

## Role of Fire in Seed Germination of Woody Taxa in California Chaparral

Jon E. Keeley

Ecology, Volume 68, Issue 2 (Apr., 1987), 434-443.

Your use of the JSTOR database indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use. A copy of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use is available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html, by contacting JSTOR at jstor-info@umich.edu, or by calling JSTOR at (888)388-3574, (734)998-9101 or (FAX) (734)998-9113. No part of a JSTOR transmission may be copied, downloaded, stored, further transmitted, transferred, distributed, altered, or otherwise used, in any form or by any means, except: (1) one stored electronic and one paper copy of any article solely for your personal, non-commercial use, or (2) with prior written permission of JSTOR and the publisher of the article or other text.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

*Ecology* is published by The Ecological Society of America. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/esa.html.

Ecology ©1987 The Ecological Society of America

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2001 JSTOR

# ROLE OF FIRE IN SEED GERMINATION OF WOODY TAXA IN CALIFORNIA CHAPARRAL<sup>1</sup>

JON E. KEELEY
Department of Biology, Occidental College, Los Angeles, California 90041 USA

Abstract. Germination behavior of 45 tree, shrub, subshrub, and liana taxa from fireprone coastal sage scrub and chaparral was investigated. Seeds were sown on filter paper or potting soil, in the light or dark, with or without powdered charred wood (charate), and after heating treatments of 1 h at 70°, 5 min at 100°, 5 min at 120°C, or no heat. Germination medium had a significant effect on >70% of the species, although the pattern of response to other treatments remained the same on both media. Germination of over half of the species was inhibited in the dark, but two species were light inhibited. Charate significantly enhanced the germination of approximately a quarter of the species. A similar proportion of species exhibited heat-stimulated germination. In only a few species did both heat and charate play a role in stimulating germination. Nearly a third of the species had seeds that germinated readily upon wetting, and germination was not further stimulated by any firerelated cue. These different germination syndromes are correlated with different life history syndromes. Most coastal sage subshrubs germinate readily in the absence of fire-related stimuli and thus are capable of colonizing other forms of disturbance. For many of these species, germination was inhibited in the dark. This may result in a portion of the seed pool remaining dormant until fire since, in the case of several species, dark inhibition is overcome by charate. Chaparral shrubs and trees that germinate readily upon wetting seldom establish seedlings after fire. Their persistence in these fire-prone environments is due to resprouting after fire. Seedling establishment and population expansion for such species is dependent upon extended fire-free periods. In contrast, woody species that fail to germinate without some fire-related cue have seedling establishment and potential population expansion restricted to postfire conditions. The present mixture in species composition may be maintained by variable burning regimes.

Key words: chaparral; charred wood; coastal sage; germination; heat; life history; light.

#### Introduction

The semi-arid mountains of southern and central California are dominated by two major scrub vegetation types of quite different physiognomy. Coastal sage scrub, consisting of subligneous shrubs 1–1.5 m tall, many of which have drought-deciduous foliage, covers the more xeric slopes at lower elevations (Mooney 1977). Chaparral is composed of shrubs 2–4 m tall with evergreen sclerophylls; it dominates much of the land-scape between coastal sage vegetation at lower elevations and woodlands at higher elevations (Hanes 1977).

Wildfires are an integral part of the ecology of these shrublands. Typically fires in chaparral and coastal sage kill all aboveground biomass, although much belowground matter (e.g., roots, lignotubers, seeds) survives. In the first growing season after fire, all of the pre-fire dominants are present in the form of resprouts or seedlings. Seedling recruitment after fire arises from a previously dormant seed bank in the soil, and fire seems to play a crucial role in stimulating germination. Resprouts arise from the root crown or from buds on specialized woody lignotubers.

Life history studies of coastal sage and chaparral

<sup>1</sup> Manuscript received 21 February 1986; revised 18 June 1986; accepted 6 July 1986.

species reveal there are marked differences in mode of re-establishment (Zedler 1981). Some species of *Ceanothus* (Rhamnaceae) and *Arctostaphylos* (Ericaceae) have no capacity for vegetative regeneration after fire, and thus are totally dependent upon the seed bank for re-establishment. Other species, such as *Adenostoma fasciculatum*, resprout from lignotubers and establish numerous seedlings. Finally, there are species that seldom establish seedlings after fire, and their continued presence is insured only by an ability to resprout vigorously.

Seed germination behavior of certain shrubs in chaparral has been shown to be dependent upon heat from fire for scarification, e.g., Ceanothus species, Adenostoma fasciculatum, and Rhus ovata (Quick and Quick 1935, Stone and Juhren 1951, 1953). Others, such as Arctostaphylos species, are notorious for difficulty in obtaining germination by any but highly artificial means such as soaking in sulfuric acid (Berg 1974). Recently it has been documented for Emmenanthe penduliflora (Hydrophyllaceae) (Wicklow 1977, Jones and Schlesinger 1980) and for other herbaceous species in recently burned chaparral (Keeley et al. 1985) that charred wood (but not ashed wood; Sweeney 1956) plays a crucial role in cueing germination to the postfire environment. The general importance of this factor for

the woody flora has not been previously studied. Further, only for a few chaparral species has the effect of light on germination behavior been examined (Keeley 1984). The role of any of these factors in the germination of the vast majority of shrubs in coastal sage and chaparral has not been studied.

In light of the diversity of species in coastal sage scrub and chaparral and the diversity of modes of reestablishing after fire, I hypothesized that germination behavior would differ markedly between species. This study compares the effect of heat, powdered charred wood (charate), and light on germination of 45 shrub, subshrub, and liana taxa from coastal sage scrub and chaparral. Limited experimentation was done on nine of these species.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

Selection of species was based on availability of plants with mature seed crops (Appendix). Vouchers have been deposited at LOC (Occidental College). Nomenclature is according to Munz (1974), except for Malosma (Rhus) laurina Nutt. ex Abrams. Most species disperse seeds in late spring and summer (see Appendix) when soil moisture levels are low, and germination is delayed until the following winter or spring. It is possible that many of these species have an innate dormancy at the time of dispersal, but this phenomenon was not studied. In general, seeds were collected at the time of maturation in summer and fall of 1983 and maintained in paper bags prior to germination tests the following winter and spring. Collections were from San Diego, Orange, Riverside, Los Angeles, Ventura, and Mariposa counties, all below an elevation of 2000 m. Seeds were cleaned by removing fruit tissues that would normally be lost in dispersal. In many cases (see Appendix) the "seed" that was sown included fruit tissues, e.g., endocarp in drupes or the entire fruit, such as in achenes. For species in the Ericaceae, multiple seeds are embedded in a resinous endocarp and dispersed as a single diaspore; 30 such diaspores were sown and the number of seeds enclosed was estimated by subsampling other diaspores.

