

Appendix K

Summary of Enforcement Actions

[K.1 Federal CSO Judicial Orders](#)

[K.2 Federal SSO Judicial Orders](#)

[K.3 Federal CSO Administrative Orders](#)

[K.4 Federal SSO Administrative Orders](#)

[K.5 Federal CSO Administrative Penalty Orders](#)

[K.6 Federal SSO Administrative Penalty Orders](#)

[K.7 State CSO Judicial Orders](#)

[K.8 State SSO Judicial Orders](#)

[K.9 State CSO Administrative Orders](#)

[K.10 State SSO Administrative Orders](#)

[K.11 State CSO Administrative Penalty Orders](#)

[K.12 State SSO Administrative Penalty Orders](#)

K.1 Federal CSO Judicial Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	MA	Boston Harbor (MWRA)	1987	MWRA has completed four hydraulic relief projects including, CAM005, BOS017, Chelsea Trunk, and Chelsea Branch. They have completed CSO facility upgrades at Cottage Farm, Prison Point, Commercial Point, Fox Point and Summerville-Marginal. They have completed upgrades to the floatables removal facility and have closed outfalls. Under construction are the East Boston Branch Sewer relief and Union Park Detention/Treatment facility. Sewer separation is proceeding in South Dorchester, Stony Brook and Neponset River. Still in the design phase are Fort Point Channel Storage, the BOS019 storage conduit and parts of the East Boston Branch Sewer relief and, the South Dorchester and Stony Brook separation projects.
1	MA	City of Lowell	11/10/88; Amended 06/29/01	The Consent Decree required that the City complete construction of the Southwest Bank Interceptor Project and eliminate all discharges from outfalls 001 and 003 by 12/15/88. It further required that the City complete construction of the Northwest Bank Interceptor Project, eliminate all discharges from outfalls 005 and 006, and complete construction of Storm Water Diversion Structure Number Two by 09/01/88. The City was required to eliminate all dry weather discharges from its West Street Pump Station by 01/15/89 and also eliminate discharges from outfall 004 by 04/15/89. Finally, they were required to complete construction for rehabilitation of Marginal Street Interceptor and eliminate all discharges from outfall 032 by 01/15/90. The City was required to submit a completed Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Facilities Plan to EPA and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (DEQE) which would address further CSO abatement.
1	MA	City of New Bedford	12/07/87; Amended - 4/28/95	The Consent Decree required the City to prepare and submit a CSO Facilities Plan by 07/01/89. The Plan identified all of the projects necessary to meet permit requirements and CSO discharge requirements. The City was required to construct whatever projects necessary to eliminate dry weather discharges from CSO outfalls by 03/01/90.
1	MA	Gloucester	11/30/88	The Order addressed noncompliance by failing to complete a CSO study and treatment plan.
1	MA	Lynn Water and Sewer Commission	11/02/89; Amended 11/15/94; Amended 06/29/01	The Order required that by 12/31/03, the Commission would complete construction of the Summer Street Sewer Separation Project and complete 100% of the sewer separation for outfall 006 thereby eliminating discharges of combined sewage to outfall 006. The Order also required that by 12/31/06, the Commission would complete the sewer separation for outfall 005 eliminating discharges of combined sewage to outfall 005. Also, by 12/31/09, the Commission would complete the sewer separation for outfall 004 eliminating discharges of combined sewage to outfall 004.
1	MA	Swampscott	05/05/88	The Order required the completion of a CSO analysis and development of a schedule for construction of CSO facilities.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	ME	City of Bangor	04/09/91; Modified- 06/28/91	The Order required a CSO facility's plan and CSO abatement projects implementation.
1	ME	City of Bangor	6/30/87; Amended 12/01/87	This Order addressed certain NPDES permit violations.
1	ME	City of South Portland	04/16/92; Amended- 08/18/94	This Order required a Publicly Owned Treatment Work (POTW) upgrade and a CSO abatement program for NPDES permit compliance.
1	NH	City of Portsmouth	No date provided	This Order required the implementation of a Long-Term Control Plan (LTCP).
2	NJ	North Bergen Township	No date provided	This Order addressed the failure to meet the construction schedule for CSO abatement.
2	NY	Niagara Falls	03/13/87	This Order required the City to eliminate all dry weather overflows and submit final plans for repairs necessary to the combined sewer system (CSS).
2	NY	Poughkeepsie	03/31/88	This Order required the City to eliminate all dry weather overflows.
2	NY	Utica	06/22/77	This Order required the City to eliminate dry weather overflows and conduct a Sewer System Evaluation Study (SSES).
3	MD	City of Baltimore	09/30/02	The Consent Decree required the City to separate the CSS and eliminate all CSO structures from the Warbrook neighborhood by 06/30/02 and in the Forest Park neighborhood by 06/30/05. This represented elimination of all CSOs in Baltimore.
4	GA	City of Atlanta	07/13/98	The Consent Decree required Atlanta to perform an evaluation of their existing CSO control facilities, perform studies and testing of their CSS and receiving waters and prepare a remedial measures report which will evaluate appropriate alternatives for CSO control. The City was required to perform various other studies to evaluate the efficacy of previous CSO control efforts. The Consent Decree required that the City prepare CSO Management, Operation, and Maintenance Plans by 12/01/98.
4	GA	City of Atlanta	09/24/98	This Order addressed the nonattainment of water quality standards resulting from CSOs and it required an evaluation of CSO discharges and remedial action plan. All construction will be complete by 07/11/14.
4	GA	City of Atlanta	07/29/99	This Order required the City to complete construction for the 10th Ward Trunk Sewer Improvements (Plan 6) by 07/31/00, complete construction for the Fairmont/Glidden CSO Separation by 09/30/01, complete construction for the Phase III Relief Sewer by 08/31/02, complete construction for the Veterans Hospital Trunk Sewer Improvements by 11/30/02, complete construction for the Peachtree Interceptor Relief Sewer by 12/31/02, complete construction for the Pine Meadows Sewer Improvements by 01/31/03, and complete construction for the North Fork Peachtree Creek Relief Sewer and Nancy Creek Sewer System Rehabilitation; the 10th Ward Trunk Sewer Improvements (Part 1-5); the South Fork Peachtree Creek Trunk Relief Sewer; and the Indian Creek Trunk Relief Sewer by 02/28/03.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
5	IL	Metropolis	No date provided	The Consent Decree required correction of the CSO overflow structure.
5	IL	Paris	No date provided	The Order addressed NPDES permit violations, resulting in CSO separation, testing, and first flush treatment.
5	IL	Rock Island WWTP	08/21/03	The Order addressed permit violations. Rock Island was required to prepare and submit a LTCP. A penalty of \$150,000 was assessed.
5	IN	Anderson	07/18/02	The Consent Decree required that by 12/31/09, they will complete construction for all improvements.
5	IN	City of Bonnaville	04/16/87; Amended 08/13/01	The 1987 Consent Decree required the City to adequately maintain the CSS and improve plant operations.
5	IN	Hammond	04/23/99	The Consent Decree required Hammond to maximize combined sewage flow through the collection system and treatment plant and implement their LTCP. They were directed to construct facilities as needed to eliminate three of their CSOs, including but not limited to: a storage reservoir, pump station improvements, sewer separation, sewer interceptors, and sewer interceptor improvements by 05/01/09.
5	IN	Madison	No date provided	The Consent Decree required development of a CSO management plan.
5	MI	Menominee	04/21/88	The Order addressed unauthorized CSO discharges.
5	MI	Wayne County	1994	The Order addressed CSOs contributing to public health advisories against swimming and nutrient loading stimulating plant and algae growth in downstream water bodies including Lake Erie.
5	OH	Bedford	09/30/85	This Order required City to conduct a CSO facility study and implement a plan for appropriate treatment of CSOs.
5	OH	Cincinnati Metropolitan Sewer District	No date provided	This Order addressed unauthorized dry weather discharges from CSOs.
5	OH	City of Akron	No date provided	This Consent Decree addressed CSOs causing violation of effluent limits and failure to meet schedule for elimination of CSOs.
5	OH	City of North Olmsted	07/31/91	Under this Order they were required to achieve consistent compliance with its permit within 40 days of the Order.
5	OH	Port Clinton	09/08/99	This Order required monitoring and scheduled CSO abatement. Port Clinton was required to submit a plan for permanent CSO improvement or closure by 11/01/99.
5	OH	Portsmouth	1992	This Order addressed CSOs causing water quality standard exceedances in the Scioto and Ohio Rivers.
5	OH	Toledo	12/19/02	The Toledo Consent Decree addressed both CSO issues and Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) issues. Regarding CSOs, Toledo was required to design and construct certain "Phase I" CSO control measures including: East Side and Bay View Pump Stations improvements, secondary treatment backup power, a 60 MG equalization basin, an additional secondary clarifier, and a 185 MGD capacity ballasted flocculation wet weather treatment system. These "Phase I" projects were estimated to cost \$157 million, and were to be complete within approximately 40-45 months of decree entry (depending on plan review and approval). Toledo was also required to develop and implement a LTCP. The LTCP control measures were to be completed by August 2016.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
5	OH	Wellston	10/13/87	This Order addressed CSO discharges due to improper Operation and Maintenance (O&M) and unpermitted bypasses.
5	OH	Youngstown	03/05/02	Youngstown was required by the Consent Decree to: eliminate an outfall in a local park (Outfall 6108) by 05/06; eliminate two small direct sewage discharges ("Tod & Irving East & West") by 08/03; develop a LTCP by 01/03; remove accumulated sediment from the Mill Creek Collector Sewer by 06/02; and implement various short-term operational (i.e., Nine Minimum Controls (NMCs)) improvements and pump station upgrades/ replacements by 2007.

