2 APPENDIX 4. TOXICOLOGICAL DATA FOR CLASS 1 SOLVENTS

BENZENE

Z	DENZENE
3	Category: Human carcinogen (IARC 1)
4	Not teratogenic
5	
6	Toxic Effects:
7 8	Benzene causes central nervous system depression and destroys bone marrow, leading to injury in the hematopoietic system.
9 10	Carcinogenesis:
11 12 13 14	There is sufficient evidence to establish that benzene is a human carcinogen (lymphatic and hematopoietic cancers). In animal studies, Zymbal gland tumors, preputial gland tumors, skin carcinomas, mammary gland tumors and leukemia are observed.
15	Genotoxicity:
16 17	Chromosomal aberration and DNA adducts tests are positive but other mutagenicity tests are negative.
18	
19	Assessment:
20 21	From the data of human leukemia and exposure concentrations of benzene, it was calculated that a daily intake of 0.02 mg was associated with a lifetime excess cancer risk of 10 ⁻⁵ (IRIS).
22	The guideline value for benzene is 0.02 mg per day (2 ppm).
23	References
24	Reviews: IARC Monographs 93 (1982)
25	Toxicological Profile ATSDR/TP 92/03
26	Pharmacopieal Forum (1991) Jan-Feb
27	Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). US EPA, 1990.

1	
2	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE
3	Category
4	Possible human carcinogen (IARC 2B).
5	
6	Genotoxicity
7 8	Not mutagenic with or without metabolic activation in bacterial (Ames) test with <i>S. typhimurium</i> or <i>E. coli</i> .
9	Refs. McCann J and Ames BN Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 1976 73 950-954
10	Barber ED et al., Mutat. Res. 1981 <u>90</u> 31-48
11	Uehleke H et al., Mutat. Res. 1976 <u>38</u> 114
12	Uehleke H et al., Xenobiotica 1977 7 393-400
13	De Flora S, Carcinogenesis 1981 <u>2</u> 283-298
14	De Flora S et al., Mutat. Res. 1984 <u>133</u> 161-198
15 16	Negative for induction of <i>umu</i> gene expression in <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535/pSK1002 when tested at up to 5.3 mg/mL.
17	Ref. Nakamura S et al., Mutat. Res. 1987 <u>192</u> 239-246
18	Induced DNA repair in E. coli strains, in the absence of metabolic activation.
19	Ref. De Flora S et al., Mutat. Res. 1984 <u>133</u> 161-198
20	De Flora S et al., Mutat. Res. 1984 <u>134</u> 159-165
21 22	Induced gene convertants, recombinants and revertants at high concentrations in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> without microsomal activation (not tested with S9).
23	Ref. Callen DF et al., Mutat. Res. 1980 77 55-63
24 25	Positive for lambda prophage induction endpoint of Microscreen assay in presence of metabolic activation.
26	Ref. Rossman TG et al., Mutat. Res. 1991 260 349-367
27 28	Caused DNA single strand breaks in alkaline elution/rat hepatocyte assay at 3 mM (viability approximately 45%).

Ref. Sina JF et al., Mutat. Res. 1983 <u>113</u> 357-391
Positive in DNA strand break test in mouse lymphoma cells at $\ge 6.55 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$.
Ref. Garberg P et al., Mutat. Res. 1988 203 155-176
Positive at low rate in 1 of 2 media in SHE transformation assay.
Ref. Amacher DE and Zelljadt I Carcinogenesis 1983 4 291-295
Negative for SCE and chromosome aberrations in rat liver cell line RL_1 or CHO cells, with or
without microsomal activation.
Refs. Dean BJ and Hodson-Walker G Mutat. Res. 1979 64 329-337
Loveday K et al., Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 1990 16 272-303
Negative in chromosome aberration test in bone marrow in vivo.
Ref. Lil'p IG Soviet Genet. 1983 18 1467-1472
Negative in mouse lymphoma TK+/- assay, in presence of metabolic activation (not carried
out without S9).
Ref. Wangenheim J and Bolcsfoldi G Mutagenesis 1988 3 193-205
Negative in rat hepatocyte UDS assay in vivo at up to 400 mg/kg.
Ref. Mirsalis JC and Butterworth BE Carcinogenesis 1980 1 621-625
Bermudez E et al., Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 1982 4 667-679
Binds to calf thymus DNA <i>in vitro</i> following activation by microsomes from phenobarbitone- pretreated rats.
Ref. DiRenzo AB et al., Toxicol. Lett. 1982 11 243-252
Apparently binds in vivo to hepatic DNA (mouse) and RNA (rat) if animals are pretreated
with 3-methylcholanthrene.
Ref. Rocchi P et al., Int. J. Cancer 1973 <u>11</u> 419-425
Overall, there is no convincing evidence for genotoxicity.
overall, there is no convincing evidence for genotoxicity.
overall, mere is no convincing evidence for genotoxicity.
overall, there is no convincing evidence for genotoxicity.

1 Carcinogenicity

2 Mice Strain A mice were given 0.16, 0.32, 0.64, 1.28 or 2.5 g/kg orally (1-5 days between

3 doses for 30 doses), and the animals examined at 150 days. There were no hepatomas in

4 animals given 30 doses of 2.5 g/kg over 30 days, but a significant number in all groups that

5 received 0.16 g/kg or more over a period of 90 days or more.

6 Ref. Eschenbrenner AB and Miller E J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1944 <u>4</u> 385-388

7

8

PDE =
$$\frac{160 \text{ x } 50}{12 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 10} = 0.67 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$$

9

10
$$\text{Limit} = \frac{0.67 \text{ x } 1000}{10} = 67 \text{ ppm}$$

11

12 Strain A mice were given approximately 40, 80, 160 or 320 mg/kg (30 doses at 4-day

13 intervals) or 10, 20, 40 or 80 mg/kg (120 daily doses) orally. The mice were 3 months old

14 when first dosed, and were examined for the presence of hepatomas at 8 months of age.

15 Hepatomas were present in all groups except at 10 mg/kg/day.

16 Ref. Eschenbrenner AB and Miller E J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1946 <u>6</u> 325-341

17

18
$$PDE = \frac{10 \times 50}{12 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 1} = 0.04 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$$

19

20 Limit (ppm) =
$$\frac{0.04 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$$
 = 4 ppm

21

23 killed 12-14 weeks later. The incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas and adrenal tumours was

- 24 significantly increased at both doses.
- 25 Ref. Weisburger EK Environ. Health Perspect. 1977 21 7-16

1For continuous exposure =
$$\frac{1250 \text{ x 5}}{7}$$
= 893 mg / kg23PDE = $\frac{893 \text{ x 50}}{12 \text{ x 10 x 1 x 10 x10}}$ = 3.7 mg / day45Limit = $\frac{3.7 \text{ x 1000}}{10}$ = 370 ppm67Rats Osborne-Mendel rats received 47 or 94 (males) or 80 or 160 (females) mg/kg orally, 58days/week for 78 weeks, and were killed 32 weeks later. There was a small increase in9incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma, and a greater increase in the incidence of neoplastic10nodules, without dose-relationship.11Ref. Weisburger EK Environ. Health Perspect. 1977 $\underline{21}$ 7-1612For continuous exposure = $\frac{47 \text{ x 5}}{7}$ 13For continuous exposure = $\frac{47 \text{ x 5}}{7}$ 14Environ Health Perspect. 1977 $\underline{21}$ 7-1615PDE = $\frac{33.6 \text{ x 50}}{5 \text{ x 10 x 1 x 10 x10}}$ 16Limit = $0.34 \text{ mg}/\text{ day}$ 16Limit = $\frac{0.34 \text{ x 1000}}{10}$ 17Limit = $\frac{0.34 \text{ x 1000}}{10}$ 18Vistar, Osborne-Mendel, Japanese, Black and Sprague-Dawley rats were given 1.3 mL/kg (219Wistar, Osborne-Mendel, Japanese, Black and Sprague-Dawley naminals died with severe cirrhosis at between 5 and 18 weeks. There was a significant increase in incidence of19hepatocellular carcinoma in Wistar, Osborne-Mendel and Japanese rats surviving for 6824Ref. Reuber MD and Glover EL J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1970 44 419-427

1 For continuous exposure
$$=\frac{2000 \text{ x } 2}{7} = 571 \text{ mg} / \text{kg}$$

2

$$PDE = \frac{571 \text{ x } 50}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x} 10} = 5.7 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$$

3

5 Limit =
$$\frac{5.7 \times 1000}{10}$$
 = 570 ppm

6

Several other earlier and/or grossly inadequately designed oral, inhalation or subcutaneous carcinogenicity studies in mouse, hamster and trout have been carried out. Note that in no study conducted to a currently acceptable design has an entirely convincing no-effect dose for tumorigenesis been determined. The studies reported by Weisburger are of adequate length, and of generally sufficient design, but the lowest doses used were 1250 mg/kg/day in mice, and 47 mg/kg/day in rats. The investigations of Eschenbrenner and Miller are relatively short, and only hepatocellular tumours were scored.