Previous studies have reported different germination responses on filter paper and soil (Wicklow 1977, Jones and Schlesinger 1980, Keeley and Nitzberg 1984). My experiments were designed to compare results on these two media, so all treatments described below were duplicated on both media. Seeds were sown in  $60 \times 15$  mm Petri dishes, either fitted with two sheets of Number 42 Whatman filter paper or filled with 15 g (fresh mass) of commercial potting soil, sifted to pass a 2-mm screen (Gro-lite; see Keeley 1984 for chemical comparison of this soil with soil from a stand of chaparral).

Heat treatments of 70° for 1 h, 100° for 5 min, or 120°C for 5 min were applied to the seeds prior to sowing. A control group was not heated. These treatments were selected to cover a range of conditions potentially encountered by seeds in the soil on open

sites or during fire and within the range of temperatures shown in prior studies to be stimulatory to some species (Sweeney 1956, Christensen and Muller 1975, DeBano et al. 1979). One set (n=3) of each of these heat treatments (including controls) was incubated in the presence of charate and another (control) group was not given charate.

Charate was made by charring (but not ashing) fresh stems (5–15 mm diameter) of the chaparral shrub Ad-enostoma fasciculatum and grinding in a Wiley Mill to pass a 1-mm screen. Each charate treatment received an application of 0.25 g (on filter paper) or 0.50 g (on soil) of this powdered charred wood. This level of charate has been shown to be stimulatory to Emmenanthe penduliflora and Eriophyllum confertiflorum, although levels two orders of magnitude lower are also stimulatory (Keeley and Pizzorho 1986). This entire experiment was duplicated in the light (12-h photoperiod,  $350 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and in the dark.

Experiments were initiated by adding 1.5 mL of deionized water to filter paper dishes (2.0 mL with charate) or 8.0 mL to soil dishes (10.0 mL with charate). Seeds of some species require a period of low-temperature treatment (stratification) in order to overcome embryo dormancy. This phenomenon was not studied; rather, all dishes were stratified for 1 mo at 5° and then incubated in either the light or the dark for 3 wk at 23°. (Previous unpublished studies in this laboratory have shown that while cold stratification may not be required for germination, it is seldom detrimental.) This cycle of 1 mo stratification and 3 wk incubation was repeated once more before ending the experiment. Germination was scored every 2 wk in the cold and every week at 23°, and seedlings removed. Seeds in the dark were scored under indirect green light.

This experiment was run on 36 species. For 9 other species, only limited seed stocks were available, and thus the number of treatments had to be reduced for these species. These seeds were treated with either heat or charate, but not with heat plus charate together. The treatments, in both light and dark conditions, were: control, 70° for 1 h, 100° for 5 min, 120° for 5 min, and charate; all of these were run for two cycles of stratification and incubation.

#### RESULTS

For 36% of the 36 species tested in the main experiment, germination medium had no significant effect on germination (Table 1). The remaining species were roughly divided between species that germinated significantly better on soil and species that germinated better on paper. For some species this difference was quite marked; for example, germination of *Eriodictyon crassifolium* was nearly double on soil as compared with filter paper, whereas the opposite applied to *Romneya trichocalyx*. The most extreme example was *Clematis lasiantha*; germination completely failed on paper but was as high as 70% on soil. Although the absolute

Table 1. Percentage germination of 36 chaparral and coastal sage scrub species in light or dark and in response to heat treatments and the application of powdered charred wood (charate); n = 3 Petri dishes of 20 or 30 seeds (number indicated in parentheses following species name).†