K.2 Federal SSO Judicial Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	CT	Greenwich	01/15/02	This Consent Decree required that construction be complete by 12/08.
1	CT	Waterbury	11/21/02	The Consent Decree was issued to prevent future Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) from occurring. Multiple construction schedules were established in the Order and were pending EPA approval.
1	MA	Winchendon	07/29/02	This Consent Decree required that construction for the SSO improvements be complete by 01/04 and construction for the Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) improvements be complete by 07/05.
2	Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA)	Lodged 03/13/03	This Consent Decree required specific remedial actions at a defined list of pump stations. PRASA was required to submit the detailed list of actions to be performed at each station and a proposed schedule for same, within 90 days of entry date of Consent Decree. All remedial actions at these stations were to be complete within 32 months of the date of final approval of the list. All pump stations were to be subject to an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) plan, which was to be developed by PRASA. A Spill Response and Cleanup Plan was required to be submitted within 90 days of date of entry of Consent Decree. The Plan was to be reviewed annually and updated as necessary.
3	MD	City of Baltimore	09/30/02	Remedial actions included: elimination or modification of designated SSO structures; reporting of SSO events; identification of all SSO structures, flow monitoring, collection system evaluation and sewershed planning, Infiltration and Inflow (I/I) evaluation; elimination of cross connections between sanitary and storm sewers; peak flow modeling; inspection of all gravity sewers 8-inches and larger and all force mains, manholes, etc.; evaluation of long-term capacity; identification and elimination of illegal private connections; rehabilitation of certain pump stations; inspection of all pump stations twice daily until SCADA is installed; update of maintenance information management system; performing pump station preventive maintenance; updating existing O&M manuals; implementing a maintenance program for the collection system and an overall information management system program; inspection of all valves in the collection system; development of an Emergency Response Plan; and reporting both orally and in writing any unauthorized discharges to waters.
3	PA	Borough of Indiana	06/17/02	Objectives of the Consent Decree included compliance with final effluent limits, elimination of bypasses at the treatment plant, and elimination of SSOs. The remedial action for SSO elimination was to implement the EPA-approved SSO Response Plan that was previously submitted. With regard to the other issues, by 07/01/03, the Borough was supposed to have completed the wastewater treatment plant expansion projects, including the entire Wastewater Detention Tank. The Borough was also supposed to complete the Main Plant Interceptor project by 08/01/03.
3	PA	Bradford	04/11/03	This Consent Decree addressed NPDES permit violations and assessed a \$40,000 civil penalty.
3	VA	Galax	01/30/03	This Consent Decree required the City to implement a Comprehensive Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) program. All construction was to be complete by 03/03.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	AL	Jefferson County	12/15/96	The Consent Decree laid out a three-phase plan. Phase I required the County to develop a series of planning documents that would identify the scope, methodologies, time frames, and resources to be allocated to evaluate the condition and capacity of the collection system, identify sources of I/I, and develop remedial measures. Phase II consisted of analyses and reports to determine the extent of rehabilitative needs and corrective actions necessary. Phase III was the implementation phase, in which specific improvements were to be made according to the Capacity Improvement Schedules and the Performance Improvement Plans developed in Phase II.
4	AL	Mobile	01/24/02	The Consent Decree required them to develop both Short-Term and Long-Term Capacity Assurance Programs. They were required to implement an EPA-approved SSO Reporting, Notification, and Record Keeping Program and submit a semi-annual report to EPA analyzing information available through its information management systems. They were also required to submit and implement Legal Support Programs, including an ordinance for grease control, as well as develop and submit a Contingency Plan for Sewer and Wastewater Treatment Facilities. They also had to submit and implement the following programs: Scheduled Pump Station Operation Program, Electrical Maintenance Program, Mechanical Maintenance Program, Force Main Preventive Maintenance (PM) Program, Gravity Line PM Program, Scheduled Hydraulic Cleaning Program, Root Control Program, Unscheduled Maintenance Program, revised Grease Control Program. A wet weather and dry weather water quality monitoring program was also required.
4	FL	Miami-Dade (Second and Final Partial Consent Decree)	04/95	This Consent Decree required an I/I evaluation and rehabilitation program; identification of a service area for each pump station; complete evaluations of 6.96 million feet of sewer lines and associated manholes; addressing I/I on private property; identification and elimination of illegal storm sewer connections to the sanitary sewer; development of a program to identify illegal storm sewer connections; inspection and, where necessary, repair of each pump station; repair or other improvements to pump stations that caused or contributed to SSOs; completion of the installation for the online remote monitoring equipment; submission of a Short-Term Collection System Operating Plan and a Long-Term Collection System Operation Plan; development of and implement a computerized collection and transmission system model; development of a program of pump station upgrades and collection system improvements; implementation of a collection system maintenance program; development of an inventory management system; and develop a program to optimize wastewater treatment efficiency and effectiveness.
4	GA	City of Atlanta	12/20/99	This Consent Decree required that construction for all improvements be complete by 07/01/14.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	GA	City of Atlanta	07/29/99	This Consent Decree required the City to complete construction for the 10th Ward Trunk Sewer Improvements (Plan 6) by 07/31/00, complete construction for the Fairmont/Glidden CSO Separation by 09/30/01, complete construction for the Phase III Relief Sewer by 08/31/02, complete construction for the Veterans Hospital Trunk Sewer Improvements by 11/30/02, complete construction for the Peachtree Interceptor Relief Sewer by 12/31/02, complete construction for the Pine Meadows Sewer Improvements by 01/31/03, and complete construction for the North Fork Peachtree Creek Relief Sewer and the Nancy Creek Sewer System Rehabilitation, the 10th Ward Trunk Sewer Improvements (Part 1-5), the South Fork Peachtree Creek Trunk Relief Sewer, and the Indian Creek Trunk Relief Sewer all by 02/28/03.
4	GA	City of Atlanta	07/13/98	This Consent Decree addressed violations of its NPDES permits by discharging untreated wastewater containing raw sewage and partially treated wastewater into the Chattahoochee and South Rivers and their tributaries.
4	GA	Dalton	Lodged 01/18/01; Entered 03/28/01	This Consent Decree covered SSO, sludge, land application, and pretreatment. Construction was expected to be complete by 12/03.
5	IN	New Albany	06/18/93; Amended 05/03/02	This amended Consent Decree required the City to develop a computerized collection system model, a SSO response plan, and a capacity assurance plan. They were also required to identify and remove all I&I sources unless exempted by EPA, perform wet weather survey and sampling, identify and remove any cross connections, and remove or separate any combined sewers. The capacity assurance plan included proposed remedial measures and a schedule for their design and construction. A comprehensive preventive maintenance program and a grease control program were also required to be developed and implemented. All piped overflows were to be flow metered. Flow volumes and rates of sanitary sewage input to a flood control pump station were to be estimated and sampled, and results submitted to EPA. Bypasses at these locations were to be reported to EPA within 24 hours. Alternative power sources and lightning protection at pump stations were to be provided to prevent overflows and the City was required to demonstrate for one year that all capacity related overflows had been eliminated.
5	IN	South Haven Sewer Works	Lodged 07/17/03	This Consent Decree addressed permit violations.
5	OH	Akron	07/28/95	This Consent Decree required them to have construction complete for all improvements by 12/31/96.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
5	OH	Hamilton County (Interim Partial Consent Decree)	Lodged 02/15/02	This Consent Decree required Interim Remedial Measures (IRM) to include expenditure of \$10-\$15 million on an overflow treatment and storage facility for "SSO 700" and development of an IRM Plan evaluating system, including cost/performance, design storm parameters, etc., and schedule for completion by no later than 12/31/07. After its completion, the County was to conduct an Effectiveness Study of the treatment and storage facility. Permanent Remedial Measures included a Remedial Plan due 12/31/09, with a goal of eliminating the "SSO 700" discharge point. The Remedial Plan was to specify proposed measures, estimated costs, annual O&M costs and expected performance during various storms. It also required a Comprehensive SSO Remediation Program to deal with SSOs in other parts of the system. This included modeling, capacity assessment, SSO response program, SSO monitoring and reporting plan, pump station operation program, industrial waste SSO/CSO management, etc.
5	OH	Toledo	12/19/02	This Consent Decree addressed NPDES permit violations, including failure to implement a Long-Term Control Plan (LTCP). They were required to have construction complete for all improvements by 12/16. This Consent Decree only addressed those that were point source discharges to waters, referred to as Sanitary Sewer Discharges (SSDs). Provisions that apply to SSDs include performing a Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Study (SSES), development of schedule for construction of sanitary sewer improvements; elimination of all known points of illegal discharge by 11/01/06; development of a Separate Sewer System Monitoring and Reporting Plan; development of an SSD response plan; development of an Industrial Wastewater Release Minimization Plan to reduce discharge of industrial pollutants through SSDs; and development of a Sewer System Management, Operation and Maintenance Plan to include gravity sewers, force mains, pump stations.
6	LA	City/Parish of East Baton Rouge	12/23/88; Modified 07/23/97	Remedial measures in this Consent Decree included elimination of cross connections, development of preventive maintenance program and SSO response plans, reporting unauthorized discharges, and monitoring environmental results. Also, a collection system remedial program was to be selected and completed. The components of this program were to include construction of storage basins and tanks, construction, modification or elimination of pump stations, construction of deep underground sewers and construction of treatment facilities. A treatment facility assessment was to be performed to evaluate whether improvement or expansion of three existing plants, or changes in plant O&M, were needed to comply with NPDES permits and handle future loading.
6	LA	City/Parish of East Baton Rouge	03/14/02	The environmental benefit of this Consent Decree was that 1.2 billion gallons will be eliminated annually. A \$1.12 million SEP was to be performed by the City and a penalty of \$945,500 was assessed.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	LA	New Orleans	04/08/98	This Consent Decree required the Board to operate the fluidized bed incinerator with the EPA-approved O&M plan, have backup pumps for the pump stations, have the SCADA installed at all but one of the pump stations, operate a 24-hour manned central dispatch center, and certify that most known cross connections have been permanently sealed. Some cross connections were permitted to be retained, for these, the Cross Connection Security Plan would be followed and a physical barrier such as a valve or gate would be between the storm and sanitary sewers. They were also required to comply with the EPA-approved Preventive Maintenance Program and the Sewer Overflow Action Plan, track and report all unauthorized discharges, undertake a Remedial Action Program which would include flow monitoring, development of a computerized model, identification of construction projects, performance of collection system evaluation studies, and development of a Remedial Measures Action Plan (RMAP). Additionally, they will develop a storm sewer monitoring program.
9	CA	San Diego	06/09/97 Judicial ruling as to liability and penalties, settlement and injunctive relief	This Consent Decree required them to have all construction complete by 12/07.
9	HI	Honolulu	05/15/95	This Consent Decree required implementation of the EPA-approved pretreatment program, and included a requirement to develop technically based local limits for any pollutants of concern. They were also required to submit annual goals for reduction of SSOs for the years 1994 through 1999, and submit a Spill Reduction Action Plan by 12/31/95. This Plan was to include a Preventive Maintenance Plan, procedures for conducting grease trap inspections, evaluation of staffing needs, and equipment inventory. Interim preventive maintenance activities were also specified, including inspection and cleaning of at least 300 miles of sewer per year, semi-annual grease trap inspections, and inspection and cleaning of SSO hot-spots. Additionally, they were required to implement a computerized wastewater information and management system by 12/31/95 and developed an I/I program to prevent wet weather overflows.
9	HI	Maui	09/09/99	This Consent Decree required the County to submit a Spill Reduction Action Plan (SRAP) to include implementation schedules, a spill response plan, a sewer preventive maintenance plan, a pump station spill reduction plan, an employee training program, a Fats, Oil, and Grease (FOG) control program, and a construction spills prevention plan by 01/01/00. They were also required to update its information management system to include systematic recording of collection system O&M activities and information obtained from sewer inspections and grease interceptor inspections and implement a Long-Term Sewer Line System Analysis and Rehabilitation Plan. A long-term treatment plant and pump station plan was required for rehabilitation, replacement and expansion of these facilities. Both of these plans have varying schedules depending on area and quarterly reporting.

