Table 1. Lending Volume

LENDING VOLUM	IE .		State: CA	ALIFORNIA		Ev	aluation Period:	JANUARY	1, 2000 TO DE	CEMBER 31	, 2001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area Loans	Home	e Mortgage		all Loans usinesses		all Loans Farms		y Development ans**	Total Rep	orted Loans	% of Rated Area
	(#) in MSA/AA*	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	Deposits in MSA/AA***
Full-Scope Review:												
Los Angeles-Long Beach	23.59	56,254	8,938,498	18,349	1,192,466	15	2,561	67	134,773	74,685	10,268,298	31.60
San Francisco	8.37	22,381	5,797,232	4,109	294,281	6	671	15	42,781	26,511	6,134,965	17.84
Limited-Scope Review:												
Bakersfield	1.07	2,736	202,222	605	38,064	50	5,199	1	3,658	3,392	249,143	0.85
Chico-Paradise	0.51	1,415	126,801	191	9,194	11	1,369	3	8,728	1,620	146,092	0.38
Fresno	1.69	3,794	308,566	1,286	100,987	256	35,835	3	8,781	5,339	454,169	1.55
Merced	0.40	1,117	109,667	132	8,878	27	3,624	2	2,640	1,278	124,809	0.23
Modesto	1.01	2,783	292,301	380	30,843	27	3,994	2	1,574	3,192	328,712	0.57
Oakland	11.01	31,241	6,017,667	3,583	258,782	10	1,430	26	59,577	34,860	6,337,456	11.22
Orange County	9.53	24,237	4,468,587	5,899	406,843	6	176	22	50,485	30,164	4,926,091	7.16
Redding	0.35	934	85,484	158	10,406	8	138	1	14,133	1,101	110,161	0.27
Riverside-San Bernardino	7.12	18,830	2,185,848	3,630	239,050	50	10,098	26	83,462	22,536	2,518,458	4.42
Sacramento	5.40	15,298	2,088,161	1,756	144,989	6	546	20	44,866	17,080	2,278,562	3.02
Salinas	1.45	4,197	781,465	369	29,897	23	2,693	2	234	4,591	814,289	0.63
San Diego	7.26	19,755	3,448,615	3,198	251,115	18	2,082	27	107,890	22,998	3,809,702	5.37
San Jose	7.42	20,783	5,344,750	2,706	184,348	5	110	11	37,233	23,505	5,566,441	6.47
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	0.48	1,271	182,825	241	15,145	18	1,055	0	0	1,530	199,025	0.50
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	0.84	2,265	454,174	399	24,742	3	411	0	0	2,667	479,327	0.68
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	1.13	3,245	696,796	320	24,869	10	1,375	2	30,248	3,577	753,288	0.43
Santa Rosa	1.88	5,515	974,841	425	27,214	13	701	2	8,417	5,955	1,011,173	0.81
Stockton-Lodi	1.38	3,898	443,084	423	39,869	28	3,453	5	1,016	4,354	487,422	0.67

^(*) Loan data as of December 31, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

^(**) The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2001

^(***) Deposit data as of June 30, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 1. Lending Volume

LENDING VOLU	ME		State: CA	ALIFORNIA		Ev	valuation Period:	JANUARY	1, 2000 TO DE	CEMBER 31	, 2001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area Loans	Home	e Mortgage		all Loans usinesses		all Loans Farms		y Development ans**	Total Rep	oorted Loans	% of Rated Area
	(#) in MSA/AA*	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	Deposits in MSA/AA***
Limited-Scope Review:												
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	1.98	5,791	914,475	458	30,460	11	484	10	26,908	6,270	972,327	0.89
Ventura	2.05	5,368	840,915	1,111	55,225	18	1,126	3	4,789	6,500	902,055	1.59
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	0.52	1,273	78,615	284	14,916	87	18,307	2	4,642	1,646	116,480	0.51
Yolo	0.35	935	132,788	152	20,218	6	1,370	2	5,922	1,095	160,298	0.34
Yuba City	0.27	725	57,209	115	12,804	9	458	2	2,558	851	73,029	0.20
California Non-MSA	2.93	8,069	930,688	1,099	73,096	107	18,947	4	6,651	9,279	1,029,382	1.81
		_										

^(*) Loan data as of December 31, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

^(**) The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2001

^(***) Deposit data as of June 30, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Geographic					ate: CALIFOR	NIA	Evaluation	Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	100 TO DEC	EMBER 31	, 2001			
		Home se Loans	Low-In Geogra		Moderate- Geograp		Middle-l Geogra		Upper-lı Geogra		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Geo	graphy **	* *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	16,503	20.49	2.34	4.20	14.25	17.64	34.73	29.95	48.68	48.21	4.52	7.57	6.14	3.86	4.33
San Francisco	6,492	8.06	1.20	3.17	11.96	16.33	46.73	44.32	40.11	36.18	10.67	16.03	12.91	10.24	9.97
Limited-Scope Review:															
Bakersfield	782	0.97	1.34	0.51	23.59	24.04	33.22	24.94	41.85	50.51	1.80	0.48	2.44	1.36	1.87
Chico-Paradise	417	0.52	NA	NA	14.88	12.47	66.68	54.20	18.44	33.33	4.34	NA	3.37	4.02	5.44
Fresno	736	0.91	1.68	1.49	17.28	16.44	47.67	37.36	33.37	44.70	1.97	1.60	1.98	2.08	1.89
Merced	390	0.48	0.04	0.00	11.82	7.69	70.40	73.08	17.73	19.23	3.50	0.00	4.02	3.88	2.03
Modesto	917	1.14	0.27	0.33	14.34	20.61	57.80	52.56	27.58	26.50	3.36	5.41	5.76	2.89	3.14
Oakland	10,451	12.98	3.78	6.81	13.15	15.07	46.62	40.64	36.44	37.13	7.33	11.82	7.97	6.23	8.12
Orange County	7,457	9.26	0.77	1.13	17.19	11.88	45.08	37.41	36.96	49.23	4.22	3.03	3.43	3.84	4.88
Redding	226	0.28	NA	NA	9.27	7.08	75.62	65.49	15.11	27.43	1.87	NA	1.05	1.97	2.03
Riverside-San Bernardino	6,291	7.81	0.76	0.40	17.24	12.54	50.68	42.14	31.33	44.89	2.53	1.60	2.68	2.26	2.81
Sacramento	4,720	5.86	2.43	1.44	12.52	11.02	53.21	46.17	31.84	41.38	3.71	3.05	3.82	3.47	4.04
Salinas	1,355	1.68	0.19	0.30	12.31	19.78	45.24	47.53	42.25	32.40	8.93	12.50	10.96	7.76	9.87
San Diego	6,301	7.82	1.88	2.33	13.42	12.60	49.05	35.82	35.64	49.25	3.64	3.98	3.29	2.99	4.50
San Jose	6,402	7.95	1.43	1.91	13.79	15.82	57.16	58.25	27.62	23.99	7.20	6.62	5.92	7.15	8.83
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	370	0.46	NA	NA	7.06	4.86	81.99	81.08	10.95	14.05	2.04	NA	1.40	1.91	3.53
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	598	0.74	0.00	0.00	16.32	20.40	40.63	29.43	43.05	50.17	2.77	0.00	2.52	1.61	4.71
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	1,002	1.24	NA	NA	12.70	16.17	55.56	55.49	31.74	28.34	6.81	NA	6.21	6.69	7.44
Santa Rosa	1,574	1.95	NA	NA	7.03	9.59	73.42	67.73	19.55	22.68	6.71	NA	5.23	6.85	7.15
Stockton-Lodi	1,348	1.67	1.09	1.71	20.50	26.71	47.63	30.64	30.78	40.95	3.76	9.92	6.30	2.83	3.68

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Geograph	c Distributio	n: HOME P	URCHASE	St	ate: CALIFOR	NIA	Evaluation I	Period: JAN	IUARY 1, 200	OO TO DECE	MBER 31,	2001			
		l Home se Loans	Low-In Geogra		Moderate- Geogra		Middle-lı Geogra		Upper-lı Geogra		Mar	ket Share	(%) by Ged	graphy *	**
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	# % of % Owner Occ % BAN Units**			% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Limited-Scope Review:															
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	1,577	1.96	0.53	0.13	9.54	12.94	62.12	59.92	27.81	26.70	5.59	0.00	6.56	5.55	5.22
Ventura	1,547	1.92	0.85	0.65	20.59	20.36	48.04	41.05	30.51	37.94	2.74	0.33	2.63	2.69	3.09
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	156	0.19	NA	NA	20.01	17.95	48.44	44.87	31.56	37.18	0.78	NA	0.52	0.79	0.87
Yolo	346	0.43	0.06	0.29	23.63	10.40	38.67	27.17	37.64	62.14	3.48	0.00	2.29	2.18	6.36
Yuba City	203	0.25	NA	NA	20.39	19.70	50.98	48.77	28.64	31.53	2.85	NA	2.44	3.23	2.62
California Non-MSA	2,374	2.95	0.38	0.08	16.68	8.55	62.50	57.16	20.44	34.20	4.91	20.00	4.12	5.09	4.88

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Geographic Dist			•		ate: CALIFOI	RNIA	Evaluatio	n Period:	JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO	DECEMBE	R 31, 2001			
		Home nent Loans	Low-Ir Geogra		Moderate Geogra		Middle-Ir Geograp		Upper-l Geogra		Ma	arket Share	(%) by Geo	graphy **	*
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	10,258	20.38	2.34	2.19	14.25	11.87	34.73	27.44	48.68	58.49	38.00	31.13	34.13	33.03	42.40
San Francisco	6,084	12.09	1.20	1.68	11.96	15.02	46.73	46.65	40.11	36.62	69.35	61.11	70.45	67.55	71.58
Limited-Scope Review:															
Bakersfield	336	0.67	1.34	2.08	23.59	40.18	33.22	25.00	41.85	32.74	17.00	22.22	20.58	18.31	14.10
Chico-Paradise	246	0.49	NA	NA	14.88	8.94	66.68	63.01	18.44	28.05	34.50	NA	23.68	35.51	35.90
Fresno	607	1.21	1.68	1.15	17.28	14.33	47.67	42.01	33.37	42.50	25.10	36.36	29.86	28.54	21.28
Merced	137	0.27	0.04	0.00	11.82	15.33	70.40	68.61	17.73	16.06	21.45	0.00	41.67	20.63	18.29
Modesto	409	0.81	0.27	0.24	14.34	12.96	57.80	47.92	27.58	38.88	25.35	100.00	36.26	23.67	24.93
Oakland	6,821	13.55	3.78	4.53	13.15	13.05	46.62	39.76	36.44	42.43	48.46	53.87	48.48	42.15	55.58
Orange County	4,627	9.19	0.77	0.65	17.19	10.46	45.08	41.11	36.96	47.70	45.36	43.24	38.26	44.10	48.57
Redding	159	0.32	NA	NA	9.27	5.66	75.62	73.58	15.11	20.75	29.93	NA	21.05	31.37	27.87
Riverside-San Bernardino	2,724	5.41	0.76	0.51	17.24	11.49	50.68	40.64	31.33	47.28	20.85	28.57	23.93	20.14	20.86
Sacramento	2,167	4.30	2.43	1.38	12.52	9.88	53.21	45.96	31.84	42.78	26.10	20.34	31.51	23.63	28.15
Salinas	772	1.53	0.19	0.26	12.31	12.82	45.24	42.88	42.25	44.04	57.62	50.00	54.26	52.73	65.23
San Diego	2,979	5.92	1.88	2.01	13.42	9.20	49.05	41.32	35.64	47.47	28.74	23.33	23.24	25.43	34.56
San Jose	4,801	9.54	1.43	2.06	13.79	14.33	57.16	57.57	27.62	26.04	59.18	56.82	53.41	56.80	69.48
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	313	0.62	NA	NA	7.06	9.58	81.99	80.51	10.95	9.90	46.61	NA	51.72	44.62	63.33
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	439	0.87	0.00	0.00	16.32	16.86	40.63	34.40	43.05	48.75	41.76	0.00	39.39	36.06	49.36
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	716	1.42	NA	NA	12.70	9.78	55.56	52.93	31.74	37.29	56.76	NA	47.30	58.18	57.76
Santa Rosa	932	1.85	NA	NA	7.03	5.90	73.42	75.54	19.55	18.56	39.67	NA	32.65	42.45	32.56
Stockton-Lodi	517	1.03	1.09	1.55	20.50	18.76	47.63	35.40	30.78	44.29	21.39	71.43	27.78	19.97	20.10

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

	HUIVIE IIVIPI	ROVEMENT	Sta	ate: CALIFOI	RNIA	Evaluatio	n Period:	JANUARY 1	1, 2000 TO	DECEMBER	R 31, 2001			
		_								Ma	rket Share	(%) by Geo	graphy **	*
#	# 1 /5 1 UCC 1 UCC 1 UCC						% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
imited-Scope Review: allejo-Fairfield-Napa 1 114 2 21 0.53 0.18 9.54 11.31 62.12 53.23 27.81 35.28 35.99 100.00 38.99 34.22 38.42														
1,114	2.21	0.53	0.18	9.54	11.31	62.12	53.23	27.81	35.28	35.99	100.00	38.99	34.22	38.42
1,151	2.29	0.85	1.13	20.59	17.72	48.04	41.70	30.51	39.44	30.30	21.43	26.30	26.87	37.89
218	0.43	NA	NA	20.01	28.90	48.44	49.08	31.56	22.02	14.74	NA	22.79	15.12	9.62
143	0.28	0.06	0.00	23.63	20.28	38.67	28.67	37.64	51.05	25.52	0.00	16.95	22.56	31.72
114	0.23	NA	NA	20.39	14.04	50.98	42.98	28.64	42.98	29.63	NA	24.32	30.58	30.36
1,555	3.09	0.38	0.00	16.68	6.11	62.50	59.04	20.44	34.86	44.88	0.00	30.99	47.09	44.91
	# 1,114 1,151 218 143 114	# Total* 1,114 2.21 1,151 2.29 218 0.43 143 0.28 114 0.23	# % of Total*	# % of Total* % Owner Occ Units** % BANK Loans % Dwner Occ Units** % BANK Loans %	Recognation Recognation		Improvement Loans Geographies Geograph	Improvement Loans Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies	Improvement Loans Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies	Improvement Loans Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies	Improvement Loans Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies	Market Share Mark	Market Share (%) by Geo Market Share (%)	Market Share (%) by Geography ** Market Share (

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Geographic Dist	ribution: I	HOME MOF	RTGAGE REF	INANCE	State:	CALIFORNIA	. E	valuation Per	iod: JANUA	RY 1, 2000 T	O DECEME	BER 31, 20	001		
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Mort Refinand	gage		Income raphies	Moderate Geogra		Middle- Geogr		Upper- Geogr		Ma	arket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	*
WOR/ASSESSMENT ATEA.	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	29,465	22.13	2.34	2.82	14.25	14.54	34.73	31.73	48.68	50.90	6.99	10.75	9.01	6.93	6.45
San Francisco	9,803	7.36	1.20	1.63	11.96	11.19	46.73	46.21	40.11	40.97	8.83	9.19	8.31	8.84	8.96
Limited-Scope Review:		_									_				_
Bakersfield	1,617	1.21	1.34	1.67	23.59	37.66	33.22	24.00	41.85	36.67	8.30	18.07	20.77	8.57	5.23
Chico-Paradise	752	0.56	NA	NA	14.88	11.97	66.68	54.65	18.44	33.38	8.24	NA	10.70	7.61	8.56
Fresno	2,448	1.84	1.68	1.43	17.28	16.46	47.67	37.79	33.37	44.28	8.22	12.20	13.41	8.93	6.67
Merced	588	0.44	0.04	0.00	11.82	10.03	70.40	70.07	17.73	19.90	6.60	0.00	8.33	6.71	5.76
Modesto	1,457	1.09	0.27	0.48	14.34	13.38	57.80	52.92	27.58	33.22	5.35	16.67	7.77	5.06	5.10
Oakland	13,966	10.49	3.78	3.27	13.15	11.33	46.62	42.51	36.44	42.62	6.26	6.61	5.89	5.71	7.00
Orange County	12,150	9.12	0.77	1.01	17.19	11.75	45.08	40.08	36.96	47.09	5.72	7.27	5.89	5.28	6.08
Redding	549	0.41	NA	NA	9.27	7.83	75.62	65.39	15.11	26.78	6.76	NA	7.71	6.50	7.25
Riverside-San Bernardino	9,814	7.37	0.76	0.63	17.24	12.59	50.68	43.65	31.33	43.10	5.14	11.57	7.35	5.18	4.68
Sacramento	8,408	6.31	2.43	1.38	12.52	7.43	53.21	45.56	31.84	45.62	6.09	7.04	5.92	5.82	6.38
Salinas	2,070	1.55	0.19	0.19	12.31	17.34	45.24	45.75	42.25	36.71	8.79	7.55	12.41	7.75	8.92
San Diego	10,467	7.86	1.88	1.98	13.42	9.96	49.05	41.40	35.64	46.66	5.06	5.52	4.72	4.51	5.75
San Jose	9,576	7.19	1.43	1.81	13.79	12.78	57.16	57.23	27.62	28.19	6.80	7.69	6.31	6.61	7.43
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	588	0.44	NA	NA	7.06	7.31	81.99	80.44	10.95	12.24	2.76	NA	3.29	2.66	3.29
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	1,228	0.92	0.00	0.41	16.32	16.69	40.63	38.68	43.05	44.22	4.96	21.05	5.32	4.71	5.04
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	1,525	1.15	NA	NA	12.70	13.51	55.56	55.34	31.74	31.15	7.60	NA	7.82	7.81	7.18
Santa Rosa	3,009	2.26	NA	NA	7.03	6.78	73.42	70.19	19.55	23.03	6.82	NA	5.98	6.69	7.64
Stockton-Lodi	2,033	1.53	1.09	1.48	20.50	22.09	47.63	36.25	30.78	40.19	5.23	17.42	9.00	4.40	4.86