	***	Percentage germination							Significance of F			
	Light			Dark							Tem-	
	Control	70°C 1 h	100°C 5 min	120°C 5 min	Control	70°C 1 h	100°C 5 min	120°C 5 min	Medi- um	Light	Charate	per-
Anacardiaceae												
Malosoma lai	<i>urina</i> (30, p	aper)										
Control Charate	10a	9ª#	9ª#	6ª	4ª#	9 <sup>6</sup> #	6ab	8ь	***	NS	**	**
	3	8ª#	11ª#	11ª	2ª	8ь#	3ª#	12ь				
Rhus integrifo	, ,	′	50-11									
Control Charate	62ª 47ª	65°# 57°#	53ª# 53ª#	55ª 82	43ª 88ª	22ª 73ª	45ª 72ª	27ª 52ª	***	***	**	NS
Rhus trilobate		37 "	33 n	02	00	73	12	32				
Control	38 <sup>a</sup>	45	37ª	72#	17	5	3	13#				
Charate	65a	62a	53a	62ª#	28a	25ª	12 <sup>b</sup>	12 <sup>6</sup> #	NS	***	**	**
Toxicodendro	n diversifol	<i>ia</i> (20, s	oil)									
Control	10a	10a	17#	5ª#	3ª	5ª#	3ª	7a	**	***	***	**
Charate	20ª	38	10ª#	12ª#	8a	7ª	Oa	3ª	**	***	***	**
Asteraceae												
Artemisia cali	ifornica (30	, soil)										
Control	73ª#	56ª#	47a	56ª#	Oa	10ª	3ª	O <sup>a</sup>	***	***	***	
Charate	78ª#	80ª#	81a	87ª#	62ª	49ª	64ª	50a	4-4-4-	4444	***	NS
Haplopappus	squarrosus	(30, par	oer)									
Control	34ª#	34ª#	3ъ#	1 b#	17ª#	14ª#	<b>4</b> <sup>b</sup>	0ь#	*	NS	NS	***
Charate	18ª#	28ª#	7⁵#	Оь#	24ª#	16ª#	17ª	0#		143	143	
Vigueira lacir	· / •	. /										
Control Charate	79ª# 78ª#	61 <sup>ab</sup> # 73 <sup>a</sup> #	53b#	94ª#	52ª#	52ª#	42°#	74ª#	NS	NS	NS	NS
	/ 0~#	13"#	87ª#	70ª#	74ª#	56ª#	58ª#	61ª#				
Caprifoliaceae												
Lonicera subs												
Control Charate	88ª# 73ª#	80°# 75°#	82ª# 78ª#	68# 53#	83ª# 90ª#	70 <sup>ab</sup> # 77 <sup>ab</sup> #	85°# 80°#	63ь# 62ь#	***	NS	NS	***
	75 π	15 π	/ Ο π	33π	90 <del>π</del>	/ / ··· · #	δU"#	02-#				
Ericaceae	, , ,	(20										
Arctostaphylo	-		- /	0-	0-	0-	0-44					
Control Charate	0a 5a	0a 3a	0ª 2ª	0ª 3ª	0ª 8ª	0a 13a	0ª# 3#	0ª 7ª	*	NS	***	NS
Arctostaphylo	=			3	O .	13	311	,				
Control	3 parata (30 1a#	3ª#	, 4 <sup>ab</sup> #	6ь#	6ª	12ª#	10ª	7ª#				
Charate	1 a#	3ª#	1 a#	4ª#	17ª	12 # 19ª#	18 <sup>a</sup>	10#	***	***	**	NS
Comarostaph	vlis diversif	olia (30.	paper)									
Control	69#	22#	12ª	9ª#	42#	17ª#	37#	18ª#	*	***		
Charate	63#	23#	46	8#	36#	17ª#	43#	17ª#	*	***	**	***
Fabaceae												
Lotus scoparis	us (30, pap	er)										
Control	43ª#	51ab	43ª#	60ь	41ª#	40ª#	43ª#	59#	***	**		**
Charate	40°#	22ª	40ª#	36ª	50ª#	32ь#	37ь#	47ª#	***	**	*	**
Fagaceae												
Quercus dum	osa (20, soi	1)										
Control	77#	0#	57ª#	55a	66ª#	0#	60ª	47#	*			***
Charate	68#	0#	53#	27	70#	0#	40a	50ª#	•	NS	NS	ጥጥጥ
Garryaceae												
Garrya flaveso	cens (30, so	il)										
Control	4ª	12ª	10a	6ª	24#	1 a	<b>4</b> <sup>a</sup>	$O^a$	3.70	**	***	**
Charate	65ª	34ь	54ª	19ь	17ª#	47 <sup>b</sup>	57ь	28ª	NS	~~~	TO TO TO	**

TABLE 1. Continued.

			P€	ercentage	germination				Significance of F			
	Light			Dark				Ter				
	Control	70℃ 1 h	100°C 5 min	120°C 5 min	Control	70°C 1 h	100°C 5 min	120°C 5 min	Medi- um	Light	Charate	per- ature
Hydrophyllacea	e											
Eriodictyon cr	assifolium	(30, soil	)									
Control Charate	33ª 60ª	32ª 56ª	30ª 82 <sup>b</sup>	63# 75 <sup>6</sup> #	2ª# 6ª#	1ª# 2ª#	4ª 28 <sup>b</sup>	36# 48 <sup>6</sup> #	***	***	***	***
Lamiaceae												
Salvia apiana			<b>.</b>	0.41	10-11	2011	424	Λa44				
Control Charate	8ª# 6ªb#	42 20	9ª# 12ª#	О# Оь#	10ª# 8ª#	3ª# 7ª#	4ª# 8ª#	0ª# 0#	NS	NS	***	***
Salvia mellife	ra (30, pap	er)										
Control Charate	48ª 69ª	37 <sup>ab</sup> 68 <sup>a</sup>	34 <sup>b</sup> 60 <sup>a</sup>	29 <sup>ь</sup> 47	18ª 69ª	8ª 60ª	7ª 56ª	10ª# 28#	***	***	***	***
Malvaceae												
Malochothan	ınus fascicı	ılatus (30	o, soil)									
Control Charate	2ª# 4ª#	7ª# 3ª#	4ª# 3ª#	24 9ª	3ª# 1ª#	6ª# 0ª#	4ª# 4ªb#	6ª# 8b#	*	NS	NS	***
Papaveraceae												
Romneya tric	chocalyx (3)						0-	1.0				
Control Charate	0a 24a	0a 33a	0ª 34ª	0ª 24ª	O <sup>a</sup> 17 <sup>a</sup>	O <sup>a</sup> 11 <sup>a</sup>	0a 13a	1 <sup>a</sup> 1 O <sup>a</sup>	***	***	***	NS
Polygonaceae	·	. (20	:1\									
Eriogonum f		7 (20, 80 72°#	67ª#	27	45ª#	52ª#	65ª	30		***		***
Control Charate	83ª# 67ª#	85°#	75°#	60a	57°#	48ab#	35 <sup>b</sup>	12	NS	***	NS	***
Ranunculaceae												
Clematis lasi					0-	7	024	724				
Control Charate	32ª 79ª	70# 75ª#	47ª 70ª	34ª# 13#	0ª 16ª	7ª# 16ª#	9ª# 10ª#	7ª# 7ª#	***	***	***	***
Rhamnaceae	(20											
Ceanothus c	•		5 <b>3</b> n #	40°b#	1 ∩a#	3ª	38ь	28ь#				
Control Charate	10# 10ª#	26 <sup>6</sup> # 12 <sup>a</sup> #	52ª# 57#	40 <sup>ab</sup> # 34#	10ª# 10#	32	58	46#	***	NS	**	***
Ceanothus is	ntegerrimus					a	45"	26-11				
Control Charate	5ª# 3ª#	7ª# 3ª#	5ª# 12ª#	5ª# 5ª#	15# 7#	25°# 32°#	45# 27 <sup>ab</sup> #	25ª# 17 <sup>b</sup> #	NS	***	NS	NS
Ceanothus le	eucodermis	(30, pap	er)									
Control Charate	3# 7#	29# 27#	48# 62ª#	64# 47°#	7# 2#	47 <sup>ь</sup> 20	50 <sup>ab</sup> # 58 <sup>a</sup> #	68ª# 58ª#	***	NS	**	***
Ceanothus r	negacarpus	(30, par	oer)									
Control Charate	11# 2#	41ª# 40ª#	48ª# 40ª#	80 61	6# 3#	54°# 40°#	53ª# 56ªb#	88 67 <sup>b</sup>	NS	NS	*	**:
Ceanothus c	oliganthus (	30, soil)										
Control Charate	0# 0#	21# 12ª#	42ª 18ªb	33ª# 34 <sup>b</sup> #	0# 0#	21ª# 30ª#	32ª# 32ª#	30°# 39°#	NS	**	*	**
Rhamnus co												
Control Charate	65 15ª	5# 15ª#	25ª# 35ª#	33ª# 25ª#	75ª 90ª	10# 9#	60ª 90ª	33# 35#	NS	**	NS	**
Rhamnus ci	rocea (20. s	oil)										
Control Charate	48# 43ª#	20ab# 38a#		15 <sup>6</sup> # 13#	3 <sup>a</sup> 32 <sup>a</sup>	5ª# 7ʰ#	2ª 22ª	2ª# 8b#	***	**	* **	**