K.3 Federal CSO Administrative Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	MA	Chicopee WPCF	06/06/97	This Order required them to complete construction of eliminating dry weather overflows at CSOs 027 and 037 and to complete river inflow improvements. Chicopee WPCF has constructed a CSO related bypass at the WWTP, allowing additional wet weather flow to receive primary treatment at the WWTP, thereby reducing CSOs.
1	MA	Chicopee WPCF	06/03/99	The Order required them to complete construction to eliminate dry weather overflows at CSO 027 (Front Street), CSO 037 (East Main Street), and River inflow improvements and to complete the cleaning of the second siphon at CSO 024 (V).
1	MA	City of Chicopee	09/95	This Order required an abatement schedule for CSOs to Connecticut River.
1	MA	City of Fall River	1987	This Order addressed unauthorized CSO discharges.
1	MA	City of Fall River	1988	This Order addressed unauthorized CSO discharges.
1	MA	City of Fall River	05/05/90	This Order required submittal of a report detailing the causes of the violations, including recommendations for bringing the discharge into compliance with the permitted limitations and a schedule for implementing the recommendations and achieving full compliance.
1	MA	City of Fall River	08/29/91	This second Order required a detailed engineering report evaluating the City's ability to comply with the NPDES permit requirements.
1	MA	City of Fall River	05/05/94	This third Order required, among other things, that the City submit a plan and schedule for bringing its discharge into compliance by 11/01/95.
1	MA	City of Fall River	05/05/97	Under this Order, the City was required to complete construction and attain operational level of the dechlorination system to comply with the permits effluent limits for total residual chlorine and coliform.
1	MA	City of Fitchburg	08/31/94	This Order required them to submit a scope of work and an implementation schedule to complete a Long-Term Control Plan (LTCP).