14

15 Hamsters Syrian golden hamsters given approximately 200 mg/kg once weekly for 7 weeks,

16 followed by approximately 100 mg/kg for 30 weeks, and survivors killed 25 weeks later.

17 There were liver cell carcinomas in animals dying or being killed from week 43 onwards.

18 Total numbers used in this study were low, and it appears that no concurrent controls were

19 employed. Ref. Della Porta G et al., J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1961 26 855-863

20

21 For continuous exposure =
$$\frac{100 \text{ x } 1}{7}$$
 = 14.3 mg/kg

22

23
$$PDE = \frac{14.3 \times 50}{10 \times 10 \times 1 \times 10 \times 10} = 0.07 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$$

24

25
$$\text{Limit} = \frac{0.07 \text{ x } 1000}{10} = 7 \text{ ppm}$$

26

27 **Reproductive Toxicity**

2 of gestation. Foetal body weight and crown-rump length were significantly reduced at both 3 concentrations, and probably associated with reduced maternal food consumption and body 4 weight gain. The incidence of sternebral anomalies was claimed to be increased at 1000 ppm, 5 but in the control group exposed to air concurrently with the 300 ppm group the incidence 6 was as high as in the group exposed to 1000 ppm. LOEL (foetotoxicity) = 300 ppm. Ref. Schwetz BA et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1974 28 452-464 7 8 $300 \text{ ppm} = \frac{300 \text{ x } 153.84}{24.45} = 1888 \text{ mg} / \text{m}^3 = 1.89 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$ 9 10 For continuous exposure = $\frac{1.89 \text{ x 7}}{24}$ = 0.55 mg / L 11 12 0 55 000 13

Sprague-Dawley rats exposed by inhalation to 300 or 1000 ppm, 7h/day on days 6 through 15

Daily dose =
$$\frac{0.55 \times 290}{0.330}$$
 = 483 mg / kg

14

1

15
$$PDE = \frac{483 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 10} = 48.3 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$$

16

17
$$\text{Limit} = \frac{48.3 \text{ x } 1000}{10} = 4830 \text{ ppm}$$

18

19 This appears to be the only satisfactory teratogenicity study to have been conducted. Other 20 studies suggest that very large doses result in foetal death, i.e. that carbon tetrachloride is

21 foetotoxic, but not teratogenic.

22

23 Rats given 80 or 200 ppm in the diet (carbon tetrachloride intake up to 10-18 mg/kg/day),

24 commencing two weeks after weaning. Females mated for 5 successive pregnancies (once to

25 control, 4 times to treated males), beginning at 3 months of age. No effects on pregnancy rate

26 or litter parameters. Worst case NOEL = 10 mg/kg/day.

27 Ref. Alumot E et al., Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 1976 14 105-110

1	
2	$PDE = \frac{10 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1} = 10 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$
3	
4	$Limit = \frac{10 \text{ x } 1000}{10} = 1000 \text{ ppm}$
5	
6	Large doses of carbon tetrachloride cause testicular (seminiferous tubule and interstitial cell)
7	damage and affect the oestrous cycle in females, but the significance of the changes is
8 9	impossible to assess, some evidence is contradictory, and the effects of low doses have not been explored.
10	been explored.
11	Toxicity
12	Oral LD50 in mice 8.26 g/kg.
13	Ref. Wenzel DG and Gibson RD J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 1951 <u>3</u> 169-176
14	Oral LD50 in rats 2.81 g/kg.
15	Ref. Smyth HF et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1970 17 498-503
16	Oral LD50 in dogs 2.3 g/kg.
17	Ref. Klaasen CD and Plaa GL Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 119-131
18	Dermal LD50 in rabbits and guinea pigs > 14 g/kg.
19	Ref. Roudabush RL et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1965 7 559-565
20	Intraperitoneal LD50 in mice 4.675 g/kg.
21	Ref. Gehring PJ Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1968 13 287-298
22	Subcutaneous LD50 in mice 31 g/kg.
23	Ref. Plaa GL et al., J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1958 123 224-229
24	
25	There is a vast literature on the toxicity of carbon tetrachloride in animals, largely dealing
26	with the characteristics and mechanism of liver damage. Low hepatotoxic doses of carbon
27 28	tetrachloride produce characteristic fatty livers. Higher exposures result in centrilobular necrosis; cirrhosis and hepatic tumours may develop after prolonged administration.

1 2 3	Hepatotoxicity is dependent on activation by cytochrome P450, and agents that induce monooxygenase activity (including ethanol and barbiturates) markedly increase the hepatotoxicity of carbon tetrachloride.
4	Refs. e.g. Recknagel RO and Glende EA CRC Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 1973 2 263-297
5	Glende EA et al., Biochem. Pharmacol. 1976 25 2163-2170
6	Kalf GF et al., Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1987 27 399-427
7	
8	Other target organs include kidney, testes and lung.
9	Refs. e.g. Chen W-J et al., Lab. Invest. 1977 <u>36</u> 388-394
10	New PS et al., J. Am. Med. Assoc. 1962 <u>181</u> 903-906
11	
12 13 14	Many papers report the outcome of administration of one or a few doses of carbon tetrachloride. The following comprise a large proportion of those involving administration for 10 days or more that have been reported during the last 50 years.
15 16 17 18	<u>Mice</u> CD-1 mice treated orally for 90 days at 12, 120, 540 or 1200 mg/kg/day. Dose-related altered serum parameters of liver damage and histopathological changes (including necrosis and fatty degeneration) at 12 mg/kg/day and above. LOEL = 12 mg/kg/day .
19	Ref. Hayes JR et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1986 7 454-463
20	
21	PDE = $\frac{12 \times 50}{12 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 10}$ = 0.10 mg / day
22	
23	$Limit = \frac{0.10 \text{ x } 1000}{10} = 10 \text{ ppm}$
24	
25 26 27	CD-1 mice given 1.2, 12 or 120 mg/kg orally, 5 days/week, for 90 days. Dose-related altered serum parameters of liver damage and histopathological changes at 12 mg/kg/day and above. Minimal necrosis in single animal at 1.2 mg/kg/day. Virtual NOEL = 1.2 mg/kg/day.
28	Ref. Condie LW et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1986 7 199-206

