People, Partnerships, and Communities

The Purpose of the People, Partnerships, and Communities series is to assist The Conservation Partnership to build capacity by transferring information about social science related topics

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Science & Technology

Social Sciences Institute

Locations:

North Carolina A & T State University

Port Republic, Maryland

Grand Rapids, Michigan

Royersford, Pennsylvania

University of Arizona

Little Rock, Arkansas

Washington, D.C.

Fort Collins, Colorado

What is power in a community?

Power in a community is the ability to affect the decisionmaking process and the use of resources, both public and private, within a community or watershed group. Power is simply the capacity to bring about change. It is the energy that gets things done. All levels of The Conservation Partnership need to know about community power structures in order to more effectively implement and maintain locally led conservation initiatives. A community can be defined as a watershed, region, town, county, or other geographic or geopolitical boundary.

Understanding

Community Power Structures

Examining the concept of power involves looking at the sources and structures that influence local

communities and exploring the relationships that shape cooperative efforts. The conservationist who has a basic understanding of social power and who can identify the power actors in a community can enhance the opportunity for success in conservation initiatives.

Power is Not a "Dirty" Word

Often when people identify words they associate with power, negative responses dominate. Power is seen as manipulative, coercive, and destructive. A once-popular idea was that a few key people used power to block changes that benefited others, and that "nice" people stayed away from power. Attention focused on the idea of *power* over people. Increasingly, the concept of *shared power* is being recognized as representing a more sustainable and effective approach. Power, used in implementing locally led conservation, should be viewed as the ability of citizens and civic leaders to bring together diverse community members in initiatives that lead to real, measurable change in the lives of their community.

Why should you use this information?

A conservationist's ability to identify and understand the power structures in a watershed group or community and the relationships among the people that fortify them will significantly contribute to the achievement of conservation goals.

As you encounter issues that routinely challenge organizations, agencies, communities, regions, or states, it is important to ask questions such as:

- Who seems the most powerful in this situation and why?
- What are the influences that might change the power structure in a group?
- What type of power do you and others working with you bring to the situation?

What are the sources of community power?

Power can come from a variety of places. The following sources of power are not mutually exclusive and can be most effective when used in some combination. Conservationists who learn to recognize the power base(s) of a key leader or a community group can maximize the benefit that the power base can offer.

• **Connections** - the ability to network and build useful relationships with other powerful individuals and organizations. For example, occasionally one hears the expression, "S/he's really well connected.

• Large numbers of people - provide evidence of support for an idea. A recent increase in membership of a watershed group, for example, could have an impact on a county commissioner.

• **Rewards** - the ability to give recognition, visibility, money, or other tangible items. For example, an agency, a community foundation, county commission, or financially powerful individual or business may have monies or other assets that can be distributed to

CONNECTIONS

"Social networks have value – is the core idea of social capital theory. Just as a screwdriver (physical capital) or a college education (human capital) can increase productivity (both individual and collective), so too social contacts affect the productivity of individuals and groups.

Whereas physical capital refers to physical objects and human capital refers to properties of individuals, *social capital refers to connections among individuals* – social networks and the norms of reciprocity and trustworthiness that arise from them. In that sense social capital is closely related to what some have called "civic virtue." The difference is that "social capital" calls attention to the fact that civic virtue is most powerful when embedded in a dense network of reciprocal social relations. A society of many virtuous but isolated individuals is not necessarily rich in social capital."

Robert D. Putnam

Bowling Alone, 2001

a conservation initiative that meets their mission or priorities.

• **Personal traits** - an individual's charisma, creativity, charm, leadership abilities or some combination of these characteristics can foster the respect and loyalty of others.

• Legitimate power - the position (office, title) of the leader. Usually, the higher the office, the more powerful the person. The city manager, for example, holds a higher position and has more power than the city engineer. Or, the chief of an American Indian tribe has traditional and legitimate power.

• **Expertise** - knowledge, skill, and talent, combined with respect for the skill. A district conservationist can bring valuable skills to a conservation initiative.

• **Information** - the ability to channel - or withhold - information. The mass media has this type of power.

• **Coercion** - the attempt to influence others using a negative style, such as using intimidation or manipulation. This contributed to the concept of power as a "dirty word" and is now less accepted.

Issue 21, September 2002

Who are the people with power?

Social systems of all types - communities, political parties, etc. - have certain people or groups of people who control decisionmaking functions. These people can be called key leaders of power actors.

Even the most distressed community has some business activity. In addition, there is a combination of public and nonprofit institutions such as schools, parks, libraries, police stations, social service agencies, community colleges, and hospitals. These groups can and do influence the changes made in the community. Power actors or key leaders are the individuals who direct these organizations, either formally or informally.

