DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Facility	Name: Address:	Pendleton Woolen Mills#2 17 th Street, Washougal, WA
Facility	EPA ID#:	WAD 00903 5502
1.	groundwater, sur	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, face water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste ts (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this
	_X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

<u>Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI</u>

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

Groundwater	<u>Yes</u> _X	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key ContaminantsMonitoring data, Dieldrin
Air (indoors) ²				5 ,
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft) Surface Water	_X	 _X	 	Monitoring data, Dieldrin
Sediment				
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft))	_X_		
Air (outdoors)		_X_		
If yes "conta	minated"	media) - c	continue	after identifying key contaminants in each appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for to ould pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing
	rting doc	umentati	on.	
If unk	nown (for	r any med	dia) - sk	ip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale and	·	·	r	ip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale and				·
Rationale and Reference(s):		, 		·
Rationale and Reference(s):		· 		·
Rationale and Reference(s):		· 		·
Rationale and Reference(s):		· 		·
Rationale and Reference(s):		· 		·
Rationale and Reference(s):		· 		·

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

²Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

		Po	otential <u>Hu</u>	ıman Recep	tors (Under Cu	rrent Conditi	ons)	
"Contaminated"	Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction 7	Trespassers I	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater		_No	_No	_No _	_No _	No	No	No
Air (indoors)								
Soil (surface, e.g	g., <2 ft)	_No	_No	_No _	_No _	No	No	No
Surface Water Sediment								
Soil (subsurface	 c.g>2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)	-							
	-	ific Media	including	Human Rece	le: eptors' spaces i	for Media wh	ich are not	
2 antas	" "******* o # "	no" for no	tantial "as		' umdan aaah "C	Contominated	" Madia	Human
Recepton Note: In order to Media - Human l combinations ma	focus the e Receptor co	cion (Pathwevaluation	vay). to the mos ns (Pathwa	st probable c	ave check space	ome potential	l "Contami While the	inated"
Recepto Note: In order to Media - Human l	focus the e Receptor co	cion (Pathwevaluation	vay). to the mos ns (Pathwa	st probable c	ombinations so	ome potential	l "Contami While the	inated"
Recepto Note: In order to Media - Human l combinations ma	focus the e Receptor co ay not be pr ary. If no (path to #6, and place, who	cion (Pathwevaluation ombination robable in hways are lenter "YE ether naturated mediu	to the most situation of complete status corrections and or man-	st probable c ays) do not h ations they n ete for any c ode, after ex -made, preve	ombinations so	ome potential ces (""). e in some sett edia-receptor referencing ete exposure p	I "Contami While these ings and so combinate condition(pathway fr	inated" se hould be ion) - sk s) in- om each
Recepton Note: In order to Media - Human l combinations ma added as necessa	focus the e Receptor co ay not be pr ary. If no (path to #6, and place, who contamina major path	evaluation ombination robable in hways are lenter "YF ether naturated mediu hways).	to the most situal most situal not complete complete complete complete	st probable cays) do not hations they not ete for any code, after exemple optional P	ombinations so ave check space hay be possible contaminated me plaining and/or enting a comple	ome potential ces (""). e in some sett edia-receptor referencing ete exposure pation Work Sh	l "Contami While these ings and so combination condition(pathway from the analysis	inated" se hould b ion) - sk s) in- om each
Recepton Note: In order to Media - Human l combinations ma added as necessa	focus the e Receptor co ay not be prary. If no (path to #6, and place, who contamina major path If yes (par combinati	evaluation ombination robable in hways are lenter "YE ether naturated mediu hways).	to the most itual most situal most situal most situal most situal most situal most complete in (e.g., us e complete in ue after	st probable cays) do not hations they not ete for any code, after exemple of the code of t	ombinations so ave check space hay be possible contaminated me plaining and/or enting a comple athway Evalua	ome potential ces (""). e in some sett edia-receptor referencing ete exposure pation Work Shalledia - Human anation.	While these ings and secondition (pathway freet to analy	inated" se hould be ion) - sk s) in- om each lyze

