APHIS

Factsheet

Veterinary Services

October 2002

Safeguarding Animal Health

In the fall of 2000, USDA's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) entered into a cooperative agreement with the National Association of State Departments of Agriculture (NASDA) to conduct a review of its animal health safeguarding system. The review assessed the performance and efficiency of APHIS' Veterinary Services (VS) infrastructure as well as the activities, procedures, policies, partnerships, and authorities that comprise the existing safeguarding system. NASDA delivered its final report to USDA officials in November 2001.

The working definition used by NASDA for safeguarding, is that it is an integrated system for preventing, detecting, and appropriately responding to adverse animal health events. Adverse health events can result from the real or perceived impacts of diseases, pests, vectors or toxins on productivity, trade, or public health. Safeguarding animal health is a complex challenge made more demanding with an ever—changing global economy and international agreements.

The Animal Health Safeguarding Review provides a foundation for building a national safeguarding system that takes into account the activities of industry, States, and related organizations. VS is using the principles and recommendations contained in the review as guides in developing system improvements. VS expects to expand the scope of the 33 principles and 152 recommendations—to look beyond daily operations to the systems and dynamics that are the basis of its operations.

Implementing the Recommendations

To make the recommendations workable, VS assigned them to seven issue groups: National Surveillance System, Laboratory Systems, Exclusion Activities, Coordinated Response, Veterinary Accreditation, Organizational Dynamics/Communication, and Information Technology. A team leader has been assigned to each issue group. Team members include individuals from across APHIS program units along with State and university animal health experts.

To organize their activities, each group has prepared a comprehensive plan ensuring that they have taken a big picture view of their assignment starting with the end in mind. The groups created

vision statements and identified key issues to help ensure that they understood the overall intent of the principles/recommendations provided in the review.

Issue groups are now developing action plans to address these key issues. An action plan template has been provided to each issue group to guide the development of these action plans and ensure that each action plan takes into account relevant facts—identifying an accountable group or individual, resources needed, statutory and regulatory impacts, political sensitivities, expected outcome, and performance indicators.

Once each action plan is done, it will be submitted to a panel of VS reviewers to make sure all required elements are included and then to the VS management team for final approval. The plans will be posted on the VS Safeguarding Web site (www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/safeguard.htm) for public comment.

Implementation Progress Highlights

Some highlights of the progress made in implementing the recommendations include:

- Animal Health Protection Act becomes law—
 This Act consolidates and updates the statutory
 authorities underpinning APHIS animal health
 safeguarding activities. Safeguarding Review
 Recommendation #58 encouraged passage of
 the Animal Health Protection Act in order to
 deal with shortcomings in APHIS authorities.
- Homeland Security funds disbursed—Forty
 three million dollars was made available for
 State grants and cooperative agreements in
 May 2002. Monies are being used to initiate
 a network of diagnostic laboratories, strengthen
 State capabilities to respond to animal disease
 emergencies, strengthen State-level
 surveillance for animal disease and place
 tissue digestors in several States. Several
 Safeguarding Review recommendations
 identified funding as a critical need.
- National Surveillance Coordinator hired—
 Dr. Valerie Ragan has been appointed Assistant
 Deputy Administrator for VS, a position from
 which she will also serve as National
 Surveillance Coordinator. Recommendation #1
 in the Safeguarding Review called for the
 establishment of a national surveillance
 leadership position.
- Formal Incident Command System used for first time—An Incident Command System was used by Federal and State personnel in responding to

- the low pathogenic avian influenza outbreak in Virginia earlier this year. Several Safeguarding Review recommendations called for improving the management of emergency operations.
- National Animal Health Laboratory Network—
 Twelve laboratories have been selected to receive
 Homeland Security funding. These laboratories
 will establish a unified network of public
 agricultural institutions able to assist in identifying
 and responding to high-risk biological pathogens
 in the food and agricultural system. Both APHIS
 and the Cooperative State Research, Education
 and Extension Service are involved with this
 process. Several Safeguarding Review
 recommendations identified the need to establish
 a national animal health laboratory system.
- Laboratory modernization—Design work has been initiated on several of the new facilities being planned in Ames, Iowa. Several Safeguarding Review recommendations supported implementation and full funding of the modernization plan.
- Veterinary Accreditation—A joint VS and American Veterinary Medical Association article on New Directions for the National Veterinary Accreditation Program was published in JAVMA in May signaling agreement on a program direction. Work is now progressing on developing the proposed rule to implement the new program and to develop training materials for practitioners. Recommendation #101 called for strengthening the Federal system of accreditation.

Additional Information

For more information see the VS Safeguarding Web site: http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/safeguard.htm. or contact the implementation co-chairs or issue group leaders.

Co-chair—Valerie Ragan
(valerie.e.ragan@aphis.usda.gov)
Co-chair—Carol Tuszynski
(carol.a.tuszynski@aphis.usda.gov)
National Surveillance System—Kevin Cassidy
(kevin.m.cassidy@aphis.usda.gov)
Laboratory Systems—Donald Evans
(donald.e.evans@aphis.usda.gov)
Exclusion Activities—Roger Odenweller (roger.oden-

weller@aphis.usda.gov)
Coordinated Response—Gary Svetlik (gary.t.svet-

lik@aphis.usda.gov)
Veterinary Accreditation—Lynne Siegfried

(lynne.m.siegfried@aphis.usda.gov)
Organizational Dynamics/Communications—Roxanne
Mullaney (roxanne.c.mullaney@aphis.usda.gov)
Information Technology—Steve Weber
(steve.weber@aphis.usda.gov)

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720–2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326–W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250–9410 or call (202) 720–5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.