

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### [PART 1 - PRE-CHARGE](#)

<b>A. PRE-CHARGE ASSISTANCE</b> .....	<a href="#">1A-1</a>
1. <b>GENERAL PRE-FILING TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE</b> .....	<a href="#">1A-1</a>
2. <b>DRAFTING THE CHARGE</b> .....	<a href="#">1A-2</a>
3. <b>COLLECTING AND ORGANIZING SUPPORTING EVIDENCE</b> .....	<a href="#">1A-2</a>
4. <b>LEGAL IMPEDIMENTS PRECLUDING THE FILING OF A CHARGE</b> .....	<a href="#">1A-3</a>
5. <b>E-MAIL AND PRE-CHARGE ASSISTANCE</b> .....	<a href="#">1A-4</a>
6. <b>CONFIRMATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE</b> .....	<a href="#">1A-4</a>
<b>B. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES</b> .....	<a href="#">1B-1</a>
1. <b>WHAT ADR SERVICES ARE PROVIDED</b> .....	<a href="#">1B-1</a>
2. <b>BENEFITS OF ADR SERVICES</b> .....	<a href="#">1B-2</a>
3. <b>ADR SERVICES PROVIDE LEADERSHIP AND PROMOTE STABLE AND PRODUCTIVE LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONSHIPS</b> .....	<a href="#">1B-2</a>
4. <b>HOW ADR SERVICES ARE INITIATED</b> .....	<a href="#">1B-7</a>
5. <b>DECIDING WHETHER TO PROVIDE AN ADR SERVICE</b> .	<a href="#">1B-7</a>
6. <b>NOTIFICATION OF THE PARENT OR NATIONAL ORGANIZATION</b> .....	<a href="#">1B-8</a>

### [PART 2 - THE CHARGE](#)

<b>A. FILING A CHARGE</b> .....	<a href="#">2A-1</a>
1. <b>WHO MAY FILE A CHARGE</b> .....	<a href="#">2A-1</a>
2. <b>WHERE TO FILE A CHARGE</b> .....	<a href="#">2A-1</a>
3. <b>WHEN TO FILE A CHARGE</b> .....	<a href="#">2A-2</a>
4. <b>WHAT TO FILE</b> .....	<a href="#">2A-3</a>

- 5. HOW TO FILE A CHARGE . . . . . [2A-4](#)
- 6. CHARGES MAY BE TRANSFERRED AMONG THE REGIONS . . . . . [2A-5](#)
  
- B. DOCKETING THE CHARGE . . . . . [2B-1](#)**
  - 1. DOCKETING CHARGES RECEIVED BY FAX OR MAIL . . . . . [2B-1](#)
  - 2. CLARIFICATION OF CHARGE IS REQUIRED . . . . . [2B-2](#)
  - 3. DOCKETING CHARGES HANDED TO AN AGENT IN THE FIELD . . . . . [2B-3](#)
  - 4. ENTRY INTO ORACLE CASE TRACKING . . . . . [2B-3](#)
  - 5. THE OPENING LETTER—FIRST WRITTEN CONTACT WITH THE PARTIES AFTER A CHARGE IS DOCKETED . . . . . [2B-3](#)
  
- C. THE CASE FILE . . . . . [2C-1](#)**
  - 1. CREATION OF CASE FILE . . . . . [2C-1](#)
  - 2. TYPES OF DOCUMENTS OR MATERIALS IN THE CASE FILE . . . . . [2C-1](#)
  - 3. ORGANIZATION OF THE CASE FILE . . . . . [2C-4](#)
  
- D. REVIEWING THE CHARGE . . . . . [2D-1](#)**
  - 1. GENERAL MATTERS THAT ARE REVIEWED IN EVERY CASE AFTER A CHARGE IS DOCKETED . . . . . [2D-1](#)
  - 2. TRANSFER OF CASE TO ANOTHER REGION . . . . . [2D-2](#)
  - 3. CONSIDERATION OF ADR PROGRAM OR ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE . . . . . [2D-2](#)
  