When community social action projects initiated by change agents (conservationists, public action groups, etc.) fail, it is often because they did not recognize and/or appropriately involve key people in the community or the community at large. An individual concerned with one segment of the community, such as agriculture, may not know the key people in other segments of the community. The key people involved in community decisionmaking can vary depending on the issue.

Key people–*power actors*–are aware of and value their position. Most will work hard to keep it. They do this by either supporting or opposing projects and by providing or denying resources.

The key leaders' position often depends on:

- •What the proposal involves
- •When they were informed
- •How they were informed
- •Who informed them

How do individuals get power in a community?

Authority is the power held by a person in an elected or appointed "office." No matter who holds the office the authority is the same, unless the law changes. Presidents of the United States have had the same authority but have differed in their focus and their personal influence.

Influence is the power that resides with an individual or an organization based on a person's perception of their competence and ability. Their influence may be based on their skills in guiding and directing people, their specialized knowledge, their reputation, or their control of and/or access to money and resources (e.g., credit and jobs).

Those with the most power in a community have that power primarily because of their influence, not just their positions of authority, so we must find ways to identify power actors that do not depend on titles and offices alone.

In most communities, the following characteristics are most often identified as a basis for influence and power:

past achievements • source of ideas • human relations skills • contact with others (in and outside of the community) • access to needed resources • influence within community organizations • past participation in community groups• length of residence in the community • occupation • education • control of jobs, wealth, credit, and mass media

Control of credit or jobs may involve local businesses such as banks, manufacturing plants, and the media. Evidence suggests that the control of credit, money, jobs, and mass media is very important when combined with other bases of influence.

What Is a Power Structure?

A *power structure* in a community or watershed group is key leaders (power actors) acting together to affect what gets done and how it gets done. However, the nature of the relationships among the individual power actors can vary from one community to another.

- Some communities have a power structure centered on one person who is surrounded by "lieutenants."
- Other communities have a small, tightly knit group the power elite that controls policy-making for the community.
- Another structure is the "split community." Examples include Republican-Democrat, Protestant-Catholic, liberal-conservative, labor-management, rural-urban, and others.
- The "power pool" involves a combination of all three. Essentially, there is a "pool" of 10 to 25 people who are the top community power actors.
- In some communities, tradition or elders are the powerful members.
- Finally, some culturally diverse communities base their power almost totally on democratic principles and unanimity.

The "power pool" may be the most common kind of power structure. The other structures are special cases found in communities with unique characteristics. The "power pool" has at least the following implications for conservationists and other change agents:

- 1. The same power actors may not be relevant to every issue, so each issue needs to identify appropriate power actors.
- 2. There are communication networks within the pool. A discussion with one or more power actors provides an indirect method for communicating a message to several other power actors. It also provides a means for communicating to other people in the community.
- 3. Members of the power pool change over time. In addition, the power of one individual relative to another may change, so the assessment of power actors cannot be viewed as a one-time task.

What Are Informal and Formal Power Structures?

There are two kinds of power structures: formal and informal. The formal power structure is easily recognized and includes elected and appointed government officials and leaders of civic organizations. The informal power structure, which exists together with the formal power structure, is harder to identify and may hold a greater influence over a community's development.

Four methods have been developed that will help conservationists identify the community's formal and informal power structures.

1. Positional method - This method merely involves making a list of key government and civic leaders. It is a simple, but flawed, approach. It presumes that people in official positions actually execute power, and it does not recognize those power actors who work behind the scenes and operate on the base of personal influence.

2. Reputational method - Ask

knowledgeable citizens to list the most influential people in the community or watershed. The same names should reappear on several lists. People to interview should include chamber of commerce executives, city managers, utility managers, media executives, economic developers, and business executives, among others.

3. Decisionmaking method –

Study the history of community decisionmaking to determine the power actors who actively participate in community actions. Sources of information include meeting minutes, press reports, and participant interviews. It is possible to determine members of a general power structure using this method, and whether specialized power structures exist that deal with single issues.

4. Social Participation

method - Develop a list of active participants (officers, committee chairs, etc.) in voluntary associations. This method assumes that activists in organizations will be the same people who are active in community decisionmaking. This method is useful when determining future community leaders who are working their way up through volunteer participation.

For more information on identifying the key leaders in a community, refer to the Social Sciences Institute's People, Partnerships, and Communities series, Issue 43, "Working With Community Leaders."

How Do You Put It to Work?

