 16.8 |
| Delaw are | 15.3 | 13.5 | 17.1 | 15.4 | 13.6 | 17.2 |
| District of Col. | 36.1 | 32.9 | 39.3 | 33.7 | 30.2 | 37.3 |
| Florida | 22.3 | 20.7 | 23.9 | 21.8 | 20.0 | 23.5 |
| Georgia | 23.0 | 21.2 | 24.7 | 22.8 | 21.0 | 24.6 |
| Haw aii | 17.9 | 15.4 | 20.4 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 18.8 |
| Idaho | 15.9 | 13.9 | 17.9 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 19.3 |
| Illinois | 18.4 | 16.8 | 19.9 | 17.5 | 15.9 | 19.0 |
| Indiana | 13.0 | 11.2 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 16.6 |
| Iow a | 12.6 | 10.9 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 15.5 |
| Kansas | 14.3 | 12.5 | 16.0 | 15.4 | 13.6 | 17.3 |
| Kentucky | 25.5 | 23.6 | 27.5 | 23.1 | 21.2 | 25.0 |
| Louisiana | 29.9 | 27.8 | 32.1 | 26.0 | 23.8 | 28.1 |
| Maine | 17.0 | 14.8 | 19.2 | 14.9 | 12.7 | 17.1 |
| Maryland | 14.4 | 12.5 | 16.2 | 14.9 | 12.9 | 16.8 |
| Massachusetts | 14.7 | 12.8 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 14.9 | 19.0 |
| Michigan | 19.0 | 17.3 | 20.6 | 18.0 | 16.2 | 19.8 |
| Minnesota | 11.7 | 9.9 | 13.5 | 13.1 | 11.3 | 15.0 |
| Mississippi | 29.9 | 27.3 | 32.6 | 24.5 | 22.0 | 26.9 |
| Mis souri | 18.4 | 16.5 | 20.4 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 19.4 |
| Montana | 21.6 | 19.4 | 23.8 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 23.3 |
| Nebraska | 12.7 | 10.8 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 14.5 |
| Nevada | 13.7 | 11.8 | 15.6 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 17.3 |
| New Hampshire | 7.8 | 5.9 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 12.1 |
| New lersey | 13.8 | 12.2 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 13.2 | 16.5 |
| New Mexico | 29.8 | 27.4 | 32.1 | 27.5 | 25.0 | 29.9 |
| New York | 25.2 | 23.5 | 27.0 | 24.7 | 23.0 | 26.4 |
| North Carolina | 18.8 | 17.2 | 20.5 | 18.6 | 16.9 | 20.3 |
| North Dakota | 15.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 14.8 | 18.9 |
| Ohio | 17.0 | 15.4 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 17.6 |
| Oklahoma | 25.1 | 23.1 | 27.1 | 23.7 | 21.6 | 25.7 |
| Oregon | 17.6 | 15.5 | 19.8 | 16.3 | 14.1 | 18.4 |
| Pennsyluania | 16.5 | 14.9 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 15.0 | 18.1 |
| Rhode Island | 17.5 | 15.6 | 19.4 | 17.3 | 15.4 | 19.3 |
| South Carolina | 23.1 | 21.1 | 25.2 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 24.9 |
| South Dakota | 18.3 | 15.9 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 21.3 |
| Tennessee | 21.7 | 19.6 | 23.8 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 21.0 |
| Texas | 25.8 | 24.1 | 27.6 | 23.6 | 21.9 | 25.2 |
| Ultah | 11.3 | 9.3 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 14.5 |
| Vermont | 14.9 | 12.6 | 17.3 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 15.0 |
| Virginia | 16.6 | 14.9 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 15.3 | 18.7 |
| Washington | 16.7 | 14.8 | 18.6 | 15.2 | 13.3 | 17.1 |
| West Virginia | 29.8 | 27.0 | 32.6 | 24.7 | 21.8 | 27.6 |
| Wisconsin | 12.2 | 10.2 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 12.1 | 16.4 |
| Wyoming | 14.3 | 12.4 | 16.3 | 15.3 | 13.3 | 17.4 |

Note. A one-tailed Z-test for the difference in proportions was used to calculate the change in poverty rates from 1996 to 1997.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

Table 9:4
State Estimates for Peoole Under 18 in Povertv for US: 1998 Estimated Number and Percent Children Under Age 18 in Poverty by State: US 1998
(Estimates model 1998 income reborted in the March 1999 Current Podulation Survev.)