For continuous exposure
$$=\frac{1.2 \text{ x 5}}{7} = 0.857 \text{ mg/kg}$$

PDE $=\frac{0.857 \text{ x 50}}{12 \text{ x 10 x 5 x 1 x1}} = 0.071 \text{ mg/day}$
Limit $=\frac{0.071 \text{ x 1000}}{10} = 7.1 \text{ ppm}$
Rats Wistar rats exposed by inhalation to 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200 or 400 ppm, 7h/day on 127-
146 occasions during a period of 173-205 days. Fatty degeneration of the liver at 10 ppm or
more; cirrhosis at 50 ppm or more; vidence of increased mortality at 100 ppm or more.
Biochemical changes were present above 5 ppm. NOEL = 5 ppm (145 exposures in 205
days). Ref. Adams EM et al., AMA Arch. Ind. Hyg. 1952 6 50-66
For continuous exposure $=\frac{0.0315 \text{ x 7 x 145}}{24 \text{ x 205}} = 0.0065 \text{ mg/L}$
Daily dose $=\frac{0.0065 \text{ x 290}}{0.425} = 4.44 \text{ mg/kg}$
PDE $=\frac{4.44 \text{ x 50}}{5 \text{ x 10 x 2 x 1 x1}} = 2.2 \text{ mg/day}$
Limit $=\frac{2.2 \text{ x 1000}}{10} = 220 \text{ ppm}$

1 Long-Evans or Sprague-Dawley rats exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing 61 or 6.1 mg/m³. Hepatic damage at 61 mg/m³, NOEL 6.1 mg/m³ = 0.0061mg/L 2 Ref. Prendergast JA Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 270-289 3 4 Daily dose = $\frac{0.0061 \text{ x } 290}{0.425}$ = 4.16 mg/kg 5 6 PDE = $\frac{4.16 \text{ x } 50}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 5 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1} = 0.8 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$ 7 8 Limit = $\frac{0.8 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 80 ppm 9 10 11 Male F344 rats given 5, 10, 20 or 40 mg/kg/day for 10 days. Increased AST and ALT at 20 12 and 40 mg/kg/day, at least minimal hepatic vacuolar degeneration at all doses, hepatic 13 necrosis at 10 mg/kg/day and more. No consistent changes in parameters of immune function. 14 LOEL = 5 mg/kg/day.15 Ref. Smialowicz RJ et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1991 17 186-196 16 PDE = $\frac{5 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 10 \times 1 \times 5}$ = 0.10 mg / day 17 18 Limit = $\frac{0.10 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 10 ppm 19 20 21 Male F344 rats given 20 or 40 mg/kg orally, 5 days/week for 12 weeks. Dose-related 22 retardation of growth, alterations in serum parameters of liver damage, hepatic necrosis, 23 vacuolar degeneration and cirrhosis at both doses. LOEL = 20 mg/kg/day. 24 Ref. Allis JW et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1990 15 558-570

1For continuous exposure =
$$\frac{20 \times 5}{7}$$
 = 14.3 mg / kg23PDE = $\frac{14.3 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 10}$ = 0.28 mg / day45Limit = $\frac{0.28 \times 1000}{10}$ = 28 ppm67Male Sprague-Dawley rats given 1, 10 or 33 mg/kg orally, 5 days/week for 12 weeks.8Retarded growth at 33 mg/kg, and dose-related alterations in serum parameters of liver9damage at 10 and 33 mg/kg. Hepatic centrilobular vacuolisation at 10 mg/kg, and extensive10degenerative lesions and hyperplastic nodules at 33 mg/kg. NOEL = 1 mg/kg.11Ref. Bruckner JV et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1986 6 16-3412For continuous exposure = $\frac{1 \times 5}{7}$ = 0.714 mg / kg14Limit = $\frac{0.714 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 1}$ = 0.14 mg / day16Limit = $\frac{0.14 \times 1000}{10}$ = 14 ppm18I19Guinca Pigs of heterogeneous origin exposed by inhalation to 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200 or 40019ppm, 7h/day on 93-184 occasions during a period of 126-258 days. Fatty degeneration at 200 ppm10iver at 10 ppm or more; cirrhosis at 25 ppm or more: Relatubular degeneration at 200 ppm12and more; increased mortality at 100 ppm or more. Biochemical changes were present above25 ppm. NOEL = 5 ppm (143 exposures in 203 days).24Ref. Adams EM et al., AMA Arch. Ind. Hyg, 1952 6 50-66

1
$$5 \text{ ppm} = \frac{5 \text{ x } 153.84}{24.45} = 31.5 \text{ mg} / \text{m}^3 = 0.0315 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$$

For continuous exposure =
$$\frac{0.0315 \text{ x } 7 \text{ x } 143}{24 \text{ x } 203} = 0.0065 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$$

5 Daily dose =
$$\frac{0.0065 \text{ x } 430}{0.500}$$
 = 5.6 mg / kg

$$PDE = \frac{5.6 \text{ x } 50}{10 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 2 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x1}} = 1.4 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$$

9 Limit =
$$\frac{1.4 \times 1000}{10}$$
 = 140 ppm

11 Hartley guinea pigs exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing 61 or 6.1

12 mg/m³. Hepatic damage and some deaths at 61 mg/m³, slight reduction in body weight gain 13 at 6.1 mg/m³. LOEL 6.1 mg/m³ = 0.0061mg/L.

16 Daily dose =
$$\frac{0.0061 \text{ x } 430}{0.500}$$
 = 5.25 mg/kg

18
$$PDE = \frac{5.25 \times 50}{10 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 5} = 0.1 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$$

20
$$\text{Limit} = \frac{0.1 \text{ x } 1000}{10} = 10 \text{ ppm}$$

22 <u>Rabbits</u> White rabbits exposed by inhalation to 10, 25, 50 or 100 ppm, 7h/day on 139-178

23 occasions during a period of 197-248 days. Fatty degeneration and cirrhosis of the liver at 25

1 ppm or more; significant depression of growth at 100 ppm. NOEL = 10 ppm (139 exposures
2 in 197 days). Ref. Adams EM et al., AMA Arch. Ind. Hyg. 1952 6 50-66
3 10 ppm =
$$\frac{10 \times 153.84}{24.45}$$
 = 62.9 mg/m³ = 0.0629 mg/L
5 For continuous exposure = $\frac{0.0629 \times 7 \times 139}{24 \times 197}$ = 0.0129 mg/L
7 Daily dose = $\frac{0.0129 \times 1440}{4}$ = 4.64 mg/kg
9 PDE = $\frac{4.64 \times 50}{2.5 \times 10 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1}$ = 4.6 mg/day
11 Limit = $\frac{4.6 \times 1000}{10}$ = 460 ppm
13 New Zealand white rabbits exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing 61 or
6.1 mg/m³ = 0.0061 mg/L. Ref. Prendergast JA Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 270-289
14 Daily dose = $\frac{0.0061 \times 1440}{4}$ = 2.2 mg/kg
19 PDE = $\frac{2.22 \times 50}{2.5 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 5}$ = 0.18 mg/day
20 PDE = $\frac{2.22 \times 50}{10}$ = 18 ppm
23

1 Dogs Beagle dogs exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing 61 or 6.1 mg/m³. Hepatic damage at 61 mg/m³, some evidence of reduced body weight gain at 6.1 2 mg/m^3 . LOEL 6.1 $mg/m^3 = 0.0061 mg/L$ 3 Ref. Prendergast JA Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 270-289 4 5 Daily dose = $\frac{0.0061 \text{ x } 9000}{11.5}$ = 4.77 mg/kg 6 7 PDE = $\frac{4.77 \text{ x } 50}{2 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 5 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 5} = 0.48 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$ 8 9 Limit = $\frac{0.48 \text{ x} 1000}{10}$ = 48 ppm 10 11 12 Monkeys Rhesus monkeys exposed by inhalation to 25, 50 or 100 ppm, 7h/day on 148-198 13 occasions during a period of 212-277 days. Of two monkeys exposed to 100 ppm, slight 14 growth depression in both, some cloudy swelling in the liver of one, and slight fatty 15 degeneration throughout the liver of the other. NOEL = 50 ppm (198 exposures in 277 days). 16 Ref. Adams EM et al., AMA Arch. Ind. Hyg. 1952 6 50-66 17 $50 \text{ ppm} = \frac{50 \text{ x } 153.84}{24.45} = 315 \text{ mg} / \text{m}^3 = 0.315 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$ 18 19 For continuous exposure = $\frac{0.315 \text{ x } 7 \text{ x } 198}{24 \text{ x } 277}$ = 0.0657 mg / L 20 21 Daily dose = $\frac{0.0657 \text{ x } 1150}{2.5}$ = 30.2 mg/kg 22 23 PDE = $\frac{30.2 \times 50}{10 \times 10 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1}$ = 7.6 mg / day 24 25