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	MA	City of Fitchburg	02/2/96; Amended 07/02/96	This Order required the immediate implementation of the Nine Minimum Controls (NMCs), submission of a report documenting NMC implementation by 07/31/96, submission of a draft Long-Term Control Plan by 04/30/98, submission of a final LTCP by 08/29/98, and then implementation of the approved LTCP. The City is proposing separation.
1	MA	City of Fitchburg	12/01/00	This Order required that the City identify appropriate measures to prevent all dry weather CSO discharges from the Collection System. The Order required the City to submit a report that described those measures and provided a schedule for their implementation "as soon as possible". The report was to be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the Order.
1	MA	City of Haverhill	08/09/99	This Order addressed CSO discharges in violation of permit requirements. The Order required the City to complete and submit to EPA and MADEP a draft LTCP by 08/31/00, and a final LTCP by 01/15/01.
1	MA	City of Haverhill	12/17/01, supersedes 11/02/01	The Order required the City to submit a Final LTCP by 08/02/02. The Order also required the City, by 04/01/03, to submit plans and specifications to EPA for: a parallel force main, measures to increase the WWTP peak wet weather capacity (through primary treatment), and primary bypass disinfection. Once approved by EPA, the City was to implement these measures within 28 months. The Order also required the City to submit plans for the structural modifications of Bradford-Side CSOs by 05/03/03, and complete those modifications within 15 months. The Order also required submission and implementation of a CS monitoring program.
1	MA	City of Holyoke	12/08/00	The Order required the City to complete construction of the Green Brook Sewer Separation Project, remove Green Brook flow from the combined sewer system (CSS) and eliminate all dry weather overflows from CSO discharge points.
1	MA	City of Holyoke	04/11/01	The Order required the City to complete construction of Day Brook detention basin project and complete construction of the upgrade to the wastewater treatment facility.
1	MA	City of Holyoke	09/95	Under this Order, they were required to prepare an abatement schedule for CSOs to Connecticut River.
1	MA	City of Holyoke WPCF	03/27/97	This Order required the City to: initiate the appropriation of funds needed to complete the LTCP by 04/15/97; complete the appropriation of those funds by 05/06/97; submit a SRF application by 06/01/97; submit to EPA and MADEP a CSO monitoring plan by 08/01/97; begin implementing the CSO monitoring plan by 09/01/97; submit to EPA and MADEP a CSO optimization plan by 10/01/97; complete implementation of the CSO monitoring plan by 06/30/98; complete implementation of the CSO optimization plan by 03/01/99; complete calibration of a computer model of the collection system by 03/31/99; submit to EPA and MADEP a draft LTCP by 10/01/99; and submit a final LTCP to EPA and MADEP by 04/01/00.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	MA	City of Taunton	01/29/96	The Order required the City to submit to EPA and to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) a revised Scope of Work for conducting long-term improvement projects addressing Infiltration and Inflow (I/I) reduction, combined sewer overflow (CSO) abatement, and the Wastewater Facilities Capital Improvements Plan, all by 02/15/96. The Order also required the implementation of short-term improvements including: installation of a tide gate on the Water Street CSO by 03/01/96, submission of a high flow management plan by 03/15/96, updating of the sewer mapping system by 05/01/96, and submission of collection system and WWTP operating budgets by 05/01/96.
1	MA	City of Worcester	09/18/00	The Order required the City to submit a Scope of Work for development of a Phase I LTCP within 4 weeks of the Order date. The Order required submission of the Phase I LTCP within one year of EPA and MADEP approval of the LTCP SOW. The Phase I LTCP was to include a SOW for development of a Phase II LTCP. Submission of the Phase II LTCP was required 12 months following approval of the Phase I LTCP by EPA and MADEP.
1	MA	Gloucester	1989	The 1989 Consent Decree required a LTCP development. The LTCP was received 04/01.
1	MA	Greater Lawrence Sanitary District, North Andover	06/24/99	This Order required the District to submit to USEPA and MADEP a revised NMC report, and to develop and submit to EPA and MADEP for approval, draft (due 06/31/01) and final (due 12/31/01) LTCP report.
1	MA	Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA)	05/13/96	Under this Order, they were required to plan and implement actions to attain water quality standards.
1	MA	Springfield Water and Sewer Commission, Springfield	04/26/02	This Order required the Commission to: submit a BMP Plan for the Watershops Pond by 03/31/02; submit a final LTCP to EPA and MADEP by 03/15/03; and complete construction of the approved Chicopee River CSO abatement program by 11/15/07.
1	MA	Springfield Water and Sewer Commission, Springfield	09/95	This Order required an abatement schedule for CSOs to Connecticut River.
1	MA	Springfield Water and Sewer Commission, Springfield	03/21/97	The Order required the Commission to: secure funding necessary to develop and implement the LTCP by 04/01/97; submit to EPA and MADEP a report documenting implementation of the NMCs by 04/15/97; submit to EPA and MADEP a CSO monitoring plan and LTCP Work Plan by 07/01/97; engage a consultant to develop the LTCP by 08/15/97; begin implementing the CSO monitoring plan by 03/01/98; complete implementation of the CSO monitoring plan by 06/30/98; complete calibration of a computer model of the collection system and submit to EPA and MADEP a draft LTCP by 05/31/99; and submit a final LTCP by 08/31/99.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	MA	Springfield Water and Sewer Commission, Springfield	11/14/00	Under this Order, the Commission was required to begin construction of interceptor relief conduits and a local storage conduit for CSO 019 by 06/01/02; complete construction of those interceptor relief conduits and local storage conduit for CSO 019 by 12/31/03. Additionally, the Order required the Commission to begin improvements to the York Street Pump Station by 03/01/01; begin improvements to the Union Street Pump Station by 02/01/02; and, complete the pump station improvements by 03/01/04. The City was required to submit a final LTCP by 03/15/02.
1	MA	Town of Agawam	09/21/95	Under this Order, the Town was required to submit a Scope of Work and schedule for CSO facilities planning activities.
1	MA	Town of Agawam	12/30/96	Under this Order, the Town was required to submit a report documenting its implementation of the NMCs by 03/30/97. Following completion of the then-ongoing Little Canada Sewer Separation Project, the Town was then required to implement its existing CSO monitoring plan. Following completion of monitoring, the Town was then required to either submit a plan for additional CSO control, or for the sealing of all CSOs.
1	MA	Town of Ludlow	09/95	This Order required an abatement schedule for CSOs to Connecticut River.
1	MA	Town of Ludlow WTP	12/30/96	Under this Order, they were required to submit a report on the implementation of the NMCs as part of controlling discharges from its CSOs. The Town will implement the CSO monitoring plan.
1	MA	Town of Palmer	01/06/97	This Order addressed CSO discharges in violation of permit and a penalty of \$5,000 was assessed.
1	MA	Town of South Hadley	09/95	This Order required an abatement schedule for CSOs to Connecticut River.
1	MA	Town of South Hadley, WTP	03/14/97	This Order required the Town to: apply for SRF funds by 03/31/97; complete construction of the Falls Sewer Separation Project by 05/03/99; submit plans and a schedule for the East Side sewer separation project by 03/14/98 ; and complete construction of the Mount Holyoke I/I project, the Silver Street catch basin elimination project, and eliminate CSOs #10 and #14 all by 01/01/01.
1	MA	West Springfield	09/01/95	This Order addressed CSO discharges in violation of permit. The Order required a CSO abatement schedule.
1	ME	Augusta Sanitary District	07/20/97	This Order required the District to: complete "Phase I" WWTP wet weather flow capacity improvement projects by 10/31/98; and complete adjustments to the regulators tributary to CSOs 010, 019, 023, 032, and regulators 029B, 029C, 029D, 029E, 029F, and 029G by 08/01/95. Where those adjustments and "flow slipping", are insufficient to control CSOs, the District must complete separation projects by 06/01/98.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	ME	Biddeford	04/22/94	This Order required a CSO abatement schedule.
1	ME	City of South Portland	11/10/97	Under this Order, the City was required to not bypass secondary treatment at flows less than 22.9 MGD. Bypassing was to occur in accordance with a "High Flow Management Plan" approved by MEDEP. Bypassed flow was to receive the equivalent of at least primary treatment and be disinfected during the disinfection season. This requirement was to remain in effect until either: the permit was modified to incorporate a generic bypass provision; expiration of that permit; compliance by the blended effluent with secondary limits; or elimination of the need to bypass as a result of the sewer separation phase of the CSO abatement project.
1	ME	Lincoln Sanitary District	12/17/98	Under this Order, the District was required to implement the collection system and wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) improvements and CSO abatement projects in accordance with the approved Implementation Schedule. Immediate and continued compliance with the NMCs was also required. Also under this Order, the District was required to not bypass secondary treatment at flows less than 2.8 MGD (instantaneous flow) or 1.07 MGD (daily average flow). Bypassing was to occur in accordance with a "High Flow Management Plan" approved by MEDEP. Bypassed flow was to receive the equivalent of at least primary treatment and be disinfected during the disinfection season. This requirement was to remain in effect until either: the permit was modified to incorporate a generic bypass provision; compliance by the blended effluent with secondary limits; or elimination of the need to bypass as a result of sewer separation projects.
1	ME	Sanford Sewerage District, Town of Sanford	07/13/98	The Order required the District to submit a "Draft CSO Master Plan" within 180 days of receipt of the order, and further required completion of the approved Master Plan in accordance with the schedule included therein. Immediate and continued compliance with the NMCs was also required.
1	NH	City of Nashua	04/16/99	Under this Order, the City was required to implement the construction of specified combined sewer separation projects, as well as to implement a yet-to-be-completed Combined Sewer Separation Plan (due within five years of the Order's effective date). The City will eliminate all CSOs by 2019. The City was also required to revise and implement its WWTF High Flow Management plan.
1	NH	City of Portsmouth	07/11/02	This Order required the City to submit a LTCP and an updated NMC plan by 08/01/02. The City was required to submit a preliminary design report for the CSO measures recommended by the LTCP by 02/08/03, and advertise for bids for the construction of a particular project in the vicinity of Outfalls 010A and 010B by 03/03/03 (two of the three CSOs in the City's CS).