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Geographic Dis	tribution: I	HOME MOF	RTGAGE REF	INANCE	State:	CALIFORNIA	A E	Evaluation Per	iod: JANUAI	RY 1, 2000 T	O DECEME	BER 31, 20	001		
MSA/Assessment Area:	Mort	Home gage ce Loans	_	ncome raphies		e-Income aphies		-Income aphies	Upper-l Geogra		Ma	arket Share	(%) by Geo	ography **	*
WIDA/ASSESSIIIEIIL AIEG.	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Limited-Scope Review:															
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	3,098	2.33	0.53	0.13	9.54	8.78	62.12	53.55	27.81	37.54	6.49	7.41	7.20	5.81	7.61
Ventura	2,670	2.00	0.85	1.09	20.59	20.22	48.04	41.65	30.51	37.04	4.26	4.45	4.76	4.00	4.33
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	899	0.68	NA	NA	20.01	22.47	48.44	49.83	31.56	27.70	8.31	NA	12.78	8.79	6.07
Yolo	445	0.33	0.06	0.45	23.63	11.91	38.67	32.81	37.64	54.83	4.31	14.29	4.30	3.73	4.77
Yuba City	408	0.31	NA	NA	20.39	17.40	50.98	52.94	28.64	29.66	9.26	NA	13.78	10.90	6.47
California Non-MSA	4,139	3.11	0.38	0.00	16.68	7.32	62.50	58.95	20.44	33.73	8.27	0.00	7.29	8.95	7.54
·															

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Geographic Dis						CALIFORNIA	. Ev	aluation Perio	d: JANUARY	1, 2000 TO	DECEMBE	R 31, 20	01		
		nall Loans sinesses	Low-Ind Geograp		Moderate Geogra		Middle-l Geogra		Upper-In Geograp		Mai	ket Share	(%) by Geo	ography **	*
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses **	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	18,349	35.71	8.60	11.59	17.31	20.44	31.99	32.04	41.83	35.93	1.91	3.51	2.41	1.82	1.61
San Francisco	4,109	8.00	13.90	13.04	17.85	21.39	37.42	36.84	30.69	28.73	1.74	2.25	1.70	1.76	1.74
Limited-Scope Review:															
Bakersfield	605	1.18	8.41	9.26	23.07	30.25	27.45	24.46	41.07	36.03	1.41	2.69	2.11	1.29	1.17
Chico-Paradise	191	0.37	NA	NA	22.97	20.94	56.03	57.07	20.99	21.99	1.10	NA	1.25	1.19	1.08
Fresno	1,286	2.50	4.37	3.34	22.40	24.49	42.46	42.30	30.55	29.86	2.24	1.62	3.04	2.51	1.83
Merced	132	0.26	0.00	0.00	24.67	27.27	61.91	59.09	13.43	13.64	1.24	0.00	2.66	0.96	1.19
Modesto	380	0.74	1.08	0.00	22.31	22.89	56.60	54.21	19.88	22.89	1.10	0.00	1.87	1.01	1.11
Oakland	3,583	6.97	10.40	9.86	15.43	14.83	42.97	41.52	30.74	33.79	1.43	1.54	1.44	1.39	1.56
Orange County	5,899	11.48	3.65	4.73	24.87	28.69	37.42	39.11	28.64	27.47	1.56	1.84	1.95	1.51	1.33
Redding	158	0.31	NA	NA	18.01	17.72	69.88	69.62	12.11	12.66	0.94	NA	1.13	1.07	0.59
Riverside-San Bernardino	3,630	7.07	1.93	1.85	22.04	21.58	47.44	44.16	28.55	32.41	1.36	1.71	1.63	1.42	1.35
Sacramento	1,756	3.42	4.92	4.10	19.95	20.67	46.42	45.79	28.71	29.44	1.18	1.69	1.61	1.18	1.08
Salinas	369	0.72	7.26	4.07	19.03	23.04	39.83	38.75	33.88	34.15	1.09	0.92	2.13	1.04	1.09
San Diego	3,198	6.22	3.66	3.57	22.69	23.00	42.95	42.78	30.52	30.65	1.02	1.19	1.26	1.06	0.92
San Jose	2,706	5.27	6.10	6.90	22.46	25.05	50.02	53.58	21.17	14.47	1.29	2.18	1.49	1.35	0.87
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	241	0.47	NA	NA	11.34	11.62	76.53	78.01	12.13	10.37	0.69	NA	1.15	0.72	0.57
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	399	0.78	0.42	0.25	42.39	52.13	29.04	22.31	28.16	25.31	0.74	3.13	1.19	0.69	0.39
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	320	0.62	NA	NA	20.71	15.00	54.77	59.06	24.49	25.94	1.12	NA	0.79	1.40	1.07
Santa Rosa	425	0.83	NA	NA	15.12	16.24	69.70	65.41	15.18	18.35	0.71	NA	0.79	0.74	0.77
Stockton-Lodi	423	0.82	6.35	7.57	21.45	21.04	42.50	38.77	29.69	32.62	1.26	2.67	1.36	1.23	1.32

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Geographic D	Distribution:	SMALL LO	ANS TO BUSII	NESSES	State:	CALIFORNI	A E	valuation Peri	od: JANUARY	′ 1, 2000 T(D DECEMB	ER 31, 20	001		
	Total Sm to Busi	all Loans nesses	Low-Ind Geogra		Moderate Geogra		Middle-l Geogra		Upper-In Geogra		Ma	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	. *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses **	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Limited-Scope Review:															
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	458	0.89	2.98	3.93	15.31	18.12	61.33	61.35	20.38	16.59	0.95	2.40	1.69	1.04	0.42
Ventura	1,111	2.16	3.78	3.42	27.57	27.09	44.88	45.72	23.78	23.76	1.25	1.15	1.57	1.21	1.30
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	284	0.55	NA	NA	18.38	21.13	48.69	51.41	32.90	27.46	1.19	NA	1.03	1.58	0.97
Yolo	152	0.30	0.34	0.00	34.13	45.39	43.03	32.24	22.50	22.37	1.22	0.00	1.55	1.17	1.20
Yuba City	115	0.22	NA	NA	25.39	12.17	52.19	66.96	22.42	20.87	1.09	NA	0.96	1.79	0.29
California Non-MSA	1,099	2.14	0.13	0.00	20.51	18.11	61.70	60.69	17.66	21.20	1.20	0.00	1.19	1.33	1.54

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Geographic D	istribution:	SMALL LO	DANS TO FAF	RMS	State: CA	LIFORNIA	Evalu	ation Period:	JANUARY 1	I, 2000 TO	DECEMBER	R 31, 200	1		
MSA/Assessment Area:		nall Loans Farms	Low-In Geogra		Moderate Geogra		Middle- Geogra		Upper-l Geogra		Ma	arket Share	e (%) by Geo	graphy **	*
WON/ASSESSIBILITATES.	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	15	1.81	2.92	0.00	11.97	0.00	36.36	46.67	48.66	53.33	2.73	0.00	0.00	3.70	3.17
San Francisco	6	0.72	3.29	33.33	18.28	16.67	43.06	16.67	35.17	33.33	3.45	33.33	7.69	0.00	0.00
Limited-Scope Review:															
Bakersfield	50	6.04	1.59	0.00	33.73	38.00	28.23	20.00	36.44	42.00	8.15	0.00	7.14	8.70	10.61
Chico-Paradise	11	1.33	NA	NA	9.72	9.09	53.96	54.55	36.32	36.36	3.85	NA	100.00	0.00	7.69
Fresno	256	30.92	0.67	0.39	18.27	16.41	60.39	65.63	20.55	17.58	19.45	0.00	14.17	21.07	23.81
Merced	27	3.26	0.09	0.00	9.30	11.11	83.30	88.89	7.31	0.00	3.44	0.00	8.33	3.27	0.00
Modesto	27	3.26	0.34	0.00	9.01	18.52	58.79	37.04	31.86	44.44	3.83	0.00	5.00	1.99	7.29
Oakland	10	1.21	5.37	10.00	12.66	0.00	45.49	30.00	36.32	60.00	4.55	0.00	0.00	5.56	5.00
Orange County	6	0.72	2.68	0.00	23.01	0.00	42.45	33.33	30.41	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Redding	8	0.97	NA	NA	9.67	0.00	76.95	50.00	13.38	50.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	0.00
Riverside-San Bernardino	50	6.04	2.49	6.00	20.96	26.00	42.99	26.00	33.53	42.00	11.29	0.00	10.00	6.56	15.79
Sacramento	6	0.72	2.20	0.00	12.77	33.33	58.39	50.00	26.64	16.67	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.39	0.00
Salinas	23	2.78	7.76	13.04	19.46	4.35	46.68	52.17	26.10	30.43	15.09	40.00	0.00	8.82	33.33
San Diego	18	2.17	1.42	0.00	17.77	11.11	47.13	50.00	33.69	38.89	2.44	0.00	6.25	1.82	2.13
San Jose	5	0.60	4.70	0.00	22.72	40.00	53.25	20.00	19.34	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	18	2.17	NA	NA	8.38	0.00	83.12	94.44	8.51	5.56	4.12	NA	0.00	4.71	0.00
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	3	0.36	0.00	0.00	27.58	0.00	29.72	0.00	42.70	100.00	1.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.26
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	10	1.21	NA	NA	19.35	50.00	58.70	40.00	21.63	10.00	14.81	NA	50.00	10.00	0.00
Santa Rosa	13	1.57	NA	NA	6.07	0.00	80.66	46.15	13.27	53.85	2.94	NA	0.00	1.30	9.09
Stockton-Lodi	28	3.38	0.62	0.00	9.86	7.14	60.70	35.71	28.82	57.14	3.44	0.00	3.70	1.75	6.72

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Geographic D)istribution:	SMALL LO	DANS TO FAR	RMS	State: CA	LIFORNIA	Evalu	ıation Period:	JANUARY 1	I, 2000 TO	DECEMBER	R 31, 200	1		
MSA/Assessment Area:		nall Loans arms	Low-In Geogra		Moderat Geogr	e-Income aphies		Income aphies	Upper-l Geogra		Ma	arket Shar	e (%) by Geo	graphy **	*
WOAJASSSSIIGHT ATES.	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
imited-Scope Review:															
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	11				5.49	9.09	63.04	45.45	29.95	45.45	1.69	0.00	0.00	1.47	2.94
Ventura	18	2.17	1.84	0.00	33.97	55.56	47.68	38.89	16.51	5.56	10.71	0.00	22.22	6.25	0.00
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	87	10.51	NA	NA	24.07	24.14	62.14	60.92	13.79	14.94	12.58	NA	15.38	13.11	10.26
Yolo	6	0.72	0.56	0.00	19.21	33.33	64.41	66.67	15.82	0.00	1.43	0.00	2.44	1.33	0.00
Yuba City	9	1.09	NA	NA	18.22	22.22	53.14	22.22	28.64	55.56	2.74	NA	0.00	1.16	6.82
California Non-MSA	107	12.92	0.45	0.00	14.05	11.21	68.19	63.55	17.30	25.23	6.85	0.00	4.55	6.39	10.32

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 7. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Borrower D	istribution:	HOME PURCI	HASE	State:	CALIFORN	IA	Evaluation F	Period: JANI	JARY 1, 200	OO TO DECE	MBER 31,	2001			
		Home se Loans		Income owers		te-Income owers		-Income owers	Upper- Borro		Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families	% BANK Loans ***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	16,503	20.49	22.82	2.21	16.85	20.46	19.70	20.56	40.63	56.77	5.23	6.28	9.27	4.32	4.78
San Francisco	6,492	8.06	19.83	0.45	18.18	3.52	22.65	15.53	39.34	80.50	12.78	5.00	9.47	15.43	12.59
Limited-Scope Review:															
Bakersfield	782	0.97	22.38	7.71	17.15	13.74	20.18	23.00	40.29	55.54	2.06	2.38	1.35	1.77	2.58
Chico-Paradise	417	0.52	19.92	1.47	18.62	10.78	22.18	19.12	39.28	68.63	4.95	2.46	4.73	3.26	5.81
Fresno	736	0.91	23.02	5.29	16.93	20.69	19.78	20.85	40.27	53.17	2.20	2.12	1.96	2.32	2.22
Merced	390	0.48	20.72	0.90	18.73	4.79	20.00	23.65	40.54	70.66	4.09	1.59	2.36	3.46	4.59
Modesto	917	1.14	20.97	3.48	17.19	16.47	21.42	27.61	40.42	52.44	4.08	5.69	5.44	4.11	3.75
Oakland	10,451	12.98	20.34	1.44	17.35	12.28	23.48	23.38	38.83	62.90	8.52	5.23	8.13	8.49	8.71
Orange County	7,457	9.26	18.65	2.56	19.00	15.27	23.57	21.12	38.77	61.05	4.57	2.99	3.89	3.73	5.35
Redding	226	0.28	21.65	2.44	17.74	7.32	20.87	18.05	39.73	72.20	2.13	1.71	0.50	0.92	3.12
Riverside-San Bernardino	6,291	7.81	20.75	3.38	17.17	13.72	22.57	18.20	39.51	64.70	2.68	2.22	2.23	1.69	3.41
Sacramento	4,720	5.86	20.17	3.26	18.02	15.98	23.02	20.06	38.79	60.70	4.13	2.84	3.88	3.15	4.86
Salinas	1,355	1.68	18.65	0.41	18.28	6.12	23.94	19.10	39.13	74.37	10.48	1.75	9.57	10.31	10.71
San Diego	6,301	7.82	19.87	1.57	18.72	10.77	22.32	16.16	39.09	71.51	3.95	2.88	3.51	2.92	4.42
San Jose	6,402	7.95	18.71	0.55	18.69	6.41	23.99	25.36	38.61	67.67	8.99	3.42	6.25	9.12	9.42
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	370	0.46	17.65	1.31	18.91	7.54	24.68	10.49	38.76	80.66	1.94	2.41	0.66	0.77	2.43
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	598	0.74	19.52	2.04	18.10	8.70	22.62	12.78	39.77	76.48	3.08	0.72	1.64	1.69	4.11
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	1,002	1.24	19.49	0.26	18.01	4.49	22.66	14.89	39.84	80.36	7.26	0.00	2.76	7.25	8.03
Santa Rosa	1,574	1.95	17.10	0.97	19.09	5.52	25.39	17.61	38.42	75.90	7.58	2.63	5.15	6.40	8.51
Stockton-Lodi	1,348	1.67	21.84	5.28	17.32	18.07	21.40	23.27	39.44	53.38	4.25	10.66	7.86	4.49	3.46

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 11.77% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 7. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Borrower	Distribution:	HOME PURC	HASE	State:	CALIFORN	IA	Evaluation I	Period: JAN	UARY 1, 200	OO TO DECE	MBER 31,	2001			
		l Home se Loans		Income owers		te-Income owers		-Income owers		Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income) ^{****}
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Limited-Scope Review:															
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	1,577	1.96	17.94	1.30	17.89	7.00	25.91	18.98	38.27	72.73	6.24	5.73	4.58	4.87	6.99
Ventura	1,547	1.92	18.41	3.30	18.90	16.09	25.19	24.88	37.50	55.73	2.99	2.43	2.96	2.58	3.29
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	156	0.19	21.64	7.28	18.12	15.89	19.54	23.18	40.70	53.64	0.87	0.74	0.50	0.63	1.14
Yolo	346	0.43	21.22	2.54	18.13	7.25	20.86	17.39	39.79	72.83	3.66	1.75	1.38	2.01	5.34
Yuba City	203	0.25	20.32	3.72	18.62	18.62	20.11	28.72	40.94	48.94	3.16	2.82	4.36	3.96	2.56
California Non-MSA	2,374	2.95	20.83	1.27	18.27	4.51	21.10	12.91	39.80	81.31	5.39	2.95	2.66	3.44	6.36

