

I work in a small engineering company where we have to very tightly control costs. From an economic perspective, this motion by Lexmark appears to be a blatant attempt to create a legalized monopoly forcing consumers to buy only their 'official' toner cartridges.

This would promote bad practice also from an environmental perspective, reducing the number of toner cartridges that will be recycled and reused by the aftermarket suppliers. This motion by Lexmark is a blatant abuse of the DMCA, which is already a questionable law to begin with.

I hope this makes the courts review the DMCA for the real potential of abuse, as well as costing Lexmark a lot of (hopefully wasted) money in frivolous litigation.

Lane Taylor