1	$\text{Limit} = \frac{7.6 \text{ x } 1000}{10} = 760 \text{ ppm}$
2	
3	Human
4 5 6 7 8	Carbon tetrachloride is extremely lipophilic; it is readily absorbed in animals and, apparently, in humans after oral ingestion. Fatal human poisonings by carbon tetrachloride have been reported since 1909, and deaths continue to occur occasionally following either inhalation or ingestion. Toxicity is exacerbated by alcoholism or concurrent exposure to alcohol and carbon tetrachloride. Liver and renal damage are the most common effects.
9	Refs. Veley VH 1909 Lancet 1162-1163
10	Hardin BL 1954 Ind. Med. Surg. 23 93-105
11	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The genotoxicity of carbon tetrachloride is unconvincing, and liver tumorigenesis in animal species may be related to chronic damage and regenerative cell proliferation. This standpoint generally has been taken in setting occupational exposure limits for carbon tetrachloride. There are only a few anecdotal cases in which exposure has been linked with hepatic tumours in man. Limited epidemiological studies indicate an excess of some cancers in communities exposed to chlorinated hydrocarbons, but the general limitations of the studies and mixed solvent exposure do not allow firm conclusions to be drawn regarding the carcinogenic potential of carbon tetrachloride in man.
20	Refs. e.g. Tracey JP and Sherlock P N.Y. State J. Med. 1968 8 2202-2204
21	Simler M et al., Strasbourg Med. 1964 <u>15</u> 910-917
22	Blair A et al., Am. J. Pub. Health 1979 69 508-511
23	Capurro PU Clin. Toxicol. 1979 <u>14</u> 285-294
24	
25 26 27	Carbon tetrachloride is classed by IARC in Group 2B (possibly carcinogenic in humans), by NTP in Group 2 (reasonably anticipated to be a carcinogen), by ACGIH as A2 (suspected human carcinogen) and by NIOSH and OSHA as a carcinogen, without further classification.
28	
29	

1 Environmental Impact

- 2
- 3 Under the revised Montreal Protocol, production and use of carbon tetrachloride are
- 4 scheduled to be phased out by the year 2000 by ratifying parties (excluding 10-year
- 5 derogations for developing nations), because of its contribution to atmospheric ozone
- 6 depletion (ozone-depleting potential 0.9, similar to that of fully chlorinated CFCs).
- 7

8 Conclusion

- 9
- 10 Possible human carcinogen. Animal carcinogen (balance of evidence suggests probably by
- 11 non-genotoxic mechanism). Hepatotoxic at low doses in man and laboratory species.
- 12 Production scheduled to be phased out in 2000 under Montreal Protocol.
- 13
- 14 The guideline value for carbon tetrachloride is 0.04 mg/day (4 ppm).

1			
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE		
3			
4	Category: Possible human carcinogen (IARC 2B). Not teratogenic		
5			
6	Toxic Effects:		
7	Repeated exposure induces anorexia, nausea, abdominal pain, irritation of mucous		
8	membranes, dysfunction of liver and kidney and neurological disorders. Depression of		
9	leukocyte, antibody-forming cell and cellular immunity was found in mice; necrosis of		
10 11	cerebellum and hyperplasia and inflammation of forestomach were observed in male rats after oral administration.		
11			
13	Carcinogenesis:		
14	There is no evidence of carcinogenicity in humans. Forestomach cancer, hemangiosarcoma,		
15	breast cancer, uterine cancer and respiratory tract cancer were found in rats or mice after		
16	gavage treatment.		
17			
18	Genotoxicity:		
19	The balance of evidence indicates 1,2-dichloroethane is potentially genotoxic.		
20			
21	Assessment:		
22	Excess cancer risk at 10 ⁻⁵ is 0.05mg/day for 50 kg human based on hemangiosarcoma using a		
23	linearized multistage model without body surface correction.		
24	The guideline value for 1,2-dichloroethane is 0.05 mg per day (5 ppm).		
25	References		
26	Reviews; Environmental Health Criteria 62 (1987)		
27	IARC Monographs 20 (1979)		
28	NCI (1978) TR-55.		

2

1,1-DICHLOROETHENE

3 Genotoxicity

- 4 Some positive <u>in vitro</u> results in Ames test and mouse lymphoma, results being enhanced in
- 5 presence of liver microsomal samples. Negative results in <u>in vitro</u> SCE and chromosome
- 6 abberation studies and in CHE cells. Negative results <u>in vivo</u> in micronucleus test, UDS assay
- 7 and dominant lethal assay.
- 8 Refs. Mortelmans K et al., Environ. Mutagen 1986 <u>8</u> 1-119.
- 9 Greim H et al., Biochem. Pharmacol. 1975 <u>24</u> 2013-17.
- 10 Bronzetti G et al., Mut. Res. 1981 <u>89</u> 179-85.
- 11 McGregor D et al., Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 1991 <u>17</u> (2) 122-9.
- 12 Drevon C and Kuroki T. Mut. Res. 1979 <u>67</u> (2) 173-82.
- 13 Sawanda M et al., Mut. Res. 1987 <u>187</u> (3) 157-63.
- 14 Reitz RH et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1980 <u>52</u> (3) 357-70.
- 15 Anderson D et al., Biochem. Pharmacol. 1977 <u>21</u> 71-8.

16 Carcinogenicity

- 17 Positive results have been reported after inhalation exposure; however, no increase in tumour
- 18 incidence is noted following oral administration.
- 19 Swiss mice exposed to 25 ppm 4 h/day, 5 days/week for 52 weeks and retained until 98
- 20 weeks showed an increased incidence of renal adenocarcinomas, mainly in males.
- 21 Ref. Maltoni C. Environ. Health Perspect 1977 <u>21</u> 1-5. LOEL = 25 ppm
- 22

23
$$25 \text{ ppm} = \frac{25 \text{ x } 96.94}{24.45} = 99.1 \text{ mg} / \text{m}^3 = 0.099 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$$

24

25 For continuous dosing =
$$\frac{0.099 \text{ x 4 x 5}}{24 \text{ x 7}} = 0.012 \text{ mg/L}$$

1 Daily dose =
$$\frac{0.012 \text{ x } 43}{0.028}$$
 = 18.1 mg/kg
2
3 PDE = $\frac{18.1 \text{ x } 50}{12 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 10}$ = 0.08 mg/day
4
5 Limit = $\frac{0.08 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 8 ppm
6
7 Sprague-Dawley rats given 100 ppm 4-7 h/day, 5 days/week for 2 years. Others were
8 exposed in utero and then for 2 years following birth and showed an increased incidence of
9 leukaemia.
10 Ref. Cotti G et al., Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 1988 534 160-68
11
12 100 ppm = $\frac{100 \text{ x } 96.94}{24.45}$ = 396 mg/m³ = 0.4 mg/L
13
14 For continuous dosing = $\frac{0.4 \text{ x } 4 \text{ x } 5}{24 \text{ x } 7}$ = 0.047 mg/L
15
16 Daily dose = $\frac{0.047 \text{ x } 290}{0.425}$ = 32 mg/kg
17
18 PDE = $\frac{32 \text{ x } 50}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1}$ = 0.32 mg/day
19
20 Limit = $\frac{0.32 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 32 ppm
21
23 B6C3F1 mice given 2 and 10 mg/kg by gavage 5 days/week for 2 years showed no increase in
34 unour incidence (except leukaemia which was discounted because it only occurred in low
24 dose females).

