MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2005

July 15, 2004.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. Knollenberg, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 4837]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005.

CONTENTS

| | rage |
|---|---------------|
| Purpose of the Bill | 2 |
| Conformance With Authorization Bill | 2 |
| Summary of Committee Recommendation | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
| General Statement | $\bar{7}$ |
| Budgetary Cap on Housing Privatization | 7 |
| Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization | 8 |
| Military Construction: | O |
| . · | 9 |
| Army Navy and Marine Corps | 10 |
| Air Force | 11 |
| | 12 |
| Defense-wide | 13 |
| Army National Guard | 13 |
| Air National Guard | |
| Army Reserve | 14 |
| Naval Reserve | 15 |
| Air Force Reserve | 15 |
| NATO Security Investment Program | 16 |
| Family Housing Overview | 16 |
| Family Housing: | |
| Army | 18 |
| Navy and Marine Corps | 20 |
| Air Force | 20 |
| Defense-wide | 21 |
| Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund | 22 |
| Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense | 22 |
| Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide | 23 |
| | |

| Base Realignment and Closure Account | 23 |
|---|----|
| General Provisions | 24 |
| Changes in Application of Existing Law | 26 |
| Definition of Program, Project and Activity | 26 |
| Appropriations Not Authorized by Law | 27 |
| Transfer of Funds | 29 |
| Constitutional Authority | 29 |
| Comparisons With Budget Resolution | 29 |
| Five-Year Projection of Outlays | 29 |
| Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments | 30 |
| Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives | 30 |
| State List | 30 |

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction Appropriations bill provides funds for planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of facilities and family housing located on reserve and active duty military installations around the world. Additionally, the bill provides funds for the U.S. share of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. The bill also provides funds to execute projects identified by the base realignment and closure authorities. Finally, the bill provides funds for the Department of Defense Chemical Demilitarization Construction program.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

On May 20, 2004, the House passed the National Defense Authorization Act for 2005 (H.R. 4200) by a vote of 391 to 34. At this time, conference action on the legislation has not concluded; therefore, projects in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,003,000,000 in new budget authority for the Military Construction Appropriations bill. This recommendation is \$449,625,000 above the President's request and \$162,139,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level. The following table compares amounts recommended in the bill to the President's request and amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2004:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005 (Amounts in thousands)

| | FY 2004 Enacted | FY 2005 Request | B111 | Bill vs. Enacted | Bill vs. Request |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Military construction, Army | 1,448,239 -183,615 162,100 | 1,771,285 | 1,862,854 | +414,615 +183,615 -162,100 | +91,569 |
| - Total | 1,426,724 | 1,771,285 | 1,862,854 | +436,130 | +91,569 |
| Military construction, Navy | 1,238,458 -45,622 45,530 | 1,060,455 | 1,081,042 | -157,416 +45,622 -45,530 | +20,587 |
| - Total | 1,238,366 | 1,060,455 | 1,081,042 | -157,324 | +20,587 |
| Military construction, Air Force | 1,067,751 -23,000 292,550 | 663,964 | 797,865 | -269,886 +23,000 -292,550 | +133,901 |
| - Total | 1,337,301 | 663,964 | 797,865 | -539,436 | +133,901 |
| Military construction, Defense-wideRescission | 653,656 -72,309 | 709,337 | 718,837 | +65,181 +72,309 | +9,500 |
| Total | 581,347 | 709,337 | 718,837 | +137,490 | +9,500 |
| Total, Active components | 4,583,738 | 4,205,041 | 4,460,598 | -123,140 | +255,557 |
| Military construction, Army National Guard | 311,592 222,908 88,451 | 295,657 127,368 87,070 | 394,100 180,533 116,521 | +82,508 -42,375 +28,070 | +98,443 +53,165 +29,451 |

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005 (Amounts in thousands)

| | FY 2004 Enacted | FY 2005 Request | B111 | Bill vs. Enacted | Bill vs. Request |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Military construction, Naval Reserve | 45,498 62,032 | 25,285 84,556 | 30,955 111,725 | -14,543 +49,693 | +5,670 +27,169 |
| Total, Reserve components | 730,481 | 619,936 | 833,834 | +103,353 | +213,898 |
| Total, Military constructionAppropriationsRescissions | 5,314,219 (5,138,585) (-324,546) | 4,824,977 (4,824,977) | 5,294,432 (5,294,432) | -19,787 (+155,847) (+324,546) | +469,455 (+469,455) |
| North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program | 169,300 -8,000 | 165,800 | 165,800 | -3,500 | ! ! |
| Total | 161,300 | 165,800 | 165,800 | +4,500 | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * |
| Family housing construction, ArmyRescission | 383,591 -94,151 | 636,099 | 636,099 | +252,508 +94,151 | ! ! |
| Total | 289,440 | 636,099 | 630'989 | +346,659 | 2 |
| Family housing operation and maintenance, Army | 1,033,026 11,420 | 928,907 | 926,507 | -106,519 | -2,400 |
| Total | 1,044,446 | 928,907 | 926,507 | -117,939 | -2,400 |

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005 (Amounts in thousands)

| | FY 2004 Enacted | FY 2005 Request | Bill | Bill vs. Enacted | Bill vs. Request |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|---|
| Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps Rescission | 184,193 -40,508 | 139,107 | 139,107 | -45,086 +40,508 | 1 1 |
| Total | 143,685 | 139,107 | 139, 107 | -4,578 | ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; |
| Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps | 835,078 6,280 | 704,504 | 696,304 | -138,774 | -8,200 |
| . Total | 841,358 | 704,504 | 696,304 | -145,054 | -8,200 |
| Family housing construction, Air ForceRescission | 657,065 -19,347 | 846,959 | 846,959 | +189,894 | i 1 i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |
| . Total | 637,718 | 846,959 | 846,959 | +209,241 | : |
| Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106) | 816,074 6,981 | 863,896 | 854,666 | +38,592 -6,981 | -9,230 |
| Total | 823,055 | 863,896 | 854,666 | +31,611 | -9,230 |
| Family housing construction, Defense-wide | 350 49,440 | 49 49,575 | 49 49,575 | -301 | ; ; ; ; |

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

| | FY 2004 Enacted | FY 2005 Request | 1118 | Bill vs. Enacted | Bill vs. Request |
|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund | 300 -9,692 | 2,500 | 2,500 | +2,200 | ; ; |
| Total | -9,392 | 2,500 | 2,500 | +11,892 | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |
| Total, Family housing | 3,820,100 | 4,171,596 | 3,820,100 4,171,596 4,151,766 | +331,666 | .19,830 |
| Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense Base realignment and closure account General provision (sec. 118) | 119,815 370,427 55,000 | 81,886 246,116 63,000 | 81,886 246,116 63,000 | -37,929 -124,311 +8,000 | |
| Grand total: New budget (obligational) authority Appropriations Emergency appropriations Rescissions | 9,840,861 9,5 (9,812,244) (9,5 (524,861) (-496,244) | 9,553,375 | 10,003,000 (10,003,000) | +162,139 (+190,756) (-524,861) (+496,244) | +449,625 (+449,625) |

GENERAL STATEMENT

Several witnesses from the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense emphasized to this Committee that we remain a nation at war. Current operations relating to the Global War on Terrorism, including, but not limited to Iraq and Afghanistan, combined with the other security commitments of the United States and homeland defense, place a great burden on the armed services. The Committee believes the ultimate purpose of this bill is to support our service men and women and their families.

The challenges to military infrastructure at this time are numerous. The Secretary of Defense set the goal of achieving a 67-year recapitalization rate across all facilities by 2008, but even at this rate, the Department will not bring facilities up to an average

readiness rating of C–2 until the end of fiscal year 2010.

It is therefore with disappointment that the Committee notes the continuing inadequacy of military construction budget requests. As a percentage of the overall defense budget, military construction and family housing expenditures have declined since the mid-1990s. The Committee understands that much of the growth in the defense budget in recent years has been due to operations and maintenance costs related to the Global War on Terrorism. At the same time, the Committee believes that the relatively small amounts needed to build and maintain good infrastructure and family housing yield tremendous benefits in terms of readiness, quality of life, and recruitment and retention rates of our service men and women. Yet the Committee notes that the military construction budget requests for the active and reserve components represent a cut of \$378,697,000 and \$110,545,000, respectively, from the fiscal year 2004 enacted level. Underfunding military construction with the expectation that Congress will fill in the gaps is an unwise and unsustainable budget strategy. The Committee is encouraged by indications that more substantial increases in military construction budget requests are forthcoming beginning in fiscal year 2006, and will continue to scrutinize those requests to ensure that those budgets are sufficiently matched to the Department's goals.

BUDGETARY CAP ON HOUSING PRIVATIZATION

The Committee fully supports the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI). Where it has been undertaken, privatized family housing for service members and their loved ones has dramatically improved the quality of life, resulting in residencies that are commensurate with the sacrifices that our service men and women are called on to make. By helping to ensure that inadequate housing is eliminated by the end of the decade, MHPI has a direct and positive impact on recruitment and retention rates, which are crucial to maintaining the excellence of the All Volunteer Force.

While the Committee believes that the services should continue to rely on local housing markets as much as possible, privatized military housing represents the next best option in many cases. Before the end of this calendar year, the statutory cap limiting total Federal government contributions to \$850,000,000 for privatized family housing will be reached. Without legislative relief, MHPI

will come to a halt, endangering numerous planned housing projects. Unfortunately, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has indicated that it will score any such legislation differently than in

the past, rendering passage more difficult.

The Committee believes that CBO's approach is unmerited and greatly exaggerates the financial risk to the Federal government. While the Committee acknowledges the need for fiscal discipline, especially in this time of budget deficits, MHPI saves the Federal government money by leveraging private capital. The average ratio of private to Federal dollars is 11:1. Without MHPI, much of the family housing that would otherwise be built by leveraging private capital would necessarily be funded through traditional construction accounts. The inability to leverage private capital would entail a great loss of efficiency and likely delay the elimination of inadequate family housing well into the next decade of budget cycles.

The Secretary of Defense has established a department-wide goal of eliminating inadequate family housing by the end of fiscal year 2007. Without MHPI, achieving this goal is nearly impossible. The Committee therefore strongly supports current efforts to raise or eliminate the budgetary cap on MHPI and address scoring method-

ology changes proposed by CBO.

SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee continues to be concerned about the inadequacy of sustainment, restoration, and modernization (SRM) funds budgeted by the services. Although SRM funds are not appropriated in this bill, the level of SRM funds requested, enacted, and expended has a direct impact on the quality of all Department of Defense facilities. While the services submitted budgets that ostensibly fund 95 percent of the required SRM level, the Committee is not confident this level will hold through budget execution, primarily due to the migration of funds to support underfunded base operations support accounts. Nor does the Committee have confidence that all installations will be treated equitably in the allocation of SRM funds.

All services use C-ratings to measure facility readiness, with C-1 being the best and C-4 the worst. Although these ratings are subject to slightly differing interpretations by each service, it is fair to say that C-3 and C-4 ratings negatively impact a facility's ability to effectively support the mission. The Committee notes the percentages of C-3 and C-4 rated facilities as follows: 65 percent for the Army, 64 percent for the Navy, 50 percent for the Marine Corps, and 59 percent for the Air Force. Facilities in this shape have a direct impact on men and women in uniform, undermining readiness and endangering safety. The Committee therefore strongly urges the Administration to fully fund both SRM and base operating support for all services and ensure these levels are maintained through budget execution.

The Department is directed to continue describing on form 1390 the backlog of SRM requirements at installations with future construction projects. For troop housing requests, form 1391 should describe any SRM conducted in the past two years. Likewise, future requirements for unaccompanied housing at the corresponding installation should be included. Additionally, the forms should include English equivalent measurements for projects presented in

metric measurement. Rules for funding repairs of facilities under the Operation and Maintenance account are described below:

• Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement.

Such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.

• Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as re-

pair.

• Additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects. Such projects may be done concurrently with repair projects as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

• The appropriate service secretary shall notify the appropriate committees 21 days prior to carrying out any repair project with an

estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$1,426,724,000 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 1,771,285,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 1,862,854,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 436,130,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 91,569,000 |
| | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,862,854,000 for Military Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$436,130,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$91,569,000 above the budget request.

Alabama—Fort Rucker: Aircraft Maintenance Hangar.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$750,000 be made available for

the design of this facility.

California—Sierra Army Depot: Amedee Army Airfield Runway Extension.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$561,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Georgia—Fort Benning: Receptee Barracks Expansion.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,250,000 be made available for

the design of this facility.

Georgia—Fort Gordon: Law Enforcement Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$310,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Kentucky—Bluegrass Depot: Consolidated Shipping Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$365,000 be made available

for the design of this facility.

North Carolina—Raleigh: Joint Forces Headquarters Armed Forces Reserve Center.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the North Carolina Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center to the Army National Guard and requests the National Guard Bureau to provide a status report on the planning and design for this facility no later than February 1, 2005. The committee also encourages the Department to consider including this project in the next Future Year Defense Program.

Pennsylvania—Tobyhanna: Child Development Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Com-

mittee directs that not less than \$278,000 be made available for

the design of this facility

Virginia—Fort A.P. Hill: Combined Arms Collective Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$486,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Virginia—Fort Belvoir: Access Roads.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$500,000 be made available for the design of this

project.

Army Aviation Restructuring and Revitalization.—The Army announced on February 23, 2004 its intention to restructure and revitalize the Army Aviation program. In addition to the purchase of 800 new aircraft and the modernization of 1,400 helicopters, the plan envisions the transformation of the Reserve component of Army Aviation. As a result of the cancellation of the Comanche helicopter program, the Administration submitted a budget amendment requesting the reallocation of \$30,000,000 from the Comanche program to the Military Construction, Army National Guard account in fiscal year 2005. The Committee understands that these funds are intended to provide a head start on the Reserve component of Army Aviation restructuring. The Committee, however, believes that additional information is required to assess the impact of Army Aviation restructuring on Army facilities. The Committee therefore directs the Army to submit by September 10, 2004 a report on future plans for Army Aviation Support Facilities and all other projects required or affected by restructuring (e.g., runways). The report should include, for as many fiscal years as information is available, the installations at which new or modernized aircraft are to be delivered, the type of aircraft and date of delivery, and the projected cost of associated military construction.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$1,238,366,000 1,060,455,000 1,081,042,000 |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (157,324,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 20.587.000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,081,042,000 for Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$157,324,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$20,587,000 above the budget re-

California—Miramar MCAS: East Gate Physical Security.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$268,000 be made available

for the design of this project.

California—China Lake NAWC: Advanced Sensor Integration Facility. Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$250,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

California—Naval Postgraduate School: Spanagel Hall.—The Committee is aware the Navy is in the process of conducting a space requirement for Spanagel Hall. The Navy is also in the process of making a determination of whether replacement or renovation of the existing facility is the right acquisition approach. The Committee encourages the Navy to move this project forward in an expeditious manner.

Florida—Jacksonville NAS: Construct Aircraft Parking Apron (III).—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be made

available for the design of this project.

Florida—Jacksonville NAS: Consolidated Operational Support Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be

made available for the design of this facility.

Pennsylvania—Norfolk NSY Philadelphia DET: Improve Inside Machine Shops.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than

\$1,032,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Energy Conservation.—In addition to energy conservation programs for military construction projects funded through the Energy Conservation Improvement program, the Department of Defense has the authority to develop geothermal resources on military lands and keep the proceeds from the sale of electricity generated from those resources for use by the Department, including military construction projects. The Committee understands the Department of the Navy's Geothermal Program Office is responsible for managing and developing these resources for the military. The Committee encourages the Navy to expand opportunities to use this authority for military construction projects, such as the use of triple junction amorphous silicon photovoltaic roofing. The Committee requests the Navy to provide a report to the Committee on actions taken to incorporate section 2867 of title 10 U.S.C. into the military construction program no later than January 14, 2005.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$1,337,301,000 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 663,964,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 797,865,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (539,436,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 133,901,000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$797,865,000 for Military Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$539,436,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$133,901,000 above the budget request.

Florida—Cape Canaveral: Security Forces Operational Facility.— Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$880,000 be made avail-

able for the design of this facility.

Florida—MacDill AFB: CENTCOM Consolidation.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$8,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Idaho—Mountain Home AFB: Logistics Readiness Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,340,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Kansas—McConnell AFB: Consolidated Mobility Processing Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,332,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Nebraska—Offutt AFB: Repair Runway.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$497,000 be made available for the design

of this project.

Nevada—Nellis AFB: Fire and Crash Rescue Station.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$837,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

North Dakota—Minot AFB: Dock 1 Multi-purpose Hangar Project.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$890,000 be

made available for the design of this facility.

Washington—Fairchild ĀFB: Mission Support Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$670,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$581,347,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 709,337,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 718,837,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 137,490,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 9,500,000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$718,837,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$137,490,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$9,500,000 above the budget request. Within this appropriation is \$50,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Im-

provement Program, the same as the fiscal year 2004 level.

Use of Steel in Military Construction.—The Committee understands the Department of Defense uses cold-formed steel for the framing of both residential and non-residential construction projects in tropical and coastal regions due to steel's enhanced resistance to hurricanes, mold, rot and insect problems that frequently occur in these areas. Steel framing, which is produced domestically, may also hold other advantages in terms of fire resistance and life cycle costs. The Committee encourages the Department to explore opportunities for expanding the use of steel to other regions for military construction projects.

Use of Sustainable Design Standards by the Department of Defense.—The Committee encourages the Department to utilize sustainable building design and construction methods of maximize the efficient use of renewable, recycled, and environmentally sound materials. However, concerns have been expressed that certain rating systems adopted by the Department to assess the standards of sustainable design and construction of facilities may unfairly discriminate against domestic producers of wood construction products. Therefore, the Committee requests the Secretary of Defense submit a report to the Committee by June 1, 2005 that describes: (1) the standards used by each military department to assess the use of

sustainable design and construction methods, including credits provided for products made from renewable and recycled materials; (2) the extent to which such standards comply with the requirements of section 6002 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, section 6962 of title 42 U.S.C., Executive Order 13101, Office of Management and Budget Circular A–119, and other applicable requirements of law and regulation; and (3) the extent to which the standards adopted by each military department unfairly discriminate against the use of products and materials manufactured in the United States. The Committee expects the Secretary to take appropriate action to address any noncompliance with applicable requirements of law or regulation and any unfair discrimination against any U.S. manufactured materials identified during the course of this review.

Water-Saving Technology.—The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense has installed water-free urinals in some new facilities. These devices may reduce a facility's cost to taxpayers by reducing water and sewer bills, as well as maintenance and repair costs. These devices also can yield environmental and hygienic benefits. The Committee encourages the Department to utilize this and other water-saving technology when building or improving facilities.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$311,592,000 295,657,000 394,100,000 |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 82,508,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 98,443,000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$394,100,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$82,508,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$98,443,000 above the budget request.

Florida—Daytona Beach: Joint Armed Forces Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$789,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Indiana—Gary: Joint Armed Forces Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$844,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Mississippi—Camp Shelby: Wastewater Treatment Facility.—Of the amount provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,700,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Nebraska—Lincoln: Joint Forces Headquarters.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$614,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

North Carolina—Raleigh: Joint Forces Headquarters Armed Forces Reserve Center.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the North Carolina Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center to the Army National Guard and requests the National Guard Bu-

reau to provide a status report on the planning and design for this facility no later than February 1, 2005. The Committee also encourages the Department to consider including this project in the next Future Year Defense Program.

Pennsylvania-Hermitage: Readiness Center.-Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$485,000 be made available for the de-

sign of this facility.

Tennessee—Nashville: Readiness Center Addition/Alteration Phase II.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,999,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Tennessee—Smyrna: Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$935,000 be made available for the design of this fa-

Virginia—Winchester: Armory.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$530,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Washington—Gray Field: Aviation Readiness Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,014,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$222,908,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 127,368,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 180,533,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (42,375,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 53,165,000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$180,533,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$42,375,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$53,165,000 above the budget request.

Alabama—Montgomery Regional Airport: Composite Operations Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than

\$772,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Colorado—Greeley Airport: Space Warning System Squadron

Support Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$509,000

be made available for the design of this facility.