- E. INJUNCTIONS . . . . . [2E-1](#)**
  - 1. RO REVIEWS ALL CHARGES TO DETERMINE WHETHER TO SEEK TEMPORARY RELIEF . . . . . [2E-1](#)
  - 2. SECTION [7123\(d\)](#) OF THE STATUTE . . . . . [2E-1](#)
  - 3. CASE LAW . . . . . [2E-2](#)
  - 4. FACTORS THAT DETERMINE WHETHER [§ 7123\(d\)](#) INJUNCTIVE CRITERIA ARE MET . . . . . [2E-2](#)
  - 5. IMPLEMENTATION . . . . . [2E-4](#)
  - 6. EXPEDITED INVESTIGATION . . . . . [2E-6](#)
  - 7. RD DETERMINATION ON THE MERITS OF THE CHARGE . . . . . [2E-6](#)

	AND THE APPROPRIATENESS OF TEMPORARY RELIEF	<a href="#">2E-9</a>
8.	PROCESSING OF REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY RELIEF	<a href="#">2E-9</a>
9.	THE AUTHORITY’S ACTION ON THE GC’S REQUEST	<a href="#">2E-12</a>
10.	SEEKING TEMPORARY RELIEF IN DISTRICT COURT	<a href="#">2E-13</a>
11.	LITIGATION OF THE ULP COMPLAINT AFTER APPROPRIATE TEMPORARY RELIEF HAS BEEN OBTAINED	<a href="#">2E-13</a>
F.	ASSIGNING THE CASE	<a href="#">2F-1</a>
1.	FACTORS CONSIDERED IN THE ASSIGNMENT OF CASES	<a href="#">2F-1</a>
2.	EXAMPLES OF PRACTICES IN THE ASSIGNMENT OF CASES	<a href="#">2F-1</a>
G.	AGENT’S INITIAL WRITTEN OR ORAL CONTACT WITH THE PARTIES	<a href="#">2G-1</a>
1.	AFTER RECEIPT OF A CASE FILE, THE AGENT DRAFTS A LETTER OR TELEPHONES THE PARTIES’ REPRESENTATIVES	<a href="#">2G-1</a>
2.	CONSIDERATION OF ADR PROGRAM OR ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE	<a href="#">2G-3</a>
H.	AMENDING THE CHARGE	<a href="#">2H-1</a>
1.	EXAMPLES OF SITUATIONS REQUIRING THAT A CHARGE BE AMENDED	<a href="#">2H-1</a>
2.	TIME CONSIDERATIONS UNDER § 7118(a)(4)(A) OF THE STATUTE	<a href="#">2H-2</a>
3.	WHAT IS REQUIRED TO AMEND A CHARGE	<a href="#">2H-3</a>
4.	SERVICE REQUIREMENTS	<a href="#">2H-3</a>
5.	RO EMPLOYEES ARE AVAILABLE AT ALL TIMES TO ASSIST THE CHARGING PARTY IN AMENDING A CHARGE	<a href="#">2H-3</a>
6.	CHARGED PARTY OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND TO AMENDED CHARGE	<a href="#">2H-4</a>

**I. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO FSIP REQUESTS FOR ASSISTANCE** ..... [2I-1](#)  
    **WHEN THE UNDERLYING ALLEGATIONS OF A ULP CHARGE CONCERN A NEGOTIATION IMPASSE** ..... [2I-1](#)

**J. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO PENDING NEGOTIABILITY APPEALS** ..... [2J-1](#)  
    1. CHECK THE CHARGE FORM 22 ..... [2J-1](#)  
    2. NOTIFY OGC HEADQUARTERS ..... [2J-1](#)

**K. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO A PENDING REPRESENTATION PETITION** ..... [2K-1](#)  
    1. PRIORITY GIVEN TO ULP CHARGES THAT “BLOCK” REPRESENTATION PETITIONS ..... [2K-1](#)  
    2. NON-MERIT DETERMINATION OF THE ULP CHARGE “UNBLOCKS” A REPRESENTATION CASE ..... [2K-1](#)  
    3. DEFER PROCESSING ULP CHARGE UNTIL RESOLUTION OF PENDING REPRESENTATION CASE ..... [2K-2](#)  
    4. RO RECEIPT OF ULP CHARGE THAT RAISES REPRESENTATION ISSUE ..... [2K-2](#)

