

NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST

14TH ANNUAL MONITORING AND EVALUATION REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2001

Information requests or comments about the Nez Perce National Forest's Land and Resource Management Plan and/or Annual Monitoring and Evaluation Report can be directed to one of the following offices:

Salmon River Ranger District

Slate Creek Ranger Station HC01, Box 70 White Bird, ID 83554 Phone: (208) 839-2211 TTY: (208) 839-2328 FAX: (208) 839-2730

CLEARWATER

RANGER DISTRICT Route 2, Box 475 Grangeville, ID 83530 Phone: (208) 983-1963 TTY: (208) 983-0696 FAX: (208) 983-4056

Moose Creek

Ranger District Fenn Ranger Station HC 75, Box 91 Kooskia, ID 83539 Phone: (208) 926-4258 TTY: (208) 926-7725 FAX: (208) 926-7119

Red River Ranger District

Elk City Ranger Station Elk City, ID 83525 Phone: (208) 842-2245 TTY: (208) 842-2233 FAX: (208) 842-2250

Nez Perce National Forest Headquarters Office Route 2, Box 475 Grangeville, ID 83530 Phone: (208) 983-1950 TTY: (208) 983-2280 FAX: (208) 983-4099

INTRODUCTION

On October 8, 1987, the Regional Forester, Northern Region, USDA Forest Service, approved the Nez Perce National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan). At that time a commitment was made to monitor and evaluate how well the Plan was being implemented. Monitoring and evaluation comprise the management control system, and the results of monitoring and evaluation provide the line officer and the public with information on the progress and results of implementing the Forest Plan.

A commitment was also made to consider modifications to the Forest Plan using amendments based on the monitoring and evaluation findings. Monitoring and evaluation each have a distinctly different purpose and scope.

Monitoring is the act of gathering information/data and observing the results of management activities to provide a basis for periodic evaluation of the Forest Plan. There are three types of monitoring:

Implementation Monitoring (sometimes called compliance monitoring) determines whether management actions are implemented as specified in the NEPA decision. Fore example, making sure that a specific required mitigation requirement is implemented. The question being asked is: "Did we do what we said we were going to do?" In this report, implementation monitoring is the type of monitoring assumed, unless otherwise specified.

Effectiveness Monitoring often occurs over a period of years and determines whether the actions are effective in meeting management direction and objectives. [For example, determining whether a standard for retaining a certain amount of wood debris on a site is effective in maintaining soil productivity and reducing erosion. The question being asked in this type of monitoring is: "Did the management practice do what we wanted it to do?"]

Validation Monitoring, which often occurs via research projects, determines if the assumptions underlying key elements of planning and analysis (including computer models) are correct. The question being asked here is: "Are the assumptions that are being used to make resource predictions and decisions correct?"

Evaluation is the analysis and interpretation of monitoring results. Evaluation assists in the review of the conditions on land covered by the Forest Plan, as required at least every 5 years by the National Forest Management Act Regulations. Actions resulting from evaluation are reported in the **Plan Amendments and Action Items** sections of this report (Appendix). Evaluating the results of implementation monitoring can lead to immediate changes in the operation of a project, whereas evaluating the effectiveness or validation monitoring can be a basis for changes in future planning or management.

Monitoring and evaluation focus on those facets of land and resource management that could most critically affect Forest Plan implementation. Monitoring elements include:

- Items on which implementation may have a potentially significant effect;
- Items where achievement of a relevant goal or objective is going to be difficult;
- Item where projected effects may or may not occur as predicted; and

• Items where accomplishment of an objective or meeting of a standard determines the ability to achieve another goal or objective.

Management activities were monitored and evaluated as outlined in the Monitoring Requirements section of the Forest Plan, (pages 6 and 7, Table V-1, and Appendix O). This was done to determine how well objectives were met and how closely management standards were applied. Informal and formal field reviews were also conducted on a variety of projects during fiscal year 2001. These are documented in various ways, including daily diaries, file notes, and letters. These reviews are often conducted as routine inspections of timber sales, road contracts, mining operations, or while planning or implementing other projects. A summary of the key field reviews can be seen in **Section D – Other Monitoring**.

This report summarizes results of Forest Plan monitoring and evaluation conducted from October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2001. In some instances it is difficult to determine how well the Forest Plan objectives, outputs, and standards are being met. For some items data is insufficient to evaluate trends. We are continuing to develop methodologies for data acquisition and interpretation useful for evaluation.