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	NH	Lebanon WWTP & City STP	06/06/00	The Order required them to design and construct combined sewer separation projects to eliminate six CSOs (CSOs 010, 027, 026, 005, 022, and 023) by 12/31/08. Additionally, the City was required to submit a plan to EPA to eliminate the seventh CSO (CSO 024) by 12/31/12.
1	NH	Manchester STP	03/08/99	Action was taken to address nonattainment of water quality standards caused by CSOs. The Order required the City to submit plans and specifications for WWTP wet weather treatment modifications within 6 months of the Order's effective date, and to complete construction of those modifications within 12 months of plans and specifications approval. Completion of specified CSO abatement projects ("Phase I" projects) within 10 years of the Order's effective date, completion of a study of CSO #44 within 5 years of Order issuance, provision of a revised ("Phase II") LTCP within 11 years of the Order's effective date, and a \$5.6 million supplemental environmental project (SEP) were also required.
1	NH	Town of Exeter	02/03/97	The Order required the City to submit a report documenting the Town's implementation of the NMCs by 04/30/97.
3	PA	Connellsville Municipal Authority	09/30/02	Under this Order, the Authority was required to provide, within 15 days, an explanation of actions taken to comply with the CSO policy's NMCs requirements and to implement the Authority's existing NMCs Report. Within 30 days, the Authority was required to submit a detailed plan and schedule for fully implementing the NMCs. The order also required the Authority to, within 30 days, identify the resources needed to properly operate and maintain collection system, and to describe any actions the Authority was planning to take to further minimize CSO discharges and water quality impacts.
3	PA	Export Borough	05/15/97	This Order addressed the Borough's failure to obtain and implement the requirements in the CSO general permit. This Order required the Borough to apply to PADEP for a NPDES permit for CSO discharges.
3	PA	Lower Lackawanna Valley Sewer Authority (LLVSA)	12/06/01	This Order required the Authority to submit, within 60 days of receipt of the order, a plan and schedule that identified specific measures to eliminate dry weather overflows. The Authority was required to submit, within 60 days, a plan and schedule detailing how the Authority was expected to fulfill the CSO-related monitoring requirements in its NPDES permit. The Authority was also required to submit, within 180 days, a report identifying critical CSO points and identifying root causes and preventive measures to prevent and/or minimize the impact of discharges from the critical CSO points. Within 45 days of each submittal, the Authority was required to implement that plan.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
3	PA	Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority	11/20/96	This Order addressed the Authority's failure to obtain and implement the requirements in the CSO general permit. This Order required the Authority to apply to PADEP for a NPDES permit for CSO discharges.
3	PA	Scranton	11/27/02	Under this Order, they were required to submit appropriate documentation demonstrating implementation of and compliance with the 1998 NMCs Plan. They were also required to complete and submit to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) and EPA a revised LTCP and a schedule for implementation.
3	WV	City of Marmet	09/04/02	The Order required the City to submit within 60 days: a plan and schedule for complying with the water quality monitoring requirements of its NPDES permit, and a plan and schedule for reducing I/I levels in the collection system.
3	WV	City of McMechan	09/30/02	The Order required the City to submit within 60 days plans and schedules for complying with: the water quality monitoring requirements of its NPDES permit, the collection system O&M provisions of its NPDES permit, the pollution prevention requirements of its NPDES permit, and the CSO monitoring and characterization requirements of its NPDES permit. The Order required implementation of each of these plans within 90 days of the issuance of the order.
3	WV	Kenova	06/10/03	No additional information provided.
5	IL	City of Lawrenceville	09/30/02	The Order required City to construct and operate an oil collection device at the storm sewer outfall to ensure the discharge of oil and pollutants is minimized. Upon completion and after proper operation of the new replacement sewer, the City had the option of removing the oil collection device. The City was also required to submit a New Sewer Work Plan to EPA by 10/30/02, and shall complete construction by 10/30/03.
5	IL	City of Rock Island	02/13/98	This Order addressed CSOs to environmentally sensitive areas and failure to implement the NMCs. The Order also required plant and sewer improvements to reduce CSOs.
5	IN	Bluffton POTW	06/06/00	The Order addressed failure to submit CSO plan. An Administrative penalty order required a SEP and assessed a \$60,000 penalty.
5	IN	Bluffton Utilities	03/19/98	The Order addressed permit violations.
5	IN	Fort Wayne	1995 and 1996	The Order required them to identify all CSO outfalls and to submit a sanitary sewer overflow (SSO) elimination plan and implement the SSO elimination plan.
5	OH	Columbus WWTP	07/17/98	The Order addressed permit violations.
5	OH	Port Clinton	1995	The Order addressed CSOs in violation of permit. This Order eventually resulted in a judicial referral.