**L. PROCESSING CHARGES RELATED TO A PENDING MSPB, SPECIAL COUNSEL OR DOL CASE** ..... [2L-1](#)  
    1. EXPEDITIOUS IDENTIFICATION AND DISPOSITION OF ULP CHARGES THAT RELATE TO CASES BEFORE ANOTHER ADJUDICATORY FORUM ..... [2L-1](#)  
    2. LIAISON WITH MSPB, SPECIAL COUNSEL AND DOL ..... [2L-2](#)  
    3. REQUEST FOR INFORMATION FROM CASE FILE ..... [2L-2](#)

**[PART 3 - THE INVESTIGATION](#)**

**A. PREPARATION FOR INVESTIGATION** ..... [3A-1](#)  
    1. THE AGENT COMPLIES WITH OGC POLICIES IN DEVELOPING INVESTIGATIVE PLAN ..... [3A-1](#)

- 2. **FACTORS CONSIDERED IN DETERMINING WHETHER THE INVESTIGATIVE PLAN IS WRITTEN** . . . . . [3A-2](#)
- 3. **STEPS TO PREPARE FOR AN INVESTIGATION** . . . . . [3A-2](#)
- B. ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE** . . . . . [3B-1](#)
  - 1. **GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE** . . . . . [3B-1](#)
  - 2. **OBJECTIVES WHEN USING AN ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE** . . . . . [3B-1](#)
  - 3. **CRITERIA APPLIED IN DETERMINING WHETHER TO OFFER THE ADR PROCEDURE TO THE PARTIES** . . . . . [3B-2](#)
  - 4. **EXPLANATION OF THE ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE** . . . . . [3B-4](#)
  - 5. **NOTIFICATION OF THE PARENT OR NATIONAL ORGANIZATION** . . . . . [3B-7](#)
  - 6. **ENFORCEMENT** . . . . . [3B-7](#)
- C. QUALITY STANDARDS FOR INVESTIGATIONS** . . . . . [3C-1](#)
  - 1. **OBJECTIVES OF QUALITY STANDARDS** . . . . . [3C-1](#)
  - 2. **QUALITY STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO EVERY INVESTIGATION** . . . . . [3C-1](#)
  - 3. **EXPLANATION OF THE STANDARDS** . . . . . [3C-2](#)
  - 4. **IMPLEMENTATION OF QUALITY STANDARDS** . . . . . [3C-4](#)
- D. SCOPE OF INVESTIGATIONS** . . . . . [3D-1](#)
  - 1. **SCOPE OF ULP INVESTIGATIONS** . . . . . [3D-1](#)
  - 2. **CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE SCOPE OF INVESTIGATIONS** . . . . . [3D-1](#)
  - 3. **RDS MAY CONCLUDE AN INVESTIGATION** . . . . . [3D-2](#)
  - 4. **EXPLANATION OF THE CRITERIA** . . . . . [3D-2](#)
  - 5. **RD DISAGREES WITH AGENT’S DECISION TO SOLICIT A WITHDRAWAL** . . . . . [3D-6](#)
- E. ARRANGING ON-SITE INVESTIGATIONS** . . . . . [3E-1](#)
  - 1. **WHEN TO DO AN ON-SITE INVESTIGATION** . . . . . [3E-1](#)
  - 2. **NOTIFICATION TO AGENCY OF PLAN TO GO ON-SITE** . [3E-2](#)

- 3. OFFICIAL TIME FOR WITNESSES . . . . . [3E-2](#)
- 4. MANAGEMENT DECLINES TO MAKE A WITNESS AVAILABLE . . . . . [3E-4](#)
  
- F. EVIDENCE, IN GENERAL . . . . . [3F-1](#)**
  - 1. EVIDENCE V. INFORMATION . . . . . [3F-1](#)
  - 2. DETERMINING THE BEST METHOD OF OBTAINING EVIDENCE . . . . . [3F-2](#)
  - 3. THE INVESTIGATION OBTAINS THE BEST POSSIBLE EVIDENCE . . . . . [3F-3](#)
  - 4. CHOOSING BETWEEN DOCUMENTARY AND SWORN TESTIMONIAL EVIDENCE . . . . . [3F-3](#)
  - 5. ASSESSING RELEVANCE AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE . . . . . [3F-4](#)
  - 6. BALANCE BETWEEN THE AMOUNT OF NECESSARY EVIDENCE AND THE AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE THAT THE CHARGING PARTY INSISTS ON SUBMITTING . . . . . [3F-6](#)
  