Illinois—Springfield: Base Entrance 183rd Fighter Wing.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Iowa—Fort Dodge: Vehicle Maintenance and Communications Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$375,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Missouri—Rosencrans Airport: Fire and Crash Rescue Station.— Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$650,000 be made avail-

able for the design of this facility.

New York—Westhampton: Pararescue Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$990,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

New York—Stewart IAP: Replace Fire and Crash Rescue Station.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$501,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$88,451,000 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 87,070,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 116,521,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 28,070,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 29,451,000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$116,521,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$28,070,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$29,451,000 above the budget request.

California—Garden Grove: Army Reserve Center/OMS/Unheated Storage.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$843,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVAL RESERVE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$45,498,000 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 25,285,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 30,955,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (14,543,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 5,670,000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30,955,000 for Military Construction, Naval Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$14,543,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$5,670,000 above the budget request.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$62,032,000 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 84,556,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 111,725,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 49,693,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 27,169,000 |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$111,725,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$49,693,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$27,169,000 above the budget request.

Ohio—Youngstown ARS: Joint Services Lodging Facility Phase I.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$954,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$161,300,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 165,800,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 4,500,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$165,800,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (NSIP). This is an increase of \$4,500,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same level as the budget request.

NSIP consists of annual contributions by NATO member countries. The program finances the costs of construction needed to support the roles of the major NATO commands. The investments cover facilities such as airfields, fuel pipelines and storage, harbors, communications and information systems, radar and navigational aids, and military headquarters.

Occasionally, the U.S. has been forced to delay temporarily the authorization of projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to notify the Committee 30 days prior to taking such action.

Family Housing Overview

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$3,820,100,000 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 4,171,596,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 4,151,766,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 331,666,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | (19,830,000) |

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$4,151,766,000 for the family housing construction and operation and maintenance accounts for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$331,666,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$19,830,000 below the budget request. The decrease below the request is within the operation and maintenance accounts and is explained under general and flag officer quarters and under the individual account sections. The operation and maintenance accounts provide funds to pay for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance, and miscellaneous expenses.

Historically, housing for military personnel and their families has been a low priority for the Department of Defense. Consequently, the inventory is old and in many cases substandard. In January 2001, the Department estimated that out of 300,000 total housing units worldwide, approximately 180,000 (or 60 percent) were inadequate. The Committee is pleased to note that progress has been made to reduce this percentage. At the beginning of fiscal year 2004, the number of inadequate units had been reduced to 120,000 (or 47 percent) of 256,000 total units. With the budget effort supported in this bill and assuming the continuation of the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI), the number of inadequate units will be reduced to 61,000 by the end of fiscal year 2005. The Committee fully approves the Secretary of Defense's goal to eliminate inadequate housing by the end of fiscal year 2007,

with the exception of four Air Force installations in fiscal year 2008 and Air Force overseas housing in 2009.

Achieving that goal depends on two key factors. The first is the continuation of the MHPI. The Committee has noted its concern about the future of this program in the beginning of this report. The second is the commitment of the services and the Administration to request an increased level of funding for fiscal years 2006 and 2007. The Committee strongly supports continuation of MHPI and expects to see future budget requests follow through on the

commitment to eliminate inadequate housing.

General and Flag Officer Quarters.—The Committee is concerned by the often large expenditures on renovations and repairs for general and flag officer quarters (GFOQ), especially within the Navy and Marine Corps. A May 2004 General Accounting Office (GAO) report indicated that, of the 13 cases in which actual costs of major repair projects exceeding \$100,000 went over budget, all were Navy and Marine Corps projects. The GAO report additionally found that 45 percent of cost increases for Marine Corps major renovation projects that exceeded budgets by 10 percent were "customer driven," or demanded by officers residing in those quarters. According to the GAO report, housing officials indicated that, "controlling costs due to customer requests is directly related to a housing officer's ability to say no to requests that could be perceived as excessive and draw scrutiny upon the service."

The Committee believes that, in order to arrest this problem, the Navy and Marine Corps should ensure customer-driven cost increases for GFOQ repair and renovation projects are reviewed at the regional level before final approval. The Committee notes that the overwhelming majority—93 percent—of GFOQ repair and renovation projects reviewed by GAO across all services were completed within budget, and commends the services on their general

performance.

In order to further improve such performance, the Committee supports the recommendations of the House Armed Services Committee for increasing congressional oversight of GFOQ maintenance, including lowering the reporting threshold to \$20,000. In addition, the Committee reduced the family housing operations and maintenance account for each service by the following amounts: \$2,400,000 for the Army, \$8,200,000 for the Navy and Marine Corps, and \$230,000 for the Air Force. These amounts represent the President's request for GFOQ repairs and maintenance.

Family Housing Operations and Maintenance.—The Committee is very concerned by the migration of funds among accounts and sub-accounts within the services' family housing operations and maintenance (O&M) accounts. In response to a Committee request, the GAO reported in May 2004 that reprogramming of O&M funds is frequent and substantial across all services, reaching levels of 20, 40 and even 60 percent relative to the original appropriation for individual accounts and sub-accounts. While the sum of these reprogrammings did not reach the 10 percent threshold required for congressional notification, the shifting of funds is significant enough that the Committee believes further information is necessary. The Committee therefore directs each service to report to the Committee on reprogramming of funds within family housing operations and maintenance, including the net amount and percentage of funds transferred into or out of each account and sub-account during fiscal year 2004. This information should be provided no later than the President's budget submission for fiscal year 2006, and may be included with budget justification materials.

Inadequate Housing.—The fiscal year 2004 conference report noted the lack of a uniform definition of "inadequate" housing covering all the services. The report also noted that the lack of a uniform definition creates inequities between services, between installations, and even among homes on the same installation. The report accordingly directed the Department of Defense to establish a uniform procedure for identifying inadequate housing by October 1, 2003. The Committee notes that as of the date of this report, no standard has been instituted. While the Committee fully supports the goal of eliminating inadequate housing by fiscal year 2007, no military families should be left behind in the accomplishment. This situation remains unacceptable and the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report on the progress of its efforts to form a standard definition of inadequate housing no later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act.

Foreign Currency Savings.—The Committee directs that savings from foreign currency re-estimates be used to maintain existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on how these savings are allocated by December 1, 2005. Likewise, only 10 percent of funds made available to the construction and operation and maintenance sub-accounts may be transferred between the sub-accounts. Such transfers must be re-

ported to the Committee within 30 days of such action.

Leasing Reporting Requirement.—As in prior years, the Secretary of Defense is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter that exceed \$15,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: (1) perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; (2) report the details of new or renewal lease that exceeds \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation) 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and (3) base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

Reprogramming Criteria.—The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) apply to new housing construction projects and improvement projects over \$2,000,000 as well.

Family Housing Construction, Army

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$289,440,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 636,099,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 636,099,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 346,659,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$636,099,000 for Family Housing Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$346,659,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted

level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$394,900,000 to construct new family housing units, \$211,990,000 to improve existing units, and \$29,209,000 for planning and design. This funding level will provide the budget request of \$136,590,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of 12,076 inadequate family housing units.

The Committee is very supportive of the Army's efforts to secure the return of land at the former Fort Ord in order to expand its RCI project at the Ord Military Community. The Committee is pleased to note that the Army recently resolved outstanding issues—save one—that will allow the Army to gain control over the Fort Ord parcel known as the "Stilwell Kidney" on which the Army can build military housing, as well as enter into public-private partnership relations for developing other civilian housing for government workers.

The Committee acknowledges that the terms of the Army's agreement include 114 acre feet of surplus Army water that shall be conveyed to the City of Seaside, California, of which 39 acre feet shall be used for development of workforce housing. The Army is expected to build approximately 300 units of housing for military families on the Kidney on land that will be gifted back to the U.S. Government. The Army's LLC partner, Clark Pinnacle, is required to provide water for and to build (or contract out to build) 120 units of workforce housing on the Kidney that will be made available on a preferential basis to government and public employees. Further, the Army with its LLC partner, will provide water for and build (or contract out to build) a yet-to-be-determined number of market rate houses on the Kideny-the final outstanding issue. This market rate housing will be only in numbers as needed to provide the financial wherewithal to underwrite the RCI units on the Kidney but no more than 150 units. Prior to finalizing the contract for this Kidney deal, the Committee directs Army to submit to the Committee a final figure and justification on the number of market rate units Army will build. The Committee expects that water furnished to the market rate housing development shall be only for the purpose of supporting housing and only in the acre feet necessary to support those specified units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 926,507,000 |
| * | (117 020 000) |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (117,939,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | (2.400.000) |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$926,507,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$117,939,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$2,400,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken from the Maintenance and Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$143,685,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 139,107,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 139,107,000 |
| Comparison with: | , , |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (4,578,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$139,107,000 for Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$4,578,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$27,002,000 to construct new family housing units and \$112,105,000 to improve existing units. This funding level will provide the budget request of \$102,105,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of

8,202 inadequate family housing units.

The Committee is aware that the Navy has entered into negotiations with a contractor team for the privatization of family housing and potential divestiture of properties no longer viable for continued Navy use within Navy Region Northwest, including housing at Submarine Base, Bangor; Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Keyport; Naval Magazine, Indian Island; Naval Station, Bremerton; Olalla; Kingston; Bainbridge Island; Fort Lawton; Magnolia (Quarters A); Brier; Naval Radio Station, Jim Creek; and Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island. The Committee is also aware that the Navy has agreed to consult with the State Historic Preservation Officer and other interested parties, including the City of Seattle to consider the interests and concerns of surrounding neighborhoods and local jurisdictions. The Committee supports the Navy's commitment to work with all parties to achieve a mutually beneficial outcome in maintaining Discovery Park as a regional resource.

