# THE HDE CHECKLIST FOR FILING DECISION

# Identification:

HDE Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Received:

Sponsor:

Device:

Procode:

Division/Branch:

**Decision:** 

Recommendation: File \_\_\_ Not File

Administrative Reviewer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date:

Supervisory Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date:

## THE HDE CHECKLIST FOR FILING DECISION

		PART A - DEFICIENCIES TO BE INCLUDED AS REASONS		
Filin	ng Re	eview Elements	Yes Present Omission Justifie	No Inadequate d Omitted
I.	Scr	eening Information		
	A.	Applicant information		
		<ol> <li>Is the name and address of the applicant provided? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(1))</li> </ol>		
		2. Has the applicant or authorized representativ signed the HDE? (If applicant does not reside maintain a place of business in the United States, the application must be countersigned an authorized representative who does live or maintains a place of business in the U.S. Als the name and address of this person should be included) (21 CFR 814.104(a))	or 🛄 by	
	В.	Are you aware of the applicant being the subject an integrity investigation? If yes, consult the integrity officer. Has the ODE Integrity Officer given permission to proceed with the review? (Blue Book Memo #I91-2, 21 CFR 814.42(e)(4) and Federal Register 90N-0332, September 10, 1991)	ODE 🛄	
	С.	Is there a prior history with this device? For example, has a previously submitted PMA/HDE for t device been withdrawn? If yes, does the current H address any historical issues related to the reas for the withdrawal such as fraud or safety?	DE	
II.	-	cFR 814.20, 21 CFR 814.104)		
	Α.	Is the HDE sufficiently well organized to permit substantive review? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(2), table of contents, pages numbered, sections identified, si copies, and one copy which identifies trade secre confidential information)	Х	

- B. Is the device appropriate for review as an HDE?
  - Is there a copy or reference to the determination made by FDA's Office of Orphan Products Development that the device qualifies as a HUD? (21 CFR 814.104(c)(1))
  - 2. Is there an explanation of why the device would not be available unless the HDE were granted? (21 CFR 814.104(c)(2))

The applicant should provide information such as an estimate of the number of patients who would be required to generate data to support a full PMA and explain why such a study is not feasible or why the cost of conducting such a study could not reasonably be expected to be recovered (64  $\underline{FR}$  33234).

- 3. Is there a statement that no other comparable device (other than another HUD approved under this subpart or under an approved IDE) is available to treat or diagnose the disease or condition? (21 CFR 814.104(c)(2))
- C. Does the HDE contain an explanation of why the probable benefit to health from the use of the device outweighs the risk of injury or illness from its use? (21 CFR 814.104(c)(3))
  - Does the explanation take into account the probable risks and benefits of the currently available devices or alternative forms of treatment?
  - 2. Does the explanation include a description, explanation, or theory of the underlying disease process or condition, and known or postulated mechanism(s) of action of the device in relation to the disease process or condition?

III.	Summary of Safety and Probable Benefit (Blue Book Memo #P86-1, remember an HDE is exempt from the effectiveness requirements of sections 514 and 515 of the act and 21 CFR Part 814)				
	Α.		e indications for use provided? CFR 814.20(b)(3)(i))		
	Β.		an abbreviated device description provided? . CFR 814.20(b)(3)(ii))		
	С.		ve alternative practices and procedures been cluded and described? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(3)(iii))		
	D.		a description of the prior marketing history ovided? (814.20(b)(3)(iv))		
	Ε.	cor	a summary of any study(ies) that may have been nducted provided? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(3)(v) and (vi) d 21 CFR 814.104(c)(4)(i))		
		1.	Is a summary of the non-clinical laboratory studies and results provided? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(3)(v)(A))		
		2.	Does the HDE include summaries, conclusions, and results of all clinical experience or investigations (whether adverse or supportive) reasonably obtainable by the applicant that are relevant to an assessment of the risks and probable benefits of the device? (21 CFR 814.104(c)(4)(i))		
IV.	Tec	hnio	cal Information		
	A.	Dev	vice Characteristics and Manufacturing Section		
		1.	Is a description of the device, pictorial representations, and materials specifications present, including the functional component(s) or ingredients? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(4)(i) and (ii))		

#### 4

2.	Is a description of the principle of operation of
	the device (including components) and properties
	of the device relevant to clinical function
	present? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(4)(iii) and (iv))

3. The Manufacturing Section may be waived for filing purposes and submitted later during the substantive review period; OCS reviews for GMP issues; ODE reviews for safety issues.

