Paragraph No.

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
Federal-State Joint Conference On Accounting Issues)) WC Docket No. 02-269)
2000 Biennial Regulatory Review – Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase II) CC Docket No. 00-199)))
Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board) CC Docket No. 80-286
Local Competition and Broadband Reporting)) CC Docket No. 99-301

REPORT AND ORDER

Adopted: June 22, 2004

Released: June 24, 2004

By the Commission: Commissioner Martin approving in part, concurring in part and issuing a statement; Commissioners Copps and Adelstein approving in part, dissenting in part, and issuing separate statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
II.	DISCUSSION	6
А	Modifying Part 32 Accounts	6
	1. Reinstatement of Account 5230, Directory Revenue	6
	2. Reinstatement of Accounts 6621, 6622, and 6623	
	3. Reinstatement of Separate Depreciation and Amortization Accounts 6561-6565	15
	4. Addition of New Accounts	19
В	AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS RULES	
	1. Fair Market Value Comparisons for Assets Totaling Less Than \$500,000	
	2. Establishment of Floor and Ceiling Threshold	
	3. Prevailing Price Treatment Threshold	40
	4. Modification of the Centralized Services Exception to the Estimated Fair Market Valu	e Rule.44
	5. Nonregulated to Nonregulated Transactions	
	6. Intra-Holding Company ILEC-to-ILEC Transfers of Assets or Services	
С	. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER MATTERS	
	1. ARMIS 43-07, Table II, "Loop Sheath Kilometers" vs. "Sheath Kilometers"	
	2. ARMIS Report 43-07 Broadband Infrastructure Reporting	
	3. Definition of ILEC	
D	OTHER ISSUES RAISED IN THE NOTICE	63
III.	PROCEDURAL MATTERS	

IV.	ORDERING CLAUSES	73
C.	FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ACT CERTIFICATION	67
В.	PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT ANALYSIS	66
A.	EX PARTE REQUIREMENTS	65

APPENDIX A – PARTIES FILING COMMENTS AND REPLY COMMENTS APPENDIX B – FINAL RULES

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1. In this Order, we address recommendations made by the Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues (Joint Conference) in a report filed with the Commission on October 9, 2003.¹ The Commission sought comment on the Joint Conference's recommendations in a *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice)* released on December 23, 2003.² Comments were due by January 30, 2004, and replies by February 17, 2004.

2. On September 5, 2002, the Commission convened the Joint Conference "to provide a forum for an ongoing dialogue between the Commission and the states in order to ensure that regulatory accounting data and related information filed by carriers are adequate, truthful, and thorough."³ The Commission found that the "Joint Conference will provide a focused means by which we and interested state commissions may conduct an open dialogue, collect and exchange information, and consider initiatives that will improve the collection of adequate, truthful, and thorough accounting data for regulatory purposes."⁴ In charging the Joint Conference with the task of reexamining federal and state accounting and reporting requirements, the Commission noted that the Joint Conference has a broad mandate to perform its work, including the ability to recommend additions to, or eliminations of, accounting requirements.⁵

3. On November 12, 2002, the Commission released an order suspending implementation of four previously-adopted accounting and recordkeeping rules to allow the Joint Conference time to review

⁴ *Id.* at 17026 para. 4.

¹ Letter from Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC (Oct. 9, 2003) (*Joint Conference Report*) (submitting proposed recommendations to Commission's accounting rules).

² Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review – Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase II, Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board, Local Competition and Broadband Reporting, WC Docket No. 02-269, CC Docket Nos. 00-199, 80-286, 99-301, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 26991 (2003) (Notice). The Joint Conference report was attached to the Notice in its entirety as Appendix A.

³ Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, WC Docket No. 02-269, Order, 17 FCC Rcd 17025, 17025-27 paras. 1, 7 (2002) (Convening Order).

⁵ *Id.* at 17027 para. 7. The Joint Conference sought comment on a range of accounting and reporting issues in a Public Notice. *See Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues Request for Comment*, WC Docket No. 02-269, Public Notice, 17 FCC Rcd 24902 (2002). In addition, the Joint Conference held a public hearing to gather information from a cross-section of telecommunications industry representatives. *See List of Panelists to Attend Public Hearing Held by the Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues*, Public Notice, 18 FCC Rcd 2532 (2003).

these rules before carriers were required to implement them.⁶ These rules had been adopted in 2001 in the *Phase II Report and Order* in which the Commission had eliminated many Part 32 accounts, defined incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) subject to its accounting rules, streamlined its affiliate transaction rules and revised some of its ARMIS reporting requirements.⁷

4. On December 12, 2002, as part of its comprehensive review of the Commission's accounting and reporting requirements, the Joint Conference issued a Public Notice requesting comment on a broad range of regulatory accounting issues.⁸ The Joint Conference also sought comment on four groups of specific issues related to the *Phase II Report and Order*: (1) certain accounts that had been requested by states but not adopted by the Commission; (2) changes to the affiliate transaction rules; (3) the accounting and recordkeeping rules that were suspended by the Commission in its November 12, 2002 Order; and (4) the issues raised by the outstanding petitions for reconsideration of the *Phase II Report and Order*.⁹

5. In its report, the Joint Conference makes several recommendations related to the issues it raised in its December 12, 2002 Public Notice. It also makes recommendations on other accounting-related matters. In this Order, we adopt some of the Joint Conference's recommendations, and we resolve the outstanding petitions for reconsideration of the *Phase II Report and Order*.¹⁰

⁷ 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review – Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase II, Amendments to the Uniform System of Accounts for Interconnection; Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board; Local Competition and Broadband Reporting, Report and Order in CC Docket Nos. 00-199, 97-212, and 80-286; Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket Nos. 00-199, 99-301, 80-286, 16 FCC Rcd 19913 (2001) (Phase II Report and Order).

⁸ Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, Public Notice, 17 FCC Rcd 24902 (2002).

⁹ Petition of BellSouth, SBC and Verizon for Reconsideration of Report and Order in CC Docket Nos. 00-199, 97-212, 80-286 (filed Mar. 8, 2002) (Joint Petition); SBC Communications, Inc. Petition for Reconsideration at 1-3 (filed Mar. 8, 2002) (SBC Petition).

⁶ Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, Order, 17 FCC Rcd 23243 (2002) (suspending implementation until July 1, 2003) (*First Suspension Order*). Subsequent orders have suspended implementation through June 30, 2004. See Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 12636 (2003) (further suspending implementation until January 1, 2004) (Second Suspension Order); Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 12636 (2003) (further suspending implementation until January 1, 2004) (Second Suspension Order); Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 26988 (2003) (further suspending implementation through June 30, 2004) (*Third Suspension Order*). The following rule changes were suspended by these three orders: (1) consolidation of Accounts 6621 through 6623 into Account 6620, with subaccounts for wholesale and retail; (2) consolidation of Account 5230, Directory Revenue, into Account 5200, Miscellaneous Revenue; (3) consolidation of the depreciation and amortization expense accounts (Account 6561 through 6565) into Account 6560, Depreciation and Amortization Expenses; and (4) revised "Loop Sheath Kilometers" data collection in Table II of ARMIS Report 43-07.

¹⁰ See 47 C.F.R. § 32.27; see Phase II Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19946-52 paras. 85-100; Accounting Safeguards Order, 11 FCC Rcd at 17582-17619 paras. 101-170. The Joint Conference also recommends that the Commission adopt, under our general authority, separate affiliate, accounting and auditing requirements focused on the in-region interLATA telecommunications service operations of the Bell Operating Companies (BOCs). Joint Conference Recommendation at 27-31. In May 2002, the Commission sought comment on a similar proposal in a proceeding devoted to considering the implications of the sunset of section 272 requirements. Section 272(f)(1) Sunset of the BOC Separate Affiliate and Related Requirements, WC Docket No. 02-112, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 10914, 10936-37 para. 46 (2003) (asking whether separate affiliate requirements are appropriate to apply to BOCs after sunset of section 272). The Joint Conference Recommendation has been entered into WC Docket No. 02-112 as an *ex parte* filing for consideration by the participants in that proceeding. Accordingly, the Joint Conference Recommendation on this subject will be resolved in WC Docket No. 02-112. See Notice, 18 FCC Rcd at 26993 n.9.

II. DISCUSSION

A. Modifying Part 32 Accounts

1. Reinstatement of Account 5230, Directory Revenue

6. In the *Phase II Report and Order*, we consolidated several revenue accounts, including Account 5230, Directory revenue, into Account 5200, Miscellaneous revenue.¹¹ The Joint Conference recommends that we reinstate Account 5230 as a separate Part 32 account. It maintains that directory revenues are created through a separate and distinct line of business and as such should be accounted for separately. The Joint Conference emphasizes that distinguishing directory revenues from other revenues is important for states that impute these revenues to the carrier's regulated operations in computing revenue requirements. The Joint Conference indicates that this practice is followed by some states using alternative regulation plans, as well as by states that continue to use rate-of-return regulation.¹² AT&T, NASUCA, and Wisconsin support the Joint Conference's recommendation.¹³

7. The United States Telephone Association (USTA) and the Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOCs) oppose reinstating Account 5230 as a separate account.¹⁴ BellSouth argues that there is no need for a separate Part 32 account because carriers can provide directory revenue information directly to the states.¹⁵

8. We conclude that this account should be reinstated, in light of its continued significance in state ratemaking processes.¹⁶ Because our previous action consolidating the Directory revenue account into Account 5200, Miscellaneous revenue, has not yet been implemented, retaining Account 5230 will not impose any new burdens on carriers. Therefore, we reinstate Account 5230, Directory revenue.

2. Reinstatement of Accounts 6621, 6622, and 6623

9. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission reverse its decision in the *Phase II Report and Order* to consolidate Account 6621, Call completion services, Account 6622, Number services, and Account 6623, Customer services, into a single account—Account 6620, Services—and its decision to establish wholesale and retail subaccounts for Account 6620. It recommends that the Commission consider other measures to achieve the Phase II goals of: (1) recognizing an increased importance of the wholesale versus retail distinction as competition develops in the local exchange market; and (2) assisting the states in developing unbundled network element (UNE) rates that properly reflect the costs of providing a wholesale service. As an alternative, the Joint Conference suggests consolidation of Accounts 6621 and 6622 and retention of Account 6623 as a separate account with wholesale and retail subaccounts for Account 6623 only. It also suggests, as

¹⁵ BellSouth Comments at 11-12.

¹¹ 47 C.F.R. § 32.5200.

¹² Joint Conference Report at 9.

¹³ AT&T Corp. (AT&T) Comments at 14-15; National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates (NASUCA) Comments at 9; Wisconsin Comments at 5-6.

¹⁴ BellSouth Corporation (BellSouth) Comments at 11-12; Qwest Corporation (Qwest) Comments at 14-15; USTA Comments at 7-8; The Verizon Telephone Companies (Verizon) Comments, Att. B at 1-2.

¹⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 220(i). Section 220(i) reads as follows: "The Commission, before prescribing any requirements as to accounts, records, or memoranda, shall notify each State commission having jurisdiction with respect to any carrier involved, and shall give reasonable opportunity to each such commission to present its views, and shall receive and consider such views and recommendations." *Id.*

another alternative, modification of ARMIS reporting to provide wholesale/retail percentages for Account 6623 instead of requiring subaccounts.¹⁷

10. In their petition for reconsideration of the *Phase II Report and Order*, BellSouth, SBC and Verizon seek elimination of the newly-created wholesale and retail subaccounts, arguing that they are not necessary in the public interest, they conflict with existing regulations, and they would be burdensome to implement.¹⁸ Verizon estimates that it would take at least four to six months to structure and conduct the studies necessary to allocate Account 6620 expenses between wholesale and retail subaccounts, costing close to \$3.5 million in additional implementation costs, and over \$2.5 million per year in ongoing costs.¹⁹ BellSouth estimates that it would cost approximately \$12.5 million and take 18 months to implement these changes.²⁰ They argue that the accounting costs to be included in the wholesale and retail subaccounts will not be comparable to the forward-looking costs included in UNE cost studies.²¹ In addition, they argue that many of the costs included in the consolidated account, specifically those costs related to call completion services (Account 6621) and number services (Account 6622), are unrelated to UNE pricing because the services are not required to be offered at UNE rates.²²

11. In opposition, AT&T states that contrary to the petitioners' arguments, wholesale and retail costs are relevant to the pricing of UNEs. AT&T states that while UNE pricing is based on TELRIC, UNE pricing reflects common costs, loading factors and other overhead costs attributable to the costs of operating a wholesale network.²³ AT&T argues that the petitioners' assertion of the burden related to the creation of the wholesale and retail subaccounts is untimely and consists of nothing more than bald, unsupported assertions without explanation or analysis.²⁴

12. In response to the Joint Conference's recommendation, AT&T and Wisconsin support retaining the consolidated Account 6620 and the wholesale and retail subaccounts.²⁵ SBC, USTA and Verizon also support retaining the consolidated Account 6620, but oppose both the subaccounts and the reporting of wholesale/retail data.²⁶ BellSouth, on the other hand, supports reinstating Accounts 6621, 6622 and 6623, and opposes the subaccounts and the reporting of wholesale/retail data.²⁷ SBC states that if the Commission determines there is a federal need for wholesale/retail data, it should reinstate the separate accounts and create wholesale/retail subaccounts for Account 6623 only.²⁸

²¹ *Id.* at 4.

