# GLASSWOOL (Respirable Size)*

First Listed in the *Seventh Annual Report on Carcinogens*

## CARCINOGENICITY

Glasswool (respirable size) is *reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen* based on sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals (IARC 1988). Rats and hamsters receiving glasswool (length of <3.2 to <7 µm; diameter of <0.18 to <1 µm) by intratracheal instillation developed adenocarcinomas, squamous cell carcinomas, bronchoalveolar tumors, lung carcinomas, mesotheliomas, and sarcomas. When administered by intraperitoneal injection (length of <2.4 to <30 µm; diameter of <0.18 to <1 µm), glasswool-induced mesotheliomas and sarcomas were observed. In another study in which female rats received coarse glasswool by intraperitoneal injection, abdominal tumors (mesotheliomas, sarcomas and, rarely, carcinomas) were induced. In another study, rats received glasswool, treated with an acid or an alkali, by intraperitoneal injection. The acid-treated glasswool induced mesotheliomas, sarcomas and, rarely, carcinomas. Alkali-treated glasswool also induced the formation of tumors in rats (IARC 1988).

The IARC Working Group on Man-Made Mineral Fibers and Radon also reviewed five inhalation studies in rats. Although a few respiratory-tract tumors were observed in these studies, there was no statistically significant increase in tumor incidence. The IARC Working Group expressed concerns about the adequacy of many of these studies, noting factors such as short exposure period, small number of animals, lack of survival data, and failure to report fiber dimensions. The National Toxicology Program (NTP) scientific committees reviewed, in addition to the IARC Working Group's monograph, a more recent rat inhalation study (Hesterberg *et al.* 1993). The authors of this study also reported no statistically significant increase in lung tumor incidence. NTP reviewers of this study noted the high tumor incidence in the control group and expressed concern that the doses administered may have been too low to elicit a response.

Debate continues in the scientific community regarding the use of implantation studies as indicators of carcinogenic potential of fibers. Some investigators maintain that only inhalation exposure is relevant to the manner in which humans are typically exposed (McClellan *et al.* 1992). The IARC Working Group noted that

> "Inhalation is the major route of exposure to mineral fibers that have been shown to cause cancer in humans (e.g., asbestos). Therefore, it is desirable to use the inhalation route, if possible, when testing such fibers for their carcinogenicity in animals; however, the qualitative and quantitative aspects of particle deposition and retention in rodents are considerably different from those in humans. As a result, particles that may be important in the induction of disease in humans may never reach the target tissues in sufficient quantities in rodents. This problem cannot be overcome by generating higher concentrations of particulate aerosols because of technical complications, e.g., particle aggregation. The consequence is that inhalation tests may be less sensitive than tests by other routes for evaluating the carcinogenicity of particulate and fibrous materials. In addition, the high cost of and the shortage of adequate facilities for such studies severely

* No separate CAS Registry number is assigned to glasswool.

limit the number that can be performed.

It is thus often necessary that other routes of administration be used for testing the carcinogenic potential of mineral fibers. The methods that have been most frequently employed are intratracheal instillation and intrapleural and intraperitoneal administration. With the first, various lung tissues as well as the pleural mesothelium are the major targets for the administered test fibers; in the latter two, the pleural and the peritoneal mesothelium, respectively, are the target tissues. These routes of administration can be used to test the carcinogenicity of mineral fibers to laboratory animals because they bring the test fibers into intimate contact with the same target tissues as in humans" (IARC 1988).

There is inadequate evidence for the carcinogenicity of glasswool in humans (IARC 1988). A number of studies have been conducted of workers involved in the production of glasswool. Most of the studies identified the association of workers exposed to glasswool and lung cancer. In a Canadian study, there was a statistically significant excess of lung cancer among glasswool workers; however, there was no relationship between the length of employment and lung cancer mortality (IARC 1988). In a U.S. study, Enterline *et al.* (1987) reported a small statistically significant excess in all malignant neoplasms and in respiratory cancer 20 years or more after first employment using local death rates to estimate expected deaths. In an update of this study, Marsh *et al.* (1990) reported that "overall the evidence of a relationship between exposure to man-made mineral fibers and respiratory cancer appears to be somewhat weaker than in the previous update".