K.4 Federal SSO Administrative Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	MA	Town of Winchendon	10/16/00	This Order required the permittee to implement a block testing program to determine the frequency of Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) occurrence and report these results to EPA and Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP). The order also required that an update to the 1998 Preliminary Design Report be submitted to include recommendations on measures necessary to ensure compliance with the NPDES permit.
2	Puerto Rico	PRASA Bayamon WWTP	11/20/02	The order required that Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA) take immediate steps to unclog and clean all sewer pipelines and manholes causing sewage bypasses.
2	Puerto Rico	PRASA Bayamon WWTP	12/23/02	The order required that PRASA take immediate steps to unclog and clean all sewer pipelines and manholes causing sewage bypasses
2	Puerto Rico	PRASA Puente Blanco Pump Station & Collection System	12/23/02	A \$104,000 penalty was assessed for failure to provide proper operation and maintenance leading to the discharge of partially or untreated pollutants to waters of the U.S.
2	Puerto Rico	PRASA Puente Blanco Pump Station & Collection System	01/16/01	The Order addressed NPDES permit violations.
3	PA	Prospect Borough	04/27/01	This Order required them to install and operate the flow equalization tank to eliminate overflows of their sewage. The Order further required the City submit a detailed Compliance Plan, conduct an SSES and establish actions to address deficiencies detected in the SSES, and establish a comprehensive management, operation and maintenance (CMOM) program.
4	KY	City of LaGrange	05/09/01	The Order required them to establish numerous programs and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with its Permit.
4	KY	City of Radcliff Sewerage System	05/09/01	The Order required them to establish engineering, continuing sewer assessment, infrastructure rehabilitation, system capacity assurance, and pump station operation programs and to evaluate and revise existing programs to remediate the causes of the discharges of untreated pollutants to waters of the U.S.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	MS	City of Moss Point Sewer System	09/28/01	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	MS	City of Ocean Springs	06/05/01	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	MS	City of Pascagoula Sewer System	12/04/01	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	MS	City of Pass Christian Sewerage System	09/09/02	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	MS	Gautier Utility District Sewer System	03/26/01	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	SC	City of Simpsonville	02/04/03	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	SC	City of Travelers Rest	11/15/02	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	SC	Diamondhead Water & Sewer District Sewer System	10/18/02	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	SC	Fountain Inn	06/27/02	The Order required the City to review, evaluate, and revise its current Management Program. Additionally, a Training Program, a Safety Program, and the Engineering Program were to be revised and/or developed within 18 months.
4	SC	Gantt	04/29/03	No additional information provided.
4	SC	Georgetown	04/01/03	No additional information provided.
4	SC	Greer	03/20/03	No additional information provided.
4	SC	Laurens County Water and Sewer Commission	08/12/02	The Order required them to establish numerous programs with respect to collection system assessment, operations and maintenance, and evaluate and revise existing programs to achieve continuous compliance with the Permit.
4	SC	Marietta Water, Fire, Sanitation and Sewer District	09/06/02	The Order required the City to review, evaluate, and revise its current Management Program. Additionally, a Training Program, a Safety Program, and the Engineering Program were to be revised and/or developed within 18 months.
4	SC	Piedmont	05/27/03	No additional information provided.
4	SC	Taylors	03/04/03	No additional information provided.
4	SC	Wade Hampton Fire and Sewer District	12/16/02	The Order required them to develop a financing and cost analysis program and a contingency plan for sewer.
4	TN	Rockwood Water and Gas	02/19/02	The order required review, evaluate, revise and/or develop collection system management programs, including Pump Engineering Programs. The City was required to maintain a Pump Station Maintenance and Grease Control Program.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	TN	West Knox Utility District	10/29/01	The order required the establishment of numerous collection system management programs, including the Information Management Systems/Programs and Engineering Programs, to be implemented within 12 months.
5	IL	City of Rock Island, Rock Island	02/13/98	The Order required that a plan of action for achieving compliance must be submitted to EPA for approval within 11 months
5	IN	Fort Wayne	01/17/96	The order required the City to prepare and submit an SSO elimination plan to be implemented upon approval or within 45 days of submittal, whichever came first.
5	IN	Fort Wayne	1995	No additional information provided.
5	IN	Lawrence STP	09/30/02	The Order addressed permit violations.
5	IN	Town of West College Corner	03/22/02	The Order required that the City submit certain information to EPA stating: the current status of compliance for each item on the IDEM approved action plan and the costs associated with each activity, and the needed plant improvements to come into consistent compliance with the NPDES permit, including proposed time to construct and the costs of construction.
5	IN	Town of West College Corner	12/08/97	The Order required that the Town will submit a Compliance Plan (CP) to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) for approval within 30 days.
5	OH	City of Fostoria	09/27/01	The Order required the City to submit a detailed Plan of Action containing a fixed date schedule describing actions taken, or, to be taken, to eliminate Outfall 009E which are not in accordance with the NPDES permit.
5	OH	City of Willoughby Hills	09/26/01	The order required the City to eliminate the discharge of raw or partially treated sewage within 20 days. The City plans to install sanitary sewers in the Oak Street area to alleviate the failing septic system.
5	OH	Lake County	09/26/01	The Order required the County to eliminate the discharges of raw or partially treated source within 20 days.
5	OH	Licking County Buckeye Lake Sewer District No. 1 WWTP	02/05/97	The order required the preparation of a detailed plan of action to eliminate SSOs.
5	OH	Village of College Corner	03/22/02	The Order required that the City submit certain information to EPA.
5	WI	City of South Milwaukee	03/19/01	The Order required that by 11/30/01, the City will eliminate the sanitary flow restrictive junction at 15th Avenue and Manitowac Avenue, install manhole liners in 1,000 manholes and upgrade the Lake Drive Lift Station.
6	AK	City of Hot Springs	06/02/00	Within one month of this Order, the City will submit a comprehensive plan for the expeditious elimination and prevention of unauthorized discharges and overflows of wastewater from its collection system. The plan will provide specific actions to be taken and include a schedule for achieving compliance.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	LA	City of Opelousas	06/06/02	The Order required that the City provide a comprehensive construction progress summary to EPA for Phase I and Phase II rehabilitation activities within one month
6	LA	City of Opelousas	04/30/99	This Order required that all projects for the Phase I, Collection System Rehabilitation will be complete by 08/31/99. The Order also required that all projects for the Phase II, Collection System Rehabilitation will be complete by 09/31/01 and that all projects for the Phase III, Collection System Rehabilitation will be complete by 07/31/02.
6	LA	City of Rayne	2002	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations within one month. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	LA	City of Shreveport	07/29/97	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations within one month. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	LA	City/Parish of East Baton Rouge	09/09/94	This Order was issued requiring the City to report past SSOs from its collection system and to continue reporting those SSOs in tabular form along with its monthly Discharge Monitoring Report (DMRs).
6	LA	City/Parish of East Baton Rouge	01/30/98	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations within one month. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	NM	City of Carlsbad	08/29/00	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations within one month. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	TX	City of Austin	06/10/99	A penalty of \$27,500 was assessed based on, among other things, the number of violations the City committed.
6	TX	City of Austin	10/25/99	The civil penalty of \$21,000 listed in this Order settles all violations.
6	TX	City of Austin	04/29/99	The Order required that the City perform numerous pump station upgrades, carry out infiltration and inflow (I/I) and SSES studies, and implement remedial actions. The Order required that compliance be achieved by 12/31/07.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	TX	City of Austin	09/11/98	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action was possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the SSOs within one month. If one month was not possible, then the City was to submit an action plan detailing how the City intended to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	TX	City of Dallas	10/25/00	Within one month of this Order, the City will take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system. A \$27,500 penalty was assessed.
6	TX	City of Dallas	08/08/00	This Order was issued requiring the City to take corrective action to prevent a discharge to occur again and set up a meeting with EPA.
6	TX	City of Denton	07/26/02	The City will complete construction of Pecan Creek WWTP improvements by 01/04. The City will complete construction of Cooper Creek Lift Station Improvements and the Pecan Creek Interceptor Phase I by 06/04. The City will complete construction of Pecan Creek Interceptor Phase II by 10/05.
6	TX	City of Denton	09/19/02	A \$137,500 penalty was assessed.
6	TX	City of Denton	06/30/99	EPA provided the City with a list of scheduled activities. The City will follow the schedule so that the violations due to overflows/unauthorized discharges from the collection system of the POTW will be corrected.
6	TX	City of Denton	01/14/99	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations within one month. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	TX	City of Galveston	05/18/01	This Order modified the schedule for construction improvements. Complete the Lift Station Improvements and the Trunk Sewer Improvements (trunk sewers without adequate capacity) by 12/31/01. Complete the Trunk Sewer Improvements (to prevent overflows under surcharge conditions) by 12/31/02. All overflows and bypasses of the collection system will be eliminated by 01/31/03.
6	TX	City of Galveston	12/18/00	A \$14,850 penalty was assessed.
6	TX	City of Galveston	07/26/99	The Order modified the schedule for construction improvements. It required the completion of the Lift Station Number One Upgrade by 03/31/00. It required the completion of the Trunk Sewer Improvements (trunk sewers without adequate capacity and Lift Station Improvements) by 12/31/01. All overflows and bypasses of the collection system must be eliminated by 01/01/02.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	TX	City of Galveston	12/09/96	This Order required that the City complete the Port Industrial Main improvements, the 10th Street Trunk replacement/Jones Drive replacement, manhole rehabilitation and structural repairs, and trunk sewer improvements. All improvements were to be complete by 06/30/01.
6	TX	City of Galveston	10/07/96	This Order incorporated a compliance schedule, submitted by the City, for the elimination of wet weather and dry weather overflows throughout the collection system.
6	TX	City of Humble	1998	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations within one month. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	TX	City of Jacksonville	02/22/99	The Order required that the City complete the rehabilitation of the western portion of the collection system by 06/01/99, complete the Sewer System Improvements, Line B4 by 11/01/00, complete the Sewer System Improvements, Line B2 by 04/01/02, and complete more specified improvements on an annual basis.
6	TX	City of Jacksonville	10/22/97	The Order required that by 08/31/98, the City submit to EPA a construction schedule for all improvements necessary to eliminate overflows from their collection system.
6	TX	City of Nederland	05/30/97	The Order required that the City will complete a SSES and submit a schedule for the rehabilitation of all system defects not completed during the SSES by 07/98.
6	TX	City of Nederland	09/16/96	This Order was issued for overflows from the sewer system.
6	TX	City of Nederland	01/31/95	This Order was issued requiring, among other things, that the City submit a plan and schedule for mitigating of the effects of the I/I on the system and the immediate elimination of the bypass located on Avenue C.
6	TX	City of South Houston	09/17/98	Within one month of this Order, the City will take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	TX	City of South Houston	06/25/96	The Order required that the City take whatever corrective action is possible to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations within one month. If one month is not possible, then the City will submit an action plan detailing how the City intends to eliminate overflows in the collection system in the shortest amount of time possible. This plan will include a schedule for eliminating overflows in the collection system.
6	TX	City of South Houston	01/23/96	This Order was issued requiring compliance with a schedule for Activity Numbers One thru Four in the Industrial User Survey submittals.
6	TX	San Antonio Water System	07/11/96	The Order required that the System perform an I/I study of their collection system to include flow monitoring. A submission of schedules for rehabilitation was required when the studies were complete.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	TX	San Antonio Water System	04/14/94	The Order contained a schedule for the installation of permanent flow meters and a study of the collection system. The schedule was modified 04/11/96.
7	MO	City of Campbell	04/04/01	Action taken to eliminate SSOs.
7	MO	City of Platte City	05/22/02	A \$60,000 penalty was assessed.
7	MO	City of Platte City	12/10/02	The City will immediately pay a \$15,000 penalty. Upon satisfactory completion of multiple SEPs projects, the remaining \$45,000 of the total (\$60,000) will be suspended. The SEPs were intended to serve as significant environmental or public health protection and improvement.
10	AK	City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska, Mendenhall Wastewater Treatment Facility	09/11/00	The Order required that a written plan for the elimination of unauthorized discharges be submitted to EPA within one month. The plan must include provisions for a thorough study of the collection system, a plan for addressing the problems identified and a schedule of completion for activities
10	WA	Lummi Indian Business Council of the Lummi Nation, WA	07/29/02	The Order required that the Council perform various wastewater treatment plant upgrades and to investigate and seek available funding mechanisms for the Lummi Shore collection system upgrade by 12/31/02.