1Ref. NTP Programme Tech. Report 228 1982. NEL 10 mg/kg.3For continuous dosing
$$= \frac{10 \times 5}{7} = 7.14 \text{ mg/kg}$$
495PDE $= \frac{7.14 \times 50}{12 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1} = 2.98 \text{ mg/day}$ 6Limit $= \frac{2.98 \times 1000}{10} = 298 \text{ ppm}$ 899Sprague-Dawley rats given time-weighted average of 7, 10 and 20 mg/kg (males) and 9, 1410and 30 mg/kg (females) for 2 years in drinking water. No increase in tumour incidence was11noted. Ref. Quast JF et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1983 3 55-62. NOEL = 20 mg/kg12PDE $= \frac{20 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1} = 20 \text{ mg/day}$ 14Limit $= \frac{20 \times 1000}{10} = 2000 \text{ ppm}$ 15Limit $= \frac{20 \times 1000}{10} = 2000 \text{ ppm}$ 16Reproductive toxicity18Rats given 200 mg/L in drinking water days 6-15 showed no adverse effects and offspring19were normal.20Rat drinks 30 mg / day21Rat drinks 30 mg / day22Rat drinks 30 mg / day23Daily consumption $= \frac{200 \times 30}{1000} = 6 \text{ mg / day}$

1 Ref. Norris JM in Proceedings of Tech. Assoc. of Pulp and Paper Industries Conference,

2 Chicago 1977.

3 As above, continual exposure = 0.023 mg/L

Daily dose = $\frac{0.023 \text{ x } 1440}{4}$ = 8.28 mg/kg

6

7

4

5

PDE =
$$\frac{8.28 \times 50}{2.5 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 10}$$
 = 1.66 mg/day

8

9

Limit =
$$\frac{1.66 \times 1000}{10}$$
 = 166 ppm

10

11 Sprague-Dawley rats given 200 mg/L in drinking water in a multigeneration study. No

12 adverse effects seen in 6 sets of litters. Ref. Nitschke KD et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1983 <u>3</u>

13 75-9.

14 As above PDE is 18.2 mg/day (limit 1820 ppm).

15

16 Animal toxicity

17 Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to 10 and 40 ppm by inhalation 6 h/day, 5 days/week for 5

18 weeks then to 25 and 75 ppm for up to 18 months. Liver changes were noted at 6 months but

19 these reversed after end of treatment. LOEL 25 ppm.

20 Ref. Quast JF et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1986 <u>6</u> (1) 105-44

21

22
$$25 \text{ ppm} = \frac{25 \text{ x } 96.94}{24.45} = 99.12 \text{ mg} / \text{m}^3 = 0.10 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$$

23

24 For continuous dosing =
$$\frac{0.1 \times 6 \times 5}{24 \times 7}$$
 = 0.018 mg/L

1 Daily dose
$$= \frac{0.018 \times 290}{0.425} = 12.3 \text{ mg/kg}$$

2
3 PDE $= \frac{12.3 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 10} = 1.23 \text{ mg/day}$
4
5 Limit $= \frac{1.23 \times 1000}{10} = 123 \text{ ppm}$
6
7 Sprague-Dawley rats given TWA of 7, 10 and 20 mg/kg (males) and 9, 14 and 30 mg/kg
(females) in drinking water for 2 years. Minimal hepatocellular swelling and midzonal fatty
9 changes in females at all levels and in high dose males. These were considered to be adaptive
10 changes. NEL = 20 mg/kg. Ref. Quast JF et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1983 3 (1) 55-62
11
12 PDE $= \frac{20 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1} = 20 \text{ mg/day}$
13
14 Limit $= \frac{20 \times 1000}{10} = 2000 \text{ ppm}$
15
16 Conclusion

17 The guideline value for 1,1-dichloroethene is 0.08 mg/day (8 ppm).

1	
2	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE
3	Category
4	Not classifiable as to carcinogenicity to humans (IARC 3).
5	
6	Genotoxicity
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Plate incorporation assays for reverse mutation in <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538, or in <i>E. coli</i> strains, using liquid TCE are consistently negative, as are assays using pre-incubation or a fluctuation protocol. There are indications of mutagenicity in strains TA100 and TA1535 in vapour phase assays in desiccators, although in the most unequivocally positive test the results suggest that activity may be due to an epoxide stabiliser such as butylene oxide. Results of Shimada et al., appear to confirm that activity is due to the stabiliser. Negative for induction of <i>umu</i> gene expression in <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535/pSK1002 when tested at up to 666 ug/mL. Negative in SOS Chromotest (induction of sfiA gene expression in <i>E. coli</i>).
16 17	Refs. reviewed in Fielder RJ and Williams SD 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Toxicity Review 9) 1984 Health and Safety Executive, HMSO, London
18	Haworth S et al., Environ. Mutagenesis 1983 suppl. 1 3-142
19	Nakamura S et al., Mutat. Res. 1987 <u>192</u> 239-246
20	Quillardet P et al., Mutat. Res. 1985 <u>147</u> 79-95
21	Shimada T et al., Cell Biol. Toxicol. 1985 <u>1</u> 159-179
22	Negative for gene mutation and mitotic recombination in yeasts.
23	No clear evidence for DNA damage in microorganisms.
24 25	Refs. reviewed in Fielder RJ and Williams SD 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Toxicity Review 9) 1984 Health and Safety Executive, HMSO, London
26	Not mutagenic at TK locus in TK6 human lymphoblasts at 500 ug/mL.
27	Ref. Penman BW and Crespi CL Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 1987 10 35-60
28 29	No increase in number of SCE in CHO cells at up to 10 ug/mL (with S9) in one study. Negative for SCE without S9 (up to 1000 ug/mL), equivocal for SCE with S9 (tested to 500

1 2	ug/mL) in another. In the second, chromosome aberration response positive without S9, negative with S9.
3 4	Perry PE and Thomson EJ in Evaluation of Short Term Tests for Carcinogens. Prog. Mutat. Res. 1 (eds. de Serres FJ and Ashby J) 1981 Elsevier pp 560-569
5	Galloway SM et al., Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 1987 10 (suppl. 10) 1-175
6 7	No increase in number of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in mice in 3 studies (various protocols, intraperitoneal doses of up to 2000 mg/kg).
8	Negative for sex-linked recessive lethal mutation in Drosophila at 25 ppm in diet.
9 10	No dominant lethal effect in mice when males given up to 5.8 mg/mL in drinking water for 14 weeks.
11	No unscheduled DNA synthesis in HeLa cells (\pm S9) or in primary cultures of rat hepatocytes.
12 13	Refs. reviewed in Fielder RJ and Williams SD 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Toxicity Review 9) 1984 Health and Safety Executive, HMSO, London
14 15 16	Positive in one BHK-21 cell transformation assay (\pm S9), and negative in another. Positive for transformation in Fischer rat embryo F-1706 line. Positive in BALB/c-3T3 cells (but stabilisers may have been present in the test material).
17 18	Refs. reviewed in Fielder RJ and Williams SD 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Toxicity Review 9) 1984 Health and Safety Executive, HMSO, London
19	Tu AS et al., Cancer Lett. 1985 28 85-92
20	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	In summary, the ability of 1,1,1-trichloroethane to produce point mutations in bacteria has been investigated thoroughly, generally with negative results. There is no evidence to suggest that gene or chromosomal damage is produced in mammalian cells. <i>In vitro</i> cell transformation assays in BHK cells gave conflicting results, but it is known that reproducibility in this system may give problems. Results in the F-1706 transformation assay were positive without S9, regarded as surprising because trichloroethane would not be expected to be directly acting in this system. Overall evidence of mutagenic potential is limited.
29	
30	
31	Carcinogenicity

Only two studies, one in mice and one in rats, that conform to current standards, particularly
 as regards survival or duration of dosing, have been located (Quast et al, 1988). The