²² *Id.*, citing *Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 15 FCC Rcd 3696, 3892 para. 442 (1999) ("incumbent LECs need not provide access to [operator services and directory assistance] as an unbundled network element").

²⁴ Id. at 8.

²⁵ AT&T Comments at 16; Wisconsin Comments at 6.

²⁸ SBC Comments at 7.

¹⁷ Joint Conference Report at 15.

¹⁸ Joint Petition at 1.

¹⁹ Joint Petition at 5; Verizon Comments at 4; SBC Comments, Att. A at 16.

²⁰ Joint Petition at 6; BellSouth Comments at 13 n.28.

²³ AT&T Opposition to Joint Petition at 7.

²⁶ SBC Comments at 6; USTA Comments at 8; Verizon Comments, App. B at 2.

²⁷ BellSouth Comments at 13.

13. While BellSouth acknowledges that reporting wholesale/retail data as a percentage in ARMIS would be less burdensome than the use of subaccounts, it states that the Commission must still assess whether this alternative is necessary.²⁹ Verizon estimates that it would take at least three months to develop and implement a process to report the wholesale percentages for Account 6623 in ARMIS, and that such reporting would cost close to \$1 million per year in on-going costs.³⁰ Wisconsin argues that if the Commission adopts ARMIS reporting of wholesale/retail data instead of subaccounts, ILECs should report the percentages on an individual state basis for disaggregated Accounts 6621, 6622, and 6623.³¹

Based on the comments, we reinstate Accounts 6621, 6622, and 6623 and require 14. wholesale/retail information only for Account 6623. In the Phase II Report and Order, we took two separate actions with respect to these accounts. First, we consolidated them into Account 6620, as part of our decision to greatly reduce the number of Class A accounts required for customer operations expense and corporate operations expense.³² Second, we decided to require wholesale and retail subaccounts in Account 6620 because "the wholesale versus retail distinction is important for customer service because the per-line expenditures for customer service are higher at the retail level."³³ Upon reconsideration, we find that the combination of these two actions has produced an unnecessarily burdensome and overbroad subaccount requirement. We see no regulatory need for wholesale/retail information regarding call completion services (Account 6621) or number services (Account 6622). In addition, the record before us indicates that reporting wholesale/retail percentages in ARMIS would both satisfy regulatory needs and be less burdensome than creating subaccounts. Accordingly, we do not require wholesale/retail information for Accounts 6621 and 6622. We also decide not to require ILECs to create wholesale and retail subaccounts for Account 6623. We will instead require that ILECs report their wholesale and retail percentages for Account 6623, Customer services, in the ARMIS 43-03 report. This approach will be far less burdensome than the creation of subaccounts, and will provide wholesale and retail information for the Commission and the states for those costs that are most relevant. Reporting in ARMIS 43-03 will result in identification of the wholesale and retail percentages on a state-by-state basis. This is consistent with the Commission's determination in the Phase II Report and Order that wholesale/retail information is important for development of UNE rates, which are set by the states.³⁴

3. Reinstatement of Separate Depreciation and Amortization Accounts 6561-6565

15. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission reverse its decision to consolidate the following accounts into Account 6560, Depreciation and amortization expense: Accounts 6561, Depreciation expense—telecommunications plant in service; Account 6562, Depreciation expense—telecommunications use; Account 6563, Amortization expense—tangible; Account 6564 Amortization expense—intangible; Account 6565, Amortization expense—other.

16. The Joint Conference is concerned about an adverse impact on rate proceedings resulting from the lack of detail provided by the consolidated Account 6560. The Joint Conference states that although many jurisdictions have adopted alternative regulation plans, some of these plans are earnings-based, require refunds, or provide options to return to rate-of-return regulation if alternative regulation

²⁹ BellSouth Comments at 13.

³⁰ Verizon Comments, App. B at 5.

³¹ Wisconsin Comments at 7.

³² Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19927-28 paras. 39, 41.

³³ *Id.* at 19938-39 para. 64.

³⁴ *Id*.

proves ineffective—and therefore, the Commission should retain the separate depreciation and amortization accounts.³⁵

17. NASUCA, RUS and Wisconsin favor retention of the depreciation and amortization expense accounts.³⁶ Wisconsin states that it is required by statute to revise depreciation rates for telecommunications carriers on a biennial basis. Wisconsin also states that depreciation rates have been used in proceedings to determine UNE rates. Wisconsin asserts that, though not required at the federal level, it will require even Class B ILECs in its state to submit this level of detail in their annual reports to that state commission.³⁷ USTA and the RBOCs oppose the restoration of these accounts.³⁸

18. We accept the Joint Conference's recommendation and reinstate the depreciation and amortization expense accounts. The local exchange industry is a capital-intensive industry, and plant assets constitute a major component of the costs of providing service. In the Commission's 1998 biennial review of its depreciation requirements, it stated that depreciation "constitutes 28 percent of incumbent LECs' total operating expenses, and is their largest single expense."³⁹ Current available data indicates that this percentage has increased to 33 percent, and that depreciation expense remains the ILECs' largest single expense.⁴⁰ Depreciation also is used in the calculation of UNE rates.⁴¹ We conclude, therefore, that depreciation expenses should be maintained in discrete accounts and not commingled with amortization expenses. Because we deferred action on consolidating Accounts 6561-6565,⁴² reinstatement of the individual depreciation and amortization expense accounts will not cause any additional implementation burdens to carriers.

4. Addition of New Accounts

19. The Joint Conference recommends that we add new Part 32 accounts for: (1) optical switching; (2) switching software; (3) loop and inter-office transport; (4) interconnection revenue; and (5) universal service revenue and expense. In this Order, as discussed below, we reject the Joint Conference's recommendation to add new Part 32 accounts. However, we do require ILECs to maintain subsidiary record categories to identify interconnection revenues.

20. <u>Optical Switching</u>. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission revise Part 32 to add a new account for optical switching. The Joint Conference believes that this account will

⁴¹ *See supra* para. 17.

⁴² See supra n.6.

³⁵ Joint Conference Report at 15-16.

³⁶ NASUCA Comments at 10; Rural Utilities Service (RUS) Comments at 2; Wisconsin Comments at 8. RUS believes that it would also be appropriate for the Commission to restore the associated amortization reserve accounts that were eliminated in the *Phase II Report and Order*. RUS Comments at 2. We will not address the reinstatement of the amortization reserve accounts in this proceeding. *See infra* Section E.

³⁷ Wisconsin Comments at 8-9.

³⁸ BellSouth Comments at 14; SBC Comments, Att. A at 13-14; USTA Comments at 7; Verizon Comments, Att. B at 5.

³⁹ 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review – Review of Depreciation Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket No. 98-137, Report and Order, ASD 98-91, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 15 FCC Rcd 242, 244 para. 3 (1999).

⁴⁰ Federal Communications Commission, *Statistics of Communications Common Carriers*, Table 2.9 (Feb. 2004).

provide useful data to the states and to the Commission in monitoring how optical switching technology is deployed throughout the telecommunications network.⁴³

21. AT&T, NASUCA, RUS and Wisconsin agree with the Joint Conference's recommendation to add a new account for optical switching.⁴⁴ AT&T and Wisconsin state that this new account will provide useful data with respect to the deployment of advanced services in the marketplace and on how the ILECs' business models may be changing in an increasingly competitive environment.⁴⁵ The RBOCs and USTA, on the other hand, disagree with the recommendation, stating that optical switching technology is not currently deployed in the marketplace, and thus, to add a new account for these switches makes no sense.⁴⁶ We decide not to create a new Part 32 account for optical switching. Until there is substantial evidence that optical switches are actually being deployed in the network, a new account is unnecessary. It would be unduly burdensome to require the carriers to create an account for technology that is not currently being used. Therefore, we will not implement this Joint Conference recommendation.

22. <u>Switching Software Accounts</u>. The Joint Conference recommends that the FCC revise Part 32 to add new investment and expense accounts to track software information associated with switching. The Joint Conference believes that information on switching software is needed to monitor technological development of the network, and would also be useful in developing UNE rates for switching.⁴⁷

23. Several commenters agree with the Joint Conference's recommendation to add new accounts for switching software. These parties believe that separately identifying switching software costs in a standalone Part 32 account will provide the Commission with the information necessary to determine a carrier's total switching costs, which is an increasingly important element in making UNE pricing determinations as well as in determining high-cost universal service support.⁴⁸ The RBOCs and USTA oppose this recommendation. They contend that there is no federal need for switching software accounts because software costs are already segregated in Part 32 Account 2690, Intangibles.⁴⁹ We decide not to add new accounts for switching software. Switching software is already segregated in Account 2690 at the subsidiary record category level.⁵⁰ It would be unduly burdensome to require the carriers to create a new account for switching software information in a Part 32 account when that information already is available in subsidiary record categories. When states need this information, they can request it from the carriers. Therefore, we do not implement this recommendation by the Joint Conference.

24. <u>Loop and Inter-Office Transport</u>. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission revise Part 32 to add new accounts for loop and inter-office transport. It states that contract

⁴³ The Joint Conference also argues that accounting data with respect to optical switches is needed to properly estimate forward-looking switching costs for use in UNE pricing matters. Joint Conference Report at 17-18.

⁴⁴ AT&T Comments at 18; NASUCA Comments at 8; RUS Comments at 2; Wisconsin Comments at 10.

⁴⁵ AT&T Comments at 18; Wisconsin Comments at 10.

⁴⁶ BellSouth Comments at 18; Qwest Comments at 14; SBC Comments, Att. A at 10; USTA Comments at 11; Verizon Comments at 19, Att. B at 7.

⁴⁷ See Joint Conference Report at 18-19.

⁴⁸ AT&T Comments at 18; NASUCA Comments at 8; RUS Comments at 2; Wisconsin Comments at 10.

⁴⁹ BellSouth Comments at 19; SBC Comments, Att. A at 10; USTA Comments at 11-12; Verizon Comments at 19, Att. B at 7-8.

⁵⁰ See 47 C.F.R. § 32.2690(b).

prices and model algorithms are inputs needed to determine compliance with TELRIC pricing standards. To the extent that ILECs claim that UNE rates do not cover accounting costs, data separating loop costs from transport costs are needed to make comparisons to accounting costs. Additionally, if separate wholesale and retail companies are created, separate data for loop versus transport costs may be needed to develop transfer prices.⁵¹ NASUCA and Wisconsin support the Joint Conference's recommendation.⁵²

25. In the Phase II proceeding, we declined to add subaccounts for loop and interoffice transport to central office transmission, cable and wire facilities, and information origination/termination accounts. We acknowledged the potential usefulness of this disaggregated information, but concluded that allocating these costs to separate subaccounts would be overly burdensome because, in some cases, both loop and interoffice transport are carried on the same cable facility.⁵³

26. We agree with BellSouth and Verizon that recording plant in loop and interoffice transport accounts would be contrary to the design of our Part 32 accounting system.⁵⁴ The Part 32 accounting system is not designed to reflect the allocation of investments and expenses among types of traffic or among services. With current technology, both types of traffic, loop and interoffice transport, may ride together on the same facilities. In order to maintain separate accounts for loop and interoffice transport, plant would have to be allocated between these two categories. The requested accounting change would require a massive restructuring of the current plant and plant-related expense accounts, which would be an extremely burdensome task for ILECs. Even the creation of subaccounts within the existing plant accounts would require extensive accounting system changes. Therefore, we decline to adopt the Joint Conference's recommendation, and do not add new Part 32 accounts for loop and inter-office transport.

27. <u>Interconnection Revenue</u>. The Joint Conference recommends that we add a new Part 32 account for interconnection revenue with separate subaccounts for UNEs, resale, reciprocal compensation, and other interconnection revenues. The Joint Conference contends that data to account for sources of revenue are necessary to monitor the transition to a competitive marketplace. It claims this data will be of value in assessing how the interconnection processes further the development of local competition. It asserts that interconnection accounts would assist states in assessing local competition and whether such competition is getting a foothold in their states. This data could prove useful to states in formulating policy. The addition of these accounts would clearly help the states and the Commission better understand the degree of local competition.⁵⁵ NASUCA and Wisconsin support the Joint Conference's recommendation.⁵⁶

28. The RBOCs and USTA oppose the addition of new accounts and subaccounts for interconnection revenues.⁵⁷ BellSouth states that resale revenue follows the service with which it is associated. BellSouth and Verizon claim that to segregate resale revenue into one subaccount would

⁵¹ See Joint Conference Report at 19.

⁵² NASUCA Comments at 10-12; Wisconsin Comments at 11.

⁵³ See Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19938 para. 63.

⁵⁴ See BellSouth Comments at 20; Verizon Comments, Att. B at 8-10.