- G. DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE . . . . . [3G-1](#)**
  - 1. DEFINITION OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE . . . . . [3G-1](#)
  - 2. REQUIREMENTS OF CASE FILE . . . . . [3G-1](#)
  - 3. USE OF THE INTERNET . . . . . [3G-2](#)
  
- H. AFFIDAVITS TAKEN IN PERSON . . . . . [3H-1](#)**
  - 1. GENERAL RULES PERTAINING TO ALL AFFIDAVITS . . . . . [3H-1](#)
  - 2. BASIC STEPS OF TAKING AN ON-SITE AFFIDAVIT . . . . . [3H-3](#)
  - 3. CHARGING PARTY WITNESS AFFIDAVIT/S--THEORY OF THE CASE AND ESSENTIAL FACTUAL ELEMENTS . . . . . [3H-4](#)
  - 4. ADDITIONAL AFFIDAVITS . . . . . [3H-4](#)
  - 5. CHARACTERISTICS OF A QUALITY AFFIDAVIT . . . . . [3H-5](#)
  - 6. DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN THE AFFIDAVIT . . . . . [3H-7](#)
  - 7. AFFIDAVITS COVERING MULTIPLE CHARGES . . . . . [3H-7](#)
  - 8. REPRESENTATION OF THE CHARGING PARTY, CHARGED PARTY, AND NEUTRAL WITNESSES . . . . . [3H-8](#)
  
- I. TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVITS . . . . . [3I-1](#)**
  - 1. CRITERIA FOR TAKING A TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVIT . . . . . [3I-1](#)

- 2. HOW TO TAKE A TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVIT . . . . . [3I-2](#)
- 3. USE OF UNSIGNED/UNRETURNED TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVITS . . . . . [3I-3](#)
  
- J. SWORN QUESTIONNAIRES TRANSMITTED TO AND FROM THE REGION BY MAIL . . . . . [3J-1](#)**
  - 1. WHEN TO USE A SWORN QUESTIONNAIRE . . . . . [3J-1](#)
  - 2. CHARACTERISTICS OF A QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE . . . . . [3J-2](#)
  - 3. REGIONS SHARE QUESTIONNAIRES VIA E-MAIL . . . . . [3J-3](#)
  
- K. INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENAS . . . . . [3K-1](#)**
  - 1. WHEN DOES AN RD CONSIDER REQUESTING THAT THE GC ISSUE AN INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA . . . . . [3K-1](#)
  - 2. CRITERIA RD’S APPLY IN DETERMINING WHETHER TO REQUEST THAT THE GC ISSUE AN INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA . . . . . [3K-1](#)
  - 3. PROCESS FOR REQUESTING AND OBTAINING GC’S ISSUANCE OF INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA . . . . . [3K-2](#)
  - 4. BEFORE A SUBPOENA ISSUES, THE CHARGED PARTY HAS A LAST CHANCE TO COOPERATE WITH THE INVESTIGATION . . . . . [3K-3](#)
  - 5. SERVICE OF SUBPOENA . . . . . [3K-3](#)
  - 6. REVOCATION OF SUBPOENA . . . . . [3K-4](#)
  - 7. ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS . . . . . [3K-4](#)
  
- L. INTERVIEWS WHEN NO AFFIDAVITS ARE TAKEN . . . . . [3L-1](#)**
  - 1. A MEMORANDUM TO THE FILE IS NOT EVIDENCE . . . . . [3L-1](#)
  - 2. CONFIRMING LETTERS OF CHARGING PARTY WITNESS [3L-1](#)
  - 3. INTERVIEWS WITH CHARGED PARTY REPRESENTATIVES AND OTHER AGENTS WHEN NO AFFIDAVITS ARE TAKEN [3L-3](#)
  - 4. INTERVIEWS WITH NON-PARTY WITNESSES GIVING FACTS WHEN NO AFFIDAVITS ARE TAKEN . . . . . [3L-4](#)
  
