From: <PFFVPresident@aol.com>
To: FTC.SERIUS("tsr@ftc.gov")
Date: Fri, Mar 22, 2002 2:56 PM
Subject: Comments on do not call list

March 22, 2002

Office of the Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Room 159 Washington, DCÂ 20580

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing to you on behalf of the Professional Firefighters of Vermont to express our objection to the Federal Trade Commission's proposal to amend the Telemarketing Sales Rule. The proposed application of a national â€edo not call†registry to our organization is unfair, unwise and unworkable.

As fire fighters, we make it our business to serve our communities to the greatest extent possible. Our fire fighter organization is a non-profit entity that relies extensively upon small gifts from a large number of people to support numerous charitable activities. Through such contributions, we have been able to support the Vermont Fire Safety Calendar Project, assist in the purchase of a fire safety trailer for Vermont children, offer scholarships to our members' children, and help promote legislation that increases the safety of all firefighters.

The proposed rule is unfair because it would create a â€edo not call†registry maintained by the federal government that would apply to fundraising calls made on behalf of our organization to support our charitable activities, but would not apply to politicians' fundraising calls or phone solicitations by banks, telephone companies and insurance companies. Beyond being unfair, this simply does not make any sense.

The proposed rule is unwise because it would prohibit our organization from contacting individuals on the â€edo not call†list even if they had consistently donated to our organization in the past and would still like to do so in the future. At a time when government is relying upon non-profits and charities to do more, it should not undermine the ability of organizations such as our own to provide valuable services to the public.

Finally, the proposed rule is unworkable because it violates our First Amendment right to contact members of the public. Â The Supreme Court has consistently held that any limitations on free speech must be narrowly drawn and use the least intrusive means. Â This rule satisfies neither of these requirements and further violates the Constitution because it favors calls from commercial interests, such as banks and insurance companies, over calls made on behalf of non-profits.

Please reconsider this amendment in light of these concerns, and reject the proposal to apply the â€edo not call†registry to calls made on behalf of

non-profit organizations.

Sincerely,

Steven Locke President

= (