


000961



Re: CAN-SPAM Act Rulemaking, Project No. R411008

To the Commissioners,

I applaud your efforts to curb the problem of unsolicited bulk email. However, I am concerned about the proposed requirement for merchants to maintain suppression lists.

There are so many problems and costs associated with this idea, and so much damage done to consumers and businesses alike, that I feel I must urge you to consider this matter most carefully.

Requirement of the use of suppression lists will seriously damage many of the legitimate publications available on the net. My specific concern is for harm to publishers who require permission from the consumer prior to adding them to any list. They're not who CAN-SPAM was designed to put out of business, but this requirement will very likely have that effect.

There's also the potential for significant harm to consumers, because of the problem of properly knowing their intent when they unsubscribe from a list. On top of that, these suppression lists could easily fall into the hands of spammers, leading to more spam instead of less.

I was quite surprised at the potential problems this ruling could involve, and urge you in the strongest possible terms to reconsider its implementation in light of these problems.

The absolute worse spammers are based off shore out of your jurisdiction. It seems to me that the solution to this blight is going to have to come through the development of new technologies designed to find and turn off their systems. This will make that sort of operation too costly to continue.

It is my desire that you implement something that does as much as is possible without harming legitimate ethical home based businesses.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Nolden Bronkar".

Nolden Bronkar  
California, USA