## PROPERTIES

Fibrous glass is the name for a manufactured fiber in which the fiber-forming substance is glass. Glasses are a class of materials made from silicon dioxide with oxides of various metals and other elements, that solidify from the molten state without crystallization. The type of glass used to produce glasswool is known as “C” glass and contains approximately 65% silicon dioxide, 14% calcium oxide, 8.5% sodium monoxide, and 5% or less of several other metal oxides. Glasswool is resistant to chemical corrosion by mineral acids (IARC 1988).

A fiber is considered to be a particle with a length-to-diameter aspect ratio of 3 to 1 or greater. Respirable fibers have mass median aerodynamic diameter approximately 3.5 µm or less. Fibers less than 1 µm in diameter have the highest probability for deposition in the alveolar regions of the lung, where gas exchange occurs (WHO 1988).

## USE

The major uses of glasswool are in thermal, electrical, and acoustical insulation, weatherproofing, and filtration media. In 1980, approximately 80% of the glasswool produced for structural insulation was used in houses. Glasswool, in the form of loose-bagged wool, is pneumatically blown or hand poured into structural spaces, such as between joists and in attics. Plumbing and air-handling systems also require insulation. Glasswool and glass fibers are used to insulate against heat flow with prefabricated sleeves. Sheet-metal ducts and plenums of air-handling systems are often insulated with flexible blankets and semirigid boards usually made of glass fibers. Small-diameter glass fibers (0.05 to 3.8 µm) have been used in air and liquid filtration, and glass fiber air filters have been used in furnaces and air conditioning systems. Glass fiber filters have been used in the manufacture of beverages, pharmaceuticals, paper, swimming pool filters, and many other applications (IARC 1988).

## PRODUCTION

The mineral fiber industry began to grow in the U.S. and Europe after World War I and includes products made from rock, clay, slag, or glass. Glasswool is composed of relatively short cylindrical glass fibers that are produced by drawing, centrifuging, or blowing molten glass. Improvements in glass fiber manufacturing technology and new markets in textiles fueled much of the growth. In the 1950s and 1960s, glasswool began to replace rockwool and slagwool products used in thermal insulation. Consequently, the number of rockwool and slagwool plants in the U.S. peaked at 80 to 90 in the 1950s. By 1985, there were 58 plants in the U.S. that produced glasswool, rockwool, slagwool, or ceramic fibers. The total quantities of glasswool, rockwool, and slagwool products produced in the U.S. were approximately 1.5 million metric tons in 1977 and 1.6 million metric tons in 1982 (IARC 1988). Currently, at least nine companies supply glasswool products in the U.S. (Chem Sources 2001). U.S. imports and exports of glass fiber rovings and glass fiber yarns (including glass wool) were approximately 51,200 and 80,000 metric tons, respectively, in 2000 (ITA 2001).

## EXPOSURE

Exposures to glasswool and other man-made mineral fibers are reported as total dust concentrations or respirable fiber concentrations in air. The primary routes of potential human exposure to glasswool are inhalation and dermal and/or eye contact. Generally, the upper diameter limit for respirable fibers ranges from 3 to 3.5 µm; however, some studies used 5 µm as the upper limit (IARC 1988).

Glasswool is released as airborne respirable particles during their production and use. As the diameter of the glasswool decreases, both the concentration of respirable fibers and ratio of respirable to total fibers increases. The highest levels of occupational exposure to glasswool occur when it is used in confined spaces. Concentrations of man-made mineral fibers in outdoor air and nonoccupational indoor settings are much lower than those associated with occupational settings (IARC 1988). NIOSH estimated that 200,000 workers were potentially exposed to fibrous glass in the mid 1980s (Sittig 1985).