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 11.77% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Borrower Dist	ribution: HO	OME IMPROVE	MENT	State:	CALIFORN	IIA	Evaluatio	n Period: JA	NUARY 1, 2	000 TO DE	CEMBER 3	1, 2001			
		al Home ment Loans	_	ncome owers	Moderat Borro	e-Income owers		e-Income owers	Upper-l Borro		Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	10,258	20.38	22.82	5.55	16.85	8.86	19.70	18.08	40.63	67.51	41.01	44.46	35.29	36.10	43.39
San Francisco	6,084	12.09	19.83	4.24	18.18	10.95	22.65	21.98	39.34	62.83	71.34	58.48	67.87	70.16	73.60
Limited-Scope Review:															
Bakersfield	336	0.67	22.38	8.96	17.15	14.63	20.18	21.79	40.29	54.63	19.93	17.33	19.81	19.35	20.63
Chico-Paradise	246	0.49	19.92	5.69	18.62	10.16	22.18	15.04	39.28	69.11	36.80	38.89	35.00	27.63	39.83
Fresno	607	1.21	23.02	7.08	16.93	12.52	19.78	17.79	40.27	62.60	28.61	38.78	34.78	26.84	27.42
Merced	137	0.27	20.72	3.65	18.73	13.87	20.00	22.63	40.54	59.85	23.51	42.86	36.00	21.74	21.88
Modesto	409	0.81	20.97	4.40	17.19	10.27	21.42	23.23	40.42	62.10	28.78	17.07	28.57	35.98	27.32
Oakland	6,821	13.55	20.34	3.99	17.35	12.69	23.48	23.11	38.83	60.21	51.08	41.48	43.26	48.82	55.21
Orange County	4,627	9.19	18.65	5.41	19.00	12.72	23.57	21.26	38.77	60.62	48.69	49.49	45.16	44.61	51.14
Redding	159	0.32	21.65	5.03	17.74	10.69	20.87	19.50	39.73	64.78	31.37	50.00	25.93	25.00	32.61
Riverside-San Bernardino	2,724	5.41	20.75	6.32	17.17	11.42	22.57	18.51	39.51	63.75	24.16	35.96	27.92	20.20	24.13
Sacramento	2,167	4.30	20.17	6.05	18.02	13.47	23.02	20.72	38.79	59.76	29.16	36.79	26.59	25.68	30.71
Salinas	772	1.53	18.65	3.76	18.28	7.64	23.94	19.04	39.13	69.56	60.46	66.67	46.27	58.14	63.00
San Diego	2,979	5.92	19.87	5.07	18.72	11.02	22.32	19.31	39.09	64.60	30.84	38.89	31.07	27.21	31.56
San Jose	4,801	9.54	18.71	5.71	18.69	15.48	23.99	26.42	38.61	52.40	61.65	49.23	58.10	57.42	66.25
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	313	0.62	17.65	3.83	18.91	12.46	24.68	20.77	38.76	62.94	50.28	50.00	48.65	44.74	52.42
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	439	0.87	19.52	5.24	18.10	10.25	22.62	21.18	39.77	63.33	44.82	41.67	37.68	37.41	50.00
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	716	1.42	19.49	4.05	18.01	11.03	22.66	19.97	39.84	64.94	58.66	75.00	55.41	52.03	60.54
Santa Rosa	932	1.85	17.10	3.76	19.09	12.45	25.39	20.82	38.42	62.98	42.46	53.33	46.97	35.27	44.08
Stockton-Lodi	517	1.03	21.84	6.38	17.32	10.44	21.40	17.79	39.44	65.38	24.75	48.78	25.96	25.58	23.22

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.03% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Borrower Di	stribution: HO	OME IMPROVE	MENT	State:	CALIFOR	NIA	Evaluatio	n Period: JA	NUARY 1, 2	000 TO DE	CEMBER 3	1, 2001			
		al Home ment Loans	_	Income owers		e-Income owers		e-Income owers		ncome wers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	****
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Limited-Scope Review:															
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	1,114	2.21	17.94	3.05	17.89	9.25	25.91	18.22	38.27	69.48	38.35	47.62	35.23	29.17	41.86
Ventura	1,151	2.29	18.41	7.30	18.90	16.17	25.19	25.91	37.50	50.61	32.10	29.35	28.62	30.56	34.53
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	218	0.43	21.64	7.80	18.12	12.84	19.54	16.97	40.70	62.39	17.32	34.62	18.75	14.40	16.88
Yolo	143	0.28	21.22	11.19	18.13	11.89	20.86	21.68	39.79	55.24	27.83	38.10	23.81	23.29	29.48
Yuba City	114	0.23	20.32	5.26	18.62	5.26	20.11	22.81	40.94	66.67	32.92	41.67	11.76	39.02	32.95
California Non-MSA	1,555	3.09	20.83	3.09	18.27	6.30	21.10	14.79	39.80	75.82	48.83	48.00	41.46	45.09	50.40
				_											

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.03% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Borrower Distribu	ıtion: HOMI	E MORTGAGE	REFINANCE	,	State: CAL	IFORNIA	Evalu	ation Period:	JANUARY	1, 2000 TC) DECEMBI	ER 31, 20	01		
		ne Mortgage nce Loans		ncome owers		te-Income owers		-Income owers	Upper- Borro		Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Los Angeles-Long Beach	29,465	22.13	22.82	5.72	16.85	13.74	19.70	20.77	40.63	59.77	8.39	16.63	11.10	7.99	7.73
San Francisco	9,803	7.36	19.83	3.79	18.18	11.35	22.65	22.37	39.34	62.48	9.61	11.06	9.96	9.68	9.44
Limited-Scope Review:															
Bakersfield	1,617	1.21	22.38	6.12	17.15	15.15	20.18	21.28	40.29	57.45	11.18	16.80	16.72	11.51	9.91
Chico-Paradise	752	0.56	19.92	2.97	18.62	8.38	22.18	19.19	39.28	69.46	9.50	9.86	7.78	8.57	10.02
Fresno	2,448	1.84	23.02	4.34	16.93	12.20	19.78	18.50	40.27	64.95	10.48	15.90	14.00	10.57	9.78
Merced	588	0.44	20.72	3.33	18.73	12.08	20.00	21.72	40.54	62.87	8.14	12.66	11.89	8.46	7.51
Modesto	1,457	1.09	20.97	4.65	17.19	12.96	21.42	22.33	40.42	60.06	6.44	10.35	7.27	5.60	6.47
Oakland	13,966	10.49	20.34	3.97	17.35	13.13	23.48	23.16	38.83	59.75	6.90	7.30	5.99	6.15	7.47
Orange County	12,150	9.12	18.65	5.63	19.00	14.52	23.57	23.03	38.77	56.83	6.47	8.71	5.95	5.51	6.96
Redding	549	0.41	21.65	2.22	17.74	8.70	20.87	17.04	39.73	72.04	8.16	7.75	6.25	6.45	8.97
Riverside-San Bernardino	9,814	7.37	20.75	5.16	17.17	14.95	22.57	20.58	39.51	59.31	6.76	10.55	8.65	5.87	6.54
Sacramento	8,408	6.31	20.17	4.10	18.02	12.39	23.02	22.54	38.79	60.97	7.01	7.09	5.98	6.03	7.74
Salinas	2,070	1.55	18.65	2.15	18.28	11.89	23.94	21.63	39.13	64.33	9.88	11.15	12.61	10.10	9.39
San Diego	10,467	7.86	19.87	4.11	18.72	11.88	22.32	19.94	39.09	64.07	5.65	7.88	5.82	4.65	5.91
San Jose	9,576	7.19	18.71	4.69	18.69	12.80	23.99	25.42	38.61	57.09	7.55	8.68	7.10	7.29	7.70
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	588	0.44	17.65	3.10	18.91	10.22	24.68	17.34	38.76	69.34	2.90	5.04	3.13	1.94	3.18
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	1,228	0.92	19.52	5.31	18.10	15.92	22.62	21.22	39.77	57.55	5.21	8.82	7.01	5.34	4.62
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	1,525	1.15	19.49	2.43	18.01	10.66	22.66	21.39	39.84	65.52	7.38	6.08	7.13	6.84	7.67
Santa Rosa	3,009	2.26	17.10	2.33	19.09	9.72	25.39	24.27	38.42	63.68	7.36	4.99	5.61	6.71	8.22
Stockton-Lodi	2,033	1.53	21.84	4.16	17.32	13.83	21.40	22.16	39.44	59.85	6.16	12.09	9.70	6.35	5.48

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 5.75% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Borrower Dist	ribution: HOM	E MORTGAGE	REFINANCE		State: CAL	IFORNIA	Evalı	ıation Period:	JANUARY	1, 2000 TO	DECEMB	ER 31, 20	01		
		ne Mortgage nce Loans	_	Income owers		te-Income owers		-Income owers		Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income)****
MSA/Assessment Area: Limited-Scope Review:	#	% of Total*	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families	% BANK Loans ***	% of Families **	% BANK Loans ***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Limited-Scope Review:															
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	3,098	2.33	17.94	2.44	17.89	8.46	25.91	19.32	38.27	69.78	7.38	9.08	5.84	5.80	8.24
Ventura	2,670	2.00	18.41	8.16	18.90	18.33	25.19	24.76	37.50	48.75	4.72	8.48	5.07	3.96	4.73
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	899	0.68	21.64	4.82	18.12	15.92	19.54	25.90	40.70	53.36	10.85	15.46	15.14	13.20	9.04
Yolo	445	0.33	21.22	6.85	18.13	11.98	20.86	20.05	39.79	61.12	4.85	9.66	4.25	3.86	5.18
Yuba City	408	0.31	20.32	3.50	18.62	11.75	20.11	19.50	40.94	65.25	10.95	13.89	13.82	10.40	10.64
California Non-MSA	4,139	3.11	20.83	2.26	18.27	6.49	21.10	16.17	39.80	75.08	9.48	9.52	9.20	8.46	9.73

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 5.75% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 2.

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Borrower Distr	ribution: SMALL	LOANS TO BUSI	NESSES State:	CALIFORNIA	Evaluation Period	: JANUARY 1, 2000	TO DECEMBER 31,	2001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Sm to Busi		Businesses wit \$1 millio			Loans by Original Amou Regardless of Business S		Marke	t Share****
	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	> \$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Revenues \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Los Angeles-Long Beach	18,349	35.71	65.69	68.16	91.02	3.54	5.44	1.91	2.62
San Francisco	4,109	8.00	67.19	65.69	88.90	4.38	6.72	1.74	2.32
Limited-Scope Review:									
Bakersfield	605	1.18	75.18	66.94	88.43	7.11	4.46	1.41	1.84
Chico-Paradise	191	0.37	77.22	82.20	94.24	2.09	3.66	1.10	1.94
Fresno	1,286	2.50	73.49	60.11	84.76	7.78	7.47	2.24	2.57
Merced	132	0.26	75.17	66.67	89.39	3.79	6.82	1.24	2.00
Modesto	380	0.74	74.00	68.16	88.68	2.63	8.68	1.10	1.39
Oakland	3,583	6.97	69.39	65.03	88.36	5.33	6.31	1.43	1.85
Orange County	5,899	11.48	65.44	65.54	90.22	3.83	5.95	1.56	2.07
Redding	158	0.31	75.60	71.52	88.61	5.70	5.70	0.94	1.23
Riverside-San Bernardino	3,630	7.07	72.55	69.56	90.06	3.83	6.12	1.36	2.01
Sacramento	1,756	3.42	71.83	62.53	85.25	5.35	9.40	1.18	1.59
Salinas	369	0.72	73.87	67.21	87.26	4.61	8.13	1.09	1.45
San Diego	3,198	6.22	68.53	67.20	87.37	4.97	7.66	1.02	1.42
San Jose	2,706	5.27	65.97	60.42	90.39	3.99	5.62	1.29	1.71
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	241	0.47	74.67	71.78	91.70	2.49	5.81	0.69	1.08
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	399	0.78	68.15	71.93	91.48	3.01	5.51	0.74	1.05
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	320	0.62	70.47	69.69	85.63	5.00	9.38	1.12	1.88
Santa Rosa	425	0.83	73.13	68.24	90.59	3.53	5.88	0.71	1.14
Stockton-Lodi	423	0.82	74.54	61.94	82.51	7.80	9.69	1.26	1.51

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 8.24% of small loans to businesses originated/purchased by the bank. (****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Borrower Dis	stribution: SMALL	LOANS TO BUSI	NESSES State	: CALIFORNIA	Evaluation Period	I: JANUARY 1, 2000	TO DECEMBER 31,	2001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Sm to Busi			th Revenues of n or less		Loans by Original Amou Regardless of Business S		Marke	et Share****
	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	> \$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Revenues \$1 million or less
Limited-Scope Review:									
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	458	0.89	73.31	68.78	90.39	4.15	5.46	0.95	1.28
Ventura	1,111	2.16	71.65	70.12	95.05	1.80	3.15	1.25	1.77
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	284	0.55	74.08	69.72	90.85	3.87	5.28	1.19	1.59
Yolo	152	0.30	72.28	49.34	73.68	9.87	16.45	1.22	0.97
Yuba City	115	0.22	73.97	62.61	80.87	8.70	10.43	1.09	1.67
California Non-MSA	1,099	2.14	77.20	71.79	88.63	5.10	6.28	1.20	1.51
		_	·						

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 8.24% of small loans to businesses originated/purchased by the bank. (****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Borrower Dis	stribution: SMAL	L LOANS TO FA	ARMS Stat	e: CALIFORNI <i>A</i>	Evaluation	Period: JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO DECEMBE	ER 31, 2001	
MSA/Assessment Areas:	Total Sma to Fa		Farms with Ro \$1 million			Loans by Original Amoun Regardless of Farm Size		Marke	t Share****
WISA/ASSESSITERIT ATERS.	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	> \$250,000 to \$1,000,000	AII	Revenues \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Los Angeles-Long Beach	15	1.81	88.77	73.33	53.33	26.67	20.00	2.73	2.56
San Francisco	6	0.72	87.25	16.67	66.67	16.67	16.67	3.45	0.00
Limited-Scope Review:									
Bakersfield	50	6.04	74.80	68.00	70.00	18.00	12.00	8.15	5.08
Chico-Paradise	11	1.33	86.45	54.55	63.64	18.18	18.18	3.85	1.75
Fresno	256	30.92	81.50	67.97	57.42	22.66	19.92	19.45	19.24
Merced	27	3.26	80.42	55.56	55.56	25.93	18.52	3.44	1.29
Modesto	27	3.26	84.71	59.26	59.26	14.81	25.93	3.83	1.72
Oakland	10	1.21	87.49	90.00	70.00	0.00	30.00	4.55	5.88
Orange County	6	0.72	86.30	33.33	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Redding	8	0.97	84.39	75.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Riverside-San Bernardino	50	6.04	84.06	46.00	46.00	16.00	38.00	11.29	8.47
Sacramento	6	0.72	89.19	100.00	83.33	0.00	16.67	1.00	1.59
Salinas	23	2.78	69.97	47.83	73.91	13.04	13.04	15.09	11.11
San Diego	18	2.17	86.70	50.00	66.67	22.22	11.11	2.44	1.54
San Jose	5	0.60	87.68	80.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	18	2.17	86.21	88.89	88.89	0.00	11.11	4.12	4.55
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	3	0.36	81.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	33.33	1.53	0.00
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	10	1.21	82.11	40.00	60.00	20.00	20.00	14.81	0.00
Santa Rosa	13	1.57	86.28	61.54	76.92	23.08	0.00	2.94	1.92
Stockton-Lodi	28	3.38	82.35	39.29	82.14	3.57	14.29	3.44	2.17

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 10.02% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Borrower D	istribution: SMAI	L LOANS TO FA	ARMS Stat	e: CALIFORNI <i>A</i>	Evaluation	Period: JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO DECEMBE	ER 31, 2001						
MCA/A	Total Sm to Fa		Farms with R \$1 million			Loans by Original Amou Regardless of Farm Siz		Marke	et Share****					
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	>\$100,000 to \$250,000	> \$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Revenues \$1 million or less					
Limited-Scope Review:	ited-Scope Review:													
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	11	1.33	85.52	72.73	90.91	9.09	0.00	1.69	0.83					
Ventura	18	2.17	80.77	66.67	88.89	5.56	5.56	10.71	6.90					
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	87	10.51	77.57	55.17	45.98	16.09	37.93	12.58	6.94					
Yolo	6	0.72	78.72	50.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	1.43	0.57					
Yuba City	9	1.09	82.85	55.56	88.89	11.11	0.00	2.74	0.91					
California Non-MSA	107	12.92	83.93	58.88	56.07	10.28	33.64	6.85	4.58					

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 10.02% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Table 12. Qualified Investments

	QUALIFIED INVESTM	ENTS State:	CALIFORNIA	Evaluation Period:	JANUARY 1,	2000 TO DECEMI	BER 31, 2001		
	Prior Perio	od Investments*	Current Pe	riod Investments		Total Investme	ents	Unfunded	Commitments**
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	% of Total \$'s	#	\$ (000's)
Full-Scope Review:									
Los Angeles-Long Beach	89	122,777	199	141,052	288	263,829	35.96	2	4,948
San Francisco	34	22,251	112	77,981	146	100,232	13.79	3	10,035
Limited-Scope Review:									
Bakersfield	6	6,422	10	118	16	6,540	0.89	0	0
Chico-Paradise	1	929	6	661	7	1,590	0.22	0	0
Fresno	6	18,524	12	5,171	18	23,695	3.23	0	0
Merced	0	0	7	10,098	7	10,098	1.38	0	0
Modesto	1	1,987	8	296	9	2,283	0.31	0	0
Oakland	36	35,878	58	20,018	94	55,896	7.62	1	276
Orange County	4	2,668	31	20,274	35	22,942	3.13	0	0
Redding	2	1,001	6	115	8	1,116	0.15	0	0
Riverside-San Bernardino	8	18,069	30	43,117	38	61,186	8.34	0	0
Sacramento	6	25,699	36	1,688	42	27,387	3.73	0	0
Salinas	3	2,127	19	16,962	22	19,089	2.60	0	0
San Diego	19	13,019	32	29,592	51	42,611	5.81	2	931
San Jose	15	7,378	23	37,782	38	45,160	6.16	0	0
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	1	500	8	1,228	9	1,728	0.24	0	0
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	3	1,044	8	132	11	1,176	0.16	0	0
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	4	6,885	8	338	12	7,223	0.98	0	0
Santa Rosa	6	3,046	12	2,288	18	5,334	0.73	0	0
Stockton-Lodi	3	3,441	8	2,441	11	5,882	0.80	1	155

^{(*) &}quot;Prior Period Investments" means investments made in a previous evaluation period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

^{(**) &}quot;Unfunded Commitments" means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.