- M. IMPROPERLY OBTAINED OR PURLOINED INFORMATION/EVIDENCE . . . . . [3M-1](#)**

1.	<b>AN AGENT OF THE FLRA NEVER ENGAGES IN COMPLICITY IN IMPROPERLY OBTAINING EVIDENCE . . .</b>	<b><u>3M-1</u></b>
2.	<b>AN AGENT IS ADVISED THAT A WITNESS HAS EVIDENCE THAT MAY HAVE BEEN IMPROPERLY OBTAINED/PURLOINED . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3M-1</u></b>
3.	<b>CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING WHETHER TO ACCEPT AND USE SUCH EVIDENCE . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3M-2</u></b>
4.	<b>AUTHORITY PRECEDENT . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3M-3</u></b>
5.	<b>NLRB/COURT PRECEDENT . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3M-4</u></b>
<b>N.</b>	<b>DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE--DISCUSSING THE CONTENTS AND FURNISHING COPIES . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3N-1</u></b>
1.	<b>DISCLOSURE OF A PARTY'S DOCUMENTARY OR PHYSICAL EVIDENCE . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3N-1</u></b>
2.	<b>DISCLOSURE OF AFFIDAVITS, SWORN QUESTIONNAIRES, AND CONFIRMING LETTERS . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3N-2</u></b>
<b>O.</b>	<b>DUTY OF THE CHARGING PARTY . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3O-1</u></b>
1.	<b>PURSUANT TO § 2423.8(b)(1), COOPERATION INCLUDES . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3O-1</u></b>
2.	<b>DISMISSALS FOR LACK OF COOPERATION AND DISMISSALS ON THE MERITS FOR PARTIAL COOPERATION . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3O-1</u></b>
3.	<b>CASE PROCESSING TECHNIQUES . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3O-4</u></b>
<b>P.</b>	<b>DUTY OF THE CHARGED PARTY . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3P-1</u></b>
1.	<b>CHARGED PARTY COOPERATION IS SOUGHT THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE PROCESSING OF THE CHARGE . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3P-1</u></b>
2.	<b>USE OF THE INVESTIGATORY SUBPOENA . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3P-2</u></b>
<b>Q.</b>	<b>RESOLVING DISPUTES DURING INVESTIGATIONS AND PRIOR TO A MERIT DETERMINATION . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3Q-1</u></b>
1.	<b>GUIDELINES WHEN ASSISTING THE PARTIES IN RESOLVING THEIR DISPUTE . . . . .</b>	<b><u>3Q-1</u></b>



- 2. CRITERIA AND PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE RESOLUTION OF ULP CHARGES . . . . . [3Q-2](#)
- 3. APPLICATION OF INTEREST-BASED PROBLEM-SOLVING PROCESS TO RESOLUTION OF ULP CHARGES . . . . . [3Q-3](#)
  
- R. ENSURING EMPLOYEE SAFETY DURING AN INVESTIGATION . . . . . [3R-1](#)**
  - 1. DEFINITIONS OF POTENTIALLY THREATENING SITUATIONS . . . . . [3R-1](#)
  - 2. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ALL EMPLOYEES . . . [3R-2](#)
  - 3. STEPS TO FOLLOW WHEN CONFRONTED BY THREATENING BEHAVIOR POSING AN IMMINENT DANGER . . . . . [3R-3](#)
  - 4. REPORTING POTENTIALLY THREATENING INCIDENT . . . . . [3R-3](#)
  - 5. CONTENTS OF THE AGENT’S REPORT . . . . . [3R-4](#)
  - 6. The RD CONSIDERS CHANGES IN THE RELATIONSHIP WITH A PARTY IN LIGHT OF AN INCIDENT . . . . . [3R-4](#)
  - 7. STEPS TO REPORT NON-THREATENING INCIDENTS . . . [3R-5](#)
  
- S. DEALING WITH PARTIES WHO DISPLAY ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR DURING AN INVESTIGATION . . . . . [3S-1](#)**
  - 1. DEFINITION OF ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR . . . . . [3S-1](#)
  - 2. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ALL EMPLOYEES . . . [3S-2](#)
  - 3. STEPS TO FOLLOW IF EXPERIENCING ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR . . . . . [3S-3](#)
  - 4. THE RD CONSIDERS CHANGES IN THE RELATIONSHIP WITH PARTY IN LIGHT OF INCIDENT . . . . . [3S-4](#)
  
