

BPA Public Involvement

From: dominic74@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:39 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Dominic Canazaro
Trout Unlimited
dominic74@yahoo.com
503-615-2818
1871 NE 63rd Ave
Hillsboro OR 97124

Gentlemen, My name is Dominic Canazaro. I have been a resident of Oregon for five years and have become an avid fisherman who passionately believes we should do all we can to protect our wild salmon and steelhead. I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—spill—for uncertain measures and promises, especially given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Dominic M. Canazaro

BPA Public Involvement

From: cathcartjim@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 10:32 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Jim Cathcart

cathcartjim@msn.com

1732 NE 25th Avenue
Portland OR 97212

I oppose the modified summer spill plan. Spill is very important to the outmigration of juvenile fish. It has been proven that the recent improvement with favorable outmigration conditions leads to robust returns of salmon and steelhead. I would be willing to pay more for my electricity if it meant that power generation in the Spring put juvenile fish outmigration through spill as the priority.

BPA Public Involvement

From: freespooler@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 5:06 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Michael Currigan
sporfisherman
freespooler@aol.com
503-777-7146
5416 SE 51 Ave
Portland Or 97206

Please reconsider your stance on the summer spill issue. Fish need water to thrive. Salmon are much more valuable to this region vs the small amount of power revenue that would be seen.

BPA Public Involvement

From: rick.cummings@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 6:32 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Rick Cummings
Fisherman
rick.cummings@verizon.net
503-816-1807
2923 NE Charlois Ct
Hillsboro OR 97124

To whom it may concern, It is imperative that BPA continues the practice of spilling extra water during the downstream migration of salmon. This year's low return of Spring Chinook is evidence of the devastation to salmon runs caused by inadequate flows over the dams. The run was 40% lower than prediction and that is directly attributable to the lowered flows in the summer of 2001. The salmon returns will again feel the effects of those low flows in the spring of 2005. There is a lot of solid science that proves the increased water flows aid salmon in their safe passage through the dams. Please do not ignore the data that provides you a way to help restore and maintain our salmon runs. Rick Cummings SPILL DON'T KILL

BPA Public Involvement

From: kim.g.culver@tek.com
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 7:31 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Kim Culver
Sportman/Tax Payer
kim.g.culver@tek.com
503-846-9376
1843 SE Hanover St
Hillsboro OR 97123

Remember, we had no spill in 2001 , and the data is starting to show what a disaster that was for adult salmon returning. We have another year of returns to look at before the entire story is told, but the first run of spring Chinook back from that year, was missing ONE-HALF of the 4 year olds, and the next year the 5 year olds were missing. Both components were 2001 out migrants. Spill not Kill.

BPA Public Involvement

From: geocukjati@msn.com
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 2:59 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Georgeann Cukjati
Weyerhaeuser Company-Retired
geocukjati@msn.com

2606 North 31st Street
Tacoma WA 98407

I support the Bonneville Power Administration's amended 2004 summer spill proposal because it is a step in the right direction; it meets the objective of saving more fish for less money. Also, I believe in the years to come, it can further reduce the spill. Reducing spill now will lower costs and help the Northwest economy.

BPA Public Involvement

From: jplayball@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 2:13 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Jose Cruz
ifish.net
jplayball@yahoo.com
503-786-1507
4050 se Washington St
Milwaukie or 97222

To Whomever this may Concern, I am supporting a continued spill during the summer for many reasons. The obvious benefit to salmon and steelhead stocks is one. The other is the increased benefit to power users... Please understand the importance of the salmon and steelhead. Our future generations depend on this important resource. BPA has been active in saving our salmon/steelhead, (pikeminnow bounty, turbine screens, barging smolts) let's keep this positive effort moving Forward. Thanks Jose Cruz

BPA Public Involvement

From: on behalf of BPA Public Involvement

Subject: FW: Summer Spill proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: David Crowell [mailto:davidc@callatg.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2004 2:38 PM

To: Wright, Stephen J - A-7; bob.lohn@noaa.gov; g.witt.anderson@usace.army.mil

Subject: Summer Spill proposal

Gentlemen,

I am a residential ratepayer of Blachly-Lane Electric Coop in Eugene.