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$841,358,000 704,504,000 696,304,000 |
|--|---|
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation Fiscal year 2005 budget request | $(145,054,000) \ (8,200,000)$ |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$696,304,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$145,054,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$8,200,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken from the Maintenance of Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$637,718,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 846,959,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 846,959,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 209,241,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$846,959,000 for Family Housing Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$209,241,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$570,340,000 to construct new family housing units, \$238,353,000 to improve existing units, and \$38,266,000 for planning and design. The funding level will provide the budget request of \$82,863,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of 14,268 inadequate family housing units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$823,055,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 863,896,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 854,666,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 31,611,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | (9,230,000) |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$854,666,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$31,611,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$9,230,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken as follows: \$230,000 from the Maintenance of Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters, \$2,500,000 from the Furnishings account, and \$6,500,000 from the Management account. The Air Force requested an increase in the Management account despite the fact that the total inventory of housing units is expected to decrease by 14,268 units in fiscal year 2005, suggesting a 23 percent increase in the per unit management cost. The Committee finds this increase unjustified and the reduction in the Management account represents one-half of the difference between the requested amount and the amount that would be necessary without this per unit cost increase. The Committee also finds that the per unit cost increase in the Furnishings account is also unjustified, and the request also has been accordingly reduced.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$350,000 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 49,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 49,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (301,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$49,000 for Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$301,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The recommended amount is solely for the improvement of existing units.

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$49,440,000 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 49,575,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 49,575,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 135,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$49,575,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$135,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$ (9,392,000) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 2,500,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 2,500,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | 11,892,000 |
| Fiscal year 2005 estimate | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,500,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund (FHIF) for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$11,892,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2004 (PL 108–132) appropriated \$300,000 to the Fund while rescinding \$9,692,000 for a project that was no longer required. The Department is directed to continue providing quarterly status reports on each privatization project.

The FHIF is authorized by section 2883, title 10, United States Code, and provides the Department of Defense with authority to finance joint ventures with the private sector to revitalize and to manage the Department's housing inventory. The statute authorizes the Department to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for military personnel.

The FHIF is used to build or renovate family housing by mixing or matching various legal authorities, and by utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The Fund is administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations and contains appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. The fund is financed by revenue from the sale of acquired properties and prior year unobligated balances. The total program estimate for fiscal year 2005 is \$11,542,373.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund that provides assistance to homeowners. The fund was established to ameliorate adverse impacts on the economies of local communities caused by base realignment and closure (BRAC). A service member may access the fund if the value of the home decreases due to BRAC. The account receives funds from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fis-

cal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | \$119,815,000 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | 81,886,000 |
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 81,886,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (37,929,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$81,886,000 for Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$37,929,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The following chart displays the fiscal year 2005 increments included in the bill:

| State/Installation | Project | Request | Recommended |
|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Colorado: Pueblo Depot Activity Kentucky: Blue Grass Army Depot | Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Ph–VI) Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Ph–V) | \$44,792,000 37,094,000 | \$44,792,000 37,094,000 |
| Total | | 81,886,000 | 81,886,000 |

The Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide account provides funds for the design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructures required to support the Chemical Demilitarization program.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT

| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Committee recommendation in the bill | 246,116,000 |
| Comparison with: | |
| Fiscal year 2004 appropriation | (124,311,000) |
| Fiscal year 2005 budget request | |

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$246,116,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure Account (BRAC) for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$124,311,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. In addition to the amount appropriated, the Department projects revenues of \$115,000,000 from the sale of land for a total program level of \$361,116,000.

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988 (Public Law 100–526) and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510) authorized four base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds between 1988 and 1995 to reduce excess military bases and infrastructure. Ninety-seven major domestic installations were closed and several facilities were realigned. The four BRAC rounds netted savings of approximately \$15,500,000,000 through fiscal year 2001. The Department estimates the costs avoided from fiscal year 2002 and beyond are approximately \$6,000,000,000 per year.

The Congress has appropriated a net total of \$22,706,132,000 for the BRAC program from fiscal years 1990 through 2004. Within this amount, the Department has allocated \$8,405,146,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by service component, by functions, and by base. Recognizing the complexities of providing for environmental restoration of properties, the Committee has provided flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor program execution to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of environmental cleanup responsibilities.

California—Fort Ord.—A controlled burn at Fort Ord last year burned out of control and scorched nearly three times as much acreage as planned. The Committee encourages the Army to use this unexpected opportunity to conduct additional remediation ac-

tivities as appropriate.

General Provisions

The Administration proposed eliminating several general provisions enacted in P.L. 108–132, including sections 111, 113, 119, 122, 123, 126, 127, and 128. The Committee retains each provision with the exception of 128, which established the Commission on the Review of Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States.

The Administration proposed one new general provision allowing funds in the Ford Island Improvement Account to be appropriated and expended for the purposes specified in 10 U.S.C. 2814(i)(1) or until transferred pursuant to the provisions 10 U.S.C. 2814(i)(3). The Committee did not include this provision.

The Committee includes a new general provision to require the Department to provide a written response to inquiries made by the subcommittee within 21 days.

General Provisions included in the bill are as follows:

Section 101 limits DOD from spending funds appropriated in this Act for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed \$25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

Section 102 permits the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 permits funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

Section 104 prohibits construction of new bases in the United States without a specific appropriation.

Section 105 limits the use of funds for the purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100% of value.

Section 106 prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

Section 107 limits the use of minor construction funds to be transferred or relocated from one installation to another.

Section 108 prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 limits appropriations from being used to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 prohibits the use of funds to initiate a new installation overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 establishes a preference for American architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, and the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 establishes a preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where construction costs exceed \$100,000.

Section 114 limits obligations to no more than 20 percent during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 permits DOD to make available funds appropriated in prior years for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 provides that funds for military construction projects are available until the end of the fourth fiscal year following the fiscal year in which funds are appropriated, subject to certain conditions.

Section 118 allows the transfer of expired funds to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account. This provision has been included in every Military Construction Appropriations Act since 1992. Once transferred these funds become available for obligation until expended. Scorekeeping rule 6 requires that extending expired balances be scored as new appropriations in the year that they become available.

Section 119 requires the Secretary of Defense to report annually on actions taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the NATO, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of defense costs.

Section 120 authorizes the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 122 limits the obligation of funds for Partnership for Peace Programs.

Section 123 requires the Secretary of Defense to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing.

Section 124 provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Section 125 requires that appropriations from this Act be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses and limits the repair on these quarters to \$20,000 per year without notification.

Section 126 limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriation Act.

Section 127 prohibits funds appropriated for the NATO Security Investment Program from being obligated or expended for the pur-

pose of missile defense studies.

Section 128 requires the Secretary of Defense or any other official of the Department of Defense to respond in writing to a question or inquiry submitted by the chairman or another member of

the subcommittee within 21 days.

Section 129 increases the limitation in 10 U.S.C. 2883(g)(1) on the amount of budget authority for the privatization of military family housing to \$1,350,000,000. The section also provides that this section shall not be subject to scoring for purposes of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

Section 130 designates the fitness center at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida, the Sam Johnson Fitness Center in honor of

the Honorable Sam Johnson.

House of Representatives Report Requirements

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the rules of the House of Representatives.

Changes in Application of Existing Law

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities that require annual authorization or additional leg-

islation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

Language is included that enables various appropriations to remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such ex-

tended availability.

Language is included under Military Construction, Defense-wide, which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

Language is included raising the statutory cap on budget author-

ity for privatizing military family housing by \$500,000,000.

Language is included designating a fitness center at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida.

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-508), the following information provides the definitions of the terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in the Military Construction Appropriations Act. The term "program, project, and activity" shall include the most specific level of budget items, identified in the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2003, accompanying House and Senate reports, and the conference report of the joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference.

In carrying out any sequestrations, the Department of Defense (DOD) and related agencies shall carry forth the sequestration order in a manner that would not adversely affect or alter Congressional policies and priorities established for the DOD and related agencies, and no program, project, and activity should be eliminated or reduced to a level of funding that would adversely affect DOD's ability to effectively continue any program, project, and activity.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

| [dollars | in thousands] | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Agency/program | Last year of authorization | Authorization level | Appropriations in last year of authorization | Appropriations in this bill |
| Military Construction, Army | 2004 | 1,448,239 | 1,426,724 | 1,862,854 |
| Military Construction, Navy | 2004 | 1,238,458 | 1,238,366 | 1,081,042 |
| Military Construction, Air Force | 2004 | 1,067,751 | 1,337,301 | 797,865 |
| Military Construction, Defense-wide | 2004 | 701,871 | 581,347 | 718,837 |
| Military Construction, Army National Guard | 2004 | 311,592 | | |
| Military Construction, Air National Guard | 2004 | 222,908 | | |
| Military Construction, Army Reserve | 2004 | 88,451 | | 116,521 |
| Military Construction, Naval Reserve | 2004 | 45,498 | 45,498 | 30,955 |
| Military Construction, Air Force Reserve | 2004 | 62,032 | 62,032 | 111,725 |
| North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment | | | | |
| Program | 2004 | 169,300 | 161,300 | 165,800 |
| Family Housing Construction, Army | 2004 | 383,591 | 289,440 | 636,099 |
| Family Housing Construction Operation and | | | | |
| Maintenance, Army | 2004 | 1,033,026 | 1,044,446 | 926,507 |
| Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps | 2004 | 184,193 | 143,685 | 139,107 |
| Family Housing Construction Operation and | | | | |
| Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps | 2004 | 835,078 | 841,358 | 696,304 |
| Family Housing Construction, Air Force | 2004 | 657,065 | 637,718 | 846,959 |
| Family Housing Construction Operation and | | | | |
| Maintenance, Air Force | 2004 | 816,074 | 823,055 | 854,666 |
| Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide | 2004 | 350 | 350 | 49 |
| Family Housing Construction Operation and | | | | |
| Maintenance, Defense-wide | 2004 | 49,440 | 49,440 | 49,575 |
| Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement | | | | |
| Fund | 2004 | 300 | -9,392 | 2,500 |
| Base Realignment and Closure | 2004 | 370,427 | 370,427 | 246,116 |
| Chemical Demilitarization Construction | 2004 | | 119,815 | 81,886 |

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 114, 117, 119, 120, and 123 of the General Provisions, and language included under "Military Construction, Defense-wide" provide certain transfer authority.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law * * *

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section of 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

| | 302(b) allocation | | This bill | 1 |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Budget authority | Outlays | Budget authority | Outlays |
| Discretionary | 10,003 0 | 10,015 0 | 11,208 0 | 10,149 0 |

¹The amount provided in this bill is \$1,205 million above the 302(b) suballocation due to a Congressional Budget Office (CBO) interpretation of the scoring of section 129. This section does not actually appropriate funds; appropriated amounts in this bill are within the 302(b) suballocation. The Committee is working with concerned parties to address CBO's scoring interpretation.