Table 12. Qualified Investments

QUALI	IED INVESTM	IENTS State:	CALIFORNIA	Evaluation Period:	JANUARY 1, :	2000 TO DECEM	BER 31, 2001					
	Prior Perio	od Investments*	Current Pe	riod Investments		Total Investm	ents	Unfunded	I Commitments**			
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	% of Total \$'s	#	\$ (000's)			
imited-Scope Review:												
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	3	3,406	10	480	13	3,886	0.53	0	0			
Ventura	4	1,907	14	1,270	18	3,177	0.43	0	0			
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	2	2,195	8	5,980	10	8,175	1.11	0	0			
Yolo	2	350	6	6,623	8	6,973	0.95	0	0			
Yuba City	0	0	4	858	4	858	0.12	0	0			
California Non-MSA	3	149	26	4,433	29	4,582	0.62	0	0			

^{(*) &}quot;Prior Period Investments" means investments made in a previous evaluation period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

^{(**) &}quot;Unfunded Commitments" means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.

Table 13. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

DISTRIBUTION OF BRANC			-			-	e: CALII		Evaluation	n Period:	JANUA	RY 1, 2	000 TO	DECEMBI	ER 31, 20	001	
	Deposits		!	Branches					Branch	Openings/	Closings				Popu	lation	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area	# of BANK	% of Rated Area			f Branches Geographi	•	# of Branch	# of Branch		Change ir Branches	Location (+ or -)	n of	% (of the Pop Each Ge	ulation wit ography	hin
	Deposits in MSA/AA	Branches	Branches in MSA/AA	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Closings	Openings	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:																	
Los Angeles-Long Beach	31.60	249	26.21	7.63	18.07	31.33	42.97	4	1	0	0	- 3	0	9.02	26.69	33.93	30.17
San Francisco	17.84	77	8.11	10.39	20.78	38.96	29.87	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.52	20.53	44.94	27.91
Limited-Scope Review:																	
Bakersfield	0.85	15	1.58	13.33	33.33	26.67	26.67	1	0	0	0	0	- 1	3.46	31.76	32.34	31.41
Chico-Paradise	0.38	6	0.63	NA	33.33	50.00	16.67	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	20.01	60.51	19.48
Fresno	1.55	24	2.53	4.17	29.17	45.83	20.83	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.84	26.69	45.19	23.14
Merced	0.23	5	0.53	0.00	20.00	80.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.06	18.06	69.42	12.46
Modesto	0.57	9	0.95	0.00	44.44	33.33	22.22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.80	19.56	57.51	22.08
Oakland	11.22	78	8.21	6.41	17.95	51.28	24.36	1	0	0	0	0	- 1	8.58	20.10	43.13	27.70
Orange County	7.16	86	9.05	2.33	18.60	47.67	31.40	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.61	26.73	42.01	27.64
Redding	0.27	3	0.32	NA	33.33	66.67	0.00	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	12.71	73.35	13.94
Riverside-San Bernardino	4.42	77	8.11	1.30	23.38	49.35	25.97	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.01	21.93	48.82	26.74
Sacramento	3.02	47	4.95	4.26	19.15	48.94	27.66	1	0	0	0	0	- 1	5.14	17.45	51.69	25.72
Salinas	0.63	11	1.16	0.00	36.36	45.45	18.18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.77	28.89	46.87	23.47
San Diego	5.37	73	7.68	4.11	26.03	43.84	26.03	2	1	0	- 1	- 1	1	6.24	23.15	43.98	25.26
San Jose	6.47	58	6.11	5.17	20.69	55.17	18.97	0	1	0	1	0	0	3.91	21.23	54.69	20.18
San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles	0.50	8	0.84	NA	12.50	75.00	12.50	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	9.53	80.98	9.46
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	0.68	8	0.84	0.00	50.00	12.50	37.50	1	0	0	0	- 1	0	1.54	33.12	34.45	30.89
Santa Cruz-Watsonville	0.43	8	0.84	NA	37.50	37.50	25.00	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	23.14	53.12	23.74
Santa Rosa	0.81	11	1.16	NA	9.09	81.82	9.09	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	10.21	73.44	16.35
Stockton-Lodi	0.67	11	1.16	18.18	9.09	45.45	27.27	1	0	0	0	- 1	0	2.84	27.49	44.03	25.64

Table 13. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

DISTRIBUTION OF BRANC	CH DELIVERY SY	STEM AND I	BRANCH OPEN	IINGS/CL	OSINGS	Stat	te: CALII	FORNIA	Evaluation	n Period:	JANUA	ARY 1, 20	000 TO	DECEMBI	ER 31, 20	01	
	Deposits			Branches	1				Branch	Openings/	Closings				Popul	ation	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area	# of BANK	NK Rated Area Income of Geographies Branches Income of Geographies				,	# of Branch	# of Branch		Change ir Branches	Location (+ or -)	n of	% (of the Pop Each Ge	ulation wit ography	hin
	Deposits in MSA/AA	Branches	NN Branchos			Mid	Upp	Closings	Openings	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
imited-Scope Review:																	
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa	0.89	14	1.47	14.29	7.14	71.43	7.14	1	0	0	0	- 1	0	0.33	15.40	59.73	24.46
Ventura	1.59	23	2.42	0.00	21.74	60.87	17.39	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.58	29.32	45.01	23.10
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville	0.51	8	0.84	NA	25.00	50.00	25.00	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	27.66	47.35	24.72
Yolo	0.34	4	0.42	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.68	27.44	34.91	33.97
Yuba City	0.20	2	0.21	NA	0.00	100.00	0.00	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	30.44	48.57	20.99
California Non-MSA	1.81	35	3.68	0.00	28.57	62.86	8.57	3	0	0	0	- 2	- 1	0.34	21.30	59.57	18.77

Table 1. Lending Volume

LENDING VO	LUME		State:	FLORIDA		Evalı	uation Period:	JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO DECE	MBER 31, 2	2001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area Loans	Home	e Mortgage		all Loans usinesses		III Loans Farms		/ Development ans**	Total Rep	orted Loans	% of Rated Area
	(#) in MSA/AA*	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	Deposits in MSA/AA***
Full-Scope Review:												
Miami	11.34	12,180	1,328,956	3,301	211,183	6	499	5	23,421	15,492	1,564,059	17.57
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	18.60	21,814	2,023,839	3,575	248,737	17	2,339	8	13,914	25,414	2,288,829	18.76
Limited-Scope Review:												
Daytona Beach	3.75	4,492	376,845	622	38,811	15	1,487	1	944	5,130	418,087	2.98
Fort Lauderdale	13.91	15,551	1,642,669	3,441	231,241	14	1,518	2	7,283	19,008	1,882,711	13.27
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	3.87	4,517	510,428	777	67,342	1	34	0	0	5,295	577,804	3.73
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	2.39	2,991	294,123	272	14,904	3	123	0	0	3,266	309,150	1.16
Fort Walton Beach	0.51	612	68,658	82	8,319	1	35	0	0	695	77,012	0.29
Gainesville	1.01	1,154	120,889	216	10,469	2	110	1	400	1,373	131,868	0.81
Jacksonville	6.66	7,624	872,281	1,452	131,214	5	525	16	19,588	9,097	1,023,608	6.05
Lakeland-Winter Haven	2.57	3,035	229,724	466	47,854	7	613	3	797	3,511	278,988	1.94
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	2.65	3,172	281,110	444	29,062	1	204	1	4,222	3,618	314,601	2.24
Naples	2.67	3,264	561,112	379	42,071	0	0	2	4,250	3,645	607,433	2.34
Ocala	1.32	1,618	103,856	186	10,169	5	656	0	0	1,809	114,681	1.15
Orlando	8.93	10,144	997,505	2,033	139,424	20	2,985	7	8,158	12,204	1,148,072	8.18
Pensacola	1.07	1,224	103,947	232	15,802	4	654	0	0	1,460	120,403	0.74
Punta Gorda	1.31	1,633	132,235	157	9,360	2	118	0	0	1,792	141,713	1.06
Sarasota-Bradenton	5.05	6,077	706,358	824	67,740	5	62	1	1,450	6,907	775,610	4.98
Tallahassee	0.87	1,012	118,081	175	15,925	2	7	0	0	1,189	134,013	1.32
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	7.32	8,291	1,012,186	1,702	135,028	8	147	1	3,965	10,002	1,151,326	7.92
Florida Non-MSA	4.20	5,010	490,558	653	46,732	80	7,028	0	0	5,743	544,318	3.49

^(*) Loan data as of December 31, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

^(**) The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2001

^(***) Deposit data as of June 30, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Geographic			OME PURCH		State: Fl	ORIDA	Evaluatio	on Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	00 TO DECE	MBER 31, 2	2001			
	Total F Purchase		Low-Ir Geogra		Moderat Geogr			-Income raphies	Upper-l Geogr		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	**
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	5,033	9.67	3.26	3.00	12.86	12.00	40.83	33.00	43.05	52.00	4.45	3.62	5.08	4.35	4.44
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	9,328	17.92	0.89	0.53	21.65	16.70	47.78	46.64	29.69	36.13	6.07	4.67	6.69	6.29	5.59
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	1,976	3.80	1.35	0.25	11.32	4.81	76.07	73.38	11.27	21.56	5.02	5.45	4.33	4.58	7.95
Fort Lauderdale	7,386	14.19	1.11	0.65	14.39	9.21	58.85	59.33	25.64	30.82	5.93	3.13	6.10	5.95	5.95
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	2,326	4.47	0.53	0.09	13.44	8.60	74.89	75.75	11.14	15.56	5.63	4.00	6.02	5.30	7.55
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	1,546	2.97	1.88	0.58	11.32	4.08	63.95	67.27	22.85	28.07	6.70	6.12	5.41	6.46	7.81
Fort Walton Beach	277	0.53	NA	NA	15.47	7.94	66.61	67.15	17.92	24.91	2.56	NA	1.38	2.44	3.85
Gainesville	574	1.10	3.44	1.05	21.55	15.85	46.88	41.99	28.13	41.11	5.70	4.29	5.59	5.88	5.62
Jacksonville	3,585	6.89	3.01	1.03	14.29	7.36	55.07	50.13	27.63	41.48	5.70	5.85	5.48	5.48	6.06
Lakeland-Winter Haven	1,333	2.56	0.41	0.23	13.70	9.38	69.09	66.32	16.79	24.08	5.90	0.00	7.95	5.23	7.61
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	1,558	2.99	0.49	0.00	18.78	19.51	60.27	56.35	20.45	22.98	4.93	0.00	7.54	4.21	4.87
Naples	1,889	3.63	1.18	0.21	7.73	8.10	57.92	48.33	33.17	43.36	7.23	11.43	10.88	6.12	8.59
Ocala	556	1.07	0.67	0.18	8.01	2.34	77.91	83.81	13.41	13.67	4.29	0.00	3.23	4.24	4.95
Orlando	4,105	7.89	0.87	0.41	17.56	16.52	55.92	57.37	25.65	25.65	3.07	1.75	5.06	2.74	3.09
Pensacola	424	0.81	3.05	0.71	10.54	5.90	63.69	68.87	22.72	24.53	1.95	0.93	2.45	1.80	2.32
Punta Gorda	776	1.49	NA	NA	6.84	6.06	85.11	84.66	8.05	9.28	6.94	NA	6.78	7.09	5.58
Sarasota-Bradenton	2,844	5.46	0.40	0.04	13.77	7.59	63.01	65.72	22.82	26.65	6.43	0.00	5.42	6.61	6.42
Tallahassee	388	0.75	3.29	2.32	25.89	16.49	37.15	37.37	33.67	43.81	2.66	4.10	3.04	2.88	2.32
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	4,030	7.74	0.94	0.79	20.87	14.49	46.30	48.36	31.90	36.30	4.56	7.04	5.48	4.38	4.45
Florida Non-MSA	2,120	4.07	NA	NA	8.76	4.15	68.81	66.70	22.43	29.15	6.54	NA	9.61	7.95	4.51

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1.

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Geogr	aphic Distrib	ution: HOM	IE IMPROVEN	MENT	State: F	LORIDA	Evalua	tion Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DEC	EMBER 31	, 2001			
	Total Improvem		Low-Ir Geogra		Moderate Geogra			-Income raphies	Upper- Geogr		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography *	* *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	1,271	12.78	3.26	2.00	12.86	13.00	40.83	38.00	43.05	48.00	23.44	10.34	21.78	22.78	25.32
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	1,779	17.89	0.89	0.56	21.65	13.83	47.78	45.59	29.69	40.02	15.50	6.00	11.33	14.93	18.06
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	309	3.11	1.35	0.97	11.32	7.44	76.07	78.64	11.27	12.94	16.95	18.18	12.50	16.97	19.76
Fort Lauderdale	1,400	14.08	1.11	0.36	14.39	6.36	58.85	56.00	25.64	37.29	27.63	19.05	16.31	26.41	32.99
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	349	3.51	0.53	0.29	13.44	9.17	74.89	74.21	11.14	16.33	18.71	25.00	16.82	16.77	34.31
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	217	2.18	1.88	0.00	11.32	2.76	63.95	66.82	22.85	30.41	13.76	0.00	3.61	12.66	22.77
Fort Walton Beach	63	0.63	NA	NA	15.47	7.94	66.61	49.21	17.92	42.86	8.65	NA	6.49	4.92	24.00
Gainesville	55	0.55	3.44	3.64	21.55	10.91	46.88	43.64	28.13	41.82	10.85	15.38	5.88	11.11	11.84
Jacksonville	631	6.35	3.01	2.38	14.29	10.14	55.07	49.29	27.63	38.19	13.78	19.15	12.68	12.25	16.04
Lakeland-Winter Haven	243	2.44	0.41	0.00	13.70	11.52	69.09	62.96	16.79	25.51	8.65	0.00	7.14	7.10	15.48
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	247	2.48	0.49	0.00	18.78	14.98	60.27	55.87	20.45	29.15	15.77	0.00	13.53	13.44	23.85
Naples	130	1.31	1.18	0.77	7.73	2.31	57.92	62.31	33.17	34.62	14.86	33.33	10.00	12.15	22.02
Ocala	147	1.48	0.67	0.00	8.01	4.08	77.91	74.83	13.41	21.09	15.67	0.00	14.29	13.72	27.85
Orlando	882	8.87	0.87	0.45	17.56	9.64	55.92	54.99	25.65	34.92	15.61	0.00	11.11	14.20	20.60
Pensacola	132	1.33	3.05	1.52	10.54	9.09	63.69	67.42	22.72	21.21	7.80	12.50	10.47	7.04	8.87
Punta Gorda	89	0.90	NA	NA	6.84	4.49	85.11	83.15	8.05	12.36	12.32	NA	0.00	11.98	27.03
Sarasota-Bradenton	530	5.33	0.40	0.38	13.77	9.43	63.01	63.02	22.82	27.17	25.77	33.33	20.36	25.21	29.44
Tallahassee	69	0.69	3.29	1.45	25.89	21.74	37.15	39.13	33.67	37.68	6.28	0.00	5.88	6.50	6.81
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	919	9.24	0.94	1.52	20.87	12.51	46.30	41.46	31.90	44.40	23.37	16.67	18.63	20.92	27.83
Florida Non-MSA	482	4.85	NA	NA	8.76	6.85	68.81	63.69	22.43	29.46	22.19	NA	20.00	20.34	27.58

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Geograph	ic Distributio	n: HOME N	ORTGAGE R	EFINANCE	State	: FLORIDA	Eva	aluation Period	: JANUARY	1, 2000 TO [ECEMBER	31, 200	1		
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Mortgage Loa		Low-Ir Geogra		Moderate Geogra			-Income raphies	Upper- Geogr		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography *	* *
WISA/ASSESSIMENT ATEA.	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	5,873	11.00	3.26	3.00	12.86	12.00	40.83	38.00	43.05	46.00	9.36	10.60	10.22	10.29	8.56
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	10,696	20.04	0.89	0.65	21.65	17.18	47.78	46.58	29.69	35.58	10.59	7.79	11.79	10.91	9.89
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	2,207	4.14	1.35	0.77	11.32	6.89	76.07	76.17	11.27	16.18	11.42	6.48	12.93	11.00	13.41
Fort Lauderdale	6,756	12.66	1.11	0.87	14.39	13.04	58.85	54.25	25.64	31.84	10.85	10.58	14.81	10.67	10.20
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	1,841	3.45	0.53	0.38	13.44	9.83	74.89	73.93	11.14	15.86	9.22	8.00	13.67	8.65	10.36
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	1,228	2.30	1.88	0.65	11.32	6.35	63.95	69.06	22.85	23.94	9.92	5.19	13.78	9.72	9.92
Fort Walton Beach	272	0.51	NA	NA	15.47	9.56	66.61	58.46	17.92	31.99	4.16	NA	3.57	3.43	6.89
Gainesville	523	0.98	3.44	1.34	21.55	16.06	46.88	51.24	28.13	31.36	9.64	6.49	8.88	10.81	8.71
Jacksonville	3,408	6.39	3.01	1.91	14.29	9.24	55.07	49.85	27.63	39.00	7.75	7.78	6.88	7.48	8.27
Lakeland-Winter Haven	1,459	2.73	0.41	0.21	13.70	11.51	69.09	67.31	16.79	20.97	9.90	5.00	13.24	9.61	9.78
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	1,363	2.55	0.49	0.29	18.78	12.03	60.27	62.95	20.45	24.65	7.45	4.35	7.08	7.38	7.80
Naples	1,243	2.33	1.18	0.48	7.73	4.51	57.92	58.09	33.17	36.93	9.18	14.29	15.18	8.81	9.29
Ocala	915	1.71	0.67	0.22	8.01	2.19	77.91	85.68	13.41	11.91	10.69	7.69	5.29	11.40	8.64
Orlando	5,156	9.66	0.87	0.45	17.56	11.75	55.92	58.73	25.65	29.03	7.67	3.49	8.39	7.64	7.55
Pensacola	668	1.25	3.05	1.65	10.54	7.19	63.69	60.18	22.72	30.99	4.54	5.83	4.17	4.00	5.98
Punta Gorda	768	1.44	NA	NA	6.84	5.34	85.11	86.20	8.05	8.46	11.96	NA	12.02	11.89	12.62
Sarasota-Bradenton	2,703	5.06	0.40	0.18	13.77	8.14	63.01	64.19	22.82	27.49	10.93	9.62	10.78	11.18	10.44
Tallahassee	550	1.03	3.29	1.82	25.89	20.18	37.15	41.09	33.67	36.91	5.69	6.00	6.47	7.23	4.34
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	3,333	6.25	0.94	0.96	20.87	11.61	46.30	48.15	31.90	39.24	7.44	14.94	7.79	7.51	7.20
Florida Non-MSA	2,408	4.51	NA	NA	8.76	5.11	68.81	69.02	22.43	25.87	11.05	NA	12.34	13.94	7.28