I support the amended summer spill proposal. The proposal continues to protect fish while providing some minimal rate relief to ratepayers.

DAVID CROWELL

284-5800 office

954-1575 cell

6/17/2004

BPA Public Involvement

From: mcromie@wrec2.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 4:09 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

mike cromie
member
mcromie@wrec2.com
775-752-3448
935 starr ave
wells nv 89835

Wells Rural Electric Company (WREC) is an all-requirements customer of BPA. As a WREC member I want to see a reduction in summer spill and a complete review of all spending decisions regarding salmon recovery.

BPA Public Involvement

From: greggc43@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 9:38 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Gregg Cowles

greggc43@comcast.net
503-799-8006
9319 sw 52nd ave
portland Or (7219

I am writing to let you know how much I am against your upcoming meeting on reduced or no spill on lower Columbia river dams this summer. I have expressed the same concerns with my U.S congressman and with OFWD and WFD. We are seeing the effects already of no spills in the summer of 2001 40% of this years expected spring run never showed, last years 5 year old return was completely missing. We already know that this is bad both for the wild fish and hatchery fish in the river at that time, with an expected loss of 730,000 fish with no solid workable plan in place to help mitigate this loss. We in the Northwest have already given up to much of our natural resources to allow giving more so BPA can make even more money. If you could move forward with this smoke and mirror plan to rape the people of the Northwest again I can assure you that BPA and the Corp will be in court for years as it looks like groups have already formed to fight this. Gregg Cowles

BPA Public Involvement

From: covaltfamily@verizon.net
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:25 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Jeff Covalt
fisherman
covaltfamily@verizon.net

hillsboro Or 97124

Salmon need water we as sportsman got screwed on springer returns and we will lose more fish and a strong fishery if the salmon are not preserved. Give the salmon a shot they put millions into the states pocket and only get less and less water and less and less of a chnce to survive.

BPA Public Involvement

From: cooperc@aol.com
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 6:37 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Tim Cooper
Sport Fisher, Energy Consumer, Voter
cooperc@aol.com
503-439-1893
16113 NW Energia St
Portland OR 97229

I am against the proposal to not spill water this summer. If the dams and the fish are going to coexist then there needs to be a balanced approach. The spill proposal is not balanced! In fact, the economic gain expected from the proposal will be tiny in comparison to the economic impact that less fish will have in four to five years. Please do not adopt the summer spill proposal.

BPA Public Involvement

From: robert_cooper@eli.net
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 1:39 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Robert T Cooper
Sport fisherman
robert_cooper@eli.net
360-816-3561
1101 NE Lija Loop
Portland OR 97211

This plan is smoke and mirrors. The Gain by no means justify the cost. Our rivers and environment are what make Oregon. The Salmon and Steelhead runs bring more than revenue to Oregonians. I need not list all of the benefits that are had by healthy hearty salmon and steelhead runs. If you folks try to baffle the powers that be into allowing you to do this there will be backlash. The ill will that BPA will recieve will cost you 10 fold any gain you get with this proposal. Karma is a funny thing and you have yours in your own hands. Good luck. Thanks, Robert T. Cooper

Summer Spill 3

Telephone Comment by Ginny Kuehn
6/17/2004

Daniel Cook
253-588-0601

I fully endorse the summer spill. I believe it is a good step and hopefully that the federal agency will expand this reduce the spill further in 2005 – 2006 and so on.

Thank you for good work you are doing.

BPA Public Involvement

From: clokedl@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2004 8:38 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Dan Cloke

clokedl@comcast.net
360.574.7253
411 ne134thst
Vancouver WA 98685

I don't feel the Columbia River or the historic migration of the Columbia River salmon belongs to any one man, company, or organization. Water spill is paramount to the survival of smolt. The salmon migration is God's gift to all men not just Indians and we have the ability and obligation to reverse what has been a decline, and in some cases extinction of salmon. I know power is big business, but the beauty and natural resources we have here are the things that bring people to the Great Northwest. The building of the Grand Coulee Dam without a fish ladder and the cover up of Celio Falls are mistakes we've made in the past. Please, let's not make more mistakes by not spilling water for our fish. We owe it to ourselves, we owe it to future generations. We owe it to God who created all of this.