⁵⁵ See Joint Conference Report at 19-20.

⁵⁶ NASUCA Comments at 10-12; Wisconsin Comments at 12.

⁵⁷ BellSouth Comments at 15; Qwest Comments at 14-15; Verizon Comments, Att. B at 10-11.

result in major changes to their accounting systems and to Part 36, Separations.⁵⁸ They also question the states' logic for needing interconnection revenues to be reported separately.⁵⁹

29. In the Phase II proceeding, we consolidated Account 5240, Rent revenue, into Account 5200, Miscellaneous revenue.⁶⁰ Because the rent revenue account was used to record UNE revenue, this change resulted in UNE revenue being recorded in the Miscellaneous revenue account. In addition, we eliminated Account 5084, State access revenues, which is where some carriers recorded reciprocal compensation revenue. We directed carriers to record these revenues as part of Accounts 5081, End user revenue, 5082, Switched Access revenue and 5083, Special access revenue. We also declined to establish a new account to record resale revenues. ILECs currently record resale revenues in the various accounts where they record the revenues derived from various retail services.⁶¹

30. We agree that separately identifying interconnection revenues would be valuable in monitoring the development of local competition, allowing us to monitor changes in UNE revenues compared to other revenues, which could indirectly indicate changes in the number of ILEC customers. We also find, however, that some revenues, particularly resale revenue, cannot be easily redirected to a single account without major reprogramming since resale revenue follows the service sold. Therefore, we do not establish a separate account for interconnection revenue. Rather, we require that ILECs maintain subsidiary record categories for unbundled network element revenues, resale revenues, reciprocal compensation revenues, and other interconnection revenues in the accounts in which these revenues are currently recorded. We require ILECs to make this data available to the states and to us upon request. We believe that this subsidiary record requirement strikes a balance by achieving the goals of state and federal regulators, while minimizing the burden on ILECs.

31. <u>Universal Service Accounts</u>. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission add two new accounts to Part 32 to track federal universal service funds—a new Part 32 Universal Service Revenue account and a new Part 32 Universal Service Expense account. The Joint Conference believes that these new accounts will allow the Commission to specifically track federal universal service amounts and will help the Commission to better understand the federal universal fund programs and the effect these programs have on consumers. The Joint Conference states that with no specific accounts assigned, universal service revenues will be included in other Part 32 accounts where they will be indistinguishable from other revenue types.⁶²

32. AT&T, NASUCA, RUS and Wisconsin favor adding new accounts to Part 32 to monitor Universal Service amounts. They state that these accounts will provide the Commission and the states with the information necessary to adequately track ILEC universal service activity.⁶³ Conversely, the RBOCs and USTA argue that there is no federal need for this information. They state that the Commission already collects universal service information on FCC Form 499 and that this information is also available from USAC.⁶⁴

⁵⁸ BellSouth Comments at 15; Verizon Comments, Att. B at 10-11.

⁵⁹ BellSouth Comments at 15-16; Verizon Comments, Att. B at 10-11.

⁶⁰ 47 C.F.R. § 32.5200.

⁶¹ See Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19938-39 para. 64.

⁶² Joint Conference Report at 21.

⁶³ AT&T Comments at 18; NASUCA Comments at 8; RUS Comments at 2; Wisconsin Comments at 13.

⁶⁴ BellSouth Comments at 16-17; SBC Comments, Att. A at 9; USTA Comments at 11-12; Verizon Comments, Att. B at 11-12.

33. We decline to amend Part 32 by adding new universal service expense and revenue accounts. Currently, carriers record universal service support receipts in the revenue accounts for the service supported. Universal service support payments are recorded in Account 6540, Access expense.⁶⁵ It would be administratively burdensome and costly for carriers to create new revenue and expense accounts, with subaccounts, to record universal service support receipts and payments. Moreover, as noted by the RBOCs and USTA, the Commission already collects universal service information on FCC Form 499, and additional information is available from USAC.

B. Affiliate Transactions Rules

34. The Joint Conference considered whether the Commission should change its affiliate transactions rules, as codified in Section 32.27 of the Commission's rules.⁶⁶ In its final report, the Joint Conference recommends changes to four areas of these rules, as discussed in the following paragraphs.

1. Fair Market Value Comparisons for Assets Totaling Less Than \$500,000

35. The Joint Conference reviewed the Commission's Phase II decision to eliminate the need for carriers to make a fair market value comparison for assets totaling less than \$500,000 per affiliate, and it recommends that the rule stand, as amended.⁶⁷ No commenters oppose the \$500,000 threshold for asset fair market value comparisons. Wisconsin, however, points out that it has adopted a lower threshold for small carriers in its state.⁶⁸ We agree with the Joint Conference that this rule should be retained, as amended,⁶⁹ because it reduces carrier burden, corresponds with our rule for services, and no party has pointed to any problems that might have arisen with respect to this rule change since the rule change took effect.

2. Establishment of Floor and Ceiling Threshold

36. Prior to the Phase II proceeding, our rules required that where a carrier was the recipient of an asset or service, that asset or service was recorded on the carrier's books at the lower of cost or fair market value.⁷⁰ If the carrier provided the asset or service, the carrier valued the transferred asset or service at the higher of cost or fair market value. In the Phase II proceeding, we modified these rules to permit carriers to use the higher or lower of cost or market valuation as either a floor or ceiling when valuing transactions between affiliates.⁷¹ The change approved in the *Phase II Report and Order* allows carriers to assign whatever value they deem appropriate for a transaction, as long as the value falls within

⁶⁵ Accounting for Universal Service Support Payments and Receipts, RAO Letter 27 to Responsible Accounting Officer, 13 FCC Rcd 16567 (1998).

⁶⁶ 47 C.F.R. § 32.27.

⁶⁷ See Joint Conference Report at 21-22.

⁶⁸ Wisconsin Comments at 13-15.

⁶⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 32.27(b)(3).

 $^{^{70}}$ Generally, "cost" is the fully distributed cost (FDC) when valuing services, and is the net book cost (NBC) when valuing assets.

⁷¹ *Phase II Report and Order*, 16 FCC Rcd at 19948 para. 92. *See* 47 C.F.R. §§ 32.27(b)(1) and (2), 32.27(c)(1) and (2). When assets or services are sold by or transferred from a carrier to an affiliate, the higher of fair market value and net book cost establishes a floor, below which the transaction cannot be recorded. Carriers may record the transaction at an amount equal to or greater than the floor. As for the ceiling, when assets or services are purchased from or transferred from an affiliate to a carrier, the lower of fair market value and net book cost establishes a ceiling, above which the transaction cannot be recorded. Carriers may record the transaction at an amount equal to or less than the ceiling.

the parameters of the adopted floor and ceiling. The effect of this rule change is to allow carriers greater flexibility in valuing these transactions.⁷²

37. The Joint Conference believes that allowing this type of flexibility permits too much discretion in the valuing of affiliate transactions by an ILEC. It maintains that a comparison with cost or fair market value should remain the touchstone of valuing these transactions. It argues that the "unfettered discretion" afforded by the newly approved floor and ceiling provisions of the Commission's rules provides "unrestrained" opportunities for anticompetitive manipulation of costs, revenues and earnings, which it believes are precisely the types of problems that led to the Joint Conference's creation.⁷³ Thus, to deter such anticompetitive effects, the Joint Conference recommends that the Commission reverse its decision to allow ILEC discretion in valuing affiliate transactions as long as the valuation complies with a prescribed floor or ceiling.⁷⁴ AT&T and Wisconsin support the Joint Conference's recommendation.⁷⁵

38. The RBOCs, Sprint, and USTA oppose the Joint Conference's recommendation.⁷⁶ BellSouth claims that any concern regarding a link between the value of affiliate transactions and ILEC prices to end users is unfounded because under price cap regulation, ILEC customer prices no longer change when ILEC booked cost changes.⁷⁷ BellSouth argues that under price cap regulation, whether the ILEC records affiliate transactions at either too low for a purchase or too high for a sale is irrelevant.⁷⁸ SBC adds that ILECs' affiliate transactions are reported in the cost allocation manuals (CAMs) submitted annually to the Commission, and that the CAMs are subject to an independent audit every two years.⁷⁹

39. As we stated in the *Phase II Report and Order*, we continue to believe that permitting carriers to use a floor or ceiling when valuing transactions does not harm ratepayers because it permits the regulated carrier to pay less when buying from a nonregulated affiliate, and charge more when selling to a nonregulated affiliate.⁸⁰ We recognize that permitting the use of a floor and ceiling for recording affiliate transactions could conceivably have an anticompetitive effect, although the use of price cap regulation ameliorates this concern for many carriers. It seems unlikely, however, that a transaction would have such an effect, particularly if the transaction is not priced below incremental cost. Further, we have safeguards in place to detect and deter anticompetitive conduct. Carriers must disclose their affiliate transactions in their annual CAM filings, and these transactions are audited every two years by independent auditors. We therefore reject the Joint Conference's recommendation. We reaffirm that carriers can use the floor or ceiling in transactions with affiliates, as long as such transactions comply with the Communications Act, the Commission's rules and orders, and are not otherwise anticompetitive.

⁷⁷ BellSouth Comments at 24.

⁷⁸ *Id.* Also, BellSouth states that prices ILECs charge for UNEs are not directly affected by affiliate transactions. BellSouth explains that UNE prices are set by state regulators based on a forward-looking cost basis, and not on embedded booked costs. *Id.* at 25.

⁷⁹ SBC Comments at 8-9.

⁷² Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19948 para. 92.

⁷³ Joint Conference Report at 23.

⁷⁴ Id.

⁷⁵ AT&T Comments at 20-21; Wisconsin Comments at 15-16.

⁷⁶ BellSouth Comments at 24; Qwest Comments at 14-15; SBC Comments at 7-8; Sprint Comments at 4-5; USTA Comments at 10-11; Verizon Comments at 15-16.

⁸⁰ See Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19948 para. 92.

3. Prevailing Price Treatment Threshold

40. The Commission's affiliate transaction rules allow ILECs to use prevailing market price as a valuation method when recording transactions with affiliated companies.⁸¹ In order for a transaction to qualify for prevailing market price valuation, sales of a particular asset or service to third parties must comprise greater than 25 percent of the total quantity of such product or service sold by an entity. In the *Phase II Report and Order*, the Commission reduced the threshold for prevailing market price valuation from 50 percent to its current 25 percent level.⁸² The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission reinstate the 50 percent threshold.⁸³

The Joint Conference argues that it is not uncommon for parties in commercial 41 relationships to exchange mutual concessions in the sales of goods and services. It claims that ILECs frequently enter into partnership agreements and other contractual relationships with nonaffiliated third parties in which it could be advantageous for the ILEC to provide an asset or service to the third party at a favorable, below cost price. The ILEC may receive a similar concession on a product or service provided by the third party. In such a situation, an ILEC could strategically under-price a relatively small amount of a particular service or asset to gain an offsetting concession from the third party, and at the same time confer on its affiliate a competitive advantage. By under-pricing services or assets, the ILEC would be absorbing some of the cost and thereby lowering the affiliate's overall cost structure, to the overall benefit of the ILEC's holding company.⁸⁴ AT&T supports the Joint Conference's position.⁸⁵ Wisconsin suggests that in determining whether to increase the threshold from the current 25 percent, the Commission should consider whether a lower percentage represents a significant influence over company pricing policy. If the Commission determines that 25 percent of an entity's business is insufficient to impose a significant influence over the entity when setting the prices it charges to an outside third party, then the Commission could either reinstate the 50 percent threshold or set the threshold at a level where the Commission believes there will be significant influence on the pricing.⁸⁶

42. The RBOCs, Sprint and USTA oppose this recommendation.⁸⁷ BellSouth believes that the 25% threshold established by the Commission in the Phase II proceeding is more than sufficient to ensure that there is a market price, because it claims that it only takes one transaction between entities to establish a market price.⁸⁸ Further, BellSouth argues that the Joint Conference's concerns regarding prevailing price apply to rate-of-return carriers. BellSouth urges the Commission not to modify its affiliate transactions rules for price cap ILECs in response to concerns about rate-of-return companies. Moreover, BellSouth contends that the Commission should not modify its rules for any ILECs, because these concerns can be addressed in individual ratemaking proceedings.⁸⁹

⁸⁹ Id.

⁸¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 32.27(d).

⁸² Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19949 paras. 93-94.

⁸³ Joint Conference Report at 23.

⁸⁴ *Id.* at 23-24.

⁸⁵ AT&T Comments at 21-22.

⁸⁶ Wisconsin Comments at 17.

⁸⁷ BellSouth Comments at 26; Qwest Comments at 14-15; SBC Comments at 7-8; Sprint Comments at 5; USTA Comments at 10-11; Verizon Comments at 15-16.

⁸⁸ BellSouth Comments at 26.

43. We decline to revisit our decision to lower the threshold for prevailing price valuation. We continue to believe that the 25 percent threshold is adequate to establish a prevailing market price, and that it would unlikely be "a sustainable strategy for a firm significantly to under-price transactions with 25 percent of its customers in order to be able to record transactions at this price with an affiliate."⁹⁰ Therefore, we will retain 25 percent as the threshold required to qualify for prevailing price valuation of sales of a particular asset or service to third parties.