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

| Outlays: | | |
|----------|------------|-------|
| 2005 | | 2,592 |
| 2006 | | 4,259 |
| 2007 | | 3,226 |
| 2008 | | 1.525 |
| 2009 | and beyond | 4,008 |

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

| [In millions of dollars] | |
|--|---|
| New budget authority | 0 |
| Fiscal year 2001 outlays resulting therefrom | 0 |

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

ing:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| ALABAMA | | |
| ARMY | | |
| ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT | | |
| POWERTRAIN COMPONENT REBUILDING FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE | 23,690 | 23,690 |
| HUNTSVILLE | | |
| MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY CENTER, VON BRAUN COMPLEX | | |
| (PHASE II) | 19,560 | 19,560 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| CENTREVILLE ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER | 5,537 | E E27 |
| CLANTON | 5,557 | 5,537 |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER | 3,649 | 3,649 |
| HALEYVILLE | | |
| JOINT ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER | | 13,849 |
| ONEONTA | 4 507 | 4 507 |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER | 4,527 | 4,527 |
| ALASKA | | |
| ARMY | | |
| FORT RICHARDSON | 7 600 | 7 600 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE | 7,600 13,600 | 7,600 13,600 |
| SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE | 3,100 | 3,100 |
| FORT WAINWRIGHT | 0,100 | 5,,50 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - LORRAINE ROAD | 39,815 | 39,815 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL - SANTIAGO ROAD | 30,912 | 30,912 |
| COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY | 21,732 | 21,732 |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE C-17 SUPPORT UTILITIES | 6,400 | 6,400 |
| ELMENDORF C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY | 7,700 | 7,700 |
| FITNESS CENTER | 11,957 | 11,957 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | ., | , |
| FORT WAINWRIGHT | | |
| HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE VI) | 9,900 | 9,900 |
| ARIZONA | | |
| NAVY | | |
| YUMA | | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 18,740 | 18,740 |
| STATION ORDNANCE AREA | 7,930 | 7,930 |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION-HAZARDOUS CARGO PAD | 4,243 | 4,243 |
| COMBAT SEARCH AND RESCUE C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 5,786 | 5,786 |
| EC-130 SQUAD OPERATIONS FACILITY | | 7,000 |
| LUKE AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| ATFP LITCHFIELD ROAD UNDERPASS AND ENTRY POINT | | |
| REPLACEMENT | | 7,900 |
| DORMITORY (120 ROOM) | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CAMP NAVAJO | | |
| QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| | -, | -, |
| ARKANSAS | | |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE C-130J ADDITION/ALTERATION SIMULATOR FACILITY | 5,031 | 5,031 |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | 5,031 | 3,900 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | -, |
| CAMP ROBINSON | | |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 33,020 | 33,020 |
| FORT CHAFFEE | | |
| AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT | 13,798 | 13,798 |
| | | |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|--------|
| CALIFORNIA | | |
| ARMY | | |
| FORT IRWIN | | |
| CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION COMMAND FIELD OPERATIONS | | |
| BUILDING | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II) | 14,500 | 14,500 |
| NAVY | , | |
| BARSTOW | | |
| BLASTING FACILITY | | 4,930 |
| CAMP PENDLETON | | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 19,975 | 19,975 |
| CLOSE COMBAT PISTOL COURSE | 6,940 | 6,940 |
| CONSOL OPERATIONS CENTER | 4,910 | 4,910 |
| TERTIARY SEWAGE TREATMENT (PHASE II) | 25,690 | 25,690 |
| WEIGHT HANDLING SHOP | 6,630 | 6,630 |
| CORONA | | |
| WARFARE ASSESSMENT LABORATORY ADDITION | | 9,850 |
| EL CENTRO | | |
| APRON AND HANGAR RECAPITALIZATION (PHASE I) | 33,331 | 33,331 |
| NORTH ISLAND | | |
| BASE MAIN GATE AND ENTRANCE STREET | | 10,180 |
| TWENTYNINE PALMS | | |
| OPERATIONAL TRAINING CENTER | | 15,700 |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| BEALE AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| GLOBAL HAWK ADDITION TO AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT | | |
| FACILITY | 1,866 | 1,866 |
| GLOBAL HAWK UPGRADE DOCK 2 | 8,320 | 8,320 |
| EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| ADDITION/RENOVATE JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER COMPLEX | | |
| (PHASE II) | 9,965 | 9,965 |
| TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| C-17 ADDITION ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| C-17 UTILITIES/ROAD | 12,844 | 12,844 |
| SECURITY FORCES ARMORY/COMBAT ARMS CAMPUS | | 3,650 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| CORONA | | |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES MILITARY OPERATIONS IN | 40.000 | 40.000 |
| URBAN TERRAIN TRAINING COMPLEX | 13,600 | 13,600 |
| NORTH ISLAND | | |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT | 4 000 | 4 000 |
| BUILDING | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| PRESIDIO | ••• | 6 700 |
| DENTAL CLINIC | | 6,700 |
| TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE | 15 100 | 15 100 |
| REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 15,100 | 15,100 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CAMP PARKS | | |
| READINESS CENTER (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION | | |
| · · | 11,318 | 11,318 |
| REDESIGN STUDY) | 11,310 | 11,310 |
| MARCH AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| C-17 ALTER HANGAR TOWER | 2,089 | 2,089 |
| C-17 MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE II) | 7,400 | 7,400 |
| 6-17 MAINTENANCE MANDAIR (TIMBE II) | 7,400 | 1,400 |
| COLORADO | | |
| ARMY | | |
| FORT CARSON | | |
| ARRIVAL DEPARTURE AREA GROUP COMPLEX (PHASE IA) | | 12,400 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - HOSPITAL AREA | 14,108 | 14,108 |
| DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE | 33,000 | 33,000 |
| AIR FORCE | , | , |
| BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| CHAPEL CENTER | 6,147 | 6,147 |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | 6,100 | 6,100 |
| | • | * |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| | 2,100 | 2,100 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| DENVER | | |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 34,000 | 21,000 |
| FORT CARSON | | |
| | 3,205 | 3,205 |
| ARMY RESERVE AURORA | | |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION MILITARY EQUIPMENT PARKING | 1,758 | 1,758 |
| | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| CONNECTICUT | | |
| NAVY | | |
| NEW LONDON | | 4 420 |
| GATES 3 AND 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS | 17,100 | 4,420 17,100 |
| REPLACE PIER 6 | 28,782 | 28,782 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | 20,702 | 20,702 |
| SOUTHINGTON | | |
| READINESS CENTER | | 4,087 |
| | | |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | | |
| NAVY | | |
| ANACOSTIA | | |
| ATOMIC CLOCK VAULT | 3,239 | 3,239 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING UPGRADE | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| TO DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS CENTER | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| FLORIDA | | |
| NAVY | | |
| EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE | 0.000 | 2 200 |
| EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE ROAD CONSTRUCTION MAYPORT | 2,060 | 2,060 |
| AIRFIELD CONTROL TOWER | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| AIR FORCE | 0,200 | 0,200 |
| TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| 1 AF HEADQUARTERS/AFFOR CENTER (PHASE I) | | 10,200 |
| F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION | 1,548 | 1,548 |
| F-22 SQUAD OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT/ | | |
| HANGAR | 17,414 | 17,414 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| HURLBURT FIELD | | 0 500 |
| SOF OPERATIONS TRAINING FACILITY | | 2,500 |
| JACKSONVILLE | 20 420 | 20 420 |
| HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION | 28,438 | 28,438 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| CAMP BLANDING REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE I) | | 12,000 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD | | ,2,000 |
| JACKSONVILLE | | |
| F-15 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY | | 4,000 |
| NAVY RESERVE | | |
| JACKSONVILLE | | |
| RESERVE TRAINING CENTER | 9,300 | 9,300 |
| GEORGIA | | |
| ARMY | | |
| FORT BENNING | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - KELLEY HILL/MAIN POST | 49,565 | 49,565 |
| HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON | 3,850 | 3,850 |
| PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER | 18,362 | 18,362 |
| REVITALIZE RANGER BARRACKS 6012 | | 1,850 |
| | | |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| | | |
| FORT GILLEM RECRUITING BRIGADE OPERATIONS BUILDING | 5,800 | 5,800 |
| FORT MCPHERSON CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | 4,900 | 4,900 |
| FORT STEWART AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGER (SPECIAL OPERATIONS | | |
| FORCES) | 21,100 | 21,100 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX-5TH & 16TH ST (PHASE II) | 32,950 | 32,950 |
| CHAPEL COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY | 9,500 24,695 | 9,500 24,695 |
| TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX | 10,200 | 10,200 |
| NAVY | | |
| KINGS BAY ENCLAVE FENCING AND PARKING | 16 000 | 16 000 |
| AIR FORCE | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| MOODY AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| CONSOLIDATED BASE SUPPORT CENTER | | 9,600 |
| ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE AIRCRAFT RAMP | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION | 13,000 | 6,570 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| FORT BENNING | 7 100 | 7 100 |
| CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CLINICFORT STEWART | 7,100 | 7,100 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BATTALION OPERATIONS | | |
| COMPLEX | 17,600 | 17,600 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD SAVANNAH | | |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 16,554 | 16,554 |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE | | |
| DOBBINS UPGRADE MAINTENANCE BAYS | | 10,000 |
| OF GRADE TRAINTERANCE DATO | | 10,000 |
| HAWAII | | |
| ARMY HELEMANO | | |
| DRUM ROAD UPGRADE (PHASE I) | 27,000 | 27,000 |
| TANK TRAILS - HELEMANO | 7,300 | 7,300 |
| HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE HOT CARGO PAD EXPANSION | 11,200 | 11,200 |
| POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA (PTA) | 11,200 | 11,200 |
| WEST PTA MODIFICATIONS | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| SCHOFIELD BARRACKS | 48,000 | 48,000 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL - CAPRON AVE (PHASE III). BARRACKS COMPLEX - QUAD E (PHASE II) | 36,000 | 36,000 |
| BATTLE AREA LIVE FIRE COMPLEX | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY | 32,542 | 32,542 |
| FIRE STATIONQUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE | 4,800 4,950 | 4,800 4,950 |
| TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY (PHASE I) | 49,000 | 49,000 |
| WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE DEPLOYMENT FACILITY | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| NAVY | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| PEARL HARBOR | | |
| DRYDOCK 4 SHOREPOWER IMPROVEMENTS | | 5,100 |
| AIR FORCE HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE/SUPPLY AREAS | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| C-17 CLEAR WATER RINSE | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| C-17 MAINTENANCE SHOP FACILITY | 8,200 1,950 | 8,200 1,950 |
| C-17 MUNITIONS STORAGE | 2,450 | 2,450 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | * |
| PEARL HARBOR | 3 500 | 3,500 |
| MULTI-PRODUCT INTERFACE TANK | 3,500 | 3,300 |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| ILLINOIS NAVY | | |
| GREAT LAKES | | |
| BATTLE STATION TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE II) RTC BARRACKS | 58,200 35,920 38,851 | 58,200 35,920 38,851 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD GALESBURG READINESS CENTER (ADRS) (PHASE II) | * | 4,400 |
| SPRINGFIELD ARMY SCHOOL SYSTEM (MULTIFUNCTIONAL | 13,596 | 13,596 |
| INDIANA | | |
| NAVY | | |
| CRANE COTS PRODUCT ASSURANCE & TEST MANAGEMENT FACILITY. ARMY NATIONAL GUARD REMINGTON | ••• | 10,580 |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER | 1,458 | 1,458 |
| IOWA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| CAMP DODGE | | |
| COMPANY GRADE BOQ | | 3,485 |
| KANSAS | | |
| ARMY FORT LEAVENWORTH LEWIS & CLARK INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (PHASE II) | 44,000 | 44,000 |
| FORT RILEY BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL | 41,000 3,050 | 41,000 3,050 |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTERAIR NATIONAL GUARD | 3,086 | 3,086 |
| FORBES FIELD REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEXARMY RESERVE | | 9,800 |
| HAYS ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE | | |
| SHOP | 7,451 | 7,451 |
| NEW CENTURY AR CENTER/OMS/AMSA/UNH STORAGE | | 8,723 |
| KENTUCKY | | |
| ARMY FORT CAMPBELL BARRACKS COMPLEX - 42ND ST/INDIANA AVE (PHASE I) COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY | 30,000 33,000 1,600 | 30,000 33,000 1,600 |
| FORT KNOX BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX 1 (PHASE I) SHOOT HOUSE | 50,000 | 50,000 1,850 |
| LOUISIANA | | |
| ARMY | | |
| FORT POLK AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT UPGRADE. FIXED WING AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON. HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON. PALLET PROCESSING FACILITY. PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY. URBAN ASSAULT COURSE. | 7,500 25,000 14,503 8,800 11,700 3,450 | 7,500 25,000 14,503 8,800 11,700 3,450 |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|----------------|
| NAVY | | |
| NEW ORLEANS | | |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | 3,450 |
| INDOOR SMALL ARMS RANGE | | 2,580 |
| AIR FORCE | | -, |
| BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| DORMITORY (168 ROOM) | 13,800 | 13,800 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| CAMP BEAUREGARD | | |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 15,738 | 15,738 |
| MARYLAND | | |
| ARMY | | |
| FORT DETRICK | | |
| REMOTE TRUCK INSPECTION STATION | | 4,000 |
| NAVY | | |
| INDIAN HEAD | | |
| AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY | 13,900 | 13,900 |
| JOINT AIRCREW ESCAPE COMPONENT CENTER | | 9,100 |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE ASA - ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES | F 000 | F 000 |
| | 5,000 11,000 | 5,000 |
| ASA - FIGHTER AIRCRAFT ALERT COMPLEX | | 11,000 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| FORT MEADE | | |
| CRITICAL COMM PATH | 3,450 | 3,450 |
| NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY DEEP WELLS | 8,140 | 8,140 |
| RECONFIGURED CHILLED WATER (PHASE II) | 3,417 | 3,417 |
| ARMY RESERVE | -, | |
| FORT MEADE | | |
| ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE | | |
| SHOP/WAREHOUSE (PHASE II) | 14,642 | 14,642 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE | | |
| ELIMINATE AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTIONS | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| REPLACE CONTROL TOWER | | 7,000 |
| MICHIGAN | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| GRANDLEDGE | | |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 27,600 | 18,200 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| SELFRIDGE | | 4 000 |
| VISITORS CENTER AND ID COMPLEX | | 4,000 |
| FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION | | 5,100 |
| The me onto the source of the | | 9,199 |
| MINNESOTA | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT | 2 000 | 3 000 |
| ASA - CREW QUARTERS | 3,000 4,000 | 3,000 4,000 |
| ASA - ARM, DEARM APRON AND TAXIWAY | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE | 3,300 | 5,500 |
| MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT | | |
| ADD/ALTER JOINT USE PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER | | 4,400 |
| THE THE COURT OF THE PARTY OF THE CONTROL OF THE CO | | ,,,,, |
| MISSISSIPPI | | |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION | | 7,700 |
| | | |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CAMP SHELBY | | |
| MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY (SMALL) | | 5,300 |
| MISSOURI | | |
| ARMY FORT LEONARD WOOD | | |
| MINE DETECTION DOG KENNEL | 2,750 | 3,700 2,750 |
| FACILITYAIR FORCE WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | 7,600 |
| ANATAON | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HAVRE AIR FORCE STATION READINESS CENTER, ADDITION/ALTERATION (ARMY | | |
| NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY) HELENA | 2,398 | 2,398 |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 7,600 | |
| NEBRASKA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| HASTINGS MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE (REMOTE TARGET SYSTEMS) | 1,487 | 1,487 |
| NEVADA | | |
| NAVY FALLON HIGH EXPLOSIVES MAGAZINE | ••• | 4,980 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD PEASE INTERNATIONAL TRADEPORT UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II) | | 4,900 |
| NEW JERSEY | | |
| ARMY PICATINNY ARSENAL PYROTECHNICS FACILITY | ••• | 9,900 |
| EARLE GENERAL PURPOSE/BERTHING PIER (PHASE II) | 49,200 | 49,200 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT | | 40.400 |
| ASA - REPLACE ALERT COMPLEX REPLACE ALERT 2 SHELTERS ARMY RESERVE | 10,400 | 10,400 2,300 |
| FORT DIX CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE (PHASE I) | | 9,502 |
| NEW MEXICO | | |
| ARMY WHITE SANDS ELECTROMAGNETIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT | 33,000 | 33,000 |
| AIR FORCE KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY | | 9,200 |
| NEW YORK | | |
| ARMY BUFFALO MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| | | |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| CODY DOUB | | |
| FORT DRUM AIRFIELD ARRIVAL/DEPARTURE FACILITY BARRACKS COMPLEX - WHEELER SACK ARMY AIRFIELD | 4,950 | 4,950 |
| (PHASE II) | 48,000 | 48,000 |
| DEFENSIVE LIVE FIRE RANGE. UPGRADE EDUCATIONAL TRANSITIONAL FACILITIES FORT HAMILTON | | 3,000 5,700 |
| MILITARY POLICE STATIONHANCOCK FIELD | 7,600 | 7,600 |
| MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATIONU.S. MILITARY ACADEMY | ••• | 6,000 |
| LIBRARY AND LEARNING CENTER (PHASE I)ARHY NATIONAL GUARD | 34,500 | 34,500 |
| AUBURN ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL | | |
| GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY (ARRY)) | 2,472 | 2,472 |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER (ADRS) | 4,406 | 4,406 |
| READINESS CENTER (ADRS)KINGSTON | 6,489 | 6,489 |
| ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ADRS)UTICA | 3,827 | 3,827 |
| READINESS CENTER ADDITION (ADRS)AIR NATIONAL GUARD HANCOCK FIELD | 5,704 | 5,704 |
| REPLACE MOBILITY PROCESSING CENTERAIR FORCE RESERVE NIAGARA | *** | 2,300 |
| FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION | | 7,800 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | | |
| ARMY FORT BRAGG | | |
| AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - ARMISTEAD ST (PHASE II) | | 10,000 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL BLACKJACK ST (PHASE I) | 49,000 | 49,000 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - BASTOGNE DR (PHASE II) | 48,000 | 48,000 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - DONOVAN STREET (PHASE V) SHOOT HOUSE | 15,500 2,037 | 15,500 2,037 |
| SHOOT HOUSE | 1,650 | 1,650 |
| NAVY | , | |
| CAMP LEJEUNE | 4 010 | 4 010 |
| ARMORY CAMP GEIGER | 4,010 2,410 | 4,010 2,410 |
| EXPLOSIVE ORDANCE DISPOSAL OPERATIONS FACILITY NEW RIVER | | 4,610 |
| ADD TO SIMULATOR BUILDING | 2,270 | 2,270 |
| AIRCRAFT MAINT TRAINING FACILITY | 12,090 | 12,090 |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERSNAVAL OUTLYING LANDING FIELD WASHINGTON COUNTY | 20,780 | 20,780 |
| OUTLYING LANDING FIELD FACILITIES (PHASE II) | 33,900 | |
| OUTLYING LANDING FIELD LAND ACQUISITION (PHÁSE I). AIR FORCE | 61,750 | |
| POPE AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| COMBAT CONTROLLER SCHOOL EXPANSION | 12,950 2,200 | 12,950 2,200 |
| CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 22,700 | 22,700 |
| FORT BRAGG KENNEDY HALL RENOVATION | 11,988 | 11,988 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS BUILDING | 4,600 | 4,600 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| | | |