- 3 remainder provide only supporting data.
- 4

<u>Mice</u> B6C3F1 mice exposed by inhalation to 150, 500 or 1500 ppm production grade
trichloroethane (purity approximately 94%, containing 5% stabilisers), 6h/day, 5 days/week
for 2 years. There was no evidence of toxicity or oncogenicity at any dose. NOEL = 1500
ppm. Ref. Quast JF et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1988 <u>11</u> 611-625

10
$$1500 \text{ ppm} = \frac{1500 \text{ x } 133.42}{24.45} = 8185 \text{ mg} / \text{m}^3 = 8.19 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$$

11

12 For continuous exposure =
$$\frac{8.19 \times 6 \times 5}{24 \times 7}$$
 = 1.46 mg / L

13

14 Daily dose =
$$\frac{1.46 \text{ x } 43}{0.028}$$
 = 2242 mg / kg

15

17

18 Limit =
$$\frac{934 \times 1000}{10}$$
 = 93,400 ppm

19

In an NCI programme study, B6C3F1 mice were given a time-weighted average of 2807 or
5615 mg/kg, 5 days/week for 78 weeks (doses increased twice from initial), and killed 13
weeks later. There was no evidence for an increase in any tumour type, but poor survival
made this study inadequate for proper assessment.
Ref. NCI. Bioassay of 1,1,1-trichloroethane for possible carcinogenicity, Technical Report

26

25

Series 3, US DHEW, 1977

1 Rats F344 rats exposed by inhalation to 150, 500 or 1500 ppm production grade 2 trichloroethane (purity approximately 94%, containing 5% stabilisers), 6h/day, 5 days/week 3 for 2 years. Body weight gain slightly decreased in females at 1500 ppm. Minimal hepatic 4 effects at interim, but not terminal, kills in males and females exposed to 1500 ppm. No 5 evidence of oncogenicity. NOEL for tumours = 1500 ppm. Ref. Quast JF et al., Fund. Appl. 6 Toxicol. 1988 11 611-625 7 1500 ppm = $\frac{1500 \text{ x } 133.42}{24.45}$ = 8185 mg / m³ = 8.19 mg / L 8 9 For continuous exposure = $\frac{8.19 \times 6 \times 5}{24 \times 7}$ = 1.46 mg / L 10 11 Daily dose = $\frac{1.46 \text{ x } 290}{0.425}$ = 996 mg / kg 12 13 PDE = $\frac{996 \text{ x } 50}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1} = 996 \text{ mg} / \text{day}$ 14 15 Limit = $\frac{996 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 99,600 ppm 16 17 18 In an NCI programme study, Osborne-Mendel rats were given 750 or 1500 mg/kg, 5 19 days/week for 78 weeks, and killed 32 weeks later. There was no evidence for an increase in 20 any tumour type, but poor survival rendered this study inadequate for proper assessment. 21 Ref. NCI. Bioassay of 1,1,1-trichloroethane for possible carcinogenicity, Technical Report 22 Series 3, US DHEW, 1977 23 24 Sprague-Dawley rats exposed by inhalation to 875 or 1750 ppm, 6h/day, 5 days/week for 12 25 months, and killed 18 months later. There were no adverse findings, except for focal 26 hepatocellular alterations in females at 1750 ppm.

1Ref. Rampy LW et al., in Proceedings of the First International Congress of Toxicology (eds.2Plaa GL and Duncan WAM) 1978 NY Academic Press p 5623**Reproductive Toxicity**5Swiss-Webster mice exposed to 875 ppm, 7h/day, on days 6-15 of gestation. There was no6Swiss-Webster mice exposed to 875 ppm, 7h/day, on days 6-15 of gestation. There was no7evidence of maternal toxicity, foetotoxicity or teratogenicity.8Ref. Schwetz BA et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1975 32 84-969875 ppm =
$$\frac{875 \times 133.42}{24.45}$$
 = 4775 mg / m³ = 4.78 mg / L111212For continuous exposure = $\frac{4.78 \times 7}{24}$ = 1.39 mg / L13Daily dose = $\frac{1.39 \times 43}{0.03}$ = 1992 mg / kg151616PDE = $\frac{1992 \times 50}{12 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1}$ = 830 mg / day17Limit = $\frac{830 \times 1000}{10}$ = 83,000 ppm18Limit = $\frac{830 \times 1000}{10}$ = 83,000 ppm20Swiss mice given 0.58, 1.75 or 5.83 mg/mL in drinking water in two-generation study21NOEL = 5.83 mg/mL.22Ref. Lane RW et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1982 63 409-42123Assuming water intake of 6 mL/day and body weight of 30 g

1
2
Daily dose =
$$\frac{5.83 \text{ x } 6}{0.03}$$
 = 1166 mg / kg
3
4
PDE = $\frac{1166 \text{ x } 50}{12 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1}$ = 486 mg / day
5
6
Limit = $\frac{486 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 48600 pm
7
8
Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to 875 ppm, 7h/day, on days 6-15 of gestation. There was no
9 evidence of maternal toxicity, foetotoxicity or teratogenicity.
10
Ref. Schwetz BA et al., Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1975 32 84-96
11
12
875 ppm = $\frac{875 \text{ x } 133.42}{24.45}$ = 4775 mg / m³ = 4.78 mg / L
13
14
For continuous exposure = $\frac{4.78 \text{ x } 7}{24}$ = 1.39 mg / L
15
16
Daily dose = $\frac{1.39 \text{ x } 290}{0.330}$ = 1221 mg / kg
17
18
PDE = $\frac{1221 \text{ x } 50}{5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1}$ = 1221 mg / day
19
20
Limit = $\frac{1221 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 122,100 ppm
21
22
Long-Evans rats exposed by inhalation to 2100 ppm, 6h/day on days 1-20 of gestation, with
23 or without premating exposure (6h/day, 5 days/week for 2 weeks) showed no maternal

- 1 toxicity, but mean foetal weight was reduced, and there were skeletal and soft tissue
- 2 variations indicative of retarded development.
- 3 Ref. York RG et al., J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 1982 9 251-266
- 5 $2100 \text{ ppm} = \frac{2100 \text{ x } 133.42}{24.45} = 11459 \text{ mg} / \text{m}^3 = 11.5 \text{ mg} / \text{L}$
- 6

7 For continuous exposure =
$$\frac{11.5 \text{ x } 6}{24}$$
 = 2.88 mg / L

8

9

Daily dose =
$$\frac{2.88 \times 290}{0.330}$$
 = 2531 mg / kg

10

11

PDE =
$$\frac{2531 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 1 \times 1 \times 10}$$
 = 253 mg / day

12

13 Limit =
$$\frac{253 \times 1000}{10}$$
 = 25,300 ppm

14

In a study reported only in abstract, it was claimed that there were cardiac abnormalities
(persistent ductus arteriosus and atrial hypoplasia or displacement) in 15/52 offspring of
Sprague-Dawley rats given 10 ppm in drinking water from 7 days before, and during,
cohabitation, the females then being exposed through gestation and lactation. Ref. Dapson
SC et al., Teratology 1984 <u>29</u> 25A

20

These findings are entirely at odds with other evidence of lack of reproductive toxicity with
 1,1,1-trichloroethane, and the following study was conducted to investigate further.

23

24 Male and female Sprague-Dawley rats were given 3, 10 or 30 ppm in drinking water for 14

25 days before cohabitation and during cohabitation. Females continued to be exposed through

either gestation days (GD) 1-20, or GD 1-20 + lactation. Males showed no adverse effects.