4. Modification of the Centralized Services Exception to the Estimated Fair Market Value Rule

44. Section 32.27(c)(3) of the Commission's affiliate transaction rules contains an exception to the valuation rules for transactions involving affiliates that provide services solely to members of the corporate family.⁹¹ This exception, referred to as the centralized services exception, permits ILECs to record all services received from such affiliates at fully distributed costs (FDC) without determining that the FDC is below fair market value. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission eliminate the centralized services exception to the affiliate transactions rules, thereby making such transactions subject to the general rule requiring fair market value analysis.

45. The Joint Conference argues that the centralized services exception allows the carrier and its holding company an opportunity to have the carrier pay in excess of market prices for services obtained from an affiliate. According to the Joint Conference, the carrier may find it advantageous to show artificially high costs and, as a result, depressed earnings. The Joint Conference also argues that regulated carriers that record excessive costs for services from an affiliate can use those costs to justify excessive wholesale or retail rates.⁹²

46. We established the centralized services exception in the *Accounting Safeguards Order* to relieve the ILEC burden of performing fair market valuations for administrative services that they receive from affiliated services companies.⁹³ These affiliated services companies exist solely to provide services to the corporate family and have no transactions with third parties. The services they provide often are tailored to the needs of the corporate family. BellSouth, Sprint, USTA and Wisconsin state that the Commission should retain the centralized services exception because the burdens of performing fair market valuations for these services outweigh the benefits.⁹⁴ We agree with these commenters. In addition, the use of fully distributed cost to value these transactions is appropriate as a means of allowing all regulated and nonregulated affiliates to share the economies of scale and scope derived from the provision of these services on a centralized basis. Therefore, we will not modify the centralized services exception to the estimated fair market value rule.

5. Nonregulated to Nonregulated Transactions

47. The Commission's affiliate transactions rules apply to all transactions between the carrier and its nonregulated affiliates, including transactions between a carrier's nonregulated operations and its nonregulated affiliates. In the Phase II proceeding, the Commission considered whether these activities

⁹⁰ Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19949 para. 94.

⁹¹ 47 C.F.R. § 32.27(3).

⁹² Joint Conference Report at 25-26.

⁹³ Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Accounting Safeguards Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-150, Report and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 71539 (1996) (Accounting Safeguards Order).

⁹⁴ BellSouth Comments at 27-29; Sprint Comments at 6-7; USTA Comments at 10-11; Wisconsin Comments at 11-12.

between a carrier's nonregulated operations and its nonregulated activities should be exempt from its affiliate transactions rules, and determined that action on this issue should be deferred for a future proceeding.⁹⁵ The Joint Conference considered whether the Commission should continue to defer action on this matter, and concluded that the Commission should take no additional action at this time.⁹⁶ Although BellSouth and Verizon disagree, they provide no additional arguments than those already considered in previous proceedings.⁹⁷ We will, therefore, adopt the Joint Conference's recommendation and take no action on this issue.

6. Intra-Holding Company ILEC-to-ILEC Transfers of Assets or Services

48. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission clarify its affiliate transactions rules to be applicable to transactions between ILECs within the same holding company, and that the fully distributed cost standard applies to such transactions. The Joint Conference believes that inapplicability of transfer pricing rules affords an opportunity for ILECs to manipulate their costs, revenues and earnings in a manner that could lead to inflated wholesale or retail rates or inaccurate reports of earnings by the ILECs. They also claim that the opportunity for cost manipulation could permit a holding company to artificially manipulate earnings among its ILECs as a means of gaming different regulatory issues in different states.⁹⁸

49. The RBOCs, Sprint, USTA and Wisconsin oppose the Joint Conference's recommendation.⁹⁹ BellSouth contends that under price cap regulation, wholesale customer prices are forward-looking rather than historical.¹⁰⁰ Sprint states that there is no record of ILECs using transfer pricing between ILECs to manipulate costs, revenues and earnings to justify this change, and if such evidence comes to light, it should be dealt with on an individual basis.¹⁰¹ Sprint further states that accounting for these transactions at the higher of fully distributed cost or fair market value would cause a dilemma. The selling ILEC would have to record the higher cost while the purchasing ILEC would record the lower cost, thus causing asymmetrical pricing records which would cause problems in consolidating financial records.¹⁰²

50. In the reconsideration order in the joint cost proceeding, we specifically clarified that our affiliate transactions rules do not apply to transactions between an ILEC and its regulated affiliates.¹⁰³ We agree with Wisconsin and other commenters that we should not amend our rules to apply our affiliate transactions rules to transactions between ILECs within the same holding company. Our affiliate transactions rules were designed to prevent cross-subsidization between an ILEC and its nonregulated affiliates. The asymmetrical structure of our affiliate transactions rules, which record transfers out of

⁹⁹ BellSouth Comments at 29; Qwest Comments at 14-15; SBC Comments at 7-8; Sprint Comments at 7; USTA Comments at 10-11; Verizon Comments at 18-19; Wisconsin Comments at 18.

¹⁰⁰ BellSouth Comments at 29.

¹⁰¹ Sprint Comments at 7.

¹⁰² Id.

¹⁰³ See Separation of Costs of Regulated Telephone Service from Costs of Nonregulated Activities, CC Docket No. 86-111, Report and Order, 2 FCC Rcd 1298, 1330-31 (1987) (Joint Cost Order), recon., 2 FCC Rcd 6283 (1987), further recon., 3 FCC Rcd 6701 (1988), aff'd sub nom. Southwestern Bell Corp. v. FCC, 896 F.2d 1378 (D.C.Cir. 1990).

⁹⁵ Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19952 para. 100.

⁹⁶ Joint Conference Report at 26.

⁹⁷ See BellSouth Comments at 28-29; Verizon Comments at 17-18.

⁹⁸ Joint Conference Report at 27.

regulation at the higher of cost or market value and transfers into regulation at the lower of cost or market value, was not designed for, and is not appropriate for, transactions between regulated entities. With respect to asset transfers, there are other mechanisms in place to guard against improper transfer pricing between regulated entities. Our Part 32 rules are premised upon an original cost concept that requires regulated companies to record property, plant and equipment acquired from any regulated company at its original cost to that company.¹⁰⁴ With respect to services, we decline to adopt a new affiliate transactions requirement in the absence of any evidence that such transactions have been, or are being, used for the manipulative purposes hypothesized by the Joint Conference. Therefore, the imposition of additional rules and procedures for transactions between carriers would be unduly burdensome without a corresponding benefit.

C. Reporting Requirements and Other Matters

1. ARMIS 43-07, Table II, "Loop Sheath Kilometers" vs. "Sheath Kilometers"

51. In the *Phase II Report and Order*, we changed the title of the first section of Table II of ARMIS 43-07 from "Sheath Kilometers" to "Loop Sheath Kilometers," which limited the collection of data on transmission facilities to only local loop facilities connecting customers to their serving offices. In adopting this revision, we stated that this information would be more useful for policy makers and interested parties if it were narrowed to local loop facilities.¹⁰⁵ A petition for reconsideration of the *Phase II Report and Order* filed jointly by BellSouth, SBC and Verizon (Petitioners) requests that the Commission change the ARMIS 43-07, Table II reporting requirement back to sheath kilometers.¹⁰⁶ The petitioners argue that they cannot gather the loop sheath kilometer information through their existing systems, and that the Commission has not stated why this change would be necessary in the public interest.¹⁰⁷ The petitioners state that they would have to perform additional, time-consuming studies in order to separately identify loop sheath kilometers.¹⁰⁸

52. The Joint Conference takes no position on whether the Commission should collect the loop sheath kilometer data as adopted in Phase II, but it recommends that the Commission reinstate the reporting of sheath kilometer data that was collected before the change in Phase II.¹⁰⁹ In its comments to the *Notice*, Wisconsin states that the Commission may wish to consider supplementing the loop sheath kilometer reporting requirement with the former sheath kilometer reporting requirement.¹¹⁰ USTA and Verizon state that the Commission has no need for the loop sheath kilometer data because it collects other data on loop facilities such as the number of loop lines. USTA and Verizon also state that the studies required to identify the loop segment would be very time-consuming and expensive.¹¹¹

53. We reinstate the original ARMIS 43-07 Table II sheath kilometer reporting requirement and eliminate the requirement for reporting loop sheath kilometers. All of the commenters express a need

¹¹⁰ Wisconsin Comments at 18-19. In addition, Wisconsin notes that it currently obtains total fiber optic sheath miles from ILECs in annual reports filed with the Wisconsin Commission.

¹⁰⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 32.2000(b)(1).

¹⁰⁵ Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19973 para. 170.

¹⁰⁶ See Joint Petition at 8.

¹⁰⁷ Joint Petition at 8.

¹⁰⁸ Joint Petition at 9.

¹⁰⁹ Joint Conference Report at 31.

¹¹¹ USTA Comments at 10; Verizon Comments, Att. B at 16.

or preference for the reporting of sheath kilometers, and none of the commenters express strong support for the retention of the loop sheath kilometer reporting requirement. In addition, the petitioners have convincingly demonstrated in their comments that the burden of separately identifying sheath kilometers associated with loop facilities would be onerous. Therefore, we reinstate the original reporting requirements for sheath kilometers and change the title of Table II back to "Sheath Kilometers."

2. ARMIS Report 43-07 Broadband Infrastructure Reporting

54. In the *Phase II Report and Order*, we expanded the ARMIS Report 43-07 to include information on: (1) hybrid fiber-copper loop interface locations; (2) number of customers served from the interface locations; (3) XDSL customer terminations associated with hybrid fiber-copper loops; and (4) XDSL customer terminations associated with non-hybrid loops. In adopting these revisions, we concluded that there was "a present federal regulatory need, at least for the near term, to collect such data to evaluate the effects of our public policy decisions and to consider whether more market-oriented approaches are appropriate."¹¹² We also sought comment in the further notice on whether we should in the future collect this information as part of the local competition and broadband data-gathering program, rather than through ARMIS.¹¹³

55. In the petition for reconsideration filed jointly by BellSouth, SBC and Verizon, the petitioners request that the Commission require additional broadband infrastructure information to be reported on Form 477, rather than through ARMIS.¹¹⁴ The petitioners believe that broadband infrastructure data is proprietary information and should receive confidential treatment. The petitioners state that when the Commission adopted Form 477, it recognized that broadband data should be protected from public disclosure, and instituted procedures to make it easier to request confidential treatment on the 477.¹¹⁵ The petitioners state that the inclusion of additional broadband reporting requirements in ARMIS imposes unequal regulatory treatment on Class A ILECs because they would be the only providers reporting this information publicly.¹¹⁶ The petitioners argue that using Form 477 instead of ARMIS would allow the Commission to consider all broadband issues together, and would avoid subjecting Class A ILECs to potentially duplicative and conflicting reporting requirements.¹¹⁷ In opposing the petition, AT&T argues that shifting the reporting of fiber and DSL deployment to Form 477 would impose substantial new burdens on all other LECs that meet the Form 477 reporting threshold.¹¹⁸

56. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission deny the petition regarding the reporting of broadband infrastructure data in ARMIS Report 43-07. However, the Joint Conference also recommends that the Commission continue to evaluate whether the data collection should be expanded to a larger universe of carriers.¹¹⁹ In their comments to the *Notice*, Sprint, BellSouth and Verizon state that the broadband infrastructure data should be collected in the Form 477,¹²⁰ while AT&T states that this data

¹¹² Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19975 para. 175.

¹¹³ *Id.* at 19986-87 para. 211

¹¹⁴ Joint Petition at 10-11.

¹¹⁵ *Id.* at 10.

¹¹⁶ *Id.* at 10-11.

¹¹⁷ *Id.* at 11.

¹¹⁸ AT&T Opposition to Joint Petition at 10-11.

¹¹⁹ Joint Conference Report at 32.

¹²⁰ Sprint Comments at 8; BellSouth Comments at 29-30; Verizon Comments, App. B at 14.

should be collected through the ARMIS Report 43-07.¹²¹ Wisconsin states that the Commission should consider expanding this data collection to all filers of the Form 477.¹²²

57. We deny the petition for reconsideration. We have recently opened a rulemaking proceeding in which we propose to greatly improve the Form 477 data collection program.¹²³ After completing that rulemaking proceeding, we will reevaluate the need to continue collecting ILEC broadband infrastructure data in ARMIS.