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Geographic	: Distribution	n: SMALL L	OANS TO BUS	SINESSES	State	FLORIDA	Eva	luation Period	I: JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO	DECEMBER	R 31, 200	1		
	Total Sm to Busi	iall Loans inesses	Low-Ind Geograp		Moderate Geogra		Middle-l Geogra		Upper-In Geograp		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Geo	graphy **	÷*
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses **	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	3,301	15.73	8.82	8.00	17.32	19.00	31.73	30.00	42.14	43.00	1.26	1.90	1.59	1.34	1.06
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	3,575	17.03	1.16	1.15	22.26	20.76	43.05	42.39	33.40	35.70	1.42	2.00	1.49	1.49	1.45
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	622	2.96	3.66	3.86	15.92	12.06	68.56	69.61	11.87	14.47	1.25	2.45	1.23	1.29	1.23
Fort Lauderdale	3,441	16.39	4.52	5.29	14.92	13.86	50.37	50.10	30.19	30.75	1.51	1.95	1.64	1.56	1.45
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	777	3.70	0.50	0.26	9.42	9.78	76.27	71.56	13.81	18.40	1.65	0.00	1.70	1.64	2.09
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	272	1.30	3.34	1.84	16.25	15.81	58.18	64.34	22.22	18.01	0.59	0.86	0.58	0.63	0.60
Fort Walton Beach	82	0.39	NA	NA	15.62	17.07	72.23	62.20	12.15	20.73	0.49	NA	0.64	0.49	0.43
Gainesville	216	1.03	6.14	2.31	31.03	25.46	36.37	51.85	26.45	20.37	1.24	0.42	1.47	1.63	0.92
Jacksonville	1,452	6.92	4.53	3.31	18.45	20.80	48.38	49.72	28.64	26.17	1.60	1.69	1.74	1.73	1.50
Lakeland-Winter Haven	466	2.22	1.56	0.43	19.27	26.82	61.94	57.73	17.23	15.02	1.44	0.60	2.99	1.36	1.11
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	444	2.12	1.05	1.58	29.05	27.54	51.16	53.50	18.54	17.38	0.83	2.44	1.01	0.77	0.83
Naples	379	1.81	1.43	0.53	3.92	4.49	57.32	60.69	37.33	34.30	1.21	0.00	2.58	1.23	1.22
Ocala	186	0.89	0.73	0.54	6.32	6.45	78.02	77.96	14.86	15.05	0.75	0.00	0.74	0.80	0.86
Orlando	2,033	9.69	2.93	1.87	16.14	18.30	55.32	49.78	25.58	30.05	1.10	1.37	1.20	1.06	1.29
Pensacola	232	1.11	7.22	8.44	11.76	11.56	58.79	51.11	19.76	28.89	0.67	0.81	0.67	0.63	0.81
Punta Gorda	157	0.75	NA	NA	5.86	2.55	89.39	91.08	4.74	6.37	1.18	NA	0.00	1.37	0.58
Sarasota-Bradenton	824	3.93	0.49	0.61	18.85	17.35	57.07	57.65	23.58	24.39	1.20	3.85	1.18	1.20	1.33
Tallahassee	175	0.83	5.60	4.57	21.07	23.43	38.32	40.57	34.41	31.43	0.68	1.29	0.76	0.98	0.34
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	1,702	8.11	2.05	2.26	15.78	16.35	43.60	42.27	37.28	39.12	1.15	1.16	1.60	1.15	1.05
Florida Non-MSA	653	3.11	NA	NA	5.92	5.36	61.77	66.92	32.31	27.72	1.18	NA	1.23	1.55	0.80

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Geogra	phic Distribu	tion: SMAI	LL LOANS TO F	ARMS	State: F	LORIDA	Evaluat	tion Period: 、	JANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DE(CEMBER 3	1, 2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Sm to Fa	all Loans arms	Low-Inc Geograp		Moderate- Geogra		Middle-I Geogra		Upper-In Geograp		Ma	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	F *
MOA/ASSESSITERE ATEA.	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	6	3.03	3.51	0.00	11.79	0.00	36.29	0.00	48.41	0.00	5.56	50.00	0.00	0.00	5.71
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	17	8.59	0.51	0.00	25.59	29.41	47.91	47.06	25.97	23.53	5.94	0.00	7.14	7.84	0.00
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	15	7.58	1.47	0.00	8.45	0.00	73.88	100.00	16.21	0.00	22.50	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00
Fort Lauderdale	14	7.07	2.78	0.00	17.75	14.29	51.63	14.29	27.84	71.43	20.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	30.77
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	1	0.51	0.52	0.00	10.44	0.00	77.57	100.00	11.48	0.00	3.57	0.00	0.00	7.69	0.00
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	3	1.52	2.23	0.00	11.05	0.00	70.52	100.00	16.20	0.00	2.78	0.00	0.00	3.13	0.00
Fort Walton Beach	1	0.51	NA	NA	22.22	0.00	69.07	100.00	8.71	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gainesville	2	1.01	1.64	0.00	19.39	0.00	61.68	100.00	17.29	0.00	5.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Jacksonville	5	2.53	1.52	0.00	17.67	0.00	54.01	60.00	26.80	40.00	8.11	0.00	0.00	5.88	18.18
Lakeland-Winter Haven	7	3.54	0.41	0.00	12.79	0.00	71.04	85.71	15.76	14.29	2.91	0.00	0.00	3.25	3.85
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	1	0.51	0.76	0.00	21.84	0.00	61.30	100.00	16.02	0.00	11.11	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00
Naples	0	0.00	1.50	0.00	15.37	0.00	62.18	0.00	20.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ocala	5	2.53	0.00	0.00	5.79	0.00	86.04	40.00	8.17	60.00	1.05	0.00	0.00	1.25	0.00
Orlando	20	10.10	0.84	0.00	19.66	20.00	58.42	75.00	21.08	5.00	17.28	0.00	16.67	21.95	10.00
Pensacola	4	2.02	2.10	0.00	7.48	0.00	72.18	100.00	17.98	0.00	10.53	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00
Punta Gorda	2	1.01	NA	NA	4.81	0.00	92.99	100.00	2.20	0.00	33.33	NA	0.00	50.00	0.00
Sarasota-Bradenton	5	2.53	0.28	0.00	9.28	0.00	66.88	100.00	23.56	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.00	2.13	0.00
Tallahassee	2	1.01	1.83	0.00	24.20	50.00	35.84	0.00	38.13	50.00	5.88	0.00	9.09	0.00	0.00
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	8	4.04	2.86	0.00	14.40	12.50	52.17	50.00	30.13	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Florida Non-MSA	80	40.40	NA	NA	6.11	5.00	74.14	92.50	19.74	2.50	9.94	NA	12.50	11.37	2.00

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Table 7. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Bor	rower Distri	bution: HO	ME PURCHAS	SE	State: FLO	RIDA	Evaluatio	n Period: JAN	UARY 1, 200	O TO DECEM	BER 31, 2	001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Purchas		Low-lı Borro	ncome	Moderat Borro	e-Income owers		-Income owers	Upper- Borro	Income wers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrow	ver Income	***
WOA/ASSESSMENT AIEG.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	5,033	9.67	23.32	3.70	16.40	18.01	19.19	19.23	41.09	59.06	5.34	6.86	5.97	3.46	6.02
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	9,328	17.92	18.05	6.68	19.51	22.15	23.38	20.99	39.06	50.18	6.85	5.73	6.94	5.58	7.65
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	1,976	3.80	17.16	6.05	20.24	18.22	24.21	21.57	38.40	54.16	5.68	6.00	4.84	4.38	6.78
Fort Lauderdale	7,386	14.19	19.63	12.89	18.27	27.99	22.51	19.44	39.59	39.68	7.04	10.30	8.31	5.34	6.79
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	2,326	4.47	16.34	5.76	20.25	17.43	24.98	18.22	38.44	58.60	6.45	5.89	5.96	5.25	7.19
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	1,546	2.97	18.18	8.42	19.05	21.88	23.65	18.70	39.12	51.00	7.58	5.95	6.88	5.99	9.27
Fort Walton Beach	277	0.53	16.52	5.38	20.86	11.15	23.83	24.23	38.79	59.23	2.84	2.37	1.45	2.98	3.43
Gainesville	574	1.10	23.11	4.29	16.68	19.11	18.96	17.68	41.25	58.93	6.43	4.49	6.76	4.32	7.62
Jacksonville	3,585	6.89	19.41	8.87	18.38	22.15	23.56	20.98	38.65	48.00	6.79	6.35	6.53	5.27	8.10
Lakeland-Winter Haven	1,333	2.56	18.38	5.01	19.11	15.72	24.10	24.58	38.42	54.70	6.88	4.21	4.36	5.44	9.69
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	1,558	2.99	17.64	10.33	19.92	20.79	24.18	21.33	38.26	47.55	5.43	4.35	4.98	4.03	7.02
Naples	1,889	3.63	17.77	5.11	19.99	16.58	22.95	16.58	39.29	61.73	8.09	6.55	7.22	6.93	9.05
Ocala	556	1.07	17.92	7.49	19.27	21.54	23.99	23.03	38.82	47.94	4.67	4.59	4.07	3.68	5.57
Orlando	4,105	7.89	17.87	6.78	19.50	22.33	23.86	23.22	38.76	47.67	3.36	2.93	3.07	2.75	3.99
Pensacola	424	0.81	20.52	5.22	18.10	11.44	21.88	28.11	39.49	55.22	2.15	1.27	1.39	2.31	2.58
Punta Gorda	776	1.49	14.26	5.33	21.34	17.07	26.23	22.67	38.16	54.93	7.74	4.44	7.17	7.51	8.44
Sarasota-Bradenton	2,844	5.46	16.01	5.13	20.63	17.15	24.71	20.51	38.65	57.21	6.92	5.49	5.79	6.36	7.80
Tallahassee	388	0.75	21.95	6.38	16.66	17.82	21.63	22.61	39.77	53.19	3.14	1.91	2.43	2.73	4.14
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	4,030	7.74	18.84	13.07	19.04	23.51	22.59	18.54	39.53	44.88	5.13	7.09	5.29	3.87	5.30
Florida Non-MSA	2,120	4.07	18.09	3.31	18.83	10.60	22.04	17.60	41.04	68.50	7.58	5.78	5.56	6.70	8.45

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 6.04% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Borro	wer Distribu	tion: HOMI	E IMPROVEM	ENT	State: F	LORIDA	Evaluat	ion Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DECE	MBER 31,	2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Improvem		Low-lı Borro		Moderate Borro			-Income owers	Upper-l Borro	ncome wers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	****
MOA/A33633IIIEIT AIGE.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	1,271	12.78	23.32	4.72	16.40	10.70	19.19	19.04	41.09	65.54	24.61	12.61	15.55	18.10	31.53
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	1,779	17.89	18.05	9.12	19.51	15.54	23.38	21.17	39.06	54.17	15.93	13.64	12.91	12.97	18.86
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	309	3.11	17.16	8.09	20.24	16.18	24.21	23.30	38.40	52.43	17.49	13.27	11.65	16.27	21.40
Fort Lauderdale	1,400	14.08	19.63	5.65	18.27	12.58	22.51	21.73	39.59	60.04	28.65	19.72	21.34	24.29	34.14
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	349	3.51	16.34	6.02	20.25	12.32	24.98	27.22	38.44	54.44	19.41	7.91	8.79	21.67	28.11
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	217	2.18	18.18	8.29	19.05	16.13	23.65	22.58	39.12	53.00	14.34	10.16	8.56	11.34	20.66
Fort Walton Beach	63	0.63	16.52	1.59	20.86	19.05	23.83	15.87	38.79	63.49	9.70	2.33	7.23	7.06	14.38
Gainesville	55	0.55	23.11	9.09	16.68	12.73	18.96	23.64	41.25	54.55	11.26	10.00	6.56	10.23	13.71
Jacksonville	631	6.35	19.41	9.35	18.38	15.37	23.56	22.19	38.65	53.09	14.33	9.39	10.33	12.06	18.27
Lakeland-Winter Haven	243	2.44	18.38	10.29	19.11	18.93	24.10	15.64	38.42	55.14	8.88	4.04	6.67	5.80	14.60
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	247	2.48	17.64	12.55	19.92	18.62	24.18	29.15	38.26	39.68	16.25	15.00	15.17	19.03	15.38
Naples	130	1.31	17.77	4.62	19.99	20.77	22.95	28.46	39.29	46.15	15.54	2.17	16.10	22.31	24.85
Ocala	147	1.48	17.92	7.48	19.27	9.52	23.99	23.81	38.82	59.18	16.06	7.69	8.85	18.60	19.62
Orlando	882	8.87	17.87	9.08	19.50	13.85	23.86	22.59	38.76	54.48	16.19	11.60	10.84	13.71	20.34
Pensacola	132	1.33	20.52	8.33	18.10	16.67	21.88	22.73	39.49	52.27	8.39	5.77	7.28	7.95	9.49
Punta Gorda	89	0.90	14.26	6.74	21.34	19.10	26.23	20.22	38.16	53.93	12.50	6.98	6.84	9.57	18.78
Sarasota-Bradenton	530	5.33	16.01	7.18	20.63	14.93	24.71	20.42	38.65	57.47	26.47	15.25	18.37	20.57	36.46
Tallahassee	69	0.69	21.95	15.94	16.66	7.25	21.63	21.74	39.77	55.07	6.36	3.91	0.00	6.17	12.50
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	919	9.24	18.84	5.34	19.04	12.75	22.59	19.06	39.53	62.85	24.18	15.35	17.81	17.74	30.56
Florida Non-MSA	482	4.85	18.09	8.30	18.83	15.15	22.04	14.73	41.04	61.83	23.03	13.33	15.38	15.03	32.17

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.07% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Borrower	Distribution:	HOME MO	ORTGAGE REF	INANCE	State	: FLORIDA	Eva	aluation Period	: JANUARY	1, 2000 TO I	DECEMBER	31, 200	1		
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Homo			ncome	Moderat Borro			-Income owers		Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	,****
WOA/ASSESSITERE ATEC.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Miami	5,873	11.00	23.32	4.12	16.40	12.99	19.19	21.93	41.09	60.96	11.47	11.12	10.20	9.92	12.46
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	10,696	20.04	18.05	9.06	19.51	19.07	23.38	21.81	39.06	50.05	12.83	13.13	12.12	11.29	13.82
Limited-Scope Review:															
Daytona Beach	2,207	4.14	17.16	8.16	20.24	17.40	24.21	23.27	38.40	51.17	14.34	13.48	12.65	12.45	16.26
Fort Lauderdale	6,756	12.66	19.63	8.33	18.27	18.06	22.51	23.81	39.59	49.80	13.18	16.29	12.36	11.57	13.97
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	1,841	3.45	16.34	5.37	20.25	15.18	24.98	22.22	38.44	57.24	11.15	9.86	8.83	10.29	12.38
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	1,228	2.30	18.18	8.19	19.05	19.34	23.65	24.16	39.12	48.31	12.00	9.54	11.36	10.77	13.54
Fort Walton Beach	272	0.51	16.52	3.10	20.86	12.40	23.83	17.05	38.79	67.44	5.27	1.61	3.99	3.98	6.53
Gainesville	523	0.98	23.11	6.74	16.68	12.91	18.96	22.35	41.25	58.00	11.75	12.50	7.91	11.45	12.91
Jacksonville	3,408	6.39	19.41	7.78	18.38	15.17	23.56	22.26	38.65	54.78	9.77	6.51	6.67	8.29	12.46
Lakeland-Winter Haven	1,459	2.73	18.38	9.19	19.11	17.61	24.10	22.34	38.42	50.87	12.48	10.81	11.32	9.86	14.82
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	1,363	2.55	17.64	14.07	19.92	21.22	24.18	24.94	38.26	39.77	9.50	11.22	9.76	8.93	9.38
Naples	1,243	2.33	17.77	6.70	19.99	17.92	22.95	24.87	39.29	50.50	10.39	9.08	9.20	10.77	10.88
Ocala	915	1.71	17.92	11.06	19.27	19.91	23.99	27.43	38.82	41.59	12.89	17.65	13.68	12.98	11.83
Orlando	5,156	9.66	17.87	7.41	19.50	16.72	23.86	25.86	38.76	50.01	9.67	9.16	8.08	9.27	10.58
Pensacola	668	1.25	20.52	6.21	18.10	14.29	21.88	21.89	39.49	57.61	5.59	4.99	3.75	5.12	6.51
Punta Gorda	768	1.44	14.26	6.91	21.34	22.74	26.23	25.53	38.16	44.81	14.89	13.36	15.97	14.17	15.02
Sarasota-Bradenton	2,703	5.06	16.01	6.52	20.63	15.73	24.71	22.10	38.65	55.64	13.00	10.86	10.99	11.37	14.81
Tallahassee	550	1.03	21.95	6.51	16.66	11.71	21.63	23.42	39.77	58.36	6.97	4.99	3.78	5.98	8.87
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	3,333	6.25	18.84	8.15	19.04	18.62	22.59	23.77	39.53	49.46	8.65	8.82	8.01	8.09	9.19
Florida Non-MSA	2,408	4.51	18.09	5.75	18.83	13.68	22.04	20.65	41.04	59.92	13.40	13.37	13.42	13.66	13.32