Successful implementation of natural resource goals cannot succeed without the active participation of the community power structure. By working together, everyone can benefit.

The following is a summary of the steps to take when assessing power structures and identifying key leaders in a community or watershed group.

- Identify the members of both the formal and the informal power structures
- Find the important relationships. Which people are personal friends? Who are adversaries, competitors, or antagonists? Who can effectively influence others?
- Determine the kind of power the key leaders

exercise (refer to the "What are the sources of community power?" section). After determining what bases of power the leader uses, you can decide on an appropriate approach to take when working for or with them.

• Establish trust and gain the confidence of key

leaders. Maintain a focus on each other's backgrounds, interests, values, and priorities. A climate of cooperation and trust is essential if a group expects the change process to sustain momentum. • Learn what motivates each member of the power

structure. Are they motivated by power, such as power over resources? Money? Do they have a desire for recognition, such as wanting to run for public office or other high profile position? Do they have a strong sense of social responsibility? What causes are "hot buttons" for them?

- Ask leaders for their counsel and advice. Obtain the support of key leaders before pursuing a course of action. It will make your job easier and the project will have a better chance to be successful.
- Do not back key leaders and power actors into a corner. Always provide a facesaving means for power actors to join with the initiative group despite any initial opposition they may have expressed.

Where to look for more information:

- Chrislip, David D. "The Collaborative Leadership Fieldbook." San Francisco: Joey-Bass Publishers, 2002.
- Chrislip, David D., and Carl E. Larson. "Collaborative Leadership: How Citizens and Civic Leaders Can Make a Difference." San Francisco: Joey-Bass Publishers, 1994.
- Kretzmann, John, and John McKnight. "Building Communities from the Inside Out: A Path Towards Finding and Mobilizing A Community's Assets." Chicago: ACTA Publications, 1993.
- Michigan State University, Michigan State University Extension, and USDANRCS Social Sciences Institute. "Developing Your Skills to INVOLVE COMMUNITIES in Implementing Locally Led Conservation." Module 5, *Power in Communities*. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Social Sciences Institute, 1999.
- Powers, Ronald C. "Identifying the Community Power Structure." North Central Regional Extension, Publication 19. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University of Science and Technology Cooperative Extension Service, November 1975.
- o Putnam, Robert D. "Bowling Alone." New York: Simon and Schuster, 2001.
- Shively, Robert W. "Community Power Structures." *Economic Development Review* (Summer 1994): 13-15.
- Social Sciences Institute. "People, Partnerships, and Communities." Issue 43, Working With Community Leaders. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Social Sciences Institute, October 1999.
- Tolbert, Charles et al. "Civic Community in Small-Town America: How Civic Welfare is Influenced by Local Capitalism and Civic Engagement." *Rural Sociology* 67, no 1 (March 2002): 90-113.

* * *

People, Partnerships, and Communities

Establishment

The Natural Resources **Conservation Service** established the Social Sciences Institute (SSI) in October 1995 in order to more fully integrate the social sciences into Agency programs and activities. The goal is to more effectively serve our rural and urban customers and to increse adoption of conservation.

Mission

The Social Sciences Institute integrates customer opinion and field work with science based analysis to discover how the social and economic aspects of human behavior can be applied to natural resource conservation programs, policies, and activities.

Vision

The Social Sciences Institute will be a recognized leader in developing and transferring practical social sciences technology to assist in the productive, equitable, and environmentally sound use of our global natural resources.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Frank Clearfield, Director

Social Sciences Institute North Carolina A&T State University Charles H. Moore Building, A-35 Greensboro NC 27411 (336) 334-7058 clearf@ncat.edu

Arun Basu, Sociologist

Washington, D.C. (202) 690-4860 arun.basu@usda.gov

Kim Berry, Sociologist

Greensboro, North Carolina (336) 334-7464 berryk@ncat.edu

Kevin Boyle, Economist

Fort Collins, Colorado (970) 295-5523 kevin.boyle@usda.gov

Gail Brant, Sociologist

Royersford, Pennsylvania (610) 792-9207 gail.brant@usda.gov

Andrea Clarke, Environmental

Psychologist Port Republic, Maryland (410) 586-1755 aclarke@lamar.colostate.edu

Michael Johnson, Anthropologist

Tucson, Arizona (520) 626-4685 mdjnrcs@ag.arizona.edu

Jeffrey Kenyon,

Sociologist Little Rock, Arkansas (501) 210-8910 jeff.kenyon@ar.usda.gov

Barbara Wallace

Community Planner Grand Rapids, Michigan (616) 942-1503 barbara.wallace@usda.gov



Page 8

Issue 21, September 2002