This report is organized into six main sections, plus an appendix:

• Monitoring and Evaluation Results and Trends

This section compares planned outputs and services with the actual accomplishments and discusses budget and expenditure history and future projections. It also includes a detailed summary of monitoring findings for each of the required Forest Plan Monitoring Elements, subdivided by resource emphasis, i.e. wildlife, timber, recreation, etc.

- Research Needs
- Summary of Forest Plan Amendments, as of September 30, 2001.
- List of Preparers
- Forest Supervisor Approval
- Appendix

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

A. Monitoring and Evaluation Results and Trends		
Were Outputs and Services Provided as Predicted?	1	
• Table 1, a-z		
B. Are the Dollars and Workforce Costs of the Plan Implemented as Expected	10	
Table 2	12	
Table 3	13	
C. Forest Plan Monitoring Requirements	14	
Wildlife	14	
Item 1c: Big-Game Carrying Capacity	14	
Item 1d: Non-Game Habitat	17	
Item 1e: Acres of Big-Game Habitat Improvement	21	
Item 10: Population Trends of Indicator Species – Wildlife		
Item 11: Validation of Resource Prediction Models – Wildlife	26	
• Fish	27	
Item 1f: Fish Habitat Improvements	28	
Item 2e: Fish Habitat Trends by Drainage	28	
Item 2p: Implementation of PACFISH and Effects of Management		
Activities on Anadromous Fish	28	
Timber		
Item 1h-1: Allowable Sale Quantity (ASQ) Sold by Components		
Item 1h-2: Financed Volume Offered Attainment by Components		
Item 1i: Acres Timber Harvested by Method (includes pre-commercial thinning)		
Item 2f: Vegetative Response to Treatments		
Item 4: Acres of Harvested Land Restocked within 5 Years	34	
Item 5: Site-specific Examination to Determine Suitability of		
Land for Timber Management		
Item 6: Maximum Size of Opening for Harvest Units	34	
Item 11: Validation of Resource Prediction: Timber		
(Sold acres in FY 88-98)		
Table 11-a		
Table 11-b		
Table 11-c		
Table 11-d	36	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTINUED

Page

•	Soil & Water	
	Item 1j: Soil and Water Rehabilitation and Improvements	
	Item 2g: Impacts of Management Activities on Soils	38
	Item 2h: Impacts of Management Activities on Water Quality	44
	Item 2i: Water Quality – Project Level Administration Reviews and Field	
	Studies	45
	Item 2j: Impacts of Management Activities on Riparian Areas	49
	Item 11: Validation of Resource Prediction Models – Water Quality	
	And Fish	
٠	Range	
	Item 1g: Animal Unit Months Grazing Permits	52
	Item 11: Range Analysis and Allotment Management Plan Updates	
٠	Recreation	53
	Item 1a: Recreation Visitor Days	
	Item 1b: Acres of Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (ROS) Category	
	Item 2a: Off-Road Vehicle Impacts	55
	Item 2b: Adequacy of Cultural Resource Protection, Impacts on Cultural	
	Resources	
	Item 2c: Limits of Acceptable Change in Wilderness	
	Item 2d: Achievement of Visual Quality	60
	Item 2n: Management of Designated or Eligible Wild, Scenic or	
	Recreational River Segments	
•	Fire, Insects, & Disease	
	Item 1k: Acres and Numbers of Wild and Prescribed Fires	
	Item 7: Insect and Disease Activity	
•	Facilities	68
	Item 2k: Mitigation Measures Used For and Impact of	<u></u>
	Transportation Facilities on Resources	
	Item 2I: Adequacy of Transportation Facilities to Meet Resource	70
	Objectives and User Needs	
•	Minerals	
	Item 2m: Adequacy of Mining Operating Plans and Reclamation Bonds	
•	Economics	
	Item 3: Cost of Implementing Resource Management Prescriptions	
	Item 3a: Forest Resource Derived Revenues	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTINUED

Page

Effects on Others	78
Item 8: Effects of National Forest Management Lands, Resources,	
And Communities Adjacent to the Forest	
Item 9: Effects of Other Government Agencies' Activities on the	
National Forest	82
D. Other Monitoring	86
Nez Perce National Forest Accessibility for People with Disabilities	86
Environmental Analysis Accomplishments Related to Timber	
Noxious Weed Management	
Research Needs	91
Plan Amendments	94
List of Preparers	100
Approval	101
Appendix	
Action Item(s) Related to Timber	102
Action Item(s) Related to Wildlife	102
Action Item(s) Related to Recreation	103
Action Item(s) Related to Fisheries.	
Action Item(s) Related to Soil and Water	
References	