| State | Peoole Under Age 18 in Poverts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  | Percent |  |
|  | Estimate | 90\% Confidence Interval | Estimate | 90\% Confidence Interval |
| United States | 13.466,544 | 12,979,586 to 13,963,502 | 18.9 | 18.2 to 19.6 |
| Alabama | 254.628 | 228.439 to 280.817 | 23.4 | 21.0 to 25.8 |
| Alaska | 28.014 | 22.829 to 33.199 | 14.6 | 11.9 to 17.4 |
| Arizona | 306.865 | 274.944 to 338.786 | 22.6 | 20.3 to 25.0 |
| Adkansas | 156.989 | 137.956 to 176.024 | 23.5 | 20.6 to 26.3 |
| California | 2,064,698 | 1,914,647 to 2,214,750 | 22.8 | 21.1 to 24.4 |
| Colorado | 154.100 | 130.706 to 177.495 | 14.2 | 12.0 to 16.3 |
| Connecticut | 108.826 | 88.475 to 129.178 | 13.3 | 10.8 to 15.8 |
| Delamare | 27.702 | 23.420 to 31.984 | 15.0 | 12.7 to 17.3 |
| Dist. of Col. | 30.381 | 26.885 to 33.877 | 30.5 | 27.0 to 34.0 |
| Florida | 791.499 | 725.207 to 857.770 | 21.9 | 20.0 to 23.7 |
| Georgia | 456.018 | 406,216 to 503,819 | 21.8 | 19.4 to 24.1 |
| Hanaii | 44.568 | 37.137 to 52.000 | 15.0 | 12.5 to 17.5 |
| Idaho | 63.682 | 55.196 to 72.168 | 17.4 | 15.1 to 19.8 |
| Illinois | 498.804 | 456.158 to 561.449 | 15.4 | 13.5 to 17.4 |
| Indiana | 219.858 | 185.054 to 254.662 | 14.1 | 11.9 to 16.4 |
| lowa | 101.774 | 85.253 to 118.295 | 13.8 | 11.5 to 16.0 |
| Kans as | 102,867 | 87,030 to 118,703 | 14.4 | 12.2 to 16.6 |
| Kentuckor | 210.639 | 187.445 to 233.832 | 21.2 | 18.9 to 23.6 |
| Louisiana | 312.008 | 280.811 to 343.204 | 25.7 | 23.2 to 28.3 |
| Maine | 41.750 | 34.696 to 48.803 | 14.2 | 11.8 to 16.6 |
| Marvland | 162.405 | 129.768 to 195.042 | 12.6 | 10.0 to 15.1 |
| Mass achusetts | 210.430 | 177.970 to 242.890 | 14.3 | 12.1 to 16.5 |
| Michigan | 436.156 | 385.029 to 487.284 | 16.8 | 14.9 to 18.8 |
| Minnesota | 161.858 | 131.891 to 191.826 | 12.6 | 10.3 to 14.9 |
| Mississiodi | 184.010 | 163.118 to 204.902 | 23.9 | 21.2 to 26.6 |
| Missouri | 242.037 | 209.279 to 274.796 | 16.8 | 14.5 to 19.1 |
| Montana | 50.077 | 44.578 to 55.576 | 21.9 | 19.5 to 24.3 |
| Nebraska | 62.254 | 51.856 to 72.662 | 13.8 | 11.5 to 16.1 |
| Nevada | 73,130 | 62,182 to 84,078 | 15.0 | 12.8 to 17.2 |
| New H amoshire | 31.791 | 24.196 to 39.386 | 10.6 | 8.1 to 13.1 |
| New J ersev | 270.538 | 229.776 to 311.300 | 13.2 | 11.2 to 15.2 |
| Nem Mexico | 136.557 | 122.722 to 150.393 | 27.1 | 24.4 to 29.9 |
| New York | 1.057946 | 973.211 to 1.142.681 | 23.3 | 21.4 to 25.2 |
| North Carolina | 382.481 | 340.863 to 424.099 | 19.4 | 17.3 to 21.5 |
| North Dakota | 28.122 | 24.121 to 32.123 | 17.3 | 14.9 to 19.8 |
| Ohio | 474.707 | 420.602 to 528.813 | 16.4 | 14.6 to 18.3 |
| Oklahoma | 204.309 | 182.137 to 226.490 | 23.2 | 20.7 to 25.7 |
| Oreaon | 141.997 | 119.946 to 184.047 | 16.9 | 14.2 to 19.5 |
| Pennsuly ania | 477.943 | 422.182 to 533.704 | 16.5 | 14.6 to 18.5 |
| Rhode Island | 40,026 | 34,162 to 45,891 | 16.3 | 13.9 to 18.7 |
| South Carolina | 209.935 | 185.072 to 234.197 | 21.5 | 19.1 to 24.0 |
| South Dakota | 35.629 | 29.862 to 41.396 | 17.6 | 14.8 to 20.4 |
| Tennessee | 254.847 | 222.164 to 287.529 | 18.5 | 16.1 to 20.9 |
| Texas | 1.298 .486 | 1.193 .514 to 1.403 .458 | 22.4 | 20.6 to 24.2 |
| Utah | 92.016 | 75.098 to 108.934 | 12.7 | 10.3 to 15.0 |
| Vermont | 17.913 | 14,275 to 21,561 | 12.6 | 10.0 to 15.1 |
| Virainia | 239.939 | 197.756 to 282.121 | 14.2 | 11.7 to 16.7 |
| Whas hinaton | 206.558 | 171.280 to 241.836 | 13.7 | 11.4 to 16.1 |
| WestVirainia | 99.572 | 89.225 to 109.919 | 24.2 | 21.7 to 26.7 |
| 优is consin | 188.461 | 154.689 to 222.233 | 13.6 | 11.1 to 16.0 |
| 自woming | 19.757 | 16.668 to 22.846 | 15.4 | 13.0 to 17.8 |

These estimates were released in August 2001.
Source: U.S. Cersus Bureau.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Two-parent family is defined as married couple families with related children under 18 years.

