UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

T	41	Matter	e
In	the	Viotter	Λt
	\mathbf{u}	Maill	VI.

RAMBUS INC.,

Docket No. 9302

a corporation.

RAMBUS'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF CERTAIN OBJECTIONS TO THE DESIGNATED DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF JOEL KARP

Complaint Counsel have designated deposition testimony from a deposition of Joel Karp taken on August 7, 1996, in a matter pending before the United States International Trade Commission that was denominated *In the Matter of Certain Electronic Products, Including Semiconductor Products Manufactured By Certain Processes*, Case No. 337-TA-381. The interested parties in this particular matter were Samsung Electronics Company ("Samsung") and Texas Instruments, Inc. ("TI"). Respondent Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") was not a party to this proceeding and was not represented at the deposition.

At the time of the deposition, Mr. Karp was employed by Samsung. Mr. Karp had no employment relationship with Rambus at the time this deposition was taken. He was not hired by Rambus until October 1997.

Since Mr. Karp is available to testify at this proceeding in person, his deposition testimony is admissible only to the extent that the testimony comes within the provisions of 16 C.F.R. § 3.33(g)(1)(ii), which provides that "[t]he deposition of a party or of anyone who at the time of taking the deposition was an officer, director, or managing agent, or a person designated to testify on behalf of a public or private corporation, partnership or association which is a party, or of an official or employee (other than a special employee) of the Commission, may be used by an adverse party for any purpose." When Complaint Counsel were arguing for the right to introduce Mr. Karp's deposition testimony under this provision, they argued that depositions taken of Mr. Karp after Mr. Karp left Rambus's employ should be admitted because Mr. Karp was effectively a managing agent at that time. They did not mention the 1996 ITC deposition of Mr. Karp in their argument, and of course their stated justification for admitting the later depositions cannot apply to that 1996 deposition. In other words, because Mr. Karp was an employee of Samsung rather than Rambus at the time of the 1996 deposition, that deposition may not be offered in this proceeding against Rambus.

Complaint Counsel similarly have designated for use in connection with Mr. Karp's deposition testimony a copy of a declaration signed by Mr. Karp in the same ITC proceeding in which he was deposed, which declaration is marked as CX-2957 and CX-2965 (the same document with different exhibit numbers). This declaration is hearsay under Rule 801. It is a written, out-of-court statement made by the declarant that is being offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted. It is not inconsistent with any testimony elicited from Mr. Karp in this proceeding and is not admissible as an admission

by a party, since at the time the declaration was given, Mr. Karp was employed by Samsung. The declaration also does not fall within any of the exceptions set forth in Rule 803. In particular, it is not a record of regularly conducted activities, since the preparation of declarations was not something that was not "a regularly conducted business activity" or something that was part of the "regular practice of that business activity." There has certainly been no evidentiary showing that preparing and signing declarations such as the one in question are part of the regular business activity of Samsung.

The Court thus should not admit the prior declaration of Mr. Karp (CX-2957 and/or CX-2965), or any testimony regarding that declaration.

Complaint Counsel also have designated testimony regarding a brief filed on behalf of Samsung in the same ITC proceeding previously discussed. There is no showing in the record that Mr. Karp was involved in the drafting or review of this brief or that he had ever seen it prior to the time it was shown to him at his deposition. Accordingly, there is no foundation for questions to be propounded to Mr. Karp regarding this particular brief. Furthermore, the statements in the brief are themselves hearsay, since they are out-of-court statements made by Samsung, which is not a party to this proceeding.

DATED: June 2, 2003

Gregory P. Stone Steven M. Perry MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 (213) 683-9100 (213) 687-3702 (facsimile) (202) 663-6158 (202) 457-4943 (facsimile)

A. Douglas Melamed Kenneth A. Bamberger WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 663-6000

Sean C. Cunningham John M. Guaragna GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 401 "B" Street, Suite 2000 San Diego, California 92101 (619) 699-2700

Attorneys for Respondent Rambus Inc.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of RAMBUS INCORPORATED,))) Docket No. 9302)	
a corporation.	<u>)</u>	
CERTIF	ICATE OF SERVICE	
	nat on June 2, 2003, I caused a true and correct copy of tain Objections to the Designated Deposition Testimony persons by hand delivery:	
Hon. Stephen J. McGuire	M. Sean Royall, Esq.	
Chief Administrative Law Judge	Deputy Director, Bureau of Competition	
Federal Trade Commission	Federal Trade Commission	
Room H-112	Room H-372	
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
Washington, D.C. 20580	Washington, D.C. 20580	
Donald S. Clark, Secretary	Malcolm L. Catt, Esq.	
Federal Trade Commission	Attorney	
Room H-159	Federal Trade Commission	
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.	
Washington, D.C. 20580	Washington, D.C. 20001	
Richard B. Dagen, Esq.		
Assistant Director		
Bureau of Competition		
Federal Trade Commission		
601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.		
Washington, D.C. 20001		
	James M. Berry	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

)	
In the Matter of)	
)	Docket No. 9302
RAMBUS INC.,)	
a corporation,)	
-)	

CERTIFICATION

I, James M. Berry, hereby certify that the electronic copy of *Rambus's Memorandum in Support of Certain Objections to the Designated Deposition Testimony of Joel Karp* accompanying this certification is a true and correct copy of the paper version that is being filed with the Secretary of the Commission on June 2, 2003 by other means.

James M. Berry June, 2003