BPA Public Involvement

From: cline503@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 8:30 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Bob Cline

cline503@comcast.net
503-330-0636
19136 sw Teddi Rose ct
Aloha OR 97006

I want to state that records show that modified spills from the dams on the Columbia River affects the migrating salmon and hurts future populations of the salmon runs. Please consider other alternatives to the modified spill program proposed for this year. Bob Cline

BPA Public Involvement

From: lwchristenson@msn.com
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 4:29 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

L. W. Christenson
Retired
lwchristenson@msn.com
(360)866-4381
2831 Schirm Loop N.W.
Olympia Wa 98502

I support the amended 2004 summer spill proposal, and I feel the federal agencies should expand on this common sense approach and reduce the spill further in 2005 and 2006, and beyond.

BPA Public Involvement

From: on behalf of BPA Public Involvement
Subject: FW: Summer spill Operation

-----Original Message-----

From: Carl Philip Chapman [mailto:bupsy@ix.netcom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2004 7:04 PM
To: Wright, Stephen J - A-7
Subject: Summer spill Operation

As a regional rate payer, I support the amended summer spill proposal and encourage you to move in the direction of a more cost effective operation while moving to a more fiscally practical means of protecting the endangered fish.

Phil Chapman

"You only 'see' that which you comprehend."

The Interpreter

BPA Public Involvement

From: jayc@direcway.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 8:57 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Jay Chancey

jayc@direcway.com

3388 Merlin Rd #102

Grants Pass OR 97526

To: Robert Lohn, Regional Administrator NOAA-Fisheries 525 N.E. Oregon Street Portland, OR 97232 Brigadier General Wm. Grisoli U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 220 N.W. 8th Avenue Portland, OR 97209 Stephan J. Wright, Administrator Bonneville Power Administration 905 N.E. 11th Avenue Portland, OR 97208 Gentlemen, My name is Jay Chancey. I am a 35 year old native Oregonian. I am a registered voter and I, as well as my family, are avid sportsfishermen. My family and I spend a great deal of time and money fishing in Oregon, including several family trips a year to the Portland area to fish the Columbia. I am writing to you today to in opposition to your proposal to reduce spill for summer migrants in the Columbia. Spill has proven over the years to be the safest and most effective way to help baby salmon migrate from their natal stream to the ocean. Years where smolts had adequate spill resulted in years of high adult returns. We should not give up what is certain—spill--for uncertain measures and promises, especially given the dismal track record of broken promises. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead and salmon, while saving pennies on my electric bill. Our heritage species, the salmon have already paid a high enough price for hydro development in the Northwest. Surely they should not suffer further harm in order to help the BPA out of the financial mess they continue to find themselves in. Please support the citizens of the Northwest in our support for abundant salmon populations by telling BPA to spill, not kill. Sincerely, Jay Chancey

BPA Public Involvement

From: cust@crpud.net
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 1:50 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Charles Custer
Retired Fire Fighter
cust@crpud.net
503-397-0948
455 Gray Cliffs Ct.
St. Helens OR 97051

Ladies & Gentlemen: 10 June 1904 My name is Charles Custer, my father (same name) worked on the construction of Bonneville Dam, & I have been a resident of this area since 1940. I sportsfish the Columbia whenever possible. I am writing to you in opposition of your proposal to reduce spill for the summer fish migration in the Columbia. Recent poor returns following the reduction of spill in 2001 would appear as evidence that the spill measure has value & should be continued. The citizens of the Northwest were told that the dams on the Columbia would impact fisheries, but they would be mitigated. This happened as the need for the dams was being sold to the population in the 1930's & 40's. Summer spill reductions will impact tens of thousands of steelhead & salmon, while saving an insignificant amount on my electric bill. The cost to the community will be extreme due to the reduction of fisheries revenue. The fact that this decision of yours will impact the fish, & economy for years is proven by the impact the 2001 spill decision. I urge you to support the citizens of the Northwest (including my grandchildren) in their lifestyle as true stewards of our great area, including ALL of our natural resources. Please take whatever action is necessary, regardless of how inconvenient it may seem at this time to protect our fish. I as well as my grandchildren thank you for your time & interest.