TABLE 1. Continued.

	Percentage germination								Significance of F			
	Light				Dark							
	Control	70°C 1 h	100°C 5 min	120°C 5 min	Control	70°C 1 h	100℃ 5 min	120°C 5 min	Medi- um	Light	Charate	Tem- per- ature
Rosaceae												
Adenostoma j	fasciculatun	n (30, pa	iper)									
Control Charate	4ª 11	8ª 18	10°# 9#	6ª# 6#	3ª# 4ª#	6ª# 9ª#	7ª# 9ª#	2ª# 11ª#	***	*	***	NS
Cercocarpus l	betuloides (2	20, pape	r)									
Control Charate	55°# 57°#	52ª# 55ª#	50°# 50°#	17# 12#	55°# 50°#	50°# 57°#	22# 40ª#	2# 15#	*	NS	NS	***
Heteromeles	arbutifolia (	20, soil)	ı									
Control Charate	99# 99#	43ª# 23#	54ª# 44#	15# 0#	89# 79#	34ª# 13ª#	44°# 22°#	0# 5#	NS	NS	NS	***
Scrophulariacea	ae											
Keckiella ant	irrhinoides (	(20, soil	)									
Control Charate	67ª 47	67ª 32	48 <sup>5</sup> # 55#	40 <sup>ь</sup> 10	15 <sup>a</sup> 32 <sup>a</sup>	25 <sup>a</sup> 40 <sup>a</sup>	12°# 18#	12ª 0	NS	***	NS	***
Keckiella core	difolia (30,	paper)										
Control Charate	72°# 75°#	71ª# 69ª#	28 73ª	79°# 78°#	70°# 67°#	75°# 63°#	61ª# 64ª#	48ª 60ª	NS	***	*	NS
Keckiella terr	<i>nata</i> (20, pa	per)										
Control Charate	77ª# 52ª#	60# 40ª#	75°# 95°#	3 32ª	20°# 10°#	12ª# 12ª#	10°# 8°#	0# 0#	NS	***	*	***
Mimulus aur	antiacus (30	), soil)										
Control	73ª#	90ª#	72ª#	95ª#	6ª	4a	4a	3ª	*	***	***	
Charate	79°#	85°#	92ª#	83ª#	18ª	32	16ª	12ª	•			NS
Sterculiaceae Fremontoden	dron califo	mioum (	20. soil)									
Control	aron canjor 2ª#	nicum ( 0°#	20, 8011) ()a	2ª	3#	2ª#	Oa#	2ª				
Charate	2°#	3ª	8 <sup>b</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	3# 0ª#	2°# 3°#	3ª#	8	**	NS	**	*

<sup>\*</sup> P < .05, \*\* P < .01, \*\*\* P < .001, NS = not significant.

level of germination varied with the medium, the response to light, charate, and heat remained the same in most cases.

Light had a significant effect on germination of over half of the species (Table 1). For most of these species, germination was light stimulated, but in a few cases, e.g., the montane chaparral shrubs *Arctostaphylos patula* and *Ceanothus integerrimus*, light was inhibitory.

Charate had a significant effect on germination of more than two-thirds of the species, and in nearly all cases germination was stimulated (Table 1). Particularly striking in this regard were Arctostaphylos glandulosa, Clematis lasiantha, Eriodictyon crassifolium, Garrya flavescens, and Romneya trichocalyx, all species from chaparral.

Heat treatment of seeds had a significant effect on the majority of species (Table 1). In some (e.g., all species of *Ceanothus*), heat treatment was highly stimulatory, whereas in others (e.g., Cercocarpus betuloides, Heteromeles arbutifolia, and Quercus dumosa) certain heat treatments reduced germination and were apparently lethal, as indicated by the fact that these seeds had rotted by the end of the experiment. For the large acorns of Q. dumosa, longer heating at low temperature was more detrimental than short bursts of high temperature, whereas the opposite applied to the smaller seeds of the other two shrubs. For those seeds with heat-stimulated germination, the most stimulatory treatment varied with the species. For instance, Salvia apiana was stimulated by 70°C for 1 h, but 5 min at higher temperatures was not stimulatory; the opposite applied to most Ceanothus species. For Eriodictyon crassifolium, germination was significantly higher when heat treatment was combined with charate than for heat or charate alone.

Particularly striking is the fact that, in the light, a

<sup>†</sup> Seeds of each species were germinated on both potting soil and filter paper, but only results for that medium with the highest germination (indicated in parentheses) are presented. Significance values are presented for the main effects in a multiway ANOVA performed on arcsine-transformed data. Temperature treatment cell means (light and dark experiments considered separately) with the same superscript letter were not significantly different (P > .05 with Duncan's multiple range test). For a given species and temperature treatment, charate and non-charate treatment cell means that were not significantly different (P > .05 with two-tailed t-test) are indicated with the superscript symbol #.

substantial number of species germinated readily without any treatment of heat or charate (Table 1). This was true for most coastal sage scrub species and a significant number of chaparral shrubs.