Has a description of the methods, facilities, and controls used in the manufacture, processing, packing, storage, and installation of the device been provided? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(4)(v)and Guidance for the Preparation of PMA Manufacturing Information)

- 4. Performance Standards and FDA Guidance/ Guidelines: Has the applicant provided documentation to establish conformance with applicable standards and/or FDA guidance/ guidelines that are relevant to the safety of the device and that is known to or should reasonably be known to the applicant? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(5))
- B. Nonclinical Laboratory Studies as appropriate, are the following provided? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(6)(i))
  - 1. Biocompatibility
  - 2. Microbiological
  - 3. Mechanical (wear, stress, etc.)
  - 4. Shelf life
  - 5. Analytical (for IVDs)

- Animal testing for safety-if appropriate, material specific and/or device specific
- 7. A statement whether the nonclinical laboratory tests comply with the GLP regulation (Part 58), and if not, a brief statement of the reason for the noncompliance

### C. Clinical Experience and/or Investigations (21 CFR 814.104(c)(4)(i))

- Summaries, conclusions, and results of all clinical experience or investigations (whether adverse or supportive), reasonably obtainable by the applicant, that are relevant to an assessment of the risks and probable benefits of the device
- 2. <u>If</u> a clinical investigation has been conducted to demonstrate safety, have the following been provided? (<u>Note</u>: Under 21 CFR 814.104(c)(4)(i), an HDE application is only required to contain the summaries, conclusion, and results listed above. Therefore, if the information listed below is not included, this is <u>not</u> a basis for refusing to file the application.)
  - a description of the protocol, subject inclusion/exclusion criteria, study period, and clinically significant safety endpoints
  - b. a description of study population including number of patients, device design used (if more than one), follow-up period, and demographics including gender considerations
  - c. a summary or data from subject evaluations and description of adverse events, e.g. adverse reactions, complications, discontinuations, complaints, failures, replacements, etc.

	d.	if needed, a statistical analyses of the safety data (does OST Statistician recommend filing?)	
	e.	report forms for patients who died or were discontinued	
3.	pre	there is an IDE for the device, has the data esented in the HDE taken into account any fety concerns raised in the IDE?	
4.	acc	foreign clinical data are included, are data ceptable? CFR 814.15(b) and 814.15(d))	
5.	cer	s the applicant submitted the financial tification and/or disclosure statements as puired by 21 CFR Part 54?	
		ng (21 CFR 814.20(b)(10); 21 CFR 4(c)(4)(ii) and Blue Book #P91-4)	
1.		appropriate draft labeling been submitted g., Physician, Patient, Technical, etc.)?	
2.	ΨHu for [sp eff	es the labeling include the statement: manitarian Device. Authorized by Federal law we use in the [treatment or diagnosis] of becify disease or condition]. The fectiveness of this device for this use has not en demonstrated"?	
be is cer res tha the	chai more tifi pons t th dev	<b>Charged</b> - Does the HDE include the amount to reged for the device and, if the amount charged than \$250.00, a report by an independent ied public accountant or an attestation by a sible individual of the organization, verifying he amount charged does not exceed the costs of vice's research, development, fabrication, and bution? (21 CFR 814.104(c)(5))	

D.

Ε.

7

v.	Is there any other reason not addressed above which	[]
	should be identified as a reason for not filing the HDE?	
	If so, briefly explain.	

### **PART B** - DEFICIENCIES TO BE INCLUDED IN THE "MINOR" SECTION OF THE NOT-FILING OR FILING BOILERPLATE LETTER

	Ad	ditional Filing Review Elements	Yes	No	
VI.	. Additional Administrative, Organizational and Regulatory Elements				
	A.	Do we need a device sample? If yes, has it been provided? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(9))			
	в.	Is a bibliography provided? (21 CFR 814.20(b)(8)(i))			
		Have copies of key articles been provided and are English translations included, if appropriate?			
	C.	If there are color additive considerations, has an <u>attempt</u> been made to document them? (21 CFR 814.20(f))			
	D.	Is reference to applicable IDE(s) given? IDE#			
PART	C.	- ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS			
VII. Additional Considerations			Yes	<u>No</u>	
	Α.	Are there any special administrative issues? If so, explain.			
	в.	Are there any precedent setting substantive issues? If so, explain.			

Draft Date: 24-APR-2000