3. Definition of ILEC

58. Section 32.11, Classification of companies,¹²⁴ defines who is subject to our Part 32 accounting rules and recordkeeping requirements. In the *Phase II Report and Order*, we modified section 32.11 by making explicit that it applies only to ILECs and any other companies that we designate.¹²⁵ We noted that the former language of section 32.11, adopted before there were "competitive local exchange carriers," applied the accounting rules and recordkeeping requirements to "carriers." However, the Commission stated that it actually applied section 32.11 only to ILECs because they are dominant carriers in their markets.¹²⁶

59. In revising the language regarding Part 32 applicability,¹²⁷ we relied upon the definition of "ILEC" in section 251(h)(1) of the Communications Act: "the term 'incumbent local exchange carrier' means, with respect to an area, the local exchange carrier that—(A) on the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, provided telephone exchange service in such area; and (B)(i) on such date of enactment, was deemed to be a member of the exchange carrier association pursuant to section 69.601(b)...; or (ii) is a person or entity that, on or after such date of enactment, became a successor or assign of a member described in clause (i)."¹²⁸

60. In a separate petition for reconsideration filed March 8, 2002, SBC requests that the Commission amend the definition of ILEC contained in section 32.11 of its rules by specifying that the rule does not apply to an ILEC's successor or assign.¹²⁹ SBC asserts that the modified rule is overly broad and may include companies that are not dominant in their markets.¹³⁰ SBC explains that under the revised section 32.11 definition, its advanced services affiliate, SBC Advanced Solutions, Inc. ("ASI"), a successor or assign company, would be considered dominant and subject to the Commission's Part 32 accounting rules and ARMIS reporting requirements. SBC argues that ASI is nondominant, and should not be subject to Part 32.¹³¹ SBC further argues that the Commission's reliance on section 251(h) in defining dominant carriers subject to the accounting rules is misplaced because section 251(h) does not

¹²⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 32.11.

¹²⁵ Phase II Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 19960-61 paras. 126-127.

¹²⁶ *Id*.

¹²⁷ Phase II and III Notice, 15 FCC Rcd at 20587 para. 44.

¹²⁸ 47 U.S.C. § 251(h)(1).

¹³⁰ *Id.* at 1-3.

¹³¹ *Id.* at 3-4.

¹²¹ AT&T Comments at 27.

¹²² Wisconsin Comments at 19.

¹²³ See Local Telephone Competition and Broadband Reporting, WC Docket No. 04-141, CC Docket No. 99-301, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Order on Reconsideration, FCC 04-81 (rel. April 16, 2004).

¹²⁹ SBC Petition at 1-3.

define dominant carriers. In opposing SBC's petition, AT&T states that SBC is wrong to argue that an ILEC's status under section 251(h) says nothing about whether it is dominant in the markets in which it operates. On the contrary, AT&T argues that as demonstrated in broadband proceedings before the Commission, ILECs in general, and SBC in particular, retain pervasive market power in the provision of broadband services.¹³²

61. The Joint Conference recommends that the Commission deny SBC's petition to change the definition of ILEC in section 32.11. The Joint Conference states that approval of the limited definition of an ILEC, as proposed by SBC, would provide ILECs with an inappropriate opportunity to avoid the statutory and regulatory obligations of ILECs by transferring a discrete service to a successor or assign, and should be denied.¹³³ In its comments to the *Notice* in this proceeding, Wisconsin agrees with the Joint Conference's recommendation, and states that the Commission's accounting and recordkeeping requirements should be applied to all ILECs, with exceptions warranted in only highly unusual circumstances.¹³⁴ BellSouth, SBC and Verizon disagree with the Joint Conference's recommendation, arguing that these rules should not apply to successor or assign companies that are not dominant in their markets.¹³⁵

62. We grant in part and deny in part SBC's petition to modify section 32.11 of the Commission's rules. We will not exclude successor or assign companies from the definition of ILEC in section 32.11 of our rules, but we will amend section 32.11 to ensure that the rule does not sweep in successor and assign companies that are non-dominant in the markets in which they operate. We agree with the Joint Conference that inclusion of successor/assign prevents ILECs from transferring regulatory assets and operations out of their telephone companies to affiliates to avoid the Commission's Part 32 accounting rules and recordkeeping requirements. We will continue to include successor/assign in the definition of ILECs subject to the Part 32 accounting and recordkeeping requirements because we believe that some successor/assign companies may be local exchange carriers that are dominant in their markets. We recognize, however, that section 32.11 in its present form does not address the situation when successors or assigns of ILECs are non-dominant in markets that they serve. We therefore modify section 32.11 by adding language to exclude from our accounting requirements successor or assign companies that are found to be non-dominant.

D. Other Issues Raised in the *Notice*

63. As noted above, the Commission has suspended the implementation of four previouslyadopted accounting and recordkeeping rules to allow the Joint Conference time to review them, and for the Commission to act upon the Joint Conference's recommendation.¹³⁶ The suspension currently is effective through June 30, 2004.¹³⁷ In the *Notice*, we sought comment on further delaying implementation until January 1, 2005,¹³⁸ which is the next date to coincide with the start of a fiscal year after six months' notice required by the Act for the rules to take effect.¹³⁹ Commenters favor this proposal, because the affected carriers can avoid unnecessarily implementing the older set of rules only to

¹³² AT&T Opposition to SBC Petition at 4-5.

¹³³ Joint Conference Report at 36.

¹³⁴ Wisconsin Comments at 19.

¹³⁵ BellSouth Comments at 30; SBC Comments at 9-11; Verizon Comments, Att. B at. 13.

¹³⁶ See supra note 6 and accompanying text.

¹³⁷ Id.

¹³⁸ *Notice*, 18 FCC Rcd at 26995 para. 8.

¹³⁹ See 47 U.S.C. § 220(g).

implement the new ones adopted herein on January 1, 2005.¹⁴⁰ Accordingly, we suspend the rule changes described above through December 31, 2004. Finally, we believe that the foregoing reasons also provide good cause for allowing this deferral to become effective before July 1, 2004 and on less than 30 days' notice by publication in the Federal Register.¹⁴¹

64. In response to the *Notice*, many commenters have included additional proposals and specific areas for investigation or study by the Joint Conference and the Commission. Commenters have requested that we address such issues as establishing different regulatory accounting requirements for rate-of-return and price cap carriers,¹⁴² eliminating continuing property recordkeeping,¹⁴³ reinstating amortization reserve accounts,¹⁴⁴ and various other regulatory accounting relief for the RBOCs. We appreciate the responses we have received. The Joint Conference and the Commission will continue to examine these issues.

65. We anticipate that this order will be published in the Federal Register on or before July 1, 2004. However, to ensure that carriers subject to the suspended accounting requirements have actual notice of the further deferral before its July 1, 2004 effective date, we are serving those entities by overnight mail.

III. PROCEDURAL MATTERS

A. *Ex Parte* Requirements

66. This proceeding will continue to be governed by "permit-but-disclose" *ex parte* procedures that are applicable to non-restricted proceedings under 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206. Parties making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must contain a summary of the substance of the presentation and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one- or two-sentence description of the views and arguments presented generally is required. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b)(2). Other rules pertaining to oral and written presentations are set forth in section 1.1206(b) as well. Interested parties are to file any written *ex parte* presentations in this proceeding with the Commission's Secretary, Marlene H. Dortch, 445 12th Street, S.W., TW-B204, Washington, D.C. 20554, and serve with one copy: Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 5-A452, Washington, D.C. 20554, Attn: Clifford Rand. Parties shall also serve with one copy: Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room CY-B402, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1-800-378-3160, <WWW.BCPIWEB.COM>.

B. Paperwork Reduction Act Analysis

67. This Order has been analyzed with respect to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and found to impose new or modified reporting and recordkeeping requirements or burdens on the public. Implementation of these new or modified reporting and recordkeeping requirements will be subject to approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) as prescribed by the Act, and will go into effect upon announcement in the Federal Register of OMB approval.

¹⁴⁰ See, e.g., SBC Comments at 11; Verizon Comments at 27.

¹⁴¹ The Administrative Procedure Act provides that a rule change may become effective before the usual 30 days' notice by publication in the Federal Register where "good cause" exists. 5 U.S.C. § 553(d)(3).

¹⁴² BellSouth Comments at 5.

¹⁴³ Verizon Comments at 20.

¹⁴⁴ RUS Comments at 2.

C. Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification

68. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA),¹⁴⁵ requires that a regulatory flexibility analysis be prepared for notice-and-comment rule making proceedings, unless the agency certifies that "the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities."¹⁴⁶ The RFA generally defines the term "small entity" as having the same meaning as the terms "small business," "small organization," and "small governmental jurisdiction."¹⁴⁷ In addition, the term "small business" has the same meaning as the term "small business concern" under the Small Business Act.¹⁴⁸ A "small business concern" is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA).¹⁴⁹

The SBA has developed a small business size standard for Wired Telecommunications 69. Carriers, which consists of all such firms having 1,500 or fewer employees.¹⁵⁰ Under the Commission's rules, there are two classes of ILECs for accounting purposes: Class A and Class B. Carriers with annual revenues from regulated telecommunications operations that are equal to or above the indexed revenue threshold, currently \$123 million, are classified as Class A; those falling below that threshold are considered Class B. Class A carriers are required to maintain a more detailed level of accounts than Class B carriers. In addition, Class A carriers are required to file ARMIS Reports annually while Class B carriers are not subject to the ARMIS Reporting requirement. Class A carriers with annual revenues in excess of \$123 million but less than \$7.240 billion are classified as mid-sized carriers and are permitted to maintain accounts at the less detailed Class B level. The less detailed level of accounting required under Class B was established to accommodate smaller carriers and relieve them of the burdens associated with maintaining the more detailed level of accounts. The accounting and reporting requirements adopted by the Commission in this Report and Order are mandatory only for Class A non-mid sized carriers.¹⁵¹ These carriers have annual revenues in excess of \$7.240 billion, therefore it is likely that these companies employ more than 1,500 employees and are not small businesses under the SBA's definition for Wired Telecommunications Carriers.¹⁵²

¹⁴⁶ 5 U.S.C. § 605(b).

¹⁴⁷ 5 U.S.C. § 601(6).

¹⁴⁹ 15 U.S.C. § 632.

¹⁵⁰ 13 C.F.R. § 121.201, NAICS code 517110.

¹⁵¹ The requirements would apply only to those Class B and mid-sized Class A carriers that elect to follow them pursuant to section 32.11(e) of the Commission's rules. Any impact, which we find will not be significant economically, on these smaller carriers may be avoided at their option.

¹⁴⁵ The RFA, *see* 5 U.S.C. § 601 – 612, has been amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), Pub. L. No. 104-121, Title II, 110 Stat. 857 (1996).

¹⁴⁸ 5 U.S.C. § 601(3) (incorporating by reference the definition of "small-business concern" in the Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. § 632). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 601(3), the statutory definition of a small business applies "unless an agency, after consultation with the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration and after opportunity for public comment, establishes one or more definitions of such term which are appropriate to the activities of the agency and publishes such definition(s) in the Federal Register."

¹⁵² To the extent any mid-sized Class A carriers (with annual revenue between \$123 million and \$7.240 billion) or Class B carriers (with annual revenue less than \$123 million) that voluntarily elect to comply with the requirements of this order employ fewer than 1,500 employees and are therefore small businesses under the SBA's definition, there is no significant economic impact on these companies. As discussed below, the rules adopted in this Report (continued....)

70. In this Report and Order the Commission adopts the Joint Conference's recommendations to reinstate the following Part 32 Class A accounts: Account 5230, Directory revenue, Account 6621, Call completion services, Account 6622, Number services, Account 6623, Customer services, Account 6561, Depreciation expense—telecommunications plant in service; Account 6562, Depreciation expense—property held for future telecommunications use; Account 6563, Amortization expense—tangible; Account 6564 Amortization expense—intangible; Account 6565, Amortization expense—other. These accounting changes are mandatory only for non-mid-sized Class A ILECs. The reinstatement of these accounts, however, will not impose any additional burden on non-mid-sized Class A ILECs because the Commission's prior action to aggregate the accounts has been suspended. Similarly, the Commission's reinstatement of the sheath kilometer reporting requirement in the ARMIS 43-07 will not impose any additional burden on non-mid-sized Class A ILECs are meeting these requirements at the current time, therefore the rule changes in this Report and Order will impose no economic burden.¹⁵³

71. Although the Commission declines to adopt any new accounts, it will require that nonmid-sized Class A ILECs maintain subsidiary record categories for unbundled network element revenues, resale revenues, reciprocal compensation revenues, and other interconnection revenues in the accounts in which these revenues are currently recorded. The use of subsidiary record categories allows carriers to use whatever mechanisms they choose, including those currently in place, to identify the relevant amounts as long as the information can be made available to state and federal regulators upon request. Also, the Commission is requiring the ARMIS reporting of the wholesale and retail percentages applicable to Account 6623, Customer services. The use of subsidiary record categories for interconnection revenue and the ARMIS reporting of wholesale retail percentages do not require massive changes to the ILECs' accounting systems and are far less burdensome alternatives than the creation of new accounts and/or subaccounts.¹⁵⁴

72. Even if there are mid-sized class A carriers or Class B carriers that are small businesses within the SBA's definition (i.e., with fewer than 1,500 employees) that may elect to comply with the rules, the impact of the rules is economically *de minimis* and negligible. As discussed above, compliance with the rules adopted herein imposes no new burdens. Accordingly, even if there is economic impact on any such small carrier, it is not significant. Therefore, we certify that the requirements of the Report and Order will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

73. The Commission will send a copy of the Report and Order, including a copy of this Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification, in a report to Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act.¹⁵⁵ In addition, the Report and Order and this final certification will be sent to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA, and will be published in the Federal Register.¹⁵⁶

^{(...}continued from previous page)

and Order merely require companies to continue following the current procedures, therefore there is no significant economic burden on any carrier, large or small.