Significant interactions between certain main effects were observed for nearly all species, although there was no obvious pattern between the types of interactions and the responses to treatments. In most instances the interactions were not clearly interpretable. One exception was an interaction between light and charate observed for *Rhus integrifolia*, *Artemisia californica*, *Salvia mellifera*, *Rhamnus crocea*, *Keckiella antirrhinoides*, and *Mimulus aurantiacus*: these species germinated readily in the light and were significantly inhibited in the dark, but this dark inhibition was overcome by charate.

Some species had very low germination under all conditions. In Adenostoma fasciculatum, this was due in large part to a high percentage of achenes being "empty," but not readily distinguishable from "good" achenes. For several other species, there was low germination despite the fact that the seeds appeared filled and viable (tetrazolium tests for viability were not done on any species, since many had such small seeds or tiny embryos that the results would have been questionable). For some species, part of the explanation for low germination is apparently due to inhibiters in or on the seed coat, which must be leached away. For example, Malosma laurina and Toxicodendron diversiloba produce a gummy pericarp over the seed that leaches off only very slowly. The germination response shown in Table 1 for these two species is from the second of two experiments. In the first, seeds were sown without prior leaching and very few germinated. Prior to the second experiment, seeds were soaked for 1 mo in buckets of water, which was changed daily. These two species were also among the slowest of all species in rate of germination.

Rate of germination varied markedly. For approximately a third of the species, >75% of the total germination occurred by the 1st wk at 23° (after 1 mo stratification). These included many that germinated readily under control conditions - subshrubs Artemisia californica, Haplopappus squarrosus, Mimulus aurantiacus, Keckiella spp., and shrubs Cercocarpus betuloides and Rhamnus californica—and the heat-stimulated species Ceanothus megacarpus, C. oliganthus, and Salvia apiana. Another third of the species germinated slowly, with >75% of the total germination delayed until the second stratification treatment (more than 2 mo after the experiment started). These included Viguiera laciniata, Rhamnus crocea, all species in the Anacardiaceae, and the charate-stimulated species Clematis lasiantha, both Arctostaphylos species, and Garrva flavescens.

For the nine species with limited seed stocks, results were mixed. The coastal sage subshrub *Haplopappus venetus* responded similarly to its congener reported in

Table 1: highest germination with no treatment. Romneya coulteri exhibited a highly significant enhancement in the presence of charate, similar to the response of R. trichocalyx (Table 1). Ceanothus tomentosus and C. verrucosus were heat-stimulated, as observed for other Ceanothus tested. Prunus ilicifolia (Rosaceae) and hardwood trees often associated with chaparral, including Juglans californica (Juglandaceae), Quercus agrifolia, Q. chrysolepis, and Umbellularia californica (Lauraceae), all germinated readily without treatment. Germination of all nine species was significantly inhibited in the dark.

#### DISCUSSION

Despite the fact that these species all occur in fireprone environments, it is clear that not all have germination syndromes specialized to fire. Some woody species have seeds capable of germinating when the winter rains replenish soil moisture. Others have a marked requirement for heat, either from fire or elevated soil temperatures on open sites, or a requirement for charred wood. I suggest that these germination behaviors can be correlated with other life history responses, illustrating the presence of different character syndromes (sensu Angevine and Chabot 1979).

Species that germinate readily without treatment

Included here are the majority of dominants in coastal sage scrub, e.g., Artemisia californica, Haplopappus squarrosus, Eriogonum fasciculatum, Mimulus aurantiacus, Viguiera laciniata (Table 1), and Encelia californica (Keeley and Keeley 1984). One consequence of this germination behavior is that a soil seed bank may not build up between fires. Thus on some sites the predominant mode of reestablishment after fire is by resprouts from the root crown. In a study of postfire recovery that included five of these species (Keeley and Keeley 1984), all were present the first growing season as vigorous resprouters, and seedlings were absent. However, the resprouts flowered and fruited abundantly, and in the second growing season there was a large pulse of seedling recruitment. Often, on sites away from the coast, fires are intense enough so that few coastal sage shrubs survive as resprouts (Westman et al. 1981, Zedler 1981, Zedler et al. 1983), and the result may sometimes be localized extinction. On other sites, seedling populations, particularly of Artemisia californica and Salvia mellifera, have been observed in the first season after fire. These may arise from either postfire dispersal onto the site, or the seed crop from the previous season that remained dormant, due to drought, at the time of dispersal in summer and fall. One other factor which could impose dormancy until fire is burial of seeds at sufficient depth in the soil. For example, the response of Artemisia californica (Table 1) suggests it will germinate readily upon wetting by winter rains if seeds remain near the soil surface, but they will remain dormant until exposed to charred wood if buried below the level of light penetration. A nearly identical pattern is evident in two other coastal sage shrubs, Salvia mellifera and Mimulus aurantiacus (Table 1).

In the absence of fire, coastal sage shrubs flower more or less annually, but successful seedling recruitment is uncommon in mature vegetation due to intense animal predation (Halligan 1974), and possibly due to allelopathic effects (Muller 1966). All of these species have lightweight diaspores (Appendix) which are widely dispersed. Lacking any innate dormancy that requires fire to break it, these species readily colonize other types of disturbance (Zedler 1982) or open grasslands (Freudenberger et al. 1987). Thus this germination syndrome is successful with or without fire.

Some of the most widespread woody dominants of the chaparral also have seeds with no innate germination requirement for fire: Cercocarpus betuloides. Heteromeles arbutifolia, Prunus ilicifolia, and Ouercus dumosa, or at least most of the seeds germinate readily. as in Rhus integrifolia, Rhamnus crocea, and R. californica. On most sites these species re-establish after fire strictly from resprouts (Zedler 1981, J. E. Keeley 1986). Seedlings are rare in the first growing season after fire for several reasons. A soil seed bank does not build up between fires since the seeds are likely to germinate the winter or spring following dispersal. Indeed, in the case of Q. dumosa, germination may occur prior to dispersal from the shrub, and R. californica disperses seeds with chlorophyllous cotyledons indicating germination is imminent. Also, in the case of H. arbutifolia, P. ilicifolia, Q. dumosa, and R. californica, seeds are relatively short lived (<9 mo if allowed to dry to room conditions; J. E. Keeley, personal observation), and thus seeds that fail to germinate the first spring are unlikely to survive through the summer drought. In addition, current-year seed crops are likely to be destroyed by fire, since maturation occurs during late summer and fall. Any seeds in the soil at the time of the fire are also likely to be destroyed due to their sensitivity to high temperatures (Table 1).