Measurements taken in facilities producing fibrous glass insulation or fibrous glass textile products in the 1960s reported airborne concentrations of total (0.06 to 12.29 mg/m$^3$) and respirable dust (0.03 to 0.55 mg/m$^3$) and total fibers (0.09 to 3.64 fibers/cm$^3$). These levels were approximately 20-fold lower than fiber concentrations reported in the asbestos textile industry and indicated negligible exposure to workers. In a study of 16 mineral-fiber production plants conducted in the 1970s, mean concentrations of total suspended particulate matter and fibers were 0.21 to 4.73 mg/m$^3$ and 0.01 to 0.78 fibers/cm$^3$, respectively. Generally, concentrations of respirable fibers in glasswool production plants have been approximately 0.1 fibers/cm$^3$ or less (IARC 1988).

Studies have indicated that exposure of users may exceed those of production workers. Airborne concentrations from various operations using fibrous glass insulation (duct wrapping, wall and plenum insulation, pipe insulation, and fan housing insulation) ranged from 0.51 to 8.08 fibers/cm$^3$ with mean fiber diameters ranging from 2.3 to 8.4 µm. Swedish and Danish surveys conducted in the early 1980s reported a geometric mean respirable fiber concentration of 0.046 fibers/cm$^3$ in open and ventilated spaces and 0.05 fibers/cm$^3$ in confined and poorly ventilated spaces (IARC 1988).

## REGULATIONS

EPA regulates particulate emissions from glasswool insulation manufacturing plants under the Clean Air Act (CAA) new source performance standards.

The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) has set a threshold limit value (TLV) of 1 fiber/$cm^3$ as a time weighted average (TWA) for glasswool. OSHA determined an 8-hr TWA workplace permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 5.0 mg/$m^3$ (as total dust) or 3 fibers/$cm^3$ for fibers greater than 10 µm long. OSHA also regulates glasswool under the Hazard Communication Standard and as a chemical hazard in laboratories. Regulations are summarized in Volume II, Table 91.

## REFERENCES

Chem Sources. Chemical Sources International, Inc. http://www.chemsources.com, 2001.

Enterline, P.E., G.M. Marsh, V. Henderson, and C. Callahan. Mortality Update of a Cohort of U.S. Man-Made Mineral Fibre Workers. Ann. Occup. Hyg. Vol. 31, No. 48, 1987, pp. 625-656.

Hesterberg, T.W., W.C. Miller, E.E. McConnell, J. Chevalier, J.G. Hadley, D.M. Bernstein, P. Thevenaz, and R. Anderson. Chronic Inhalation Toxicity of Size-Separated Glass Fibers in Fischer 344 Rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. Vol. 20, No. 4, 1993, pp. 464-476.

IARC. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Man-made Mineral Fibres and Radon. Vol. 43. 300 pp. Lyon, France: IARC, 1988.

ITA. International Trade Administration. U.S. Department of Commerce. Subheading 701912: Glass Fiber Rovings (7019.12.0040 and 7019.12.0080) and Subheading 701919: Glass Fibers (Including Glass Wool) and Articles Thereof (7019.19.1580, 7019.19.1000, and 7019.19.2000). http://www.ita.doc.gov/td/industry/otea/Trade-Detail/Latest-December/, 2001.

Marsh, G.M., P.E. Enterline, R.A. Stone, and V.L. Henderson. Mortality among a Cohort of U.S. Man-Made Mineral Fiber Workers: 1985 Follow-Up. J. Occup. Med. Vol. 32, No. 7, 1990, pp. 594-604.

McClellan, R.O., F.J. Miller, T.W. Hesterberg, D.B. Warheit, W.B. Bunn, A.B. Kane, M. Lippmann, R.W. Mast, E.E. McConnell, and C.F. Reinhardt. Approaches to Evaluating the Toxicity and Carcinogenicity of Man-Made Fibers: Summary of a Workshop Held November 11-13, 1991, Durham, North Carolina. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. Vol. 16, 1992, pp. 321-364.

Sittig, M. Handbook of Toxic and Hazardous Chemicals and Carcinogens, Second Edition. 950 pp. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, 1985.

WHO. Man-Made Mineral Fibers and Radon (Environmental Health Criteria 77). Geneva: World Health Organization. [Monograph], 1988, 165 pp.