INTERIM FINAL:

CHEM WASTE : OCT. 2000

5 Page 1 of 6

ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR (EI) RCRIS CODE (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

	Address EPA ID	
1.	groundw Manage	available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, vater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste ment Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in letermination?
	\boxtimes	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		If data not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

CHEMICAL WASTE MANAGEMENT OF THE NORTHWEST

BACKGROUND

Facility Name:

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000 Page 2 of 6

Current Human Exposures Under Control	
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA72	25)
Page 2	

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
	✓		
	✓		
	✓		
	✓		
	✓		
	✓		
	✓		
	Yes		

and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.
If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

SEE the latest OMI report for groundwater monitoring results. Results of groundwater sampling meet permit standards. Three wells, 3Ka-1, 3Kb-2, and 3Ka-2, are in compliance monitoring. Alternative Concentration Levels (ACL's) established in permit for these wells have not been exceeded. No surface soil sampling results are available for the facility. Conditions at the facility show that soil contamination would not reasonably be expected: units containing hazardous wastes are permitted, clean closed or capped, and have met applicable 40 CFR 264 or 265 standards.

^{1. &}quot;Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective riskbased "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

². Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

.

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

Page 3 of 6

Current Human Exposures Under Control	
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (C	A725)
Page 3	

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1.	Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors	' spaces for Media	which are not
	"contaminated") as identified in #2 above.		

	,
2.	Enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway).
	Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.
	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationa	le and Reference(s):

^{3.} Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

25 Page 4 of 6

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725) Page 4

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: (1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or (2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and Reference(s):

^{4.} If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: **OCT. 2000**

Page 5 of 6

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725) Page 5					
5.	Can the	"significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?			
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).			
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.			
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code			
	Ration	ale and Reference(s):			

RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000 Page 6 of 6

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725) Page 6

	below (an	nd attach appropriate suppo	or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination orting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
		the information contained be "Under Control" at the 17629 Cedar Springs I under current and reasona	n Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to CHEMICAL WASTE MANAGEMENT OF THE NORTHWEST, at ane, Arlington, OR 97812, Facility EPA ID #: ORD 089452353 bly expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when aware of significant changes at the facility.
		NO - "Current Human Exp	posures" are NOT "Under Control."
		IN - More information is	needed to make a determination.
Comp	leted By:		
(Signati	ure)		
Barb F (Print N			Hazardous Waste Specialist (Title)
Super	visor:		
(Signatı	ıre)		(Date)
Anne] (Print I			Manager, Hazardous Waste Policy and Program Development (Title)
	n Departme egion or State)	nt of Environmental Quali	t <u>v</u>
Locati	ions where	References may be found	l:
	DEQ-BE	END	
Conta	ct telephon	e and E-mail numbers:	
FRED	ERICK MO	OORE	541-388-6146 ext. 242 moore.fredrick@deq.state.or.us (Phone Number) (E-Mail)

SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

INTERIM FINAL:

CHEM WASTE : OCT. 2000

O Page 1 of 8

ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR (EI) RCRIS CODE (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name:

Facility	Address	s: 17629 Cedar Springs Lane, Arlington, OR 97812
Facility	EPA ID	#: ORD 089452353
1.	groundv	available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the vater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units J), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI nation?
	\boxtimes	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		If data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.
BACKO	GROUNI	D

CHEMICAL WASTE MANAGEMENT OF THE NORTHWEST

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000 Page 2 of 8

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 2

2.	Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be " contaminated " above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?				
		If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.			
		If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."			
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.				
Rationale and Reference(s):					
standa	ards. Th	OMI report for groundwater results. Results of groundwater sampling meet permit tree wells, 3Ka-1, 3Kb-2, and 3Ka-2, are in compliance monitoring. Alternative Levels (ACL's) established in permit for these wells have not been exceeded.			

^{1.} "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION OCT. 2000 Page 3 of 8

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control **Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750)** Page 3

3.	expecte	the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is exted to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring tions designated at the time of this determination)?		
		If yes, continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).		
		If no, (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.		
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.			
Rationale and Reference(s):				

² "Existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000

750 Page 4 of 8

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 4

Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?			
☐ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.			
	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.		
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.		
Ratio	nale and Reference(s):		

RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000 Page 5 of 8

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 5

skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>key</u> contaminants discharged their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence the concentrations are increasing; and (2) provide a statement of professional nent/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater in the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the
ng surface water, sediments, or ecosystem.
(the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially eant) - continue after documenting: (1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected tration of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the riate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and (2) for notaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations greater than 100 times their riate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these minants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the ination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is sing.
nown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
in ir

^{3.} As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000 Page 6 of 8

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control **Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750)** Page 6

accep	can the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be " currently cceptable " (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or ecosystems that should not be allowed a continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?		
	If yes - continue after either: (1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and ecosystems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR (2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, ⁵ appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and ecosystems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.		
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or ecosystems.		
	If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.		
Ratio	onale and Reference(s):		

^{4.} Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

^{5.} The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or ecosystems.

RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

CHEM WASTE INTERIM FINAL: OCT. 2000 Page 7 of 8

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 7

7. Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecologic necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater".				
		If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."		
		If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.		
	Ration	Rationale and Reference(s):		
	are co	dwater sampling is conducted pursuant to schedule established in facility's permit. OMI's inducted by DEQ annually. For the latest groundwater sampling report, results and a obtion of the monitoring program, refer to the last OMI report in DEQ's Bend office.		

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA CORRECTIVE ACTION

INTERIM FINAL:

CHEM WASTE OCT. 2000

750 Page 8 of 8

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750) Page 8

EI (6	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).				
of the Content NOF 0894 that to co	ne information contained in this aminated Groundwater" is "Undo RTHWEST, at 17629 Cedar States and reaso the migration of "contaminated" infirm that contaminated groundwatermination will be re-evaluated.	ad Groundwater Under Control" has best EI determination, it has been deter Control" at the CHEMICAL WAS Eprings Lane, Arlington, OR 97 anably expected conditions. Specifical groundwater is under control, and the vater remains within the "existing area atted when the Agency becomes away	ermined that the "Migration of ETE MANAGEMENT OF THE MANAGEMENT OF		
□ NO -	- Unacceptable migration of conta	aminated groundwater is observed or	expected.		
□ IN -	More information is needed to m	ake a determination.			
Completed B	y :				
(G:		2/7/01			
(Signature)		(Date)			
Barb Puchy (Print Name)		Hazardous Waste Specific (Title)	ecialist		
Supervisor:					
(Signature)		(Date)			
Anne Price (Print Name)		<u>Manager, Hazardous</u> (Title)	Waste Policy and Program Development		
Oregon Depar (EPA Region or S	rtment of Environmental Quality State)				
Locations wh	nere References may be found:				
	m DEQ-WR-RCRA Hazardou Front Street NE, Suite 120, Sa				
Locations wh	nere References may be found:				
DEC	2-BEND				
Contact telep	phone and E-mail numbers:				
MARCY KIR	<u>K</u> <u>5</u> 4	41-388-6146 ext. 222			
(Name)			Iail)		