| (| BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| | | |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS | | |
| FACILITY SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES ISOLATION UNIT TRAINING | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| FACILITY | 8,300 | 8,300 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES RESISTANCE TRAINING FACILITY | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD BURLINGTON | | |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER | 1,360 | 1,360 |
| FORT BRAGG REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE III) | 6,319 | 6,319 |
| WINDSOR ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL | | |
| GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY) | 2,409 | 2,409 |
| NAVY RESERVE ASHEVILLE | | |
| RESERVE CENTERAIR FORCE RESERVE | 3,492 | 3,492 |
| SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| RESERVE SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| OHIO | | |
| AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| REPLACE STEAM LINES/TUNNELS, AREA B (PHASE IA) DEFENSE-WIDE | | 9,200 |
| COLUMBUS | | |
| REPLACE PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITYARMY NATIONAL GUARD | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| COLUMBUS | | 9,980 |
| COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE I) ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL | | |
| GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY) | 2,225 | 2,225 |
| TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT | | 0.000 |
| REPLACE LOGISTICS COMPLEXAIR FORCE RESERVE | *** | 6,900 |
| WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE C-5 AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (PHASE I) | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| C-5 MULTI-PURPOSE HANGAR | 16,821 | 16,821 |
| OKLAHOMA | | |
| ARMY | | |
| FORT SILL CIDC FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING | ••• | 3,400 |
| CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE III) | 13,100 14,400 | 13,100 |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE | 14,400 | 14,400 |
| TINKER AIR FORCE BASE ADDITION/ALTERATION HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 5,400 | 5,400 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| CAMP GRUBER TRAINING CENTER MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE | | 3,201 |
| OPECON | | |
| OREGON ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| EUGENE ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II) | 12,635 | 12,635 |
| SALEM | | |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITYAIR FORCE RESERVE | | 4,917 |
| PORTLAND ADDITION/ALTERATION BUILDING 315 FOR PJ SQUADRON | | |
| OPERATIONS | 1,640 | 1,640 |
| CONSOLIDATED TRAINING (PHASE II) | 3,800 12,400 | 3,800 12,400 |
| | | ·-·· |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| PENNSYLVANIA | | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 22,300 | 22,300 |
| FORT INDIANTOWN GAP UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITEARHY RESERVE FORT INDIANTOWN GAP | | 20,387 |
| ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE | | |
| SHOP NAVY RESERVE WILLOW GROVE | 13,156 | 13,156 |
| FITNESS CENTER | 7,700 | 7,700 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | |
| NAVY | | |
| BEAUFORT AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE FACILITY | | 5,480 |
| SHAW AIR FORCE BASE | | 0.700 |
| BASE LIBRARY. SEWER OUTFALL LINE TO WATEREE RIVER DEFENSE-WIDE | 3,300 | 3,700 3,300 |
| PARRIS ISLAND MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| MOBRIDGE READINESS CENTER | 2,944 | 2,944 |
| TENNESSEE | | |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE ADD/ALTER WINGO INN VISITING QUARTERS UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM (PHASE V). | 22,000 | 2,500 22,000 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT | | |
| C-5 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUEL C-5 CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR | 15,500 26,000 | 15,500 26,000 |
| TEXAS | | |
| ARMY CAMP BULLIS | | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | | 5,300 |
| MISSILE DEFENSE INSTRUCTION FACILITY TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP - AAMDC | 16,500 | 16,500 2,900 |
| FORT HOOD BARRACKS COMPLEX | 49,888 | 49,888 |
| COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY (PHASE II) | 28,200 | 7,100 28,200 |
| DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE | 20,200 | 20,200 |
| DYESS AIR FORCE BASE REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE | | 3,300 |
| SECURITY FORCES TRAINING EXPANSION | 2,596 | 2,596 |
| LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE T-1 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITYSHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE | | 6,900 |
| F-22 TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITYSTUDENT DORMITORY (300 ROOM) | 21,284 29,000 | 21,284 29,000 |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| KINGSVILLE | | |
| REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE TANK | 3,900 | 3,900 |
| ARMY RESERVE | 3,500 | 3,300 |
| CORPUS CHRISTI STORAGE COMPLEX | | |
| CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE (PHASE I) | | 9,038 |
| NAVY RESERVE | | |
| FORT WORTH | | |
| COMBINED RESERVE TRAINING ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING. AIR FORCE RESERVE | | 5,520 |
| FORT WORTH | | |
| AIRCRAFT PARTS STORE | | 1,850 |
| LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE | | 1,000 |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION C-5 AIRCRAFT GENERATION | | |
| FACILITY | 1,200 1,850 20,000 | 1,200 |
| C-5 TRAINING LOAD ASSEMBLY FACILITY | 1,850 | 1,850 |
| C-5 TRAINING SCHOOLHOUSE COMPLEX | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| UTAU | | |
| UTAH AIR FORCE | | |
| HILL AIR FORCE BASE | | |
| TOOTH AGO OPERATOTHO (MATHEMATICE CACTUATY | | 4,900 |
| FITNESS CENTER | 13,113 | 13,113 |
| ARMY RESERVE | | |
| OGDEN | | |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION ARMY RESERVE CENTER | 7,932 | 7,932 |
| | | |
| VIRGINIA | | |
| ARMY FORT A.P. HILL | | |
| SHOOT HOUSE | 3 075 | 3,975 |
| FORT LEE | 3,375 | 5,575 |
| FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER (PHASE III) | | 4,250 |
| FORT MYER | | , |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - SHERIDAN AVE (PHASE I) | 49,526 | 49,526 |
| NAVY | | |
| CAMP ELMORE MARINE CORPS DETACHMENT | | |
| COMMAND OPERATIONS FACILITY | 13,500 | 13,500 |
| LITTLE CREEK GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS | 2,850 | 2.850 |
| POLICE AND SECURITY OPERATIONS FACILITY | 2,030 | 6,700 |
| NORFOLK | | 0,700 |
| | 4,330 | 4,330 |
| GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTSPIER 11 REPLACEMENT (PHASE II) | 4,330 40,000 | 40.000 |
| OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION | | |
| POST 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS | 2,770 | 2,770 |
| QUANTICO | | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 15,090 | 15,090 |
| GREEN SIDE HANGAR CUMPLEX | 21,180 | 21,180 |
| HQ AND SERVICE BN/TBS | 950 | 4,470 |
| HERITAGE CENTER ROAD IMPROVEMENTS THE BASIC SCHOOL ARMORY | 4,580 | 4,580 |
| YORKTOWN | 4,500 | 4,000 |
| ORDNANCE HANDLING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP | 9,870 | 9,870 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | -,- |
| DAM NECK | | |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE. | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONAL TRAINER | | |
| SUPPORT FACILITY ADDITION | 4.300 | 4,300 |
| DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT RICHMOND | 2 222 | 2 000 |
| CONFERENCE CENTER | 3,600 6,500 | 3,600 6,500 |
| SECURITY ENHANCEMENTSFORT A.P. HILL | 0,500 | 0,500 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT | | |
| BUILDING | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| | | |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| FORT BELVOIR | | |
| HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE I) | 43,000 | 43,000 |
| LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE ADDITION/ALTERATION HOSPITAL | 50,800 | 50,800 |
| LITTLE CREEK | | |
| BOAT SUPPORT FACILITYSEAL TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY | 10,500 | 10,500 9,000 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMBAT SKILLS COMPOUND SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY | 12,700 | 12,700 |
| MAINTENANCE FACILITYOCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKARMY NATIONAL GUARD FORT PICKETT | 3,589 | 3,589 |
| INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE | 5,170 | 5,170 |
| COURSE NAVY RESERVE NORFOLK | 1,409 | 1,409 |
| | 3,290 | 3,290 |
| WASHINGTON | | |
| ARMY FORT LEWIS | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - 41ST DIVISION DR/B ST (PHASE II) | 48.000 | 48.000 |
| NAVY | 000,04 | 40,000 |
| BANGOR LIMITED AREA PRODUCTION AND STAGING COMPLEX NSWCCD DET BREMERTON CONSOLIDATION (PHASE 1) | 35,770 | 35,770 6,850 |
| BREMERTON BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - SHIPBOARD ASHORE | 34,125 | 34,125 |
| PUGET SOUND AIRCRAFT CARRIER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | 20,305 | 20,305 |
| WHIDBEY ISLAND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STOREHOUSEARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | 1,990 |
| CAMP MURRAY ARMY NATIONAL GUARD STATION | 1,400 | 1.400 |
| LAND ACQUISITION | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD EASTERN WEST VIRGINIA REGIONAL AIRPORT (MARTINSBURG) C-5 MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS | 36,000 | 36,000 |
| WISCONSIN | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| TRUAX FIELD ASA - MUNITIONS MAINT AND STORAGE COMPLEX ARMY RESERVE | 5,900 | 5,900 |
| FORT MCCOY INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSESQUAD DEFENSE RANGE | 2,712 1,248 | 2,712 1,248 |
| WYOMING | | |
| AIR FORCE F.E. WARREN AIR FORCE BASE UPGRADE STORM WATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM (PHASE I) | | 5,500 |
| BAHAMAS | | |
| NAVY ANDROS ISLAND BACHELOR QUARTERS | 20,750 | 20,750 |
| | | |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|--------|
| | | |
| DIEGO GARCIA NAVY | | |
| DIEGO GARCIA | | |
| SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT CENTER | 17,500 | 17,500 |
| DIEGO GARCIA DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| GERMANY | | |
| ARMY | | |
| GRAFENWOEHR | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX | 28,500 | 28,500 |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX - BRIGADE | 34,000 | 34,000 |
| BRIGADE SUPPORT COMPLEX | 14,700 | 14,700 |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| RAMSTEIN AIR BASE | | |
| SMALL DIAMETER BOMB FACILITIES | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| US AIR FORCE THEATER AEROSPACE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | .,200 | .,200 |
| CENTER | 24,204 | 24,204 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | 21,204 | 27,207 |
| GRAFENWOEHR | | |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION DISPENSARY/DENTAL CLINIC | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| NEW ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE SCHOOL | 36,247 | 36,247 |
| VILSECK | 30,247 | 30,241 |
| VILSECK HIGH SCHOOL RENOVATION/ADDITION | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| VILSECK HIGH SCHOOL KENOVATION/ADDITION | 9,011 | 9,011 |
| GREENLAND | | |
| | | |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| THULE AIR BASE | | |
| DORM (72 ROOM) | 19,800 | 19,800 |
| A | | |
| GUAM | | |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE | 40 500 | 40 500 |
| WAR RESERVE STORAGE FACILITY | 19,593 | 19,593 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION | | |
| GUAM HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT | 26,964 | 26.964 |
| | | |
| ITALY | | |
| ARMY | | |
| LIVORNO | | |
| WAREHOUSE OPERATIONS FACILITY | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| NAVY | | |
| SIGONELLA | | |
| ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS | 7,430 | 7,430 |
| BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT (PHASE II) | 15,120 | 15,120 |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| AVIANO AIR BASE | | |
| ADDITION/ALTERATION WEAPONS LOAD/MAINTENANCE | | |
| TRAINING FACILITY | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION - EXPAND NORTH RAMP (PHASE I) | 1,626 | 1,626 |
| | 2,834 | 2,834 |
| FLIGHT SIMULATOR | 2,034 | 2,034 |
| JAPAN | | |
| <u>-</u> | | |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| MISAWA AIR BASE | 0.700 | 0.700 |
| EXPAND STRATEGIC AIRLIFT RAMP | 6,700 | 6,700 |
| DEFENSE - WIDE | | |
| MISAWA AIR BASE | | |
| HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 19,900 | 19,900 |
| | | |

