								ATT	ACHMENT 3
			GP	L RA PERFORI	MANCE GOA	T . #1			
GPRA PERFORMANCE GOAL #1  FY 2001 NATIONAL AND STATE LEVEL PERFORMANCE INFORMATION									
		200111111		STITL EE	EETER	IVIII (CE II (I	ORMITTOTY		
STATE (Grantee States in Bold)	Sept '00 Baseline [a]	Sept '01 Goal (2% Increase)	Actual Enrollment Sept '01 [a]	Enrollment Increase 09 '00 - 09 '01	% Enrollment Increase 09 '00 - 09 '01	% Increase Above National Avg		Notes	
					ERICAL MONITOR				
Alabama Alaska	137,828 8,713	140,585 8,887	142,696 9,163	4,868 450	3.5% 5.2%	-0.1% 1.6%			
Arizona	58,646	59,819	67,040	8,394	14.3%	10.7%			
								f 1.97% is rounded to 2 my error, the State is lis	
Arkansas	79,595	81,187	81,164	1,569	2.0%	-1.6%	<u> </u>	monitoring goal.	
California Colorado	827,399 56,217	843,947 57,341	857,140 57,575	29,741 1,358	3.6% 2.4%	0.0%			
Connecticut	53,956	55,035	58,786	4,830	9.0%	5.4%			
Delaware District of Columbia	11,316 14,301	11,542 14,587	12,485 14,723	1,169 422	10.3% 3.0%	6.7% -0.6%			
Florida	348,928	355,907	363,111	14,183	4.1%	0.5%			
Georgia Hawaii	177,934 20,828	181,493 21,245	184,405 21,272	6,471 444	3.6% 2.1%	0.0%			
Idaho	17,549	17,900	19,025	1,476	8.4%	4.8%			
Illinois Indiana	156,051 89,471	159,172 91,260	163,863 95,033	7,812 5,562	5.0% 6.2%	1.4% 2.6%			
Iowa	52,240	53,285	53,432	1,192	2.3%	-1.3%			
Kansas Kentucky	41,571 117,690	42,402 120,044	42,440 120,825	869 3,135	2.1%	-1.5% -0.9%			
Maine	37,332	38,079	38,650	1,318	3.5%	-0.1%			
Maryland Massachusetts	65,078 155,923	66,380 159,041	<b>68,906</b> 160,364	3,828 4,441	5.9% 2.8%	2.3% -0.8%			
Michigan	146,166	149,089	149,672	3,506	2.4%	-1.2%			
Minnesota Mississippi	64,672 109,646	<b>65,965</b> 111,839	<b>68,368</b> 120,814	3,696 11,168	5.7% 10.2%	2.1% 6.6%			
Missouri	89,180	90,964	92,068	2,888	3.2%	-0.4%			
Montana Nebraska	12,853 20,697	13,110 21,111	13,142 21,391	289 694	2.2% 3.4%	-1.4% -0.2%			
Nevada	19,513	19,903	20,992	1,479	7.6%	4.0%			
New Hampshire New Jersey	7,542 148,075	7,693 151,037	8,965 151,213	1,423 3,138	18.9% 2.1%	15.3% -1.5%			
New Mexico	37,838	38,595	40,065	2,227	5.9%	2.3%			
New York North Carolina	389,422 226,176	397,210 230,700	400,096 231,390	10,674 5,214	2.7% 2.3%	-0.9% -1.3%			
North Dakota	5,954	6,073	6,335	381	6.4%	2.8%			
Ohio Oregon	173,420 60,223	176,888 61,427	178,995 64,180	5,575 3,957	3.2% 6.6%	-0.4% 3.0%			
Pennsylvania	209,810	214,006	216,175	6,365	3.0%	-0.6%			
Rhode Island South Carolina	20,445 113,512	20,854 115,782	22,173 117,854	1,728 4,342	8.5% 3.8%	4.9% 0.2%			
							Exceeded State Moni	toring Goal by 64 using Reported Data.	yalidated, State Self-
South Dakota Texas	13,222 <b>364,627</b>	13,486 <b>371,920</b>	13,370 <b>373,595</b>	148 <b>8,968</b>	1.1% 2.5%	-2.5% -1.1%		Reported Data.	
Utah Vermont	16,384 13,835	16,712 14,112	16,907 14,393	523 558	3.2% 4.0%	-0.4% 0.4%			
Washington	91,116	92,938	97,654	6,538	7.2%	3.6%			
West Virginia Wyoming	46,668 6,580	47,601 6,712	47,641 6,842	973 262	2.1% 4.0%	-1.5% 0.4%			
wydning		STATES AC Sept '01	CHIEVING MON Actual	TORING GOAL TH Enrollment	ROUGH ADDITIO % Enrollment	NAL GOAL METHO	3-Year Enrollment		
STATE	Sept '00 Baseline [a]	Goal (2% Increase)	Enrollment Sept '01 [a]	Increase 09 '00 - 09 '01	Increase 09 '00 - 09 '01	% Increase Above National Avg	% Increase 09 '98 - 09 '01 [b]	% Potentials Sept '01 [c]	Outreach [d]
Louisiana	116,459	118,788	118,429	1,970	1.7%	-1.9%	NO	NO	YES
Tennessee Virginia [e]	183,831 113,798	187,508 118,350	186,152 114,737	2,321 939	1.3% 0.8%	-2.3% -2.8%	NO NO	YES NO	NO YES
Oklahoma [e] Wisconsin [e]	67,154 74,018	69,840 76,979			NG MONITORING 1.6% 0.3%			NO NO	NO NO
NATIONAL	5,491,402	5,606,329	5,688,183	196,781	3.6%		10.1%	59.5%	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Column Notes:	MCTI IF -	B.W	(D 1.5						
[a] Source of Data is the CMS Third Party Premium Billing File (Buy-In Report).  [b] YES: State's 3-Year Enrollment Increase (09 '98 - 09 '01) exceeds the national rate; NO: State's 3-Year Enrollment rate is less than the national rate.									
[c] YES: State's percentage of enrolled potential dual eligibles exceeds the national estimated rate of enrolled potential duals;  NO: State's percentage of enrolled potential dual eligibles is less than the national estimated rate of enrolled potential duals.									
NO: State's percentage Source of data is the A	•			tional estimated rate	ot enrolled potential	duals.			
[d] YES: State's document	ted Outreach/Adm	inistrative Simplif		re extensive; NO: St	ate's documented Ou	treach/Administrativ	e Simplification effor	rts were not extensive	).
[e] Monitoring Goal will b	e a 4% enrollment	increase.							