Timing of seedling recruitment by these shrubs requires careful analysis. All of the species mentioned above have diaspores that potentially are widely dispersed (Appendix). Acorns are commonly dispersed by Scrub Jays and squirrels (Grinnell 1936), and the longtailed plume on Cercocarpus fruits carries the seeds great distances in the wind. Some other species produce brightly colored berries in fall and winter, and these are readily consumed by migrating birds (Hom 1984). Dispersal onto burned sites is possible, and Zedler (1981) reported seedling recruitment by Heteromeles arbutifolia in a 3-yr-old burn, but this is not commonly observed. In most stands of mature chaparral vegetation, successful seedling establishment by any species is rare. However, in very old stands (60-100+ yr) substantial seedling populations (10<sup>3</sup>–10<sup>4</sup> seedlings/ha) have been reported for all of the shrubs listed above, but not for any of the species discussed in the following section (Patric and Hanes 1964, Zedler 1981, 1982, Keeley et al. 1986, J. E. Keeley, personal observation). It should be noted, however, that stands of California chaparral of this age are uncommon (Keeley 1982), due to increased fire frequency caused by humans. The chaparral species which germinate readily without treatment share this characteristic of germinating without the stimulus of heat or charred wood, as well as others (e.g., relatively large seeds, potential long-distance dispersal, seedling establishment in the absence of fire) with woodland species (Appendix), with which they often associate.

## Species with heat-stimulated germination

Heat-stimulated germination has been documented for Ceanothus species (Quick 1935, Hadley 1961, and Table 1 above), Rhus ovata (Stone and Juhren 1951) and Adenostoma fasciculatum (Stone and Juhren 1953, Christensen and Muller 1975). Other heat-stimulated species include Malacothamnus fasciculatus and Salvia apiana (Table 1). In the first growing season after fire, seedling populations are high, derived from the previously dormant soil seed bank. After this 1st-yr pulse, seedling recruitment is rare until the next fire. Dormancy is imposed by a more or less impermeable seed coat (Stone and Juhren 1953). Heat shock from fire melts or cracks the cuticle or otherwise scarifies the seed coat. In some instances seeds near the soil surface on exposed sites may experience soil temperatures sufficient to stimulate germination, but seedling recruitment is seldom successful in undisturbed chaparral.

Certain of these species, e.g., Ceanothus cuneatus and C. megacarpus, have life histories that represent extreme specialization to fire. Over evolutionary time these taxa have lost the ability to resprout after fire (Wells 1969), and thus continuance in this fire-prone environment is dependent upon the buildup of a soil seed bank. In the absence of fire, such species are not capable of maintaining their population sizes, nor are they able to colonize new sites. Seed dispersal is limited, as most fall within 2 m of the parent shrub (Davey 1982), and unlike some disturbance-dependent species that disperse to open sites, these taxa are dependent upon fires coming to them. Species with this "temporal dispersal syndrome" (Angevine and Chabot 1979) must necessarily have long-lived seeds, a phenomenon documented for Ceanothus (Quick and Quick 1961).

## Species with charate-stimulated germination

Germination of Arctostaphylos glandulosa, Romneya trichocalyx, R. coulteri, and Garrya flavescens requires a chemical cue from charred wood. The bulk of the soil seed bank thus remains dormant in the absence of fire. In this study some species, such as Clematis lasiantha and Rhus trilobata, exhibited a pattern in which a third of the seeds germinated readily but charate doubled germination, suggesting polymorphic seed pools as described for other chaparral species (Stone

and Juhren 1953, Keeley et al. 1985). For some species, such as *Eriodictyon crassifolium* and *Adenostoma fasciculatum*, heat shock from fire and charred wood may act synergistically to stimulate germination (Table 1).

The mechanism behind charate-stimulated germination is not known. Based on several studies (Keeley and Nitzberg 1984, Keeley and Pizzorno 1986), it is known that activated charcoal will not produce the same effect. The stimulus is a water-soluble chemical leached from charred or heated (but not ashed) wood. It is apparently an oligosaccharin produced by heating of hemicelluloses. Such a chemical is unlikely to appear in the environment except after fire. In life history characteristics, these species have much in common with species in the previous group. Clearly, there are two different cues available for timing seedling recruitment to postfire environments, although there is a tendency for slower germination in charate-stimulated species relative to heat-stimulated species.

## Other factors

For most species, germination was significantly stimulated by light, and this may increase the chance of seedling establishment in gaps or on other open sites. Two exceptions are Ceanothus integerrimus and Arctostaphylos patula (Table 1). Both of these species are important components of montane chaparral and are often successional to mixed conifer forest following disturbance. The role of light inhibition in the life history of these shrubs is not clear, but it is consistent with other observations (Adams 1962). Responses not readily explained include the very low total germination observed for several species. In taxa such as Arctostaphylos glandulosa and Fremontodendron californicum, low germination apparently was not related to poor viability, and thus some additional germination condition may be required. In the case of Malosma laurina and Toxicodendron diversiloba, germination is apparently slowed due to the gummy covering of the seed coat, and is perhaps a means of extending germination over long periods of time. Seedlings of these species occur both before and after fire.

The striking effect of germination medium, observed for some species, should stand as a warning for future studies of germination behavior. The explanation of how the medium affects germination remains to be explored. In this study it was observed that seeds sown on filter paper almost invariably developed more fungal growth than seeds sown in soil. It may be that the medium affects microbial growth, and thus indirectly seed germination. In some species this may inhibit germination, while in others it may stimulate germination.

## Conclusions

Coastal sage scrub and chaparral taxa represent a diversity of seed germination syndromes that can be correlated with different life history responses. Despite

the fact that wildfires are a frequent and predictable part of the environment, fire has not played a role in shaping the germination behavior of all species. Those which do time seedling establishment to occur after fire may use different cues. As a consequence of different character syndromes, opportunities for population expansion increase after fire for some species, but increase in the long absence of fire for others. Equilibrium in species composition is probably enhanced by variable burning regimes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support was provided by National Science Foundation grant RII-8304949.

## LITERATURE CITED

Adams, L. 1962. Planting depths for seeds of three species of *Ceanothus*. United States Forest Service Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station Research Note Number 194.