27 There was no maternal toxicity, no effect on gestational or litter parameters, except for a

1 slight increase in mortality from implantation to post-natal day 1 at 30 ppm (considered to be

2 due to high loss in one litter), and no increase in cardiac or other malformations. NOEL = 30

3 ppm. Refs. George JD et al., Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 1989 13 641-651

4 George JD et al., Developmental toxicity evaluation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane administered to

5 Sprague-Dawley rats. Part I. Postnatal evaluation, Final Study Report, 1987, NTIS Accession

6 No. PB88131321/AS

7 George JD et al., Developmental toxicity evaluation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane administered to

8 Sprague-Dawley rats. Part II. Teratological evaluation, Final Study Report, 1987, NTIS

9 Accession No. PB88134101

10

11 Assuming water intake of 30 mL/day and body weight of 330 g

12

13 Daily dose =
$$\frac{0.03 \times 30}{0.330}$$
 = 2.7 mg / kg

14

16

17 Limit =
$$\frac{2.7 \times 1000}{10} = 140 \text{ ppm}$$

18 The PDE calculated from this study is disregarded since no toxicity was observed.

19

20 **Toxicity**

21 Oral LD50 in mice 11.24 g/kg (no inhibitor), 9.7 g/kg (+ inhibitor).

22 Oral LD50 in rats 10.3-12.3 g/kg (no inhibitor), 11.0-14.3 g/kg (+ inhibitor).

23 Oral LD50 in rabbits 5.66 g/kg (no inhibitor), 10.5 g/kg (+ inhibitor).

24 Oral LD50 in guinea pigs 9.47 g/kg (no inhibitor), 8.6 g/kg (+ inhibitor).

25 Ref. Torkelson TR et al., Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 1958 <u>19</u> 353-362

26 Inhalation LC50 in mice (30 min exposure, 24h observation) 22240 ppm.

27 Ref. Woolverton WL and Balster RL Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1981 <u>59</u> 1-7

1Inhalation LC50 in rats (15 min exposure) 38000 ppm.2Ref. Clark DG and Tinston DJ Human Toxicol. 1982 1 239-2473Intraperitoneal LD50 in rats 5.08 g/kg.4Ref. Klaasen CD and Plaa GL Biochem. Pharmacol 1969 18 2019-20275Dermal LD50 in rabbits > 15.8 g/kg.6Ref. Torkelson TR et al., Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 1958 19 353-3627Mice B6C3F1 mice given 1000, 1780, 3160, 5620 or 10000 mg/kg/day, 5 days/week for 69weeks, then observed for 2 weeks. No histopathology carried out. Deaths at 1000010mg/kg/day; NOEL = 5620 mg/kg/day.11Ref. NCI. Bioassay of 1,1,1-trichloroethane for possible carcinogenicity, Technical Report12Series 3, US DHEW, 197713Daily dose =
$$\frac{5620 \times 5}{7}$$
 = 4014 mg / kg / day16PDE = $\frac{4014 \times 50}{12 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 1}$ = 16.7 mg / day17Limit = $\frac{16.7 \times 1000}{10}$ = 1670 ppm18Limit = $\frac{16.7 \times 1000}{10}$ = 1670 ppm19Male CF-1 mice exposed by inhalation to 250 or 1000 ppm continuously for 14 weeks. Only11liver examined, including EM. Marked liver damage at 1000 ppm, effects at 250 ppm13Ref. McNutt NS et al., Lab. Invest. 1975 32 642-65425250 ppm = $\frac{250 \times 133.42}{24.45}$ = 1364 mg / m³ = 1.36 mg / L26

1 Daily dose =
$$\frac{1.36 \times 43}{0.028}$$
 = 2088 mg / kg
2
3 PDE = $\frac{2088 \times 50}{12 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 5}$ = 34.8 mg / day
4
5 Limit = $\frac{34.8 \times 1000}{10}$ = 3480 ppm
6
7 Rats Osborne-Mendel rats given 1000, 1780, 3160, 5620 or 10000 mg/kg/day, 5 days/week
8 for 6 weeks, then observed for 2 weeks. No histopathology carried out. Some deaths at 5620
9 and 10000 mg/kg/day and reduced weight gain in survivors; NOEL = 3160 mg/kg/day.
10 Ref. NCI. Bioassay of 1,1,1-trichloroethane for possible carcinogenicity, Technical Report
11 Series 3, US DHEW, 1977
12
13 Daily dose = $\frac{3160 \times 5}{7}$ = 2257 mg / kg
14
15 PDE = $\frac{22257 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 1}$ = 22.6 mg / day
16
17 Limit = $\frac{22.6 \times 1000}{10}$ = 2260 ppm
18
19 Male Wistar rats exposed by inhalation to 204 ppm, 8h/day, 5 days/week, for 14 weeks. No
20 detectable effects, including at microscopic examination of a limited number of tissues. NOEL
1 = 204 ppm.
24 204 ppm = $\frac{204 \times 133.42}{24.45}$ = 1113 mg / m³ = 1.11 mg / L

1For continuous exposure
$$= \frac{1.11 \times 8 \times 5}{24 \times 7} = 0.26 \text{ mg/L}$$
2Daily dose $= \frac{0.26 \times 290}{0.425} = 177 \text{ mg/kg}$ 3Daily dose $= \frac{0.26 \times 290}{0.425} = 177 \text{ mg/kg}$ 4PDE $= \frac{177 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 1} = 35.4 \text{ mg/day}$ 6Limit $= \frac{35.4 \times 1000}{10} = 3540 \text{ ppm}$ 8Long-Evans or Sprague-Dawley rats exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres10containing 754 or 2059 mg/m³. Non-specific lung changes, but no effects considered to be11treatment-related. NOEL 2059 mg/m³ = 2.06 mg/L12Ref. Prendergast JA Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 270-28913Daily dose $= \frac{2.06 \times 290}{0.425} = 1405 \text{ mg/kg}$ 14Daily dose $= \frac{2.405 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 1} = 280 \text{ mg/day}$ 15Imit $= \frac{280 \times 1000}{10} = 28,000 \text{ ppm}$ 18Limit $= \frac{280 \times 1000}{10} = 28,000 \text{ ppm}$ 19Rats exposed by inhalation to 5000 ppm, 7h/day, on 31 of 44 days. No effect, except for11transiently reduced weight gain in females. LOEL = 5000 ppm.12Ref. Adams EM et al., Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Med. 1950 1 225-236145000 ppm $= \frac{5000 \times 133.42}{24.45} = 27284 \text{ mg/m}^3 = 27.3 \text{ mg/L}$

For continuous exposure
$$=\frac{27.3 \times 7 \times 31}{24 \times 44} = 5.61 \text{ mg/L}$$

Daily dose $=\frac{5.61 \times 290}{0.425} = 3828 \text{ mg/kg}$
Daily dose $=\frac{5.61 \times 290}{0.425} = 3828 \text{ mg/kg}$
PDE $=\frac{3828 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 10 \times 1 \times 5} = 76.6 \text{ mg/day}$
Limit $=\frac{76.6 \times 1000}{10} = 7660 \text{ pm}$
Rats exposed to 500 ppm by inhalation, 7h/day, 5 days/week for 6 months. No evidence of
toxicity, including at microscopic examination of limited tissue list.
Ref. Torkelson TR et al., Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 1958 19 353-362
S00 ppm $=\frac{500 \times 133.42}{24.45} = 2728 \text{ mg/m}^3 = 2.73 \text{ mg/L}$
For continuous exposure $=\frac{2.73 \times 7 \times 5}{24 \times 7} = 0.57 \text{ mg/L}$
Daily dose $=\frac{0.57 \times 43}{0.425} = 389 \text{ mg/kg}$
PDE $=\frac{389 \times 50}{5 \times 10 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1} = 77.8 \text{ mg/day}$
Limit $=\frac{77.8 \times 1000}{10} = 7780 \text{ ppm}$
draft 7 page 37