¹⁵³ This is also true for mid-sized Class A carriers and Class B carriers that may be complying voluntarily with the Class A requirements – these carriers are meeting the requirements at the current time so there will be no economic impact on them due to the adoption of the Report and Order.

¹⁵⁴ Similarly, there is no significant economic impact on mid-sized Class A carriers and Class B carriers that may be complying voluntarily with these requirements because the carriers can use mechanisms currently in place to identify the newly required information.

¹⁵⁵ See 5 U.S.C. § 801(a)(1)(A).

¹⁵⁶ See 5 U.S.C. § 605(b).

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

74. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to sections 1, 4, 201-205, 215 and 218-220 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154, 201-205, 215, and 218-220, Part 32 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. Part 32, IS AMENDED as described above and in Appendix B below.

75. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to section 220(g) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 220(g), changes to our Part 32, System of Accounts, adopted in this Report and Order shall take effect six months after publication in the Federal Register following OMB approval, unless a notice is published in the Federal Register stating otherwise. We will, however, permit carriers to implement Part 32 accounting changes as of January 1, 2005.

76. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to sections 1, 4, and 220 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154, and 220, and section 1.401 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.401, the Petition of BellSouth, SBC and Verizon for Reconsideration and the SBC Communications, Inc. Petition for Reconsideration are GRANTED in part, to the extent indicated herein, and DENIED in part.

77. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 201-205, 215, and 218-220 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 201-205, 215 and 218-220, FCC Report 43-07, the Infrastructure Report, IS REVISED as set forth above.

78. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 5(c), 201, 202, 219 and 220 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155(c), 201, 202, 219 and 220, section 1.3 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.3, and sections 553(b) and 553(d)(3) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 553(b), 553(d)(3), implementation of certain rule modifications described in paragraph 3, above, IS SUSPENDED from July 1, 2004 through December 31, 2004.

79. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the authority contained in section 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.291, the Wireline Competition Bureau IS DELEGATED authority to implement all changes to ARMIS reporting as set forth above.

80. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of this Report and Order, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary

APPENDIX A – PARTIES FILING COMMENTS AND REPLY COMMENTS

Comments in response to the *Notice*

AT&T Corp. (AT&T) BellSouth Corporation (BellSouth) National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates (NASUCA) Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (Wisconsin) Qwest Corporation (Qwest) Rural Utilities Service (RUS) SBC Communications Inc. (SBC) Sprint Corporation (Sprint) United States Telecom Association (USTA) The Verizon Telephone Companies (Verizon)

Reply Comments in response to the *Notice*

AT&T BellSouth Qwest SBC USTA Verizon

Opposition to the BellSouth, SBC and Verizon Petition for Reconsideration

AT&T

Opposition to the SBC Petition for Reconsideration

AT&T

APPENDIX B – FINAL RULES

Part 32 of title 47 of the C.F.R. is amended as follows:

PART 32 – UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

The authority citation for part 32 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 154(j) and 220 as amended, unless otherwise noted.

Table of Contents, Part 32—Uniform System of Accounts for Telecommunications Companies is revised to read as follows:

PART 32—UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

Subpart A—Preface

Sec.

- 32.1 Background.
- 32.2 Basis of the accounts.
- 32.3 Authority.
- 32.4 Communications Act.

Subpart B—General Instructions

- 32.11 Classification of companies.
- 32.12 Records.
- 32.13 Accounts—general.
- 32.14 Regulated accounts.
- 32.15 [Reserved]
- 32.16 Changes in accounting standards.
- 32.17 Interpretation of accounts.
- 32.18 Waivers.
- 32.19 Address for reports and correspondence.
- 32.20 Numbering convention.
- 32.21 Sequence of accounts.
- 32.22 Comprehensive interperiod tax allocation.
- 32.23 Nonregulated activities.
- 32.24 Compensated absences.
- 32.25 Unusual items and contingent liabilities.
- 32.26 Materiality.
- 32.27 Transactions with affiliates.

Subpart C—Instructions for Balance Sheet Accounts

- 32.101 Structure of the balance sheet accounts.
- 32.102 Nonregulated investments.
- 32.103 Balance sheet accounts for other than regulated-fixed assets to be maintained.
- 32.1120 Cash and equivalents.
- 32.1170 Receivables.
- 32.1171 Allowance for doubtful accounts.

- 32.1220 Inventories. 32.1280 Prepayments. 32.1350 Other current assets. 32.1406 Nonregulated investments. 32 1410 Other noncurrent assets 32.1438 Deferred maintenance and retirements. 32.1500 Other jurisdictional assets-net. 32.2000 Instructions for telecommunications plant accounts. 32.2001 Telecommunications plant in service. 32.2002 Property held for future telecommunications use. 32.2003 Telecommunications plant under construction. 32.2005 Telecommunications plant adjustment. 32.2006 Nonoperating plant. 32.2007 Goodwill. 32.2110 Land and support assets. 32.2111 Land. 32.2112 Motor vehicles. 32.2113 Aircraft. 32.2114 Tools and other work equipment. 32.2121 Buildings. 32.2122 Furniture. 32.2123 Office equipment. 32.2124 General purpose computers. 32.2210 Central office switching. 32.2211 Non-digital switching. 32.2212 Digital electronic switching. 32.2220 Operator systems. 32.2230 Central office-transmission. 32.2231 Radio systems. 32.2232 Circuit equipment 32.2310 Information origination/termination. 32.2311 Station apparatus. 32.2321 Customer premises wiring. 32.2341 Large private branch exchanges.
- 32.2351 Public telephone terminal equipment.
- 32.2362 Other terminal equipment.
- 32.2410 Cable and wire facilities.
 - 32.2411 Poles.
 - 32.2421 Aerial cable.

32.2422	Underground cable.
32.2423	Buried cable.
32.2424	Submarine and deep sea cable.
32.2426	Intrabuilding network cable.
32.2431	Aerial wire.
32.2441	Conduit systems.
32.2680	Amortizable tangible assets.
32.2681	Capital leases.
32.2682	Leasehold improvements.
32.2690	Intangibles.
32.3000	Instructions for balance sheet accounts—
52.5000	Depreciation and amortization.
32.3100	Accumulated depreciation.
32.3200	Accumulated depreciation—held for future
52.5200	telecommunications use.
32.3300	Accumulated depreciation nonoperating.
32.3400	Accumulated amortization—tangible.
32.3410	Accumulated amortization—capitalized
52.5110	leases.
32.3999	Instructions for balance sheet accounts—
52.5777	liabilities and stockholders' equity.
32.4000	Current accounts and notes payable.
32.4040	Customers' deposits.
32.4070	Income taxes—accrued.
32.4080	Other taxes—accrued.
32.4100	Net current deferred operating income
52.1100	taxes.
32.4110	Net current deferred nonoperating income
52.1110	taxes.
32.4130	Other current liabilities
32.4200	Long term debt and funded debt
32.4300	Other long term liabilities and deferred
52.1500	credits.
32.4320	Unamortized operating investment tax
52.1520	credits—net.
32.4330	
02000	credits—net.
32.4340	Net noncurrent deferred operating income
	taxes.
32.4341	Net deferred tax liability adjustments.
32.4350	Net noncurrent deferred nonoperating
	income taxes.
32.4361	Deferred tax regulatory adjustments-net.
32.4370	Other jurisdictional liabilities and deferred
	credits—net.
32.4510	Capital stock.
32.4520	Additional paid-in capital.
32.4530	Treasury stock.
32.4540	Other capital.
32.4550	Retained earnings.
	0
Subpar	rt D—Instructions for Revenue Accounts

- 32.4999 General.
- 32.5000 Basic local service revenue.
- 32.5001 Basic area revenue.

32.5040	Private line revenue.
32.5060	Other basic area revenue.
32.5081	End user revenue.
32.5082	Switched access revenue.
32.5083	Special access revenue.
32.5100	Long distance message revenue.
32.5200	Miscellaneous revenue.
32.5230	Directory revenue.
32.5280	Nonregulated operating revenue.
32.5300	Uncollectible revenue.

Subpart E—Instructions for Expense Accounts

- 32.5999 General. 32.6110 Network support expense. 32.6112 Motor vehicle expense. 32.6113 Aircraft expense. 32.6114 Tools and other work equipment expense. 32.6120 General support expenses. 32.6121 Land and building expenses. 32.6122 Furniture and artworks expense. 32.6123 Office equipment expense. 32.6124 General purpose computers expense. 32.6210 Central office switching expenses. 32.6211 Non-digital switching expense. 32.6212 Digital electronic switching expense. 32.6220 Operator systems expense. 32.6230 Central office transmission expense. 32.6231 Radio systems expense. 32.6232 Circuit equipment expense. 32.6310 Information origination/termination expense. 32.6311 Station apparatus expense. 32.6341 Large private branch exchange expense. 32.6351 Public telephone terminal equipment expense. 32.6362 Other terminal equipment expense. 32.6410 Cable and wire facilities expenses. 32.6411 Poles expense. 32.6421 Aerial cable expense. 32.6422 Underground cable expense. 32.6423 Buried cable expense. 32.6424 Submarine and deep sea cable expense. 32.6426 Intrabuilding network cable expense. 32.6431 Aerial wire expense. 32.6441 Conduit systems expense. 32.6510 Other property, plant and equipment expenses. 32.6511 Property held for future telecommunications use expense. 32.6512 Provisioning expense. 32.6530 Network operations expenses. 32.6531 Power expense. 32.6532 Network administration expense.
 - 32.6533 Testing expense.
 - 32.6534 Plant operations administration expense.

32.6535	Engineering expense.	32.7100	Other operating income and expenses.
32.6540	Access expense.	32.7199	Content of accounts.
32.6560	Depreciation and amortization expenses.	32.7200	Operating taxes.
32.6561	Depreciation expense—		Operating investment tax credits—net.
	telecommunications plant in service.	32.7220	Operating Federal income taxes.
32.6562	Depreciation expense—property held for	32.7230	Operating state and local income taxes.
	future telecommunications use.		Operating other taxes.
32.6563	Amortization expense—tangible.	32.7250	Provision for deferred operating income
32.6564	Amortization expense—intangible.		taxes—net.
32.6565	Amortization expense—other.	32.7299	Content of accounts.
32.6610	Marketing.	32.7300	Nonoperating income and expense.
32.6611	Product management and sales.	32.7399	Content of accounts.
32.6613	Product advertising.	32.7400	Nonoperating taxes.
32.6620	Services.	32.7499	Content of accounts.
32.6621	Call completion services.	32.7500	Interest and related items.
32.6622	Number services.	32.7599	Content of accounts.
32.6623	Customer services.	32.7600	Extraordinary items.
32.6720	General and administrative.	32.7899	Content of accounts.
32.6790	Provision for uncollectible notes	32.7910	Income effect of jurisdictional ratemaking
	receivable.		differences-net.
		32.7990	Nonregulated net income.
Subp	oart F—Instructions for Other Income		
-	Accounts		Subpart G—Glossary
32.6999	General.	32.9000	Glossary of terms.

32.7099 Content of accounts.

Section 32.11 Classification of Companies is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 32.11 Classification of companies.

(a) For purposes of this section, the term "company" or "companies" means incumbent local exchange carrier(s) as defined in section 251(h) of the Communications Act, and any other carriers that the Commission designates by Order. Incumbent local exchange carriers' successor or assign companies, as defined in section 251(h)(1)(B)(ii) of the Communications Act, that are found to be non-dominant by the Commission, will not be subject to this Uniform System of Accounts.

* * * * *

Section 32.27 Transactions with affiliates is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 32.27 Transactions with affiliates.

(a) Unless otherwise approved by the Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau, transactions with affiliates involving asset transfers into or out of the regulated accounts shall be recorded by the carrier in its regulated accounts as provided in paragraphs (b) through (f) of this section.

* * * * *

Section 32.1280 Prepayments is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 32.1280 Prepayments.

* * * * *

(d) The cost of preparing, printing, binding, and delivering directories and the cost of soliciting advertisements for directories, except minor amounts which may be charged directly to Account 6622, Number services. These prepaid directory expenses shall be cleared to Account 6622 by monthly charges representing that portion of the expenses applicable to each month.

* * * * *

Section 32.2000 Instructions for telecommunications plant accounts is amended by revising paragraph (g)(5) to read as follows:

§ 32.2000 Instructions for telecommunications plant accounts.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(5) Upon direction or approval from this Commission, the company shall credit Account 3100, Accumulated Depreciation, and charge Account 1438, Deferred Maintenance, retirements and other deferred charges, with the unprovided-for loss in service value. Such amounts shall be distributed from Account 1438 to Account 6561, Depreciation expense—Telecommunications plant in service, or Account 6562, Depreciation expense—property held for future telecommunications use, over such period as this Commission may direct or approve.