| KOREA RMY CAMP HUMPHREYS SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM. 12,000 12,000 IR FORCE KUNSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (144 ROOM) 18,550 18,550 DORNITORY (144 ROOM) 18,550 18,550 OSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (156 ROOM) 18,600 18,600 AVY HARIANA ISLANDS AVY GUAM KILD WHARF IMPROVEMENTS 12,500 12,500 WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE 20,700 20,700 EFENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER 21,523 21,523 EVALUATION OF THE ARMY RESERVE CENTER ROOM ARTER TRANSITION SONSOLIDATION 32,700 32,700 INFORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH ARRY RESERVE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER 5,500 5,500 INFORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER 5,500 5,500 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO) NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM. 165,800 165,800 |
|--|
| RMY CAMP HUMPHREYS SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM |
| SANTARY SEWER SYSTEM. 12,000 12,000 IR FORCE KUNSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (144 ROOM). 18,550 DORNITORY (144 ROOM). 18,550 OSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (156 ROOM). 18,550 OSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (156 ROOM). 18,600 MARIANA ISLANDS AVY GUAM KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS. 12,500 12,500 WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE 20,700 20,700 EFEENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING. 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II). 5,689 5,689 EFFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM. 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER. 21,523 21,523 SPAIN IAVY ROTA COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION. 32,700 32,700 IR FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II). 14,153 14,153 UNITED KINGOOM IR FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II). 5,500 5,500 EFFENSE-WIDE LAJES FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II). 5,500 5,500 EFFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER. 5,500 5,500 EFFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION MILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY. 10,200 10,200 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO) |
| DORNITORY (144 ROOM) |
| MARIANA ISLANDS |
| MARIANA ISLANDS AVY GUAM KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS |
| GUAM KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS. 12,500 12,500 WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE. 20,700 20,700 EFENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING. 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM. 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO IRMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER. 21,523 21,523 SPAIN IAVY SPAIN IAVY ROTA COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION. 32,700 32,700 IR FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II) 14,153 14,153 UNITED KINGDOM VIR FORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BBY MISSION TRAINING CENTER. 5,500 5,500 DEFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION HILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY. 10,200 10,200 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO) |
| KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS. 12,500 12,500 MATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE. 20,700 20,700 EFENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING. 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM. 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER. 21,523 21,523 SPAIN INTERPRETABLE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING |
| IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO ARMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER 21,523 21,523 SPAIN IAVY ROTA COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION 32,700 32,700 INF FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II) 14,153 14,153 UNITED KINGDOM AIR FORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER 5,500 DEFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION MILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY 10,200 10,200 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO) |
| LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) |
| LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM |
| RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER |
| AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER |
| NOTA |
| ROTA |
| AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II) |
| NIR FORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER |
| ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER |
| ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION MILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY |
| |
| NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM |
| |
| WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED |
| AIR FORCE CLASSIFIED LOCATION CLASSIFIED |
| CLASSIFIED LOCATION SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BUILDING ADDITION 2,600 2,600 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES INFORMATION OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|-------------------|---------|
| WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | | |
| ARMY | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| HOST NATION SUPPORT | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 130,335 | 140,554 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| NAVY | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 87,067 | 93,284 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| PRESIDENTIAL HELICOPTER PROGRAMS SUPPORT FACILITY. | 80,000 | 80,000 |
| WHITE SIDE COMPLEX | 18,560 | 18,560 |
| AIR FORCE | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 140,786 | 165,367 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| PREDATOR B BEDDOWN | 26,121 | 26,121 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 60,000 | 50,000 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | | |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | 10,566 | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 22,216 | 22,216 |
| TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY | 29,400 | 29,400 |
| SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN | | |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | | |
| TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY | 3,002 | 3,002 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | 2,710 | 2,710 |
| MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY | 2,769 | 2,769 |
| DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE | 1,497 | 1,497 |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION | 746 | 746 |
| THE JOINT STAFF | 7,214 | 7,214 |
| SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | | 20,938 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 30,845 | 74,982 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 4,472 | 7,172 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 13,568 | 20,433 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| ARMY RESERVE | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 11,225 | 13,413 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 2,923 | 2,923 |
| NAVY RESERVE | -, | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 1,503 | 1,653 |
| | , - | |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| AIR FORCE RESERVE | | |
| VARIOUS WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 5,493 | 8,612 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 5,263 | 5,263 |
| TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | | 877,157 |
| FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY | | |
| ALASKA | | |
| FORT RICHARDSON (92 UNITS | 42,000 | 42.000 |
| FORT WAINWRIGHT (100 UNITS) | | 42,000 |
| FORT WAINWRIGHT (60 UNITS) | | |
| | | |
| FORT WAINWRIGHT (86 UNITS)ARIZONA | 46,000 | 46,000 |
| | 44 000 | 44 000 |
| FORT HUACHUCA (205 UNITS) | 41,000 | 41,000 |
| YUMA (55 UNITS) | 14,900 | 14,900 |
| KANSAS | | |
| FORT RILEY (126 UNITS) | 33,000 | 33,000 |
| NEW MEXICO | | |
| WHITE SANDS (156 UNITS) | 31,000 | 31,000 |
| OKLAHOMA | | |
| FORT SILL (247 UNITS) | 47,000 | 47,000 |
| VIRGINIA | | |
| FORT LEE (218 UNITS) | 46,000 | |
| FORT MONROE (68 UNITS) | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| | | |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEHENTS | 211,990 | 211,990 |
| | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 29,209 | |
| | | |
| SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION | 636,099 | 636,099 |
| COPPORTION AND MAINTENANCE | | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 420.050 | 400.000 |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 132,356 | |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT | 36,174 | 36,174 |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 74,895 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT | 1,333 | 1,333 |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 37,411 | 37,411 |
| LEASING | 218,033 | 218,033 |
| MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY | 37,411 218,033 402,060 | 399,660 |
| MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM | 1 | 1 |
| PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS | 26,644 | 26,644 |
| | | |
| SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 928,907 | 926,507 |
| | | |
| | | |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY | 1,365,000 | 1,362,606 |
| FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS | | |
| | | |
| NORTH CAROLINA CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (198 UNITS) | 27,002 | 27,002 |
| | | |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS | 112,105 | 112,105 |
| | | |
| SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION | 139,107 | 139,107 |
| | | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | | |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 137,226 | 137,226 |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 20,756 | |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 81,859 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT | 654 | 654 |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT | 57,691 | 57,691 |
| LEASING | 136,883 | 136,883 |
| MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY | 252,383 | 244,183 |
| | -, | ., |

