State Wouncil of Fire Fight

John Corbett President St. Louis, Missouri

6320 Manchester Avenue, Suite 4I A
Karsas City, Missouri 64 133
(816) 737-3335 • Fax (816) 737-3877
Toll Free I-(888) 737-3335

Lorenzo Tutt Secretary-Treasurer Kansas City, Missouri

March 14,2002

Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington DC 20580

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing to you on behalf of the Missour State Council of Firefighters to express our objection to the Federal Trade Commission's proposal to amend the Telemarketing Sales Rule. The proposed application of a national "do not call" registry to our organization is unfair, unwise and unworkable.

As fire fighters, we make it our business to serve our communities to the greatest extent possible. Our fire fighter organization is a non-profit entity that relies extensively upon small gifts from a large number of people to support numerous charitable activities. Through such contributions, we have been able to supply smoke detectors to citizens who cannot afford them, support women's shelters, contribute to schools for special needs children, contribute to children's burn camps, and support such charities as the M.D.A.

The proposed rule is **unfair** because it would create a "do not **call" registry maintained** by the federal government **that** would apply to **fundraising calls** made **on behalf** of **our** organization to support **our** charitable activities, but would not apply to **politicians**' fundraising calls or phone solicitations by banks, telephone companies **and** insurance companies. Beyond being **unfair**, this simply does not make any **sense**.

The proposed rule is unwise **because** it would prohibit **our** organization **from** contacting individuals on the "do not call" list even if they had consistently donated to **our** organization in the past and would still like to do so in the future. At a time when government is relying upon non-profits and charities to do more, it should not undermine the ability of organizations such **as our own to** provide valuable services to the **public.**

Finally, the proposed rule is unworkable because it violates our First Amendment right to contact members of the public. The Supreme Court has consistently held that any limitation on free speech must be narrowly drawn and use the least intrusive means. This rule satisfies neither of these requirements and further violates the Constitution because it favors calls from commercial interests, such as banks and insurance companies, over calls made on behalf of non-profits.

Please reconsider **this** amendment in light of these concerns, **and reject** the proposal to apply the "do not call" registry to calls made on **behalf** of non-profit organizations.

Sincerely,

John M. Corbett, President

Member of International Association of Fire Fighters Affiliated with Missouri State Labor Council, AFL-CIO Heart of America Labor Council St. Louis Labor Council