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 2.49% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Borrower Dis	tribution: SMALL LO	ANS TO BUSINE	SSES State:	FLORIDA Eva	aluation Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2000 TO	DECEMBER 31, 200)1	
MSA/Assessment Area:		nall Loans sinesses		ith Revenues of on or less		Loans by Original Amour egardless of Business S		Marke	t Share****
	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	AII	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Miami	3,301	15.73	54.92	67.86	88.67	5.63	5.70	1.26	1.65
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	3,575	17.03	61.49	64.53	84.90	8.34	6.77	1.42	1.90
Limited-Scope Review:									
Daytona Beach	622	2.96	69.09	69.45	85.69	9.65	4.66	1.25	1.78
Fort Lauderdale	3,441	16.39	57.50	65.50	86.60	7.03	6.36	1.51	2.10
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	777	3.70	62.68	58.69	80.57	10.55	8.88	1.65	2.07
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	272	1.30	63.69	64.71	86.40	9.56	4.04	0.59	0.82
Fort Walton Beach	82	0.39	68.24	67.07	71.95	17.07	10.98	0.49	0.59
Gainesville	216	1.03	66.92	70.37	88.43	7.41	4.17	1.24	1.96
Jacksonville	1,452	6.92	66.30	59.50	78.79	11.36	9.85	1.60	2.32
Lakeland-Winter Haven	466	2.22	68.80	52.58	76.18	11.16	12.66	1.44	1.66
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	444	2.12	67.57	64.41	83.56	10.81	5.63	0.83	1.21
Naples	379	1.81	60.97	54.62	77.04	9.76	13.19	1.21	1.07
Ocala	186	0.89	65.67	69.89	86.56	9.14	4.30	0.75	1.03
Orlando	2,033	9.69	63.93	62.67	86.03	7.38	6.59	1.10	1.51
Pensacola	232	1.11	70.20	66.38	83.19	9.91	6.90	0.67	0.79
Punta Gorda	157	0.75	66.51	62.42	85.99	8.28	5.73	1.18	1.39
Sarasota-Bradenton	824	3.93	63.22	60.80	83.01	9.10	7.89	1.20	1.75
Tallahassee	175	0.83	63.87	57.14	81.71	8.57	9.71	0.68	0.68
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	1,702	8.11	60.43	65.04	84.20	7.81	7.99	1.15	1.50
Florida Non-MSA	653	3.11	66.83	68.61	83.31	10.41	6.28	1.18	1.61

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 12.43% of small loans to businesses originated/purchased by the bank. (****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Borrower Dist	tribution: SMALL LOAI	NS TO FARMS	State: FLO	RIDA Ev	aluation Period: 、	JANUARY 1, 2000 TO	DECEMBER 31, 2001		
		aall Loans arms	Farms with R \$1 million			Loans by Original Amou Regardless of Farm Si		Market Sh	are****
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	AII	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Miami	6	3.03	90.71	33.33	66.67	33.33	0.00	5.56	0.00
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	17	8.59	90.97	70.59	64.71	17.65	17.65	5.94	6.45
Limited-Scope Review:									
Daytona Beach	15	7.58	90.86	80.00	66.67	26.67	6.67	22.50	27.59
Fort Lauderdale	14	7.07	92.33	57.14	71.43	14.29	14.29	20.00	16.67
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	1	0.51	91.11	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	3.57	4.35
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	3	1.52	89.72	66.67	100.00	0.00	0.00	2.78	6.25
Fort Walton Beach	1	0.51	93.99	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gainesville	2	1.01	92.99	50.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	5.26	0.00
Jacksonville	5	2.53	91.98	40.00	80.00	0.00	20.00	8.11	0.00
Lakeland-Winter Haven	7	3.54	88.61	71.43	57.14	42.86	0.00	2.91	2.94
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	1	0.51	95.62	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	11.11	0.00
Naples	0	0.00	89.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ocala	5	2.53	93.06	80.00	60.00	20.00	20.00	1.05	1.25
Orlando	20	10.10	90.68	55.00	45.00	35.00	20.00	17.28	13.64
Pensacola	4	2.02	95.28	50.00	50.00	25.00	25.00	10.53	0.00
Punta Gorda	2	1.01	94.79	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00
Sarasota-Bradenton	5	2.53	89.03	40.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	1.64	0.00
Tallahassee	2	1.01	90.64	50.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	0.00
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	8	4.04	89.65	75.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Florida Non-MSA	80	40.40	89.54	30.00	67.50	22.50	10.00	9.94	5.00

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 32.32% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 12. Qualified Investments

	QUALIFIED INVEST	MENTS Stat	e: FLORIDA	Evaluation Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	00 TO DECEMBE	ER 31, 2001		
	Prior Perio	od Investments*	Current Pe	riod Investments		Total Investme	ents	Unfunded	Commitments**
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	% of Total \$'s	#	\$ (000's)
Full-Scope Review:									
Miami	34	22,894	47	14,667	81	37,561	17.06	0	0
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	9	8,724	57	27,129	66	35,853	16.29	1	790
Limited-Scope Review:									
Daytona Beach	1	32	29	52	30	84	0.04	0	0
Fort Lauderdale	3	515	35	14,955	38	15,470	7.03	1	465
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	1	36	8	8,054	9	8,090	3.67	0	0
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	1	11	3	17	4	28	0.01	0	0
Fort Walton Beach	1	3	1	4	2	7	0.00	0	0
Gainesville	1	9	10	5,317	11	5,326	2.42	0	0
Jacksonville	7	3,455	47	22,337	54	25,792	11.72	0	0
Lakeland-Winter Haven	1	22	17	288	18	310	0.14	0	0
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	1	23	2	84	3	107	0.05	0	0
Naples	4	2,110	10	7,512	14	9,622	4.37	0	0
Ocala	2	2,772	8	135	10	2,907	1.32	0	0
Orlando	5	13,395	21	28,830	26	42,225	19.18	1	68
Pensacola	1	9	6	11,073	7	11,082	5.03	0	0
Punta Gorda	3	434	3	35	6	469	0.21	0	0
Sarasota-Bradenton	3	485	35	8,168	38	8,653	3.93	0	0
Tallahassee	2	216	8	397	10	613	0.28	0	0
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	4	1,155	24	8,854	28	10,009	4.55	0	0
Florida Non-MSA	6	3,601	13	2,350	19	5,951	2.70	1	21

^{(*) &}quot;Prior Period Investments" means investments made in a previous evaluation period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

^{(**) &}quot;Unfunded Commitments" means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.

Table 13. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

DISTRIBUTION OF							•	e: FLORID <i>i</i>		ation Peri	od: JAN	UARY 1,	2000 TO	DECEMB	ER 31, 20	001	
	Deposits			Branche	s				Brancl	h Openings	/Closings				Popu	ation	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area	# of BANK	% of Rated Area			Branches b Geographies	•	# of Branch	# of Branch	Net Cha	nge in Loc (+ :	cation of B or -)	ranches	% (of the Pop Each Ge	ılation wit ography	hin
	Deposits in MSA/AA	Branches	Branches in MSA/AA	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Closings	Openings	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:																	
Miami	17.575	76	10.25	9.21	11.84	30.26	48.68	14	4	- 2	- 1	0	- 7	9.18	19.43	39.47	31.91
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	18.76	120	16.19	0.00	18.33	45.83	35.83	17	2	0	- 3	- 9	- 3	2.18	22.74	46.64	28.29
Limited-Scope Review:																	
Daytona Beach	2.98	30	4.05	0.00	3.33	83.33	13.33	2	0	0	0	. 1	- 1	3.15	13.04	72.89	10.92
Fort Lauderdale	13.27	87	11.74	1.15	4.60	50.57	43.68	11	3	0	- 1	- 5	- 2	3.25	18.55	54.95	23.17
Fort Myers-Cape Coral	3.73	35	4.72	2.86	11.43	77.14	8.57	3	3	0	0	0	0	1.29	15.17	73.72	9.82
Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie	1.16	18	2.43	0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67	2	0	0	- 1	· 1	0	5.99	11.92	62.59	19.49
Fort Walton Beach	0.29	6	0.81	NA	0.00	66.67	33.33	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	21.03	63.07	15.90
Gainesville	0.81	8	1.08	12.50	25.00	25.00	37.50	5	0	0	- 1	- 3	- 1	11.09	29.12	36.30	23.48
Jacksonville	6.05	49	6.61	0.00	18.37	40.82	40.82	17	0	0	- 1	- 11	- 5	4.70	17.49	53.07	24.14
Lakeland-Winter Haven	1.94	16	2.16	6.25	25.00	50.00	18.75	1	0	0	0	- 1	0	0.75	16.85	67.94	14.46
Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay	2.24	18	2.43	0.00	22.22	44.44	33.33	2	0	0	0	- 2	0	1.07	22.08	59.38	17.45
Naples	2.34	18	2.43	0.00	5.56	50.00	44.44	2	2	0	0	0	0	5.23	10.56	59.09	25.11
Ocala	1.15	10	1.35	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.41	8.90	75.85	13.84
Orlando	8.18	72	9.72	5.56	15.28	51.39	27.78	13	4	0	- 3	- 4	- 2	2.16	18.00	56.70	22.38
Pensacola	0.74	11	1.48	0.00	18.18	63.64	18.18	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.08	12.26	62.68	20.74
Punta Gorda	1.06	6	0.81	NA	16.67	66.67	16.67	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	7.00	86.61	6.39
Sarasota-Bradenton	4.98	45	6.07	0.00	8.89	64.44	26.67	2	5	0	0	1	2	0.83	18.21	59.69	21.27
Tallahassee	1.32	7	0.94	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	3	0	0	0	- 2	- 1	7.09	30.43	34.08	26.68
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	7.92	67	9.04	1.49	11.94	52.24	34.33	6	1	0	. 1	- 2	- 2	3.05	21.73	46.83	28.15
Florida Non-MSA	3.49	42	5.66	NA	4.76	59.52	35.71	4	0	NA	- 1	0	- 3	NA	9.50	69.22	21.25

Table 1. Lending Volume

LENDING V	OLUME		State: 0	SEORGIA		Eval	uation Period:	JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO DECI	EMBER 31, 2	2001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area Loans	Home	e Mortgage		all Loans usinesses		all Loans Farms		y Development ans**	Total Rep	orted Loans	% of Rated Area
	(#) in MSA/AA*	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	Deposits in MSA/AA***
Full-Scope Review:												
Atlanta	76.55	24,933	3,481,406	4,465	435,625	20	1,701	213	81,870	29,631	4,000,602	77.5
Limited-Scope Review:												
Albany	1.46	468	34,583	95	8,809	1	50	0	0	564	43,442	1.0
Athens	1.50	470	75,860	109	15,733	1	4	0	0	580	91,597	2.1
Macon	2.63	848	63,557	167	15,543	5	285	0	0	1,020	79,385	4.4
Savannah	6.72	2,191	217,265	405	43,441	4	134	2	17	2,602	260,857	6.2
Georgia Non-MSA	11.14	3,772	340,862	519	47,620	21	1,529	1	5,201	4,313	395,212	8.7

^(*) Loan data as of December 31, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

^(**) The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2001

^(***) Deposit data as of June 30, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Table 2. Geographi	Geographic Dist				State: Gl	EORGIA	Evaluati	on Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	100 TO DECE	MBER 31, 2	2001			
	Total I Purchas		Low-Ir Geogra			e-Income aphies		-Income raphies	Upper- Geogr		Mai	ket Share	(%) by Ge	ography *	* *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	12,781	82.38	2.82	2.20	16.87	13.44	45.14	48.65	35.16	35.71	3.41	4.13	4.24	3.23	3.35
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	159	1.02	10.41	4.40	17.57	32.08	32.87	28.93	39.15	34.59	4.84	1.75	15.35	3.97	3.17
Athens	203	1.31	2.53	0.99	8.66	5.91	52.16	35.47	36.66	57.64	3.84	4.00	3.91	3.63	4.01
Macon	270	1.74	5.17	1.85	17.50	5.93	46.82	47.41	30.51	44.81	1.93	1.19	0.96	2.38	1.79
Savannah	876	5.65	3.19	2.28	14.82	5.59	47.34	42.12	34.64	50.00	6.74	9.73	7.12	6.70	6.63
Georgia Non-MSA	1,226	7.90	0.86	0.65	9.05	3.67	52.91	42.66	37.17	53.02	4.69	5.13	3.83	4.85	4.61
			_												

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1.

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Geo	graphic Distrib	ution: HON	IE IMPROVEN	MENT	State: G	EORGIA	Evalua	tion Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2	2000 TO DEC	EMBER 31	, 2001			
		Home ent Loans	Low-li Geogr		Moderate Geogra			-Income raphies	Upper- Geogr	Income aphies	Mai	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	f *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	2,010	71.43	2.82	3.03	16.87	12.04	45.14	43.98	35.16	40.95	13.86	12.46	7.09	12.77	19.65
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	46	1.63	10.41	13.04	17.57	30.43	32.87	28.26	39.15	28.26	4.95	4.55	5.95	3.53	6.16
Athens	48	1.71	2.53	8.33	8.66	6.25	52.16	47.92	36.66	37.50	13.97	25.00	12.00	16.52	9.88
Macon	87	3.09	5.17	4.60	17.50	14.94	46.82	43.68	30.51	36.78	9.31	10.00	9.38	8.05	10.99
Savannah	245	8.71	3.19	2.86	14.82	11.84	47.34	55.10	34.64	30.20	32.12	13.89	14.71	43.84	31.44
Georgia Non-MSA	378	13.43	0.86	1.06	9.05	7.67	52.91	55.03	37.17	36.24	18.60	22.22	12.50	20.74	17.02
				_		_		_		_					

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Table 4. Geographic L															
Geographi	c Distributio	n: HOME N	IORTGAGE RI	EFINANCE	State	e: GEORGIA	Ev	aluation Period	: JANUARY	1, 2000 TO I	DECEMBER	31, 200	1		
MSA/Assessment Area:	Mortgage	Home Refinance ans	Low-Ir Geogra		Moderati Geogr	e-Income aphies		-Income raphies	Upper-l Geogr		Ma	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography * *	: *
MONJASSESSITERIT ATEU.	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	10,129	10,129 70.66 2.82 2.				13.10	45.14	46.42	35.16	38.09	4.14	4.54	4.07	4.04	4.27
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	263 1.83 10.41 6				17.57	21.29	32.87	30.04	39.15	41.83	8.84	12.50	16.26	7.88	7.67
Athens	216 1.51 2.53 2				8.66	5.09	52.16	46.76	36.66	45.37	3.88	4.23	3.46	3.33	4.63
Macon	491 3.43 5.17 3.				17.50	14.66	46.82	49.49	30.51	32.38	4.79	4.12	5.96	5.86	3.58
Savannah	491 3.43 5.17 3.4			1.22	14.82	8.61	47.34	56.03	34.64	34.14	10.72	2.62	9.09	13.82	8.59
Georgia Non-MSA	2,167	15.12	0.86	0.74	9.05	6.23	52.91	48.78	37.17	44.25	8.14	21.95	11.36	9.31	6.96
	<u> </u>														
<u> </u>	1		1401/11												

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Geographic	Distribution	n: SMALL L	OANS TO BUS	INESSES	State:	GEORGIA	Eva	luation Period	: JANUARY	1, 2000 TO	DECEMBER	R 31, 200	1		
	Total Sm to Busi		Low-Inc Geograp		Moderate Geogra		Middle-l Geogra		Upper-In Geogra		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Geo	ography **	· *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses **	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	4,465	77.52	3.47	4.55	14.51	11.71	43.76	43.65	38.25	40.09	1.35	1.96	1.22	1.33	1.55
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	95	1.65	14.25	12.63	17.16	22.11	40.19	45.26	28.40	20.00	0.88	0.82	1.40	0.95	0.73
Athens	109	1.89	12.97	14.68	8.43	10.09	38.50	41.28	40.10	33.94	1.23	1.81	1.29	1.21	1.24
Macon	167	2.90	11.43	11.38	15.39	13.77	40.94	44.31	32.23	30.54	0.89	1.47	0.89	0.96	0.75
Savannah	405	7.03	6.21	3.47	11.98	14.60	45.13	52.23	36.45	29.70	2.08	1.01	2.76	2.93	1.60
Georgia Non-MSA	519	9.01	2.55	3.08	10.72	13.29	48.60	50.10	38.13	33.53	0.88	4.42	1.32	0.94	0.70