* * * * *

Section 32.2005 Telecommunications Plant Adjustment is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(4) to read as follows:

§ 32.2005 Telecommunications Plant Adjustment.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) Debit amounts may be charged in whole or in part, or amortized over a reasonable period through charges to Account 7300, Nonoperating income and expense, without further direction or approval by this Commission. When specifically approved by this Commission, or when the provisions of paragraph (b)(3) of this section apply, debit amounts shall be amortized to Account 6565, Amortization expense—other.

(2) * * *(3) * * *

(4) Within one year from the date of inclusion in this account of a debit or credit amount with respect to a current acquisition, the company may dispose of the total amount from an acquisition of telephone plant by a lump-sum charge or credit, as appropriate, to Account 6565 without further approval of this Commission, provided that such amount does not exceed \$100,000 and that the plant was not acquired from an affiliated company.

Section 32.2682 Leasehold improvements is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 32.2682 Leasehold improvements.

* * * * *

(c) Amounts contained in this account shall be amortized over the term of the related lease. For Class A companies, except mid-sized incumbent local exchange carriers, the amortization associated with the costs recorded in the Leasehold improvement account will be credited directly to this asset account, leaving a balance representing the unamortized cost.

Section 32.2690 Intangibles is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 32.2690 Intangibles.

* * * * *

(c) The cost of other intangible assets, not including software, having a life of one year or less shall be charged directly to Account 6564, Amortization expense—intangible. Such intangibles acquired at small cost may also be charged to Account 6564, irrespective of their term of life. The cost of software having a life of one year or less shall be charged directly to the applicable expense account with which the software is associated.

* * * * *

Section 32.3000 Instructions for balance sheet accounts—Depreciation and amortization is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 32.3000 Instructions for balance sheet accounts—Depreciation and amortization.

(a) * * *

(b) Depreciation and Amortization Accounts to be Maintained by Class A and Class B telephone companies, as indicated.

Account title	Class A account	Class B account
Depreciation and amortization:		
Accumulated depreciation	3100	3100
Accumulated depreciation—Held for future	3200	3200
telecommunications use		

Accumulated depreciation—Nonoperating	3300	3300
Accumulated depreciation—Tangible		3400
Accumulated depreciation—Capitalized	3410	
leases		

Section 32.3100 Accumulated depreciation is amended by revising paragraphs (b) and (d) to read as follows:

§ 32.3100 Accumulated depreciation.

* * * * *

(b) This account shall be credited with depreciation amounts concurrently charged to Account 6561, Depreciation expense—telecommunications plant in service. (Note also Account 3300, Accumulated depreciation—nonoperating.)

(c) * * *

(d) This account shall be credited with amounts charged to Account 1438, Deferred maintenance, retirements, and other deferred charges, as provided in § 32.2000(g)(4) of this subpart. This account shall be credited with amounts charged to Account 6561 with respect to other than relatively minor losses in service values suffered through terminations of service when charges for such terminations are made to recover the losses.

Section 32.3200 Accumulated depreciation—held for future telecommunications use is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 32.3200 Accumulated depreciation—held for future telecommunications use.

* * * * *

(b) This account shall be credited with amounts concurrently charged to Account 6562, Depreciation expense—property held for future telecommunications use.

Section 32.3400 Accumulated amortization-tangible is revised to read as follows:

§ 32.3400 Accumulated amortization—tangible.

(a) This account shall be used by Class B companies and shall include:

(1) the accumulated amortization associated with the investment contained in Account 2681, Capital leases.

(2) the accumulated amortization associated with the investment contained in Account 2682, Leasehold improvements.

(b) This account shall be credited with amounts for the amortization of capital leases and leasehold improvements concurrently charged to Account 6563, Amortization expense—tangible. (Note also Account 3300, Accumulated depreciation—nonoperating.)

(c) When any item carried in Account 2681 or Account 2682 is sold, is relinquished, or is otherwise retired from service, this account shall be charged with the cost of the retired item. Remaining amounts associated with the item shall be debited to Account 7100, Other operating income and expenses, or Account 7300, Nonoperating income and expense, as appropriate.

Section 32.3410 Accumulated amortization—capitalized leases is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 32.3410 Accumulated amortization—capitalized leases.

* * * * *

(b) This account shall be credited with amounts for the amortization of capital leases concurrently charged to Account 6563, Amortization expense—tangible. (Note also Account 3300, Accumulated depreciation—nonoperating.)

* * * * *

Section 32.4999 General is amended by revising paragraphs (c), (f) and (n) to read as follows:

§ 32.4999 General.

* * * * *

(c) *Commissions*. Commissions paid to others or employees in place of compensation or salaries for services rendered, such as public telephone commissions, shall be charged to Account 6623, Customer services, and not to the revenue accounts. Other commissions shall be charged to the appropriate expense accounts.

* * * * *

(f) *Subsidiary records—jurisdictional subdivisions and interconnection*. Subsidiary record categories shall be maintained in order that the company may separately report revenues derived from charges imposed under intrastate, interstate and international tariff filings. Class A carriers shall also maintain subsidiary record categories in order that the companies may separately report interconnection revenues derived from the following categories: Unbundled network element revenues, Resale revenues, Reciprocal compensation revenues, and Other interconnection revenues. Such subsidiary record categories shall be reported as required by part 43 of this Commission's Rules and Regulations.

* * * * *

(n) Revenue accounts to be maintained.

Account Title	Class A account	Class B account
Local network services revenues:		

Basic local service revenue		5000
Basic area revenue	5001	
Private line revenue	5040	
Other basic area revenue	5060	
Network access service revenues:		
End user revenue	5081	5081
Switched access revenue	5082	5082
Special access revenue	5083	5083
Long distance network services revenues:		
Long distance message revenue	5100	5100
Miscellaneous revenues:		
Miscellaneous revenue	5200	5200
Directory revenue	5230	
Nonregulated revenues:		
Nonregulated operating revenue	5280	5280
Uncollectible revenues:		
Uncollectible revenue	5300	5300

Section 32.5001 Basic area revenue is amended to revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 32.5001 Basic area revenue.

* * * * *

(b) Revenue derived from charges for nonpublished number or additional and boldfaced listings in the alphabetical section of the company's telephone directories shall be included in account 5230, Directory revenue.

* * * * *

Section 32.5200 Miscellaneous revenue is revised to read as follows:

§ 32.5200 Miscellaneous revenue.

This account shall include revenue derived from the following sources. For Class B companies, this account shall also include revenue of the type and character required of Class A companies in Account 5230, Directory revenue.

(a) Rental or subrental to others of telecommunications plant furnished apart from telecommunications services rendered by the company (this revenue includes taxes when borne by the lessee). It includes revenue from the rent of such items as space in conduit, pole line space for attachments, and any allowance for return on property used in joint operations and shared facilities agreements. The expense of maintaining and operating the rented property, including depreciation and insurance, shall be included in the appropriate operating expense accounts. Taxes applicable to the rented property shall be included by the owner of the rented property in appropriate tax accounts. When land or buildings are rented on an incidental basis for non-telecommunications use, the rental and expenses are included in Account 7300, Nonoperating income and expense.

(b) Services rendered to other companies under a license agreement, general services contract, or other arrangement providing for the furnishing of general accounting, financial, legal, patent, and other general services associated with the provision of regulated telecommunications services. (See also Account 5230.)

(c) The provision, either under tariff or through contractual arrangements, of special billing information to customers in the form of magnetic tapes, cards or statements. Special billing information provides detail in a format and/or at a level of detail not normally provided in the standard billing rendered for the regulated telephone services utilized by the customer.

(d) The performance of customer operations services for others incident to the company's regulated telecommunications operations which are not provided for elsewhere. (See also Sections 32.14(e) and 32.4999(e)).

(e) Contract services (plant maintenance) performed for others incident to the company's regulated telecommunications operations. This includes revenue from the incidental performance of nontariffed operating and maintenance activities for others which are similar in nature to those activities which are performed by the company in operating and maintaining its own telecommunications plant facilities. The records supporting the entries in this account shall be maintained with sufficient particularity to identify the revenue and associated Plant Specific Operations Expenses related to each undertaking. This account does not include revenue related to the performance of operation or maintenance activities under a joint operating agreement.

(f) The provision of billing and collection services to other telecommunications companies. This includes amounts charged for services such as message recording, billing, collection, billing analysis, and billing information services, whether rendered under tariff or contractual arrangements.

(g) Charges and credits resulting from contractual revenue pooling and/or sharing agreements for activities included in the miscellaneous revenue accounts only when they are not identifiable by miscellaneous revenue account in the settlement process. (See also Section 32.4999(e)). The extent that the charges and credits resulting from a settlement process can be identified by miscellaneous revenue accounts they shall be recorded in the applicable account.

(h) The provision of transport and termination of local telecommunications traffic pursuant to section 251(c) and part 51 of this chapter.

(i) The provision of unbundled network elements pursuant to section 251(c) of the Communications Act and part 51 of this chapter.

(j) This account shall also include other incidental regulated revenue such as:

(1) Collection overages (collection shortages shall be charged to Account 6623, Customer

services);

(2) Unclaimed refunds for telecommunications services when not subject to escheats;

(3) Charges (penalties) imposed by the company for customer checks returned for non-

payment;

(4) Discounts allowed customers for prompt payment;

(5) Late-payment charges;

(6) Revenue from private mobile telephone services which do not have access to the public switched network; and

(7) Other incidental revenue not provided for elsewhere in other Revenue accounts.

(k) Any definitely known amounts of losses of revenue collections due to fire or theft, at customers' coin-box stations, at public or semipublic telephone stations, in the possession of collectors en route to collection offices, on hand at collection offices, and between collection offices and banks shall be charged to Account 6720, General and Administrative.

Section 32.5230 Directory revenue, a new account, is added.

§ 32.5230 Directory revenue.

This account shall include revenue derived from alphabetical and classified sections of directories and shall also include fees paid by other entities for the right to publish the company's directories. Items to be included are:

(a) All revenue derived from the classified section of the directories;

(b) Revenue from the sale of new telephone directories whether they are the company's own directories or directories purchased from others. This shall also include revenue from the sale of specially bound directories and special telephone directory covers;

(c) Amounts charged for additional and boldface listings, marginal displays, inserts, and other advertisements in the alphabetical section of the company's telephone directories; and

(d) Charges for unlisted and non-published telephone numbers.

Section 32.5999 General is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(4), (c) and (g) as follows:

§ 32.5999 General.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) In addition to the activities specified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, the appropriate Plant Specific Operations Expense accounts shall include the cost of personnel whose principal job is the operation of plant equipment, such as general purpose computer operators, aircraft pilots, chauffeurs and shuttle bus drivers. However, when the operation of equipment is performed as part of other identifiable functions (such as the use of office equipment, capital tools or motor vehicles), the operators' cost shall be charged to accounts appropriate for those functions. (For costs of operator services personnel, see Accounts 6621, Call completion services, and 6622, Number services, and for costs of test board personnel see Account 6533.)

(c) *Plant nonspecific operations expense*. The Plant Nonspecific Operations Expense accounts shall include expenses related to property held for future telecommunications use, provisioning expenses, network operations expenses, and depreciation and amortization expenses. Accounts in this group (except for Account 6540, Access expense, and Accounts 6560 through 6565) shall include the costs of performing activities described in narratives for individual accounts. These costs shall also include the costs of supervision and office support of these activities.

(d) * * *

(e) * * *

- (f) * * *
- (g) *Expense accounts to be maintained*.

Account Title	Class A account	Class B account
Income Statement Accounts		
Plant specific operations expense:		
Network support expense		6110
Motor vehicle expense	6112	
Aircraft expense	6113	
Tools and other work equipment expense	6114	
General support expenses		6120
Land and building expenses	6121	
Furniture and artworks expense	6122	
Office equipment expense	6123	
General purpose computers expense	6124	
Central office switching expense		6210
Non-digital switching expense	6211	
Digital electronic switching expense	6212	
Operators system expense	6220	6220
Central office transmission expenses		6230
Radio systems expense	6231	
Circuit equipment expense	6232	
Information origination/termination expense		6310
Station apparatus expense	6311	
Large private branch exchange expense	6341	
Public telephone terminal equipment expense	6351	
Other terminal equipment expense	6362	
Cable and wire facilities expenses		6410
Poles expense	6411	
Aerial cable expense	6421	
Underground cable expense	6422	
Buried cable expense	6423	
Submarine and deep sea cable expense	6424	
Intrabuilding network cable expense	6426	
Aerial wire expense	6431	
Conduit systems expense	6441	
Plant nonspecific operations expense:		

Other property plant and equipment expenses		6510
Property held for future telecommunications	6511	
use expense		
Provisioning expense	6512	
Network operations expenses		6530
Power expense	6531	
Network administration expense	6532	
Testing expense	6533	
Plant operations administration expense	6534	
Engineering expense	6535	
Access expense	6540	6540
Depreciation and amortization expenses		6560
Depreciation expense—telecommunications	6561	
plant in service		
Depreciation expense—property held for	6562	
future telecommunications use		
Amortization expense—tangible	6563	
Amortization expense—intangible	6564	
Amortization expense—other	6565	
Customer operations expense:		
Marketing		6610
Product management and sales	6611	
Product advertising	6613	
Services		6620
Call completion services	6621	
Number services	6622	
Customer services	6623	
Corporate operations expense:		
General and administrative	6720	6720
Provision for uncollectible notes receivable	6790	6790

Section 32.6560 Depreciation and amortization expenses is revised as follows:

§ 32.6560 Depreciation and amortization expenses.