- [PART 4](#) - POST-INVESTIGATION**
  
- A. AGENT’S INVOLVEMENT IN WITHDRAWAL REQUESTS PRIOR TO A REGIONAL DIRECTOR MERIT DETERMINATION . . . . . [4A-1](#)**

1.	<b>STANDARDS UNDER WHICH RO AGENTS CAN SOLICIT WITHDRAWAL OF A CHARGE BEFORE A MERIT DETERMINATION</b>	<a href="#"><u>4A-1</u></a>
2.	<b>SOLICITATION OF A WITHDRAWAL PRIOR TO AN RD DECISION ON THE MERITS BASED ON AN AGENT’S EVALUATION OF THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE</b>	<a href="#"><u>4A-3</u></a>
3.	<b>HOW THE AGENT PROCEEDS IF A WITHDRAWAL REQUEST IS, OR IS NOT, SUBMITTED</b>	<a href="#"><u>4A-4</u></a>
4.	<b>WHEN THE RD DISAGREES WITH AN AGENT’S DECISION TO SOLICIT A WITHDRAWAL</b>	<a href="#"><u>4A-5</u></a>
<b>B. REGIONAL DIRECTOR APPROVAL OF REQUEST TO WITHDRAW CHARGE PRIOR TO A REGIONAL DIRECTOR MERIT DETERMINATION</b>		
		<a href="#"><u>4B-1</u></a>
1.	<b>A WITHDRAWAL REQUEST PRIOR TO A NON-MERIT DETERMINATION</b>	<a href="#"><u>4B-1</u></a>
2.	<b>WITHDRAWAL REQUEST WHEN RESOLUTION IS A PSIWOC</b>	<a href="#"><u>4B-1</u></a>
3.	<b>PARTIAL WITHDRAWAL</b>	<a href="#"><u>4B-2</u></a>
4.	<b>RESCINDING A WITHDRAWAL REQUEST</b>	<a href="#"><u>4B-3</u></a>
<b>C. CONSULTATION, ADVICE AND CLEARANCE</b>		
		<a href="#"><u>4C-1</u></a>
1.	<b>CONSULTATION</b>	<a href="#"><u>4C-1</u></a>
2.	<b>ADVICE</b>	<a href="#"><u>4C-1</u></a>
3.	<b>CLEARANCE</b>	<a href="#"><u>4C-2</u></a>
<b>D. REGIONAL DIRECTOR MERIT DETERMINATIONS</b>		
		<a href="#"><u>4D-1</u></a>
1.	<b>WHEN A CASE IS READY FOR PRESENTATION TO THE RD FOR DECISION</b>	<a href="#"><u>4D-1</u></a>
2.	<b>PRESENTATION OF THE CASE TO THE RD</b>	<a href="#"><u>4D-2</u></a>
3.	<b>WEIGHING THE EVIDENCE IN THE INVESTIGATIVE AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESS</b>	<a href="#"><u>4D-4</u></a>
4.	<b>PRE-COMPLAINT UNILATERAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS WHERE RD HAS MADE A MERIT DETERMINATION</b>	<a href="#"><u>4D-6</u></a>

- 5. **PRE-COMPLAINT BILATERAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS WHERE THE RD HAS MADE A MERIT DETERMINATION** [4D-7](#)
  
- E. SOLICITING WITHDRAWAL AFTER A REGIONAL DIRECTOR NON-MERIT DETERMINATION** [4E-1](#)
  - 1. **THE AGENT SOLICITS WITHDRAWAL OF CHARGE** [4E-1](#)
  - 2. **THE PROTOCOL FOR THE AGENT’S EXPLANATION OF BASIS FOR THE NON-MERIT DECISION** [4E-2](#)
  - 3. **HOW THE CHARGING PARTY REQUESTS TO WITHDRAW CHARGE** [4E-3](#)
  - 4. **EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT WITHDRAWAL REQUEST** [4E-3](#)
  - 5. **THE AGENT IS UNABLE TO CONTACT THE CHARGING PARTY** [4E-5](#)
  - 6. **RO DISCLOSURE TO THE CHARGED PARTY AFTER AN RD NON-MERIT DECISION** [4E-5](#)
  - 7. **THE AGENT DOCUMENTS ALL PARTY CONTACTS IN THE CASE FILE** [4E-6](#)
  