| | BUDGET REQUEST | HOUSE |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM | | |
| SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 704,504 | |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS | 843,611 | |
| FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE | | |
| ARIZONA | | |
| DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE (250 UNITS) | 48,500 | 48,500 |
| EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE (218 UNITS)VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE (120 UNITS) | 41,202 30,906 | 41,202 30,906 |
| FLORIDA MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE | 1,250 | 1,250 |
| MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE (61 UNITS) | | 21,723 |
| MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE (147 UNITS) | 39,333 | 39,333 |
| MISSISSIPPI COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE | 711 | 711 |
| MISSOURI WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE (160 UNITS) | 37,087 | 37,087 |
| MONTANA MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE (115 UNITS) | 29,910 | 29,910 |
| NORTH CAROLINA SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE (167 UNITS) | 32,693 | 32,693 |
| NORTH DAKOTA GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE (90 UNITS) | 26,169 | 26,169 |
| MINOT AIR FORCE BASE (142 UNITS) | 37,087 | 37,087 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE | 1,976 | 1,976 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE (75 UNITS) | 21,482 | 21,482 |
| TEXAS DYESS AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS) | 28,664 | 28,664 |
| GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS) | 20,604 | 20,604 |
| GERMANY RAMSTEIN AIR BASE (144 UNITS) | 57,691 | 57,691 |
| ITALY AVIANO AIR BASE | 2,542 | 2,542 |
| KOREA | 40.024 | 46,834 |
| OSAN AIR BASE (117 UNITS) | | • |
| ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH (154 UNITS) | 43,976 | 43,976 |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS | 238,353 | 238,353 |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 38,266 | 38,266 |
| SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION | | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | | |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 125,459 | 125,459 |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 70,680 | 64,180 |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT | 26,070 | 26,070 |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 44,459 | 41,959 |
| MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT | 2,396 | 2,396 |
| LEASING | 119,908 | 119,908 435,552 |
| MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY | 435,782 38 | 435,552 38 |
| HONTOAGE INGUNANCE FREHIUM | 33 | 50 |

| | | HOUSE |
|---|---|---|
| PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS | 39,104 | 39,104 |
| SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 863,896 | |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE | 1,710,855 | |
| FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY) | 49 | 49 |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY (NSA)) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA) MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA) LEASING (NSA) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY) LEASING (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY) UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA) SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE | 116 13 53 381 11,257 1,939 3,925 30,199 419 36 76 293 397 | 1,939 3,925 30,199 419 36 76 293 397 |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE | 49,024 | 49,024 |
| CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE | 81,886 | 81,886 |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND | | |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND. | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT | | |
| BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT | 246,116 | 246,116 |
| GENERAL PROVISION | | |
| GENERAL PROVISION (SEC. 118) | 63,000 | 63,000 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 9,553,375 | 10,003,000 |