K.5 Federal CSO Administrative Penalty Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
1	MA	City of Fitchburg	02/02/96; Amended 07/02/96	\$208,800
1	MA	Town of Palmer	01/06/97	\$5,000

K.6 Federal SSO Administrative Penalty Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
2	Puerto Rico	PRASA Puente Blanco Pump Station & Collection System	12/23/02	\$27,500
3	PA	Monaca Borough	05/20/99	\$18,000
5	IN	Town of West College Corner	12/08/97	\$24,062
6	TX	City of Austin	10/25/99	\$21,000
6	TX	City of Austin	06/10/99	\$27,500
6	TX	City of Dallas	10/25/00	\$27,500
6	TX	City of Denton	09/19/02	\$137,500
6	TX	City of Galveston	12/18/00	\$14,850
7	MO	City of Campbell	04/04/01	\$87,500
7	MO	City of Platte City	05/22/02	\$60,000
10	AK	City and Borough of Juneau, Mendenhall Wastewater Treatment Facility	09/11/00	\$60,000
10	AK	City and Borough of Juneau, Mendenhall Wastewater Treatment Facility	08/15/01	\$30,000

K.7 State CSO Judicial Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	ME	City of Bangor and State of Maine	Entered 06/30/87; Amended 12/01/87	This Order addressed NPDES permit violations. The City was required to pay a \$40,000 civil penalty which provide other relief for certain violations of the NPDES permit requirements.
1	ME	City of Bangor and State of Maine	04/09/91	The City completed a short-term sewer rehabilitation project for a previous State Consent Order and has begun long-term sewer rehabilitation and Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) abatement projects.
2	NJ	Borough of East Newark	02/11/99	The Order required that within 12 months of East Newark's receipt of the Department's Stage II/III TWA, the Borough will complete the required construction and commence operation of the approved treatment works.
2	NJ	Borough of Fort Lee	08/16/95	The Order addressed failure to meet the discharge of solids/floatable requirements established in the 1995 Administrative Consent Order.
2	NJ	City of Bayonne	08/24/00	This was a settlement between both parties stating that both parties had voluntarily agreed to a settlement and that the agreement fully disposed of all issues in controversy and was consistent with the law.
2	NJ	City of Bayonne	08/01/00	The Order required that construction of the Group One CSO Points 006 and 015 will be complete by 07/22/99. Within 15 months from the Department's issuance of the Stage II/III Treatment of Works Approval (TWA) for the Group I CSO points, construction will be complete and the Solids/Floatables control measures will be implemented. Within 15 months of Bayonne's receipt of the Department's Stage II/III TWA, the Group Two CSO Points required construction will be complete.
2	NJ	City of Kearny	02/11/99	The Order required that within 12 months of the City's receipt of the Department's Stage II/III TWA, the City will complete the required construction and commence operation of the approved treatment works. By 01/31/00, the City will complete construction for the Storm Sewer System 1A (Sewer Separation Project).
2	NJ	City of Paterson	05/22/02	The Order required the City to complete construction of Romag CSO Points 30 and 31 by 06/01/05. The City will complete construction of Romag CSO Point 16 by 06/01/04. The City will complete construction of Romag CSO Points 25, 27, and 29 by 12/01/07. The City will complete construction of the sewer separation by 12/31/04.
2	NJ	Village of Ridgefield Park	08/20/99	The Order required, among other things, construction of the approved Long-term Solids/ Floatables Control Measures will be complete within nine months of receipt of Department's Stage II/III approval. Construction will be complete for the Solids/Floatables Control Facilities at outfall 001 and outfall 002 by 01/17/00, at outfall 003 by 11/30/99, at outfall 005 by 12/01/99, and at outfall 006 by 11/09/99.
2	NJ	Village of Ridgefield Park	07/22/98	The Order addressed permit violations.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NY	Onondaga County Department of Drainage and Sanitation and, Onondaga County	01/20/98	The County was required to design, test, and construct modifications and additions to the Metro facility, including diversion of the Metro's effluent to the Seneca River. By 11/01/03, the County will complete construction of the Full Scale Ammonia Removal Project. By 04/01/05, the County will complete construction of the Phosphorus Removal/ Effluent Filtration Project. By 06/01/02, the County will complete construction and commence full operations of all projects to achieve Stage III effluent limits, or achieve revised effluent limits.
2	NY	Onondaga County Department of Drainage and Sanitation and, Onondaga County	02/01/89	The County was obligated to develop a Municipal Compliance Plan that would bring the County's effluent discharges from the Metro facility and the CSOs into compliance with the State's effluent limitations and water quality standards, and then implement such a plan.
3	MD	Frostburg (The Mayor and Town Council of)	12/14/01	Immediately following the Department's approval of its LTCP, Frostburg will begin implementation of the LTCP and then complete implementation of the LTCP in accordance with the approved schedule.
3	MD	The City of Cambridge (The Mayor and Commissioners of)	02/05/99	This Order stated that within 11 months of the notice to proceed construction, the City will complete construction of the interceptor work along Water Street and complete separation of the combined sewer and storm water lines along Mill Street and Vue de L'eau Street. Within seven months of the respective notice to proceed with construction of the Phases II, III, IV, V, and VI improvements, complete construction of Phases II, III, IV, V, and VI improvements.
3	MD	The City of Cambridge (The Mayor and Commissioners of)	11/23/93	The Order required the City to improve the Cambridge Wastewater Treatment Plant and the sewer system. The City will also submit a study, plan and schedule for improvements to the sewer system design to alleviate wastewater discharges into any and all streets.
3	MD	Westernport (The Mayor and Town Council of)	08/23/02	Within one month after this Consent Decree, Westernport will implement all elements of the Nine Minimum Controls (NMCs). Within one month following the Department's approval of its Long-Term Control Plan (LTCP) and schedule, Westernport will implement its LTCP.