- F. PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION** [4F-1](#)
  - 1. **GOAL OF EXERCISING PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION** [4F-1](#)
  - 2. **PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION CRITERIA** [4F-1](#)
  - 3. **CONSIDERATION OF PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION DURING THE INVESTIGATION AND AFTER A MERIT DETERMINATION** [4F-4](#)
  
- G. SETTLEMENTS** [4G-1](#)
  - 1. **THE GENERAL GOAL OF ALL SETTLEMENTS** [4G-1](#)
  - 2. **THE SPECIFIC GOALS OF SETTLEMENTS** [4G-1](#)
  - 3. **IMPLEMENTATION OF SETTLEMENTS** [4G-2](#)
  - 4. **CRITERIA FOR AN RD’S APPROVAL OF A UNILATERAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** [4G-4](#)
  - 5. **FORMAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** [4G-5](#)
  - 6. **ENFORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** [4G-6](#)

**H. DISMISSAL LETTERS** ..... [4H-1](#)

    1.    **BASES FOR DISMISSAL OF A CHARGE** ..... [4H-1](#)

    2.    **CRITERIA OF A QUALITY DISMISSAL LETTER** ..... [4H-2](#)

    3.    **PARTIAL DISMISSALS** ..... [4H-3](#)

    4.    **DISMISSALS BASED ON PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION** [4H-3](#)

    5.    **REVOCAION OF DISMISSAL** ..... [4H-3](#)

    6.    **SERVICE OF DISMISSAL LETTER AND REVOCATION OF DISMISSAL LETTER** ..... [4H-4](#)

**I. ISSUANCE OF ULP COMPLAINT** ..... [4I-1](#)

    1.    **DRAFTING THE COMPLAINT** ..... [4I-1](#)

    2.    **ISSUANCE OF COMPLAINT** ..... [4I-1](#)

    3.    **OALJ ISSUANCE OF ORDER UPON RECEIPT OF COMPLAINT** ..... [4I-3](#)

    4.    **THE TRANSMITTAL MEMORANDUM** ..... [4I-3](#)

**[PART 5](#) - POST-DECISION AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS**

**A. CUSTOMER STANDARDS** ..... [5A-1](#)

    1.    **THE FLRA CUSTOMER SERVICE STANDARDS** ..... [5A-1](#)

    2.    **THE OGC IMPLEMENTS THE FLRA CUSTOMER SERVICE STANDARDS IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER** .. [5A-2](#)

**B. ETHICS** ..... [5B-1](#)

    1.    **TWO OF THE CORE CONCEPTS** ..... [5B-1](#)

    2.    **ALL PARTICIPANTS IN AN INVESTIGATION ARE TREATED FAIRLY AND EQUITABLY AND THE OGC’S INVESTIGATIVE METHODS WILL BE EXPLAINED TO THE PARTICIPANTS** [5B-2](#)

    3.    **APPLICATION OF SELECTED PROVISIONS OF THE STANDARDS OF ETHICAL CONDUCT DURING ULP INVESTIGATIONS** ..... [5B-2](#)

    4.    **ROLE OF OGC EMPLOYEES IN THE DELIVERY OF ALTERNATIVE CASE PROCESSING PROCEDURE** ..... [5B-6](#)

