Intervening circumstances which can change scope of hearing, status of the parties or the issues: Intervening circumstances may occur either prior to or during the hearing which can change the scope of the hearing (e.g., a petition presents appropriate unit issues and the parties also raise eligibility issues; a cross-petition is filed which raises new contract bar issues). These issues arise in the form of motions, amendments of the petition, requests to intervene, cross-petitions or evolve as the evidence is secured.

Whether these matters arise before the hearing or during the hearing, the Hearing Officer is responsible for ensuring that:

- a) these matters are addressed,
- b) issues are identified,
- c) parties that are affected by issues raised are notified properly, and
- c) the hearing is structured appropriately.

This includes a review of any relevant sections of this Guide (e.g., *HOG 18.7.2, 18.7.5, 23 and 33.9*). See e.g., *U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, 55* FLRA 466 (1999) where the Authority found that the Regional Director committed prejudicial error when, during the course of the hearing, he found that the employees from each of the affected units in a reorganization constituted a separate appropriate unit, but did not properly notify the former incumbents of their rights with respect to the successorship issue.