1 Rabbits New Zealand White rabbits exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing 754 or 2059 mg/m³. Reduced weight gain at 2059 mg/m³. Other changes (non-2 specific lung and one death at lower concentration) not considered to be treatment-related. 3 NOEL 754 mg/m³ = 0.754 mg/L. 4 5 Ref. Prendergast JA Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 270-289 6 Daily dose = $\frac{0.754 \text{ x } 1440}{4}$ = 271 mg / kg 7 8 PDE = $\frac{271 \text{ x } 50}{25 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 5 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 1} = 108.4 \text{ mg}/\text{day}$ 9 10 Limit = $\frac{108.4 \text{ x } 1000}{10}$ = 10,840 ppm 11 12 Rabbits exposed by inhalation to 5000 ppm, 7h/day, on 31 of 44 days. No effect, except for 13 14 slightly reduced weight gain. LOEL = 5000 ppm. 15 Ref. Adams EM et al., Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Med. 1950 1 225-236 16 5000 ppm = $\frac{5000 \text{ x } 133.42}{24.45}$ = 27284 mg / m³ = 27.3 mg / L 17 18 For continuous exposure = $\frac{27.3 \times 7 \times 31}{24 \times 44}$ = 5.61 mg / L 19 20 Daily dose = $\frac{5.61 \text{ x } 1440}{4}$ = 2019 mg / kg 21 22 PDE = $\frac{2019 \text{ x } 50}{2.5 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 10 \text{ x } 1 \text{ x } 5}$ = 80.8 mg/day 23 24

$$Limit = \frac{80.8 \times 1000}{10} = 8080 \text{ ppm}$$

$$\frac{1}{10} \qquad Limit = \frac{80.8 \times 1000}{10} = 8080 \text{ ppm}$$

$$\frac{1}{10} \qquad Limit = \frac{80.8 \times 1000}{10} = 8080 \text{ ppm}$$

$$\frac{1}{10} \qquad Constrained a pigs exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing the related. NOEL 2059 mg/m3 = 2.06 mg/mL.
$$Ref. Prendergast JA Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 270-289$$

$$Daily dose = \frac{2.06 \times 430}{0.500} = 1772 \text{ mg / kg}$$

$$PDE = \frac{1772 \times 50}{10 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 1} = 177 \text{ mg / day}$$

$$Limit = \frac{177 \times 1000}{10} = 17700 \text{ ppm}$$

$$Guinea pigs exposed by inhalation to 5000 ppm, 7h/day, on 32 of 45 days. Reduced weight gain and hepatic fatty degeneration in both sexes; testicular degeneration in males. LOEL = 5000 ppm. Ref. Adams EM et al., Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Med. 1950 1 225-236$$

$$S000 ppm = \frac{5000 \times 133.42}{24.45} = 27284 \text{ mg / m}^3 = 27.3 \text{ mg / L}$$

$$Daily dose = \frac{27.3 \times 7 \times 32}{24 \times 45} = 5.66 \text{ mg / L}$$

$$Daily dose = \frac{5.66 \times 430}{0.500} = 4867 \text{ mg / kg}$$$$

24 PDE =
$$\frac{4867 \times 50}{10 \times 10 \times 1 \times 10}$$
 = 24.3 mg/day

Limit =
$$\frac{24.3 \times 1000}{10}$$
 = 2430 ppm
Guinea pigs exposed by inhalation to 3000 ppm, 7h/day, on 20 of 29 days, 1500 ppm on
44/60 days, 650 ppm on 65/92 days or 650 ppm on 40/57 days. Hepatic fatty degeneration at
3000 ppm; transiently reduced weight gain at all concentrations. LOEL = 1500 ppm.
Ref. Adams EM et al., Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Med. 1950 1 225-236
1500 ppm = $\frac{1500 \times 133.42}{24.45}$ = 8185 mg / m³ = 8.19 mg / L
Daily dose = $\frac{8.19 \times 7 \times 44}{24 \times 70}$ = 1.75 mg / L
Daily dose = $\frac{1.75 \times 430}{0.500}$ = 1505 mg / kg
Limit = $\frac{1505 \times 50}{10 \times 10 \times 1 \times 5}$ = 15 mg / day
Guinea pigs exposed to 500 ppm by inhalation, 7h/day, 5 days/week for 6 months. No
evidence of toxicity, including at microscopic examination of limited tissue list. Ref.
Torkelson TR et al., Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 1958 19 353-362

1
 For continuous exposure =
$$\frac{2.73 \times 7 \times 5}{24 \times 7}$$
 = 0.57 mg/L

 2
 Daily dose = $\frac{0.57 \times 430}{0.500}$ = 490 mg/kg

 4
 PDE = $\frac{490 \times 50}{10 \times 10 \times 2 \times 1 \times 1}$ = 122 mg/day

 6
 Limit = $\frac{122 \times 1000}{10}$ = 12200 ppm

 8
 Dogs Beagle dogs exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing 754 or 2059

 9
 Dogs Beagle dogs exposed continuously for 90 days to atmospheres containing 754 or 2059

 9
 mg/m3. Slightly reduced weight gain at 2059 mg/m3. Non-specific lung changes, but no

 11
 effects considered to be treatment-related. NOEL 754 mg/m3 = 0.754 mg/L.

 12
 Ref. Prendergast JA Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1967 10 270-289

 13
 Daily dose = $\frac{0.754 \times 9000}{11.5}$ = 590 mg/kg

 16
 PDE = $\frac{590 \times 50}{2 \times 10 \times 5 \times 1 \times 1}$ = 295 mg/day

 17
 Limit = $\frac{295 \times 1000}{10}$ = 29,500 ppm

 19
 Limit = $\frac{295 \times 1000}{10}$ = 29,500 ppm

1,1,1-Trichloroethane is fairly lipid soluble, and is absorbed after exposure of skin or by
inhalation. No studies have been carried out by the oral route, but intoxication after ingestion
indicates that absorption occurs. One subject survived accidental ingestion of approximately
600 mg/kg without evidence of renal or hepatic dysfunction, although there was marked
gastrointestinal irritancy. Twenty-eight workers with long-term, repetitive, high exposures to

- 1 1,1,1-trichloroethane (levels unknown) showed evidence of a toxic encephalopathy, with
- 2 symptoms similar to those seen after exposure to other solvents. The principal finding at
- 3 autopsy of victims of occupational poisoning or solvent abuse has generally been lung

4 oedema. Repeated, controlled exposures to up to 500 ppm 1,1,1-trichloroethane produced

- 5 mild CNS disturbance.
- 6 Refs. Stewart RD and Andrews JT JAMA 1966 195 904-906
- 7 Stahl CJ et al., J. Forensic Sci. 1969 <u>14</u> 393-397
- 8 Hall FB and Hine CH J. Forensic Sci. 1966 <u>11</u> 404-413
- 9 Kelafant GA et al., Am. J. Indust. Med. 1994 <u>25</u> 439-446
- 10 Stewart RD et al., Arch. Environ. Health 1969 <u>19</u> 467-472

11 Very few studies have been carried out on workers exposed occupationally to 1,1,1-

12 trichloroethane for long periods. Multiple studies provide no convincing evidence of

13 genotoxicity of 1,1,1-trichloroethane itself. No anecdotal accounts suggesting carcinogenicity

14 in humans have been located, and the solvent gave negative results in 2-year rodent studies.

15

16 Environmental Impact

- 17 Under the revised Montreal Protocol, production and use of 1,1,1-trichloroethane are
- 18 scheduled to be phased out by the year 2005 by ratifying parties (excluding 10-year
- 19 derogations for developing nations), because of its contribution to atmospheric ozone
- 20 depletion (ozone-depleting potential 0.15, cf. 0.8-1.0 for fully halogenated CFCs, and short

21 residence time, but world production is high).

22

23 Conclusion

- 24 Animal toxicity generally low; not carcinogenic in well-designed studies. No evidence of
- 25 reproductive toxicity in adequate studies. Relatively low toxicity in man after acute or
- 26 repeated exposure.
- 27 The PDE for 1,1,1-trichloroethane is 15.0 mg/day (limit 1500 ppm). However, note that
- 28 production of 1,1,1-trichloroethane is scheduled to be phased out by 2005 under the Montreal
- 29 Protocol, because of atmospheric ozone depletion.