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Geograp			L LOANS TO F		State: G	EORGIA	Evalua	tion Period:	JANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DE	CEMBER 3	1, 2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Sm to Fa		Low-Inc Geograp		Moderate- Geogra		Middle-l Geogra		Upper-In Geograp		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	f *
MOA/ASSESSIBERT ATER.	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	20	38.46	1.33	0.00	15.05	35.00	51.93	45.00	31.69	20.00	1.58	0.00	2.09	1.45	0.00
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	1	1.92	3.90	0.00	12.99	0.00	40.26	100.00	42.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Athens	1	1.92	4.58	0.00	3.87	0.00	55.63	100.00	35.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Macon	5	9.62	5.08	0.00	26.27	40.00	40.40	40.00	28.26	20.00	4.55	0.00	4.35	4.55	5.56
Savannah	4	7.69	1.00	25.00	7.02	0.00	56.19	50.00	35.12	25.00	8.70	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00
Georgia Non-MSA	21	40.38	0.30	0.00	8.70	19.05	60.18	71.43	30.83	9.52	1.19	0.00	0.54	1.56	0.92

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Table 7. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Born	rower Distril	bution: HOI	ME PURCHAS	SE	State: GEO	RGIA	Evaluatio	n Period: JAN	IUARY 1, 200	O TO DECEN	IBER 31, 2	001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Purchas		Low-li Borro		Moderato Borro			-Income owers	Upper-l Borro	Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
WOAJASSESSIIGHT ATEG.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	12,781	82.38	19.49	12.15	18.28	38.42	23.63	17.42	38.61	32.01	3.71	4.70	5.15	2.47	3.18
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	159	1.02	25.54	5.19	15.35	15.58	18.77	22.73	40.34	56.49	5.70	2.78	4.07	5.19	6.97
Athens	203	1.31	22.43	3.16	17.44	16.32	20.01	11.58	40.13	68.95	4.27	2.42	3.40	2.31	5.81
Macon	270	1.74	23.43	5.69	15.70	21.80	21.06	23.22	39.82	49.29	1.95	1.16	1.73	1.63	2.54
Savannah	876	5.65	22.09	3.68	16.51	16.30	21.59	22.79	39.81	57.23	7.37	3.72	6.80	6.36	8.66
Georgia Non-MSA	1,226	7.90	19.39	2.91	15.41	13.33	20.57	21.22	44.63	62.54	5.27	3.35	4.00	4.05	6.58

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 26.79% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Bor	rower Distribu	tion: HOME	IMPROVEM	ENT	State: G	EORGIA	Evaluat	tion Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2	OOO TO DECE	MBER 31,	2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Improvem		_	ncome owers	Moderat Borro	e-Income wers		-Income owers		Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income)****
MOAJASSESSHIERT ATER.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	2,010	71.43	19.49	11.74	18.28	18.06	23.63	21.39	38.61	48.81	15.09	10.22	9.56	12.05	22.43
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	46	1.63	25.54	13.04	15.35	10.87	18.77	23.91	40.34	52.17	5.10	3.06	2.42	6.17	8.59
Athens	48	1.71	22.43	6.25	17.44	14.58	20.01	12.50	40.13	66.67	14.41	8.70	8.16	5.56	23.96
Macon	87	3.09	23.43	11.49	15.70	19.54	21.06	22.99	39.82	45.98	10.29	10.71	8.40	10.34	11.41
Savannah	245	8.71	22.09	11.43	16.51	16.33	21.59	19.18	39.81	53.06	37.11	34.62	24.62	27.64	45.99
Georgia Non-MSA	378	13.43	19.39	7.67	15.41	14.02	20.57	20.90	44.63	57.41	19.73	10.81	14.29	17.10	24.11
															<u> </u>
															<u> </u>
															<u> </u>
															<u> </u>

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.00% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Borrower			RTGAGE REF			: GEORGIA	Ev	aluation Period	I: JANUARY	1, 2000 TO I	DECEMBER	R 31, 200	1		
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Home Refinance			ncome	Moderato Borro			-Income owers		Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	****
WOA/ASSESSIBERT ATEG.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Atlanta	10,129	70.66	19.49	9.09	18.28	21.35	23.63	24.22	38.61	45.34	4.77	4.28	4.39	4.40	5.31
Limited-Scope Review:															
Albany	263	1.83	25.54	6.27	15.35	9.41	18.77	20.00	40.34	64.31	10.59	13.70	9.28	9.16	11.14
Athens	216	1.51	22.43	5.69	17.44	9.00	20.01	23.70	40.13	61.61	4.79	5.06	3.48	4.49	5.23
Macon	491	3.43	23.43	12.88	15.70	16.52	21.06	26.61	39.82	43.99	5.33	6.92	4.10	6.08	5.18
Savannah	1,069	7.46	22.09	4.70	16.51	13.72	21.59	23.70	39.81	57.87	13.28	7.45	10.93	12.96	14.65
Georgia Non-MSA	2,167	15.12	19.39	3.61	15.41	9.28	20.57	18.26	44.63	68.84	9.32	6.36	6.49	7.31	10.73
														ļ	<u> </u>
														—	
						·						_			

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 12.79% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Southeast Region 1

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Borrower Dis	tribution: SMALL LO	ANS TO BUSINE	SSES State:	GEORGIA Eva	aluation Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2000 TO	DECEMBER 31, 200)1	
MSA/Assessment Area:		nall Loans sinesses		th Revenues of on or less		Loans by Original Amour egardless of Business S		Marke	t Share****
	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	AII	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Atlanta	4,465	77.52	61.94	56.60	79.42	10.30	10.28	1.35	1.23
Limited-Scope Review:									
Albany	95	1.65	69.43	62.11	80.00	9.47	10.53	0.88	0.79
Athens	109	1.89	64.66	46.79	70.64	11.93	17.43	1.23	1.12
Macon	167	2.90	68.56	62.87	79.04	10.78	10.18	0.89	0.90
Savannah	405	7.03	67.42	56.54	75.80	11.60	12.59	2.08	2.16
Georgia Non-MSA	519	9.01	65.70	58.19	78.03	11.75	10.21	0.88	0.71
						_			
			_	_	_				

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 17.24% of small loans to businesses originated and purchased by the hank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Borrower Distribut	tion: SMALL LOAN	S TO FARMS	State: GEO	RGIA Ev	aluation Period: 、	JANUARY 1, 2000 TO	DECEMBER 31, 2001		
	Total Sma to Fa		Farms with Ro \$1 million			Loans by Original Amou Regardless of Farm Siz		Market Sh	are****
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Atlanta	20	38.46	91.44	90.00	75.00	15.00	10.00	1.58	1.75
Limited-Scope Review:									
Albany	1	1.92	88.31	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Athens	1	1.92	93.31	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Macon	5	9.62	93.38	40.00	80.00	20.00	0.00	4.55	3.23
Savannah	4	7.69	91.97	75.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	8.70	5.26
Georgia Non-MSA	21	40.38	92.05	57.14	80.95	19.05	0.00	1.19	0.73
								_	

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 26.92% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 12. Qualified Investments

	QUALIFIED INVEST	MENTS Sta	te: GEORGIA	Evaluation Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DECEMB	ER 31, 2001		
	Prior Perio	d Investments*	Current Pe	riod Investments		Total Investme	ents	Unfunded 0	Commitments**
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	% of Total \$'s	#	\$ (000's)
Full-Scope Review:									
Atlanta	43	56,727	45	28,154	88	84,881	87.74	9	6,068
Limited-Scope Review:									
Albany	0	0	6	51	6	51	0.05	0	0
Athens	0	0	5	78	5	78	0.08	0	0
Macon	3	470	2	125	5	595	0.62	0	0
Savannah	7	602	8	4,244	15	4,846	5.01	0	0
Georgia Non-MSA	2	5,981	25	307	27	6,288	6.50	0	0

^{(*) &}quot;Prior Period Investments" means investments made in a previous evaluation period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

^{(**) &}quot;Unfunded Commitments" means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.

Table 13. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

DISTRIBUTION OF								nte: GEORGI		ation Peri	od: JAN	UARY 1,	2000 TO	DECEMB	ER 31, 20	001	
	Deposits			Branches	ł				Branch	Openings/	Closings				Popul	ation	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area	# of BANK	% of Rated Area			Branches t Geographie		# of Branch	# of Branch	Net Cha	nge in Loc (+ (ation of Boor -)	ranches	%	of the Pop Each Ge		hin
	Deposits in MSA/AA	Branches	Branches in MSA/AA	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Closings	Openings	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:																	
Atlanta	77.50	184	73.90	3.80	11.96	44.02	40.22	25	3	- 1	- 5	- 8	- 8	6.15	20.29	44.51	29.05
Limited-Scope Review:																	
Albany	1.00	4	1.61	0.00	25.00	50.00	25.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.24	23.58	29.15	28.03
Athens	2.13	6	2.41	33.33	0.00	0.00	66.67	1	0	0	0	- 1	0	9.04	14.11	42.48	34.37
Macon	4.43	12	4.82	8.33	8.33	50.00	33.33	5	0	0	0	- 4	- 1	9.82	21.26	43.97	24.94
Savannah	6.21	14	5.62	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	1	0	0	- 1	0	0	7.22	19.04	46.16	27.54
Georgia Non-MSA	8.73	29	11.65	0.00	24.14	44.83	31.03	6	0	0	- 1	- 3	- 2	1.49	11.07	53.28	34.06
	1																
	_																

Table 1. Lending Volume

LENDING V	VOLUME		State:	IDAHO		Evalua	ation Period: J	ANUARY 1,	2000 TO DECEM	MBER 31, 20	001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area Loans	Home	e Mortgage		III Loans Isinesses		all Loans Farms	Community Lo	/ Development ans**	Total Rep	orted Loans	% of Rated Area
	(#) in MSA/AA*	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	Deposits in MSA/AA***
Full-Scope Review:												
Boise City	32.21	951	100,229	202	18,968	11	2,200	2	3,109	1,166	124,506	29.06
Limited-Scope Review:												
Pocatello	4.25	141	11,368	13	176	0	0	0	0	154	11,544	2.94
Idaho Non-MSA	63.54	1,851	215,500	425	21,904	21	5,340	3	6,063	2,300	248,807	68.01
							_		_			
			-		_				_		-	_

^(*) Loan data as of December 31, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

^(**) The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2001

^(***) Deposit data as of June 30, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

	Geographic Di	stribution:	HOME PURCH	IASE	State: I	DAHO	Evaluatio	n Period: JAN	IUARY 1, 200	O TO DECEM	BER 31, 2	001			
	Total Purchas		Low-lı Geogra		Moderat Geogr			-Income raphies	Upper- Geogr		Mai	ket Share	(%) by Ge	ography *	* *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Boise City	365	42.79	1.07	1.64	15.08	6.30	55.50	62.19	28.36	29.86	1.09	3.53	1.18	1.07	1.05
Limited-Scope Review:															
Pocatello	66	7.74	NA	NA	14.72	24.24	64.76	51.52	20.51	24.24	2.05	NA	2.95	1.52	2.99
Idaho Non-MSA	422	49.47	NA	NA	9.81	6.64	59.92	42.89	30.27	50.47	2.47	NA	2.17	1.90	3.42
															-
															<u> </u>
														<u> </u>	<u> </u>
															<u> </u>
														Í	

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 1.

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Geo	ographic Distri	bution: HO	ME IMPROVE	MENT	State:	IDAHO	Evaluati	on Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	000 TO DECE	MBER 31,	2001			
		Home ent Loans	Low-lı Geogr	ncome aphies	Moderate Geogra			-Income raphies	Upper- Geogr		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	· *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Boise City	153	29.82	1.07	0.65	15.08	9.15	55.50	52.94	28.36	37.25	6.37	0.00	6.67	6.39	6.35
Limited-Scope Review:															
Pocatello	15	2.92	NA	NA	14.72	20.00	64.76	73.33	20.51	6.67	4.42	NA	13.64	3.67	2.00
Idaho Non-MSA	345	67.25	NA	NA	9.81	6.38	59.92	57.39	30.27	36.23	20.60	NA	18.87	21.22	19.92
					_		_	_		_					
												_		_	

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 1.

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Geogra	phic Distributi	ion: HOME	MORTGAGE	REFINANCE	Stat	e: IDAHO	Eval	uation Period:	JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO D	ECEMBER	31, 2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Mortgage	Home Refinance ans	Low-li Geogr		Moderat Geogr			-Income raphies	Upper- Geogr		Ma	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	F *
MSA/ASSESSMENT Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Boise City	433	27.53	1.07	1.15	15.08	11.09	55.50	57.51	28.36	30.25	1.78	2.42	1.76	1.73	1.87
Limited-Scope Review:															
Pocatello	59	3.75	NA	NA	14.72	1.69	64.76	74.58	20.51	23.73	2.27	NA	0.41	2.91	1.71
Idaho Non-MSA	1,081	68.72	NA	NA	9.81	6.20	59.92	55.69	30.27	38.11	7.14	NA	7.07	6.75	7.79
<u> </u>															

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 1.

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Geograp	hic Distributio	on: SMALL	LOANS TO BU	SINESSES	State	e: IDAHO	Evalı	uation Period:	JANUARY 1,	2000 TO D	ECEMBER	31, 2001			
	Total Sm to Busi		Low-Ind Geograp		Moderate Geogra		Middle-I Geogra		Upper-In Geograp		Mai	ket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	**
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses **	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Boise City	202	31.56	11.07	17.33	13.42	11.39	55.74	57.43	19.77	13.86	0.86	1.14	0.74	1.01	0.62
Limited-Scope Review:															
Pocatello	13	2.03	NA	NA	31.51	30.77	55.17	69.23	13.32	0.00	0.54	NA	0.36	0.97	0.00
Idaho Non-MSA	425	66.41	NA	NA	17.54	14.82	50.92	48.71	31.54	36.47	1.58	NA	1.60	1.41	2.31
	+														
	+														
	1														
	1														
	1														
														- I	

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Geog	raphic Distrib	ution: SM <i>A</i>	ALL LOANS TO	FARMS	State:	IDAHO	Evaluati	on Period: J	ANUARY 1, 20	00 TO DEC	EMBER 31	2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:		nall Loans arms	Low-Inc Geograp		Moderate Geogra		Middle-I Geogra		Upper-In Geograp		Ma	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	* *
MOA/ASSESSMENT AIGE.	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Boise City	11	34.38	2.54	0.00	22.69	18.18	62.30	81.82	12.47	0.00	0.93	0.00	1.16	0.90	0.00
Limited-Scope Review:															
Pocatello	0	0.00	NA	NA	11.31	0.00	72.62	0.00	16.07	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	0.00
Idaho Non-MSA	21	65.63	NA	NA	7.57	0.00	72.62	95.24	19.81	4.76	1.79	NA	0.00	2.34	0.00

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Table 7. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Во	orrower Dist	ribution: H	OME PURCHA	SE	State: ID	AH0	Evaluation	Period: JANI	JARY 1, 2000) TO DECEME	BER 31, 20	01			
MSA/Assessment Area:		Home se Loans	Low-lı Borro		Moderat Borro	e-Income owers		e-Income owers	Upper- Borro	Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
WISA/ASSESSITERIT ATEG.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Boise City	365	42.79	16.83	4.46	19.74	23.42	24.27	25.65	39.16	46.47	0.97	0.58	0.99	0.99	1.02
Limited-Scope Review:															
Pocatello	66	7.74	19.88	0.00	17.47	41.67	25.38	25.00	37.28	33.33	0.50	0.00	0.80	0.24	0.64
Idaho Non-MSA	422	49.47	17.49	4.47	18.54	9.50	23.14	23.74	40.83	62.29	2.56	2.72	1.44	1.92	3.28
		.													
	-														

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 25.09% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 1.

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Boile G. Boile Wei Bi	rrower Distrib				State:	IDAHO	Evaluatio	on Period: JAI	NUARY 1, 20	OO TO DECEN	/IBER 31, 2	2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:		Home ent Loans		ncome owers	Moderat Borro			e-Income owers	Upper- Borro		Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
MOA/ASSESSMENT ATEU.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Boise City	153	29.82	16.83	4.58	19.74	14.38	24.27	29.41	39.16	51.63	6.58	5.08	7.51	5.44	7.09
Limited-Scope Review:															
Pocatello	15	2.92	19.88	6.67	17.47	20.00	25.38	20.00	37.28	53.33	4.52	6.25	3.33	1.96	6.25
Idaho Non-MSA	345	67.25	17.49	2.93	18.54	11.14	23.14	18.18	40.83	67.74	20.89	18.42	14.15	19.21	23.52
															

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.78% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 1.