Class B telephone companies shall use this account for expenses of the type and character required of Class A companies in Accounts 6561 through 6565.

Section 32.6561 Depreciation expense-telecommunications plant in service, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6561 Depreciation expense—telecommunications plant in service.

This account shall include the depreciation expense of capitalized costs in Accounts 2112 through 2441, inclusive.

Section 32.6562 Depreciation expense—property held for future telecommunications, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6562 Depreciation expense—property held for future telecommunications use.

This account shall include the depreciation expense of capitalized costs included in Account 2002, Property held for future telecommunications use.

Section 32.6563 Amortization expense-tangible, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6563 Amortization expense—tangible.

This account shall include only the amortization of costs included in Accounts 2681, Capital leases, and 2682, Leasehold improvements.

Section 32.6564 Amortization expense—intangible, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6564 Amortization expense—intangible.

This account shall include the amortization of costs included in Account 2690, Intangibles.

Section 32.6565 Amortization expense—other, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6565 Amortization expense—other.

(a) This account shall include only the amortization of costs included in Account 2005, Telecommunications plant adjustment.

(b) This account shall also include lump-sum write offs of amounts of plant acquisition adjustment as provided for in section 32.2005(b)(3) of subpart C.

(c) Subsidiary records shall be maintained so as to show that character of the amounts contained in this account.

Section 32.6620 Services is revised as follows:

§ 32.6620 Services.

Class B telephone companies shall use this account for expenses of the type and character required of Class A companies in Accounts 6621 through 6623.

Section 32.6621 Call completion services, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6621 Call completion services.

This account shall include costs incurred in helping customers place and complete calls, except directory assistance. This includes handling and recording; intercept; quoting rates, time and charges; and all other activities involved in the manual handling of calls.

Section 32.6622 Number services, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6622 Number services.

This account shall include costs incurred in providing customer number and classified listings. This includes preparing or purchasing, compiling, and disseminating those listings through directory assistance or other means.

Section 32.6623 Customer services, a new account, is added.

§ 32.6623 Customer services.

(a) This account shall include costs incurred in establishing and servicing customer accounts. This includes:

- (1) Initiating customer service orders and records;
- (2) Maintaining and billing customer accounts;

(3) Collecting and investigating customer accounts including collecting revenues, reporting receipts, administering collection treatment, and handling contacts with customers regarding adjustments of bills;

- (4) Collecting and reporting pay station receipts; and
- (5) Instructing customers in the use of products and services.

(b) This account shall also include amounts paid by interexchange carriers or other exchange carriers to another exchange carrier for billing and collection services. Subsidiary record categories shall be maintained in order that the entity may separately report interstate and intrastate amounts. Such subsidiary record categories shall be reported as required by Part 43 of this Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Part 51 of title 47 of the C.F.R. is amended as follows:

PART 51 – INTERCONNECTION

Section 51.609 Determination of avoided retail costs is amended by revising paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(3), and (d) to read as follows:

§ 51.609 Determination of avoided retail costs.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) Include as direct costs, the costs recorded in USOA accounts 6611 (product management and sales), 6613 (product advertising), 6621 (call completion services), 6622, (number services), and 6623 (customer services) (§§ 32.6611, 32.6613, 32.6621, 32.6622, and 32.6623 of this chapter);

(2) * * *

(3) Not include plant-specific expenses and plant non-specific expenses, other than general support expenses (§§ 32.6112-6114, 32.6211-6565 of this chapter).

(d) Costs included in accounts 6611, 6613 and 6621-6623 described in paragraph (c) of this section (§§ 32.6611, 32.6613, and 32.6621-6623 of this chapter) may be included in wholesale rates only to the extent that the incumbent LEC proves to a state commission that specific costs in these accounts will be incurred and are not avoidable with respect to services sold at wholesale, or that specific costs in these accounts are not included in the retail prices of resold services. Costs included in accounts 6112-6114 and 6211-6565 described in paragraph (c) of this section (§§ 32.6112-32.6114, 32.6211-32.6565 of this chapter) may be treated as avoided retail costs, and excluded from wholesale rates, only to the extent that a party proves to a state commission that specific costs in these accounts can reasonably be avoided when an incumbent LEC provides a telecommunications service for resale to a requesting carrier.

* * * * *

Part 65 of title 47 of the C.F.R. is amended as follows:

PART 65 – INTERSTATE RATE OF RETURN PRESCRIPTION PROCEDURES AND METHODOLOGIES

Section 65.450 Net Income is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (b)(1) to read as follows:

§ 65.450 Net Income.

(a) Net income shall consist of all revenues derived from the provision of interstate telecommunications services regulated by this Commission less expenses recognized by the Commission as necessary to the provision of these services. The calculation of expenses entering into the determination of net income shall include the interstate portion of plant specific operations (Accounts 6110-6441), plant nonspecific operations (Accounts 6510-6565), customer operations (Accounts 6610-6623), corporate operations (Accounts 6720-6790), other operating income and expense (Account 7100),

and operating taxes (Accounts 7200-7250), except to the extent this Commission specifically provides to the contrary.

(b) * * *

(1) Gains related to property sold to others and leased back under capital leases for use in telecommunications services shall be recorded in Account 4300, Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits, and credited to Account 6563, Amortization expense—tangible, over the amortization period established for the capital lease;

* * * * *

STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER MICHAEL J. COPPS, APPROVING IN PART, DISSENTING IN PART

Re: Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review—Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase II, Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board, Local Competition and Broadband Reporting (WC Docket No. 02-269, CC Docket Nos. 00-199, 80-286, 99-301)

Two years ago, I enthusiastically embraced the Commission's decision to convene a Joint Conference on Accounting. Accounting depredations had distressed the telecommunications industry and wreaked considerable havoc on the economy as a whole. I believed then and believe now that the cure for these problems lies in greater state and federal oversight, not less.

I am pleased that today's decision puts in place several rule modifications recommended by the Joint Conference. But I am troubled that it does not go far enough. In particular, it broadly rejects key recommendations concerning affiliate transactions. These recommendations were designed to remedy rules that provide carriers with too much discretion to value transactions between affiliates. This is precisely the kind of discretionary accounting that led to such serious problems in the financial sector and that raised concerns that led to development of the Joint Conference.

The majority also dismisses recommendations for some new accounts identified by the Joint Conference. These accounts are needed to get a full picture of carrier investment and to ensure that prices reflect actual costs. Both the States and the Commission use reported data to develop an understanding of the plant, revenue and expenses of carriers and to enable comparisons among companies and over time. States also use this data to develop prices for network elements, to develop prices for resold services and to conduct ratemaking proceedings. If the Commission keeps to its current course, emphasizing only the federal purpose of accounting data, the States' ability to carry out their statutory responsibilities will be sharply curtailed at potential great cost to consumers.

We have entered an era when more information—not less—is necessary for consumer confidence and investor security. We have a duty to ensure that the required system of accounts provides **both** state and federal regulators with the information they need to discharge their oversight obligations. This is the essence of a viable federal-state partnership. It is also memorialized in the Communications Act. In Section 220(i), Congress specifically directed the Commission to consider the views and input of each state commission before prescribing any accounting requirements. In Section 220(j), Congress directed the Commission to investigate and report on the need for legislation to define further or harmonize the powers of the Commission and of state commissions with respect to accounting requirements. In today's Order, the majority gives short shrift to the spirit of this partnership. They show too little interest in the needs of the States and are reluctant to acknowledge the expertise of the members of the Joint Conference. It appears they prefer to inch along on our current track, casting off even modest recommendations for reform as burdensome for carriers, without considering the consequences of a system based on deficient information. This is troubling because policies at both the state and federal level are only as good as the data on which they are based. For these reasons, I dissent in part.

The Joint Conference was asked to take a broad-based look at the Commission's accounting policies. The convening Order directed the group to ensure that accounting data are "adequate, truthful, and thorough." It is time for the Joint Conference to embrace the full scope of this duty and move forward with further recommendations. We have a broad mandate and I hope we can meet it before the

charter of the Joint Conference expires. But we have far to go to amass a credible record. We need to assess the adequacy of current requirements and question why regular audits are not a feature of the Commission's core responsibilities. We should ask how use of the Commission's authority to inquire into the business management of carriers under Section 218 might have helped us to identify recent corporate governance problems ranging from capacity swaps to tactics to circumvent access charges. The Commission also has specific requirements that carriers must comply with concerning continuing property records. Although this issue has been swept under the rug in the past, how can we approach TELRIC reform without taking another look at these underlying issues of cost? I also believe the Joint Conference should do more to memorialize the federal-state partnership that is the essence of Section 220, abandoning once and for all the misguided emphasis on federal purpose that the Commission adopted in its *Phase II* decision.

I want to commend my colleagues on the Joint Conference for their extensive efforts. They slogged through complex issues involving accounts, subaccounts, separate affiliate rules and reporting requirements. Through cooperative discussion we hashed out a reasonable set of recommendations. Though not all of these recommendations are adopted in this Order, I look forward to working with the members of the Joint Conference on future endeavors. We have a duty to consider what more needs to be done so that accounting data are comprehensive and accurate. Our rules must be up to the high standards of corporate governance and regulatory oversight that ratepayers across the country have a right to expect in light of events over the past few years.

STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER KEVIN J. MARTIN, APPROVING IN PART, CONCURRING IN PART

Re: Federal State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, WC Docket 02-269; 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review, CC Docket No. 00-199; Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board, CC Docket No. 80-286; and Local Competition and Broadband Reporting, CC Docket No. 99-301

Today, the Commission acts on recommendations submitted by the Federal-State Conference on Accounting. This Order only grants some of the Joint Conference's recommendations, I approve in part and concur in part in this item.

This Order reinstates several Part 32 Accounts that provide states with the critical accounting information they need. The Order also requires ILECs to maintain subsidiary records categories for unbundled network element revenues, resale revenues, reciprocal compensation revenues and other interconnection revenues in accounts in which these revenues are currently recorded. Although this item does not go as far as requiring companies to maintain this information in Part 32, this item does require ILECs to make all this data available to the states and to this Commission upon request. I am pleased to see the Commission listened to the Joint Conference's call for the need to have access to this crucial accounting information.

As I said when the Joint Conference filed its recommendation with the FCC, I was concerned that the costs associated with providing information related to certain affiliate transactions might outweigh the benefits of getting that information. I feel the Commission could have provided a tangible benefit to state regulators by approving some of the crucial affiliate transactions recommendations without unduly burdening the ILECs that would have to provide this information. For this reason, I must concur with this part of today's Order.

STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER JONATHAN S. ADELSTEIN, APPROVING IN PART, DISSENTING IN PART

Re: Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues, 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review—Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase II, Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board, Local Competition and Broadband Reporting, WC Docket No. 02-269, CC Docket Nos. 00-199, 80-286, 99-301.

In 2002, the Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues was established and charged with facilitating "cooperative federal and state review of regulatory accounting and related reporting requirements in order to determine their adequacy and effectiveness in the current market and make recommendations for improvements." The need for Federal and State policymakers to have access to thorough, accurate and reliable information has been demonstrated in sharp relief over the past two years. Strong accounting and reporting rules play a critical role in protecting consumers and promoting investor confidence. The Joint Conference took seriously its task and delivered to this Commission a set of recommendations that reflected the considerable expertise and breadth of experience possessed by its Federal and State members and staff.

I support much of this Order and am pleased that it implements a number of the recommendations of the Joint Conference. The item provides needed certainty on those accounting and reporting items which have been suspended since 2002. I am also pleased that the Commission makes efforts to accommodate the Joint Conference's views in some areas where the Order does not adopt the Joint Conference's recommendations in full. For example, while the Order declines to adopt separate accounts for interconnection revenues, it does require subsidiary accounts to address in part the Joint Conference's concerns.

In other areas, however, this Order casts aside the recommendations of the Joint Conference. Despite the Act's call for Federal-State partnership on accounting and recordkeeping issues, this Order dismisses several of the Joint Conference's requests for additional information with promises to consider those issues in other proceedings. I expect that the Commission will act expeditiously on these issues. Most troubling is the Commission's dismissal of all of the Joint Conference's recommendations on the affiliate transaction rules. Given this Commission's increasing reliance on these safeguards, it strikes me as unwise to discount wholly the Joint Conference's recommendations here. For this reason, I dissent in part from the item.

Finally, I would like to thank the Federal and State members of the Joint Conference for their dedication and contributions on these complex issues.