Angevine, M. W., and B. F. Chabot. 1979. Seed germination syndromes in higher plants. Pages 188–206 *in* O. T. Solbrig, S. Jain, G. B. Johnson, and P. H. Raven, editors. Topics in plant population biology. Columbia University Press, New York, New York, USA.

Berg, A. R. 1974. Arctostaphylos Adans. Pages 228–231 in Seeds of woody plants in the United States. United States Department of Agriculture Handbook Number 450.

Christensen, N. L., and C. H. Muller. 1975. Effects of fire on factors controlling plant growth in *Adenostoma* chaparral. Ecological Monographs 45:29-55.

Davey, J. R. 1982. Stand replacement in *Ceanothus crassifolius*. Thesis. California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, California, USA.

DeBano, L. F., R. M. Rice, and C. E. Conrad. 1979. Soil heating in chaparral fires: effects on soil properities, plant nutrients, erosion, and runoff. United States Forest Service Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station Research Paper PSW-145.

Freudenberger, D. O., B. E. Fish, and J. E. Keeley. 1987. Distribution and stability of grasslands in the Los Angeles Basin. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences, in press.

Grinnell, J. 1936. Up-hill planters. Condor 38:80-82.

Hadley, E. B. 1961. Influence of temperature and other factors on *Ceanothus megacarpus* seed germination. Madroño 16:132–138.

Halligan, J. P. 1974. Relationship between animal activity and bare areas associated with California sagebrush in annual grassland. Journal of Range Management 27:358–362.

Hanes, T. L. 1977. California chaparral. Pages 417–470 in M. G. Barbour and J. Major, editors. Terrestrial vegetation of California. John Wiley, New York, New York, USA.

Hom, S. 1984. Bird dispersal of toyon (*Heteromeles arbu-tifolia*). Thesis. California State University, Hayward, California, USA.

Jones, C. S., and W. H. Schlesinger. 1980. Emmenanthe penduliflora (Hydrophyllaceae): further consideration of germination response. Madroño 27:122-125.

Keeley, J. E. 1982. Distribution of lightning and man-caused wildfires in California. Pages 431–437 in C. E. Conrad and W. C. Oechel, editors. Proceedings of the symposium on dynamics and management of mediterranean-type ecosystems. United States Forest Service Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station General Technical Report PSW-58.

——. 1984. Factors affecting germination of chaparral

- seeds. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences 83:113–120.
- 1986. Responses of plant communities to fire. *In* B. Dell, editor. Resilience in mediterranean ecosystems. Dr.
   W. Junk, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Keeley, J. E., A. Brooks, T. Bird, S. Cory, H. Parker, and E. Usinger. 1986. Demographic structure of chaparral under extended fire-free conditions. *In J. DeVries*, editor. Chaparral ecosystems research conference proceedings. California Water Resources Center, University of California, Davis, California, USA.
- Keeley, J. E., and S. C. Keeley. 1984. Postfire recovery of California coastal sage scrub. American Midland Naturalist 111:105–117.
- Keeley, J. E., B. A. Morton, A. Pedrosa, and P. Trotter. 1985. Role of allelopathy, heat and charred wood in the germination of chaparral herbs and suffrutescents. Journal of Ecology 73:445–458.
- Keeley, J. E., and M. E. Nitzberg. 1984. Role of charred wood in the germination of the chaparral herbs *Emme-nanthe penduliflora* (Hydrophyllaceae) and *Eriophyllum confertiflorum* (Asteraceae). Madroño 31:208–218.
- Keeley, S. C., and M. Pizzorno. 1986. Charred wood stimulated germination of two fire-following herbs of the California chaparral and the role of hemicellulose. American Journal of Botany 73:1289–1297.
- Mooney, H. A. 1977. Southern coastal scrub. Pages 471–478 in M. G. Barbour and J. Major, editors. Terrestrial vegetation of California. John Wiley, New York, New York, USA.
- Muller, C. H. 1966. The role of chemical inhibition (allelopathy) in vegetational composition. Bulletin of the Torrey Botancial Club 93:332–351.
- Munz, P. A. 1974. A flora of southern California. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Patric, J. H., and T. L. Hanes. 1964. Chaparral succession in a San Gabriel Mountain area of California. Ecology 45: 353-360
- Quick, C. R. 1935. Notes on the germination of *Ceanothus* seeds. Madroño 3:135–140.

- Quick, C. R., and A. S. Quick. 1961. Germination of Ceanothus seeds. Madroño 16:23–30.
- Stone, E. C., and G. Juhren. 1951. The effect of fire on the germination of the seed of *Rhus ovata* Wats. American Journal of Botany **38**:368–372.
- Stone, E. C., and G. Juhren. 1953. Fire stimulated germination. California Agriculture 7(9):13-14.
- Sweeney, J. R. 1956. Responses of vegetation to fire. A study of the herbaceous vegetation following chaparral fires. University of California Publications in Botany 28:143–216.
- Wells, P. V. 1969. The relation between mode of reproduction and extent of speciation in woody genera of the California chaparral. Evolution 23:264–267.
- Westman, W. E., J. F. O'Leary, and G. P. Malanson. 1981. The effects of fire intensity, aspect, and substrate on post-fire growth of Californian coastal sage scrub. Pages 151–179 in N. S. Margaris and H. A. Mooney, editors. Components of productivity of mediterranean regions—basic and applied aspects. Dr. W. Junk, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Wicklow, D. T. 1977. Germination response in *Emmenanthe penduliflora* (Hydrophyllaceae). Ecology **58**:201–205.
- Zedler, P. H. 1981. Vegetation change in chaparral and desert communities in San Diego County, California. Pages 406-430 in D. C. West, H. H. Shugart, and D. Botkin, editors. Forest succession. Concepts and applications. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.
- . 1982. Demography and chapparal management in southern California. Pages 123–127 in C. E. Conrad and W. C. Oechel, editors. Proceedings of the symposium on dynamics and management of mediterranean-type ecosystems. United States Forest Service Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station General Technical Report PSW-58.
- Zedler, P. H., C. R. Gautier, and G. S. McMaster. 1983. Vegetation change in response to extreme events: the effect of a short interval between fires in California chaparral and coastal scrub. Ecology **64**:809–818.

