

Federal Trade Commission
Can-Spam Act
P.O. Box 1030
Merrifield, Va.
22116-1030
R.E.: Can Spam Act rulemaking Project No. R411008

To The Commissioner,

I write this letter with great care and concern in behalf of those Americans who are legitimate, honest individuals.

I'm grateful for your efforts to diminish the problems of unsolicited bulk mail (SPAM).

However, I do have concerns about your proposed requirement for merchants to maintain **suppression lists**.

Please consider this issue most carefully. There are a lot of expenses and problems associated with this idea that could hamper, if not devastate, many of us in this business, specifically those of us who are retired and count on the little income we can derive from internet activities to supplement our *already diminishing* retirement income.

Not to mention those of us who count on information newsletters—both who publish and receive this vital, necessary info.

There are many, many legitimate publications on the internet that will be damaged by the requirement to use suppression lists. These are not the people the program is designed to stop. However, these are the people who will be most negatively affected.

This poses a question: What about the consumers? What if a suppression list is acquired (by any means) by a SPAMMER? This would definitely lead to MORE instead of LESS SPAM!

We both know that SPAMMERS operate from a greedy, deviant psychological base and will use any means (hacking, stealing, suppression lists) to support their intentions.

This requirement, as I see it, will grossly affect legitimate businesses to the **negative** while providing **another opportunity** to the persons or entities **it was designed to stop**.

I urge you to reconsider this implementation of the program, remembering its negative effect on the legitimate business practices.

Respectfully,
Michael Dycus [redacted] Ozona, Fl. [redacted] UNITED STATES of AMERICA