K.8 State SSO Judicial Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NJ	Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority (RVSA)	07/09/01	Within 31 months of this Order, RVSA will complete construction of the Final Effluent Polishing Facilities, Disinfection Facilities, Pumping Facilities, Sampling Chambers, and Auxiliary Power. Within 31 months of completion of Phase I, Phase II construction will be complete.
3	VA	District of Columbia, Fairfax	01/25/02	In this Order, the District agreed to pay the Commonwealth \$325,000 in settlement of violations and close the Lorton facility.
3	WV	Crab Orchard/ MacArthur Public Service District	02/04/00	This Consent Decree was issued for failure to comply with State water quality standards and effluent limitations for discharging pollutants into the Piney Creek and other waters of the State, for violating their NPDES Permit, and for failure to comply with several orders.
3	WV	St. Albans Municipal Utility Commission, City of St. Albans	10/13/98	This Consent Decree was issued for failure to comply with state water quality standards and effluent limitations for discharging pollutants into the Kanawha River and other waters of the State, for violating their NPDES Permit, and for failure to comply with several orders.
4	AL	The City of Brent, Brent Water and Sewer Board, City of Centreville, and the Centreville Waterworks and Sewer Board	01/30/02	Within 12 months of this Order, they will repair Pump Stations Numbers One, Two, Three, Four, and Five in the Centreville and Brent collection system.
4	AL	The Water Works and Sewer Board of the City of Prichard	01/30/97	Within 420 days of this Order, the construction of the Gumtree Branch replacement sewer line or any other cost-effective remedy approved by Alabama Department of Environmental Management will be complete. Within 1,230 days of this Order, the construction of the new side stream storage facility will be complete.

K.9 State CSO Administrative Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	ME	City of Bath	01/09/92	Before 06/01/93, the City will submit to the Department for review and approval a master plan for abatement of Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) from its sewerage system, including a schedule. The City will also develop a long-term Pump Stations Facility Plan, including a schedule. By 07/01/92, develop a Comprehensive Treatment Plant Facilities Plan to address the current and future needs of the City to achieve state of the art wastewater treatment consistent with its discharge license limitations.
1	ME	City of Biddeford	07/22/91	The Order required, among other things, that by 01/01/93, they will complete sewer system improvement projects in order to eliminate or abate CSO discharges. Beginning in 01/92, the City will complete all other CSO abatement projects described in the approved interim and final Sewer System Master Plan.
1	ME	City of Brewer and Eastern Fine Paper Company	02/27/92	The Order required a CSO Master Plan will be submitted to the Department before 06/01/93. This master plan will include a schedule for implementation and construction of all projects.
1	ME	City of Westbrook, Portland Water District, Westbrook	10/21/91	The Order required that by 12/91, Westbrook and Portland Water District will complete all projects listed and described in the Final Sewer System Master Plan.
1	ME	The City of Portland and Portland Water District	02/13/91	The Order required that by 12/93, Portland and Portland Water District will complete sewer system improvement projects in order to eliminate or abate CSO discharges.
1	ME	Town of Bucksport	03/01/90	The Order required that by 02/01/90, the Town will submit to the Department for review and approval, plans and a schedule for the installation of chlorination/dechlorination facilities. By 02/15/90, the Town will submit to the Department for review and approval a plan monitoring CSOs from its sewage system.
1	ME	Town of Lisbon	05/24/90	The Order required that by 05/01/90, the Town will submit to the Department for review and approval, a process control plan to specifically deal with filamentous bulking. The Town will also submit to the Department for review and approval, a pump station and sewer maintenance program, including a time schedule for implementation of this pump station and sewer maintenance plan.
1	ME	Town of Lisbon	04/13/88	The Order addressed upgrading the Town's secondary wastewater treatment facilities.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NJ	Borough of Fort Lee	06/01/95	The Order required that within 15 months of the Borough's receipt of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection's (NJDEP's) Stage II/III approval, the Borough will complete construction and commence operation of the approved Long-term Solids/Floatables Control Measures.
2	NJ	Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority	02/27/98	The Order addressed, among other things, permit violations.
2	NJ	Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority	03/31/97	The Order addressed, among other things, permit violations.
2	NJ	City of Camden	08/23/99	The City was required to fully implement the O&M Program and develop and implement the CSO Pollution Prevention Plan by 09/30/99.
2	NJ	City of Camden	03/31/97	The Order addressed, among other things, permit violations.
2	NJ	City of Gloucester	07/22/99	The Order addressed, among other things, permit violations. The City was supposed to plan, design, improve, operate and maintain its combined sewer system (CSS) as required and set forth by its permit.
2	NJ	City of Gloucester	03/31/97; Amended 06/30/98	The Order addressed, among other things, permit violations.
2	NJ	City of New Brunswick	04/25/94	The Order required that within six months from the start of construction for the backflow prevention device, the construction will be complete. Additionally, the City was required to submit a time table for attaining the completion of the sewer separation project by 12/01/94.
2	NJ	City of Newark	05/21/01	Under this Order, the City was required to complete construction and commence operation of the Long-Term Solids/ Floatable Control Plan for all its CSO points within 15 months of the City's receipt of the Department's Treatment of Works Approval (TWA).
2	NJ	City of Newark	12/07/98	The Order addressed the City's noncompliance with its permit.
2	NJ	City of Paterson	02/01/99	The Order addressed permit violations.
2	NJ	City of Perth Amboy	07/26/95	The City was required to complete construction and eliminate the illegal discharges from the homes located on High and Hartford Streets at the corner of Buckingham Avenue, complete construction for the Budapest sewer separation project, eliminate the raw sanitary waste water discharge from DSN 001, and permanently close the seal at DSN 001. The City was also required to complete the upgrades/ repairs to the State Street, Front Street, and Amboy Avenue pump stations within 12 months of beginning construction and complete the repair of the CSO pipes at DSN 002 and DSN 017 within six months.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NJ	City of Perth Amboy	09/30/93	The City was required to complete construction and implementation of a project that is used to control the discharge of solids/ floatables and properly dispose of solids/ floatables by 03/01/97.
2	NJ	City of Rahway	05/08/00	This Order required the City to complete construction necessary to remove the identified sources of inflow contributing to outfalls 001, 004 and 005 by 11/01/03. Additionally, the City was required to complete construction necessary for