## APPENDIX

Growth, form, vegetation type, and fruit and seed characteristics for the woody species of coastal sage scrub and chaparral used in the germination studies.

	Growth Vegetation form* type†		Fruit and diaspore characteristics	Season of dispersal	Seed mass (µg)
Anacardiaceae					
Malosma laurina Rhus integrifolia Rhus trilobata Toxicodendron diversilobium	esh esh esh dl	cs/ch cs/ch cs/ch/o cs/ch/o	drupe with gummy pericarp fleshy drupe fleshy drupe drupe with gummy pericarp	fall summer summer summer	$2.8 \times 10^{3}$ ‡ $5.5 \times 10^{4}$ ‡ $2.8 \times 10^{4}$ ‡ $3.3 \times 10^{4}$ ‡
Asteraceae					
Artemisia californica Haplopappus squarrosus Haplopappus venetus Viguiera laciniata	dss dss dss dss	CS CS CS	achene with minute pappus achene with plumose pappus achene with plumose pappus achene with plumose pappus	fall fall fall summer	$6.0 \times 10^{\ddagger}$ $6.8 \times 10^{2}$ $3.4 \times 10^{2}$ $7.7 \times 10^{2}$
Caprifoliaceae					
Lonicera subspicata	el	cs/ch	fleshy berry	summer	$5.5 \times 10^{3}$
Ericaceae					
Arctostaphylos glandulosa Arctostaphylos patula Comarostaphylis diversifolia	esh esh esh	ch mch ch	pulpy drupe pulpy drupe fleshy drupe	summer summer summer	1.8 × 10 <sup>4</sup> ‡ 1.8 × 10 <sup>4</sup> ‡ 1.4 × 10 <sup>4</sup> ‡
Fabaceae					·
Lotus scoparius	dss	cs/ch	indehiscent legume	spring	$2.3 \times 10^{3}$ ‡

## APPENDIX

Continued.

	Growth form*	Vegetation type†	Fruit and diaspore characteristics	Season of dispersal	Seed mass (µg)	
Fagaceae						
Quercus agrifolia Quercus chrysolepis Quercus dumosa	et et esh	ch/o ch/o ch	acorn acorn acorn	fall fall fall	9.4 × 10 <sup>5</sup> ‡ 3.8 × 10 <sup>6</sup> ‡ 1.8 × 10 <sup>6</sup> ‡	
Garryaceae						
Garrya flavescens	esh	ch	berry	summer	$3.0 \times 10^4$	
Hydrophyllaceae Eriodictyon crassifolium	ess	cs/ch	capsule	611.00	$1.7 \times 10^{2}$	
Juglandaceae	C33	CS/CII	capsule	summer	1./ × 10 <sup>2</sup>	
Juglans californica	dt	ch/o	nut	fall	$4.8 \times 10^{6}$ ‡	
Lamiaceae	u.	C11/ G	nat	ian	4.0 / 10 +	
Salvia apiana Salvia mellifera	dss dss	cs/ch cs/ch	dehiscent nutlet dehiscent nutlet	summer summer	$1.4 \times 10^{3}$ ‡ $1.1 \times 10^{3}$ ‡	
Lauraceae						
Umbellularia californica	et	ch/o	fleshy drupe	fall	$1.7 \times 10^{6}$ ‡	
Malvaceae						
Malacothamnus fasciculatus	dss	ch	dehiscent follicle	summer	$1.6 \times 10^{3}$	
Papaveraceae						
Romneya coulteri Romneya trichocalyx	ess ess	ch ch	capsule capsule	summer summer	$1.1 \times 10^3$ $6.7 \times 10^2$	
Polygonaceae						
Eriogonum fasciculatum	ess	cs/ch	achene	summer–fall	$1.4 \times 10^{3}$ ‡	
Ranunculaceae						
Clematis lasiantha	dl	ch	plumose-tailed achene	summer	$4.2 \times 10^{3}$ ‡	
Rhamnaceae						
Ceanothus cuneatus Ceanothus integerrimus Ceanothus leucodermis Ceanothus megacarpus Ceanothus oliganthus Ceanothus tomentosus Ceanothus verrucosus Rhamnus californica Rhamnus crocea	esh esh esh esh esh esh esh	ch mch/o ch ch ch ch ch ch/o	exploding capsule fleshy drupe fleshy drupe fleshy drupe with dehiscent endocarp	spring summer summer spring spring spring spring fall summer	1.0 × 10 <sup>4</sup> 7.5 × 10 <sup>3</sup> 8.7 × 10 <sup>3</sup> 2.4 × 10 <sup>4</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>3</sup> 4.0 × 10 <sup>3</sup> 9.1 × 10 <sup>3</sup> 9.3 × 10 <sup>4</sup> 9.5 × 10 <sup>3</sup>	
Rosaceae			•			
Adenostoma fasciculatum Cercocarpus betuloides Heteromeles arbutifolia Prunus ilicifolia	esh esh esh	ch ch ch/o ch/o	achene coalesced to floral tube plumose tailed achene fleshy berry fleshy drupe	summer summer winter fall	$1.5 \times 10^{3}$ ‡ $1.8 \times 10^{4}$ ‡ $5.3 \times 10^{3}$ $1.2 \times 10^{6}$ ‡	
Scrophulariaceae						
Keckiella antirrhinoides Keckiella cordifolia Keckiella ternata Mimulus aurantiacus	esh el dl dss	ch ch/cs ch cs/ch	capsule capsule capsule capsule	summer summer summer summer–fall	$2.8 \times 10^{2}$ $1.9 \times 10^{2}$ $3.0 \times 10^{2}$ $2.0 \times 10$	
Sterculiaceae						
Fremontodendron californici	um esh	ch	capsule (seeds with elaiosome)	summer	$3.3 \times 10^{4}$	

<sup>\*</sup> Growth forms: dl = deciduous liana, dss = deciduous subshrub, dt = deciduous tree, el = evergreen liana, esh = evergreen shrub, ess = evergreen subshrub, et = evergreen tree.

† Vegetations: cs = coastal sage scrub, ch = chaparral, mch = montane chaparral, o = "other" plant communities.

‡ "Seed" includes endocarp or other fruit parts.