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Distribution	n: HOME M	ORTGAGE RE	FINANCE	Stat	te: IDAHO	Eva	luation Period:	JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO D	ECEMBER	31, 2001			
										Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
433	27.53	16.83	4.52	19.74	14.32	24.27	25.38	39.16	55.78	2.12	1.63	1.62	1.91	2.46
59	3.75	19.88	5.88	17.47	5.88	25.38	11.76	37.28	76.47	0.91	0.93	0.40	0.39	1.34
1,081	68.72	17.49	4.57	18.54	11.70	23.14	19.12	40.83	64.61	8.43	10.65	7.73	7.11	8.94
	Total Home Refinance # 433	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans # % of Total* 433 27.53	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Borro # % of Total* Families** 433 27.53 16.83	Refinance Loans Borrowers # % of % of % BANK Total* Families** Loans*** 433 27.53 16.83 4.52 59 3.75 19.88 5.88	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Borrowers Borrowers Borrowers	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Low-Income Borrowers Moderate-Income Borrowers # % of Total* % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** % BANK Loans*** 433 27.53 16.83 4.52 19.74 14.32 59 3.75 19.88 5.88 17.47 5.88	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Low-Income Borrowers Moderate-Income Borrowers Middle Borrowers # % of Total* % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** 433 27.53 16.83 4.52 19.74 14.32 24.27 59 3.75 19.88 5.88 17.47 5.88 25.38	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Borrowers Borrowers Borrowers Borrowers Borrowers	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Low-Income Borrowers Moderate-Income Borrowers Middle-Income Borrowers Upper-Borrowers # % of Total* % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** 433 27.53 16.83 4.52 19.74 14.32 24.27 25.38 39.16 59 3.75 19.88 5.88 17.47 5.88 25.38 11.76 37.28	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Low-Income Borrowers Moderate-Income Borrowers Middle-Income Borrowers Upper-Income Borrowers # % of Total* % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % BANK Families** Loans*** Families** 55.78 433 27.53 16.83 4.52 19.74 14.32 24.27 25.38 39.16 55.78 59 3.75 19.88 5.88 17.47 5.88 25.38 11.76 37.28 76.47	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Low-Income Borrowers Moderate-Income Borrowers Middle-Income Borrowers Upper-Income Borrowers Market Share (%) # % of Total* % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** % BANK Loans*** % of Families** % BANK Loans*** Overall Low 433 27.53 16.83 4.52 19.74 14.32 24.27 25.38 39.16 55.78 2.12 1.63 59 3.75 19.88 5.88 17.47 5.88 25.38 11.76 37.28 76.47 0.91 0.93	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans Low-Income Borrowers Middle-Income Borrowers Upper-Income Borrowers Market Share (%) by Borrow Market Share (%) by Borrow Borrowers # % of Total* % of Families** % BANK Loans*** Overall Low Mod 433 27.53 16.83 4.52 19.74 14.32 24.27 25.38 39.16 55.78 2.12 1.63 1.62 59 3.75 19.88 5.88 17.47 5.88 25.38 11.76 37.28 76.47 0.91 0.93 0.40	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 6.80% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Western Region 1.

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Borrower D	istribution: SMALL LOA	NS TO BUSINES	SES State: I	DAHO Evalua	tion Period: JANU	ARY 1, 2000 TO DE	CEMBER 31, 200	1	
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Sma to Busir		Businesses wi \$1 millio	th Revenues of on or less		ns by Original Amoun ardless of Business Si		Market Sh	are****
MOA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	> \$250,000 to \$1,000,000	AII	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Boise City	202	31.56	61.53	61.39	82.18	5.94	11.88	0.86	1.18
Limited-Scope Review:									
Pocatello	13	2.03	69.06	92.31	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	1.54
Idaho Non-MSA	425	66.41	64.93	76.94	90.35	4.00	5.65	1.58	2.4

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 11.72% of small loans to businesses originated/purchased by the bank. (****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Borrower Dist	ribution: SMALL LOANS	TO FARMS	State: IDAH	IO Evalu	ation Period: JANU	JARY 1, 2000 TO DE	ECEMBER 31, 2001		
	Total Smal to Fari		Farms with R \$1 million			oans by Original Amou Regardless of Farm Siz		Market Sh	are****
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	> \$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Boise City	11	34.38	90.68	9.09	36.36	36.36	27.27	0.93	0.22
Limited-Scope Review:									
Pocatello	0	0.00	93.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Idaho Non-MSA	21	65.63	89.15	61.90	38.10	9.52	52.38	1.79	0.98
					-				
		İ							

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 11.72% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 12. Qualified Investments

	QUALIFIED INVES	STMENTS St	ate: IDAHO	Evaluation Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	00 TO DECEMBE	R 31, 2001		
	Prior Perio	d Investments*	Current Pe	riod Investments		Total Investme	ents	Unfunded (Commitments**
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	% of Total \$'s	#	\$ (000's)
Full-Scope Review:									
Boise City	0	0	11	390	11	390	14.13	0	
Limited-Scope Review:									
Pocatello	0	0	6	415	6	415	15.04	0	
Idaho Non-MSA	0	0	11	1,955	11	1,955	70.83	0	

^{(*) &}quot;Prior Period Investments" means investments made in a previous evaluation period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

^{(**) &}quot;Unfunded Commitments" means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.

Charter Number: 13044

Table 13. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

DISTRIBUTION O	F BRANCH DEL	IVERY SYS1	EM AND BRAI	NCH OPEI	NINGS/CLO	SINGS	Sta	ate: IDAHO	Evalua	tion Perio	d: JANU	IARY 1, 2	000 TO [DECEMBE	R 31, 20	01	
	Deposits			Branche	S				Branc	h Openings	/Closings				Popu	lation	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area	# of BANK	% of Rated Area		ocation of E Income of G			# of Branch	# of Branch	Net Cha	inge in Loc (+	ation of B or -)	ranches	%		ulation wit	hin
	Deposits in MSA/AA	Branches	Branches in MSA/AA	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Closings	Openings	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:																	
Boise City	29.06	5	25.00	40.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.23	17.49	53.76	26.43
Limited-Scope Review:																	
Pocatello	2.94	1	5.00	NA	100.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	NA	0	0	0	NA	16.42	64.33	19.25
Idaho Non-MSA	68.01	14	70.00	NA	21.43	50.00	28.57	1	0	NA	- 1	0	0	NA	10.72	59.18	30.10
									_								
					_												

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 1. Lending Volume

LENDING V	OLUME		State:	ILLINOIS		Eval	uation Period:	JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO DECE	MBER 31, 2	2001	
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area Loans	Home	e Mortgage		ıll Loans ısinesses		III Loans Farms		y Development ans**	Total Rep	oorted Loans	% of Rated Area
	(#) in MSA/AA*	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	Deposits in MSA/AA***
Full-Scope Review:												
Chicago	89.68	16,085	2,883,197	282	57,728	1	150	15	135,991	16,383	3,077,066	86.72
Limited-Scope Review:												
Illinois Non-MSA	10.32	1,380	71,966	232	21,288	273	15,941	0	0	1,885	109,195	13.28

^(*) Loan Data as of December 31, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

^(**) The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2001

^(***) Deposit data as of June 30, 2001. Rated area refers to either the state or multistate MSA rating area.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Geographic Dis	tribution: H		ASE		LINOIS	Evaluatio	on Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	OO TO DECEN	ИBER 31, 2	2001			
										Mai	ket Share	(%) by Ge	ography *	* *
#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
8,649	95.59	2.85	4.00	12.48	12.07	48.78	42.72	35.88	41.01	1.62	1.91	2.04	1.53	1.58
399	4.41	0.51	1.50	14.71	21.55	74.40	64.91	10.37	12.03	8.43	10.53	14.56	7.98	5.39
+														
†														
†														
	Total Purchas	Total Home Purchase Loans # % of Total* 8,649 95.59	Total Home Purchase Loans # % Of Total* 8,649 95.59 2.85	Purchase Loans Geographies # % of Total* Occ Units** % BANK Loans 8,649 95.59 2.85 4.00	Total Home Purchase Loans # % of Total*	Total Home Purchase Loans Geographies Geographies Geographies # % Of Total* Coc Units** BANK Loans Units** 8,649 95.59 2.85 4.00 12.48 12.07	Total Home Purchase Loans #	Total Home Purchase Loans Geographies Geographies Geographies Geographies # Nof Total* Units** SANK Loans U	Total Home Purchase Loans Geographies Geog	Total Home Purchase Loans	Total Home Purchase Loans Geographies Geog	Total Home Purchase Loans Moderate-Income Geographies Moderate-Income Geographies Middle-Income Geographies Market Share	Total Home Purchase Loans Geographies Geog	Total Home Purchase Loans Low-Income Geographies Moderate-Income Geograp

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Central Region

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Geogr			ME IMPROVEN		State: I		Evalua	tion Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DECI	EMBER 31,	2001			
		Home ent Loans	Low-lı Geogr	ncome aphies	Moderate Geogra			-Income raphies	Upper-l Geogra		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography **	÷*
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Chicago	112	47.26	2.85	2.68	12.48	8.04	48.78	40.18	35.88	48.21	0.39	0.26	0.21	0.31	0.60
Limited-Scope Review:															
Illinois Non-MSA	125	52.74	0.51	0.80	14.71	22.40	74.40	70.40	10.37	6.40	15.64	11.11	19.59	15.67	9.84

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Central Region

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Geographic L			ORTGAGE R			: ILLINOIS		luation Period	JANUARY	1, 2000 TO D	ECEMBER	31, 200°			
MSA/Assessment Area:		Home Refinance ans	Low-Ir Geogra		Moderate Geogra			-Income raphies	Upper-l Geogra		Mai	rket Share	(%) by Geo	ography **	÷ *
MISA/ASSESSITEITE ATEC.	#	% of Total*	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occ Units**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Chicago	7,311	89.52	2.85	2.71	12.48	8.33	48.78	39.86	35.88	48.94	1.52	1.28	1.17	1.41	1.72
Limited-Scope Review:															
Illinois Non-MSA	856	10.48	0.51	0.23	14.71	17.76	74.40	72.55	10.37	9.46	12.21	4.35	23.21	11.50	8.77
		_	-		_		_	_	_	_					

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied housing units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Central Region

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Geographic			OANS TO BUS			: ILLINOIS	Eval	luation Period	: JANUARY 1	, 2000 TO	DECEMBER	R 31, 200	1		
	Total Sm to Bus	nall Loans inesses	Low-Ind Geograp		Moderate- Geogra		Middle-I Geogra		Upper-In Geograp		Ma	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography *†	* *
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses **	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Chicago	282	54.86	4.15	5.78	10.67	14.44	40.27	31.41	43.63	48.38	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.08
Limited-Scope Review:															
Illinois Non-MSA	232	45.14	6.88	15.95	12.31	14.66	70.28	62.50	10.53	6.90	1.58	3.99	1.69	1.59	0.51

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Geog			LL LOANS TO F		State: II	LLINOIS	Evaluat	tion Period: 、	JANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DEC	CEMBER 3	1, 2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:		nall Loans arms	Low-Inc Geograp		Moderate- Geograp		Middle-l Geogra		Upper-In Geogra		Ma	rket Share	(%) by Ge	ography *†	F *
WISA/ASSESSIIEIIL AIEG.	#	% of Total*	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	% of Farms**	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Chicago	1	0.36	0.78	0.00	4.43	0.00	58.17	0.00	36.47	100.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.56
Limited-Scope Review:															
Illinois Non-MSA	273	99.64	0.68	0.73	2.63	4.40	89.47	89.38	7.22	5.49	14.01	22.22	15.79	15.99	4.31
															<u> </u>
		1													
															i

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Source of Data-Dunn and Bradstreet (2002).

^(***) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Table 7. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

Во	rrower Distri	bution: HO	ME PURCHAS	SE	State: ILLI	NOIS	Evaluation	n Period: JAN	UARY 1, 200	O TO DECEM	BER 31, 20	001			
MSA/Assessment Area:		Home se Loans	Low-lı Borro		Moderato Borro			-Income owers		Income owers	Market	Share (%)	by Borrov	ver Income	***
WIDA/ASSESSITER ATEA.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full-Scope Review:															
Chicago	8,649	95.59	19.85	8.88	17.39	29.12	24.00	20.00	38.76	41.99	1.02	0.83	0.98	0.78	1.31
Limited-Scope Review:															
Illinois Non-MSA	399	4.41	22.11	8.67	18.31	19.64	22.86	26.53	36.73	45.15	9.51	7.85	5.66	8.79	12.81
(*)															

^(*) Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 49.64% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Central Region

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

Born	rower Distribu	ıtion: HOM	E IMPROVEM	ENT	State: II	LINOIS	Evaluat	ion Period: J	ANUARY 1, 2	000 TO DECE	MBER 31,	2001			
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Home Low-Income Improvement Loans Borrowers				Moderate-Income Borrowers		Middle-Income Borrowers		Upper-Income Borrowers		Market Share (%) by Borrower Income****				
	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:															
Chicago	112	47.26	19.85	2.68	17.39	12.50	24.00	21.43	38.76	63.39	0.41	0.10	0.22	0.30	0.81
Limited-Scope Review:															
Illinois Non-MSA	125	52.74	22.11	8.80	18.31	16.00	22.86	25.60	36.73	49.60	16.26	7.14	11.48	15.38	22.65
ı															

^(*) Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available 0.00% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Central Region

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

			ORTGAGE REF		State	e: ILLINOIS	Eva	aluation Period	: JANUARY	1, 2000 TO [DECEMBER	31, 200	nare (%) by Borrower Income**** Low Mod Mid U							
MSA/Assessment Area:		e Mortgage ce Loans	Low-lı Borro	ncome	Moderate-Income Borrowers		Middle-Income Borrowers		Upper-Income Borrowers		Market Share (%) by Borrower Income****									
morphososoment Area.	#	% of Total*	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	% of Families**	% BANK Loans***	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp					
Full-Scope Review:																				
Chicago	7,311	89.52	19.85	4.29	17.39	16.21	24.00	25.39	38.76	54.10	1.28	0.69	0.93	1.11	1.68					
Limited-Scope Review:																				
Illinois Non-MSA	856	10.48	22.11	4.96	18.31	16.65	22.86	27.04	36.73	51.36	13.55	8.00	12.41	12.30	15.48					

^(*) Home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all home mortgage refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Percentage of Families is based on 1990 Census information.

^(***) As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 34.27% of loans originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Mortgage Data: Central Region

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Borrower Distributi	on: SMALL LOAI	NS TO BUSINESS	ES State: II	LLINOIS Evalua	ation Period: JAN	UARY 1, 2000 TO D	ECEMBER 31, 20	01	
MSA/Assessment Area:	Total Sm to Busi			th Revenues of on or less		ans by Original Amoun ardless of Business Siz	Market St	nare****	
MSA/Assessment Area:	#	% of Total*	% of Businesses**	% BANK Loans***	\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	> \$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Chicago	282	54.86	75.24	43.26	66.67	7.09	26.24	0.06	0.04
Limited-Scope Review:									
Illinois Non-MSA	232	45.14	81.02	50.43	77.16	12.07	10.78	1.58	1.44

^(*) Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 20.82% of small loans to businesses originated/purchased by the bank. (****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Business Data US&PR.

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

Borrower Distribution	n: SMALL LOANS	TO FARMS	State: ILLIN	OIS Eva	luation Period: JAN	NUARY 1, 2000 TO I	DECEMBER 31, 2001		
	Total Sma to Far		Farms with F \$1 million			oans by Original Amou. Regardless of Farm Siz	Market Share****		
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	% of Total*	% of % BANK Farms** Loans***		\$100,000 or Less	> \$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Rev \$1 million or less
Full-Scope Review:									
Chicago	1	0.36	90.49	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.08	0.09
Limited-Scope Review:									
Illinois Non-MSA	273	99.64	98.25	89.01	83.15	15.38	1.47	14.01	12.83
				_	_				_

^(*) Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MSA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^(**) Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B 2002).

^(***) Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 10.22% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

^(****) Based on 2001 Peer Small Farm Data US&PR.

Bank of America, N.A., Charlotte, NC Charter Number: 13044

Table 12. Qualified Investments

au	ALIFIED INVEST	MENTS Stat	e: ILLINOIS	Evaluation Period: JA	NUARY 1, 20	00 TO DECEMBE	R 31, 2001		
	Prior Perio	od Investments*	Current Pe	riod Investments		Total Investme	Unfunded	Commitments**	
MSA/Assessment Areas:	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	% of Total \$'s	#	\$ (000's)
Full-Scope Review:									
Chicago	113	27,680	186	14,166	299	41,846	99.52	0	0
Limited-Scope Review:									
Illinois Non-MSA	2	191	6	11	8	201	0.48	0	0

^{(*) &}quot;Prior Period Investments" means investments made in a previous evaluation period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

^{(**) &}quot;Unfunded Commitments" means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.

Table 13. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

DISTRIBUTION OF	DISTRIBUTION OF BRANCH DELIVERY SYSTEM AND BRANCH OPENINGS/CLOSINGS State: ILLINOIS Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2000 TO DECEMBER 31, 2001																
	Deposits		Branches					Branch Openings/Closings						Population			
MSA/Assessment Area:	% of Rated Area	# of BANK	% of Rated Area			Branches Geographi		# of Branch	# of Branch	Net Change in Location of Branches (+ or -)				% of the Population within Each Geography			hin
	Deposits in MSA/AA	Branches	Branches in MSA/AA	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Closings	Openings	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full-Scope Review:																	
Chicago	86.72	1	7.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.67	19.59	42.57	28.05
Limited-Scope Review:						•											
Illinois Non-MSA	13.28	13	92.86	15.38	15.38	53.86	15.38	2	0	0	0	. 2	0	1.22	15.28	73.08	10.41