**C. APPEALS PROCESS** ..... [5C-1](#)

1.	NOTIFICATION OF APPEAL RIGHTS .....	<a href="#">5C-1</a>
2.	WHERE APPEALS ARE FILED .....	<a href="#">5C-1</a>
3.	THE APPEALS CASE FILE .....	<a href="#">5C-2</a>
4.	THE DISMISSING REGION’S RESPONSIBILITIES .....	<a href="#">5C-2</a>
5.	THE ASSIGNMENT OF AN APPEALS CASE FOR REVIEW .....	<a href="#">5C-4</a>
6.	CONDUCTING AN APPEALS REVIEW .....	<a href="#">5C-6</a>
7.	GROUNDS FOR GRANTING AN APPEAL OF AN RD’S DECISION SET FORTH AT § <a href="#">2423.11(e)</a> .....	<a href="#">5C-7</a>
8.	DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL .....	<a href="#">5C-9</a>
9.	PREPARING THE DRAFT APPEAL DETERMINATION LETTER .....	<a href="#">5C-9</a>
10.	CASE FILE ANALYSIS .....	<a href="#">5C-11</a>
11.	THE COMPLETION OF AN APPEALS REVIEW .....	<a href="#">5C-13</a>
12.	QUALITY STANDARDS FOR APPEALS CASE PROCESSING .....	<a href="#">5C-14</a>
D.	PARITY .....	<a href="#">5D-1</a>
1.	THE GOALS OF PARITY .....	<a href="#">5D-1</a>
2.	HOW PARITY IS IMPLEMENTED .....	<a href="#">5D-1</a>
E.	TRANSFERRING CASES BETWEEN REGIONS .....	<a href="#">5E-1</a>
1.	THE GOALS OF TRANSFER POLICY .....	<a href="#">5E-1</a>
2.	HOW A TRANSFER OF CASES BETWEEN RO IS IMPLEMENTED .....	<a href="#">5E-1</a>
F.	PERIODIC GEOGRAPHIC JURISDICTION REVIEW .....	<a href="#">5F-1</a>
1.	GOALS OF GEOGRAPHIC JURISDICTION REVIEW .....	<a href="#">5F-1</a>
2.	HOW REVIEW IS IMPLEMENTED .....	<a href="#">5F-1</a>
3.	CHANGES IN REGIONAL JURISDICTIONS .....	<a href="#">5F-2</a>
G.	COMPLIANCE WITH AUTHORITY ULP ORDERS .....	<a href="#">5G-1</a>
1.	EFFECTUATING COMPLIANCE .....	<a href="#">5G-1</a>
2.	POSTINGS .....	<a href="#">5G-2</a>
3.	AFFIRMATIVE PROVISIONS OTHER THAN BACKPAY ..	<a href="#">5G-3</a>
4.	INVESTIGATING ALLEGATIONS OF NONCOMPLIANCE ..	<a href="#">5G-4</a>

- 5. CLOSING A CASE OR REFERRING A CASE TO THE AUTHORITY ..... [5G-4](#)
- 6. REGIONAL ACTION AFTER REFERRAL OF AN ALLEGATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE TO THE AUTHORITY ..... [5G-6](#)
- 7. ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS ..... [5G-8](#)
- 8. RESPONDENT FILES A PETITION FOR REVIEW OR STATES AN INTENT NOT TO COMPLY ..... [5G-9](#)
  
- H. PROCESSING ULP CHARGES ALLEGING NONCOMPLIANCE WITH AN INFORMAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** .... [5H-1](#)
  - 1. SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION OF ULP CHARGE ..... [5H-1](#)
  - 2. NO NEW INDEPENDENT ULP ..... [5H-1](#)
  - 3. AN INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGED NONCOMPLIANCE .. [5H-2](#)
  - 4. ALLEGATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE NOT SUBSTANTIATED ..... [5H-2](#)
  - 5. ALLEGATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE SUBSTANTIATED .. [5H-2](#)
  
- I. PROCESSING ALLEGED NONCOMPLIANCE WITH AUTHORITY DECISIONS AND ORDERS ON NEGOTIABILITY ISSUES** . [5I-1](#)
  - 1. AN RD'S AUTHORITY ..... [5I-1](#)
  - 2. PROCESSING ULP CHARGES ALLEGING NONCOMPLIANCE WITH AUTHORITY NEGOTIABILITY ORDERS ..... [5I-2](#)
  
- J. BACKPAY** ..... [5J-1](#)
  - 1. BACKPAY PERIOD ..... [5J-1](#)
  - 2. INTEREST ON BACKPAY ..... [5J-1](#)
  - 3. PREPARATION OF BACKPAY COMPUTATION ..... [5J-2](#)
  - 4. BACKPAY COMPUTATION ..... [5J-2](#)
  - 5. FORMAL BACKPAY PROCEEDINGS ..... [5J-5](#)
  
- TABLE OF AUTHORITIES** ..... [TOA-1](#)
  
- INDEX** ..... [I-1](#)