

United States General Accounting Office Washington, DC 20548

April 22, 2002

The Honorable John C. Conyers The Honorable Carolyn C. Kilpatrick House of Representatives

Subject: <u>Customs and INS: Information on Inspection, Infrastructure,</u> <u>Traffic Flow, and Security Matters at the Detroit Port of Entry</u>

In your November 6, 2001, letter, you expressed concern about the post-September 11 environment of heightened security along our nation's borders, particularly regarding passenger and cargo delays at the two Detroit Port of Entry land-border crossings. As agreed with your offices, we obtained information on U.S. Customs Service and Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) inspection resources, traffic flow patterns, inspection infrastructure issues, security issues, and potential long-term solutions and options to increase traffic flow and enhance security at the bridge and tunnel.

On February 20, 2002, we briefed your offices on the results of our review. This report transmits the material from that briefing.

Background

The Detroit area has two land-border crossings: the Ambassador Bridge and the Detroit-Windsor Tunnel between Detroit, Michigan, and Windsor, Ontario. Daily, thousands of cars and trucks cross over the bridge or use the tunnel. Fiscal year 2001 traffic volumes over the bridge averaged approximately 10,800 passenger vehicles and 4,300 trucks each day, and the tunnel averaged about 11,600 passenger vehicles and 240 trucks each day. Trade values between the United States and Canada total about \$1.2 billion per day, 27 percent of which is accounted for by merchandise crossing the Ambassador Bridge alone. Both the bridge and tunnel are operational 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

Summary

Immediately after September 11, Customs and INS increased the number and thoroughness of inspections and questioned all bridge and tunnel travelers. This effort resulted in truck wait times of over 10 hours in the first few days.¹ In an effort to decrease wait times, Customs and INS extended shifts, curtailed vacations, and brought in additional staff and obtained assistance from the National Guard and local law enforcement. By the end of October, wait times had generally been reduced to about 20 minutes. However, Customs officials and bridge and tunnel officials said that delays occur for other reasons, such as a limited number of bridge and tunnel lanes, too few inspection booths, and various choke points, such as the bridge cargo inspection plaza on the U.S. side. Increased security to both structures was also implemented. Among the actions taken, bridge and tunnel officials stopped allowing vehicles from queuing up on the bridge or inside the tunnel. The vehicles are now held at either end of the facilities until backups have diminished before they are allowed to cross the bridge or use the tunnel.

Balancing enforcement of border security and facilitating travel and commerce is a major challenge for Customs and INS inspectors assigned to our borders. Some solutions are planned to be implemented to help reduce traffic wait times. For example, Customs and INS expect to increase personnel. According to the bridge and tunnel company officials, long-term enhancements are possible at both locations. For example, the Detroit International Bridge Company, a private company that owns the bridge, also owns land near the bridge that could be used for expanded inspection space on the U.S. side of the border. Company officials said, however, that negotiations with the General Services Administration (GSA)² for one parcel of land have been unsuccessful. Also, according to tunnel company officials, the city of Detroit owns unused land adjacent to the tunnel that could possibly be used to expand inspection facilities. However, no negotiations had been completed at the time of our review.

A plan to make the border more secure without impeding travel flow and trade has been agreed to in principle by the U.S. and Canadian governments. This plan is the Canada-U.S. Smart Border Declaration, which was signed on December 12, 2001, by the Office of Homeland Security Director and the Canadian Minister of Foreign Affairs. The plan focuses on four primary areas: the secure flow of people; the secure flow of goods; secure infrastructure; and information sharing in the enforcement of these objectives. The plan has listed 30 items for action to

¹ Prior to September 11, 2001, no data exist about extent of delays.

² GSA is generally responsible for acquiring, leasing, and maintaining property for use by U.S. government agencies.

improve border security and traffic flow. Many of the officials we interviewed have suggested solutions for consideration that they believe would facilitate the movement of traffic across the border and maintain security of the bridge and tunnel.

Besides increasing personnel and improving technology to expedite the flow of traffic, officials are also considering other procedures to improve traffic flow and security. These include pre-approval of low-risk traffic and reverse inspections. Pre-approved low-risk traffic would pass through thus allowing for inspection efforts to concentrate on high-risk traffic. Reverse inspections would involve U.S. inspectors clearing cargo and travelers in Canada and vice-versa. Currently, vehicles leaving Canada are not inspected until they reach the U.S. side of the border. Inspections, under this plan, would be performed before trucks and travelers cross the bridge or enter the tunnel instead of afterwards. However, proposals such as reverse inspections are not without concerns and issues, and these are being discussed at high U.S. and Canadian government levels.

Scope and Methodology

To obtain information, we visited the Ambassador Bridge and Detroit-Windsor Tunnel from January 28 to January 31, 2002, and obtained preand post-September 11 Customs and INS workload data. We met with Customs and INS officials at their headquarters in Washington, D.C., and in Detroit; bridge and tunnel operators; Detroit, Windsor, and Canadian Chambers of Commerce officials; auto industry officials; and Canadian Consulate officials. Overall, our work was conducted from December 2001 to February 2002 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

The enclosed slides contain additional details on Customs and INS resources, traffic volume at the ports of entry, security issues, and proposals for long-term solutions. We provided Customs and INS with a draft of the slides and incorporated their comments as appropriate. As agreed with your offices, this report concludes our work on the Detroit Port of Entry border crossings.

Copies of this report are available to other interested parties. This report will also be available on GAO's home page at http://www.gao.gov.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 512-8777 or by e-mail at stanar@gao.gov or Darryl W. Dutton, Assistant Director, at (213) 830-1000, or by e-mail at duttond@gao.gov. Key contributors to this report were Holly Ciampi, Cheryl L. Gordon, Bonnie D. Hall, and Maria Romero.

Richard M. Stara

Richard M. Stana Director, Justice Issues

Enclosure

Enclosure









