_	
3	Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.) Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 4
9 a (Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" statu code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining an referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and Reference(s):
 - -	

	

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 5

5	Can the "signific	ant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 6

tain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager)	Human Exposures Under Control EI event code signature and date on the EI determination belo as a map of the facility):
review of the information contained in the are expected to be "Under Control" at the facility, EPA II washougal, WA under	Under Control" has been verified. Based on a his EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures thePendleton Woolen Mills D#WAD00903 5502, located at current and reasonably expected conditions. If when the Agency/State becomes aware of
NO - "Current Human Exposures" are N	NOT "Under Control."
IN - More information is needed to ma	ike a determination.
(signature) (print) Jack Boller	
(title)	
(signature) (print) (title) (EPA Region or State)	<u> </u>
References may be found:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
e and e-mail numbers	
	tain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) opriate supporting documentation as well YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures I review of the information contained in the are expected to be "Under Control" at the facility, EPA II facility, EPA II under This determination will be re-evaluated significant changes at the facility. NO - "Current Human Exposures" are N IN - More information is needed to material support of the property of the prop

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

(e-mail)____Boller.Jack@EPA.GOV_____

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

•	Name: Address: EPA ID #:	Pendleton Woolen Mills
1.	groundwater med	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the ia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units ted Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

<u>Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)</u>

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2.	Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?
	X If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Reference(s):
	 Groundwater Monitoring data

Footnotes:

¹"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

3.	expected to rema	on of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is in within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring ated at the time of this determination)?
	X	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
		If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Reference(s):	
		Groundwater Monitoring data

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?				
	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.				
	X If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.				
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.				
	Rationale and Reference(s):				
	Monitoring data levels have dropped below human health stds				

5. Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be " insignificant maximum concentration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times the surface water is less than 10 times.			
	discharging conta	ndwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of aminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for pacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?	
	X	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>key</u> contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.	
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.	
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.	
	Rationale and Reference(s):		
	Moni	itoring data. See #6	

				
	³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g.,			
	hyporheic) zone.			
	Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)			
	Page 6			
6.	Can the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently			
	acceptable" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed			
	to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?			
	V If id 1) id if al Fin-1 D do doi: in al al			
	X If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface			
	water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation			
	demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR			
	2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, ⁵ appropriate to the potential for			
	impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is			
	(in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of			
	receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full			
	assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered			
	in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with			
	discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow,			
	use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface			
	water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as			
	any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic			
	surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory			
	agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.			
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently			
	acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently			
	unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.			
	If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.			
	If different only to o different iff, button code.			

F -	Reference(s):				
have drop	Site has been capped preventing migration of contaminants in the groundwater. Contamination levels ped below established risk levels based on three years of data following the installation of the cap.				
-					

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

7.	Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"			
		If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."		
		If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.		
	Rationale and Reference(s):			
standa		monitoring is not needed because contaminant levels have dropped below risk based		

	Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
	Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)
	Page 8
0	
8.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
	EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI
	determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).
	W WE W (Off of AG and Add A W A G and W)
	X YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been
	verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination,
	it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is
	"Under Control" at thePendleton Woolen Mills
	facility, EPA ID #WAD 00903 5502, located
	atWashougal, WA Specifically, this
	determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is
	under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that
	contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated
	groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency

	becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.		
	NO - Unacceptable migration of contamin	ated groundwater is observed or expected.	
	IN - More information is needed to make a	determination.	
Completed by	(signature) (print) Jack Boller (title)		
Supervisor	(signature) (print)	Date	
(EPA R	(title) egion or State)		
Locations where	References may be found:		
	RCRA Facility files in the Region 10 offic		
Contact telephon	ne and e-mail numbers		
	Jack Boller		
	#)360-753-9428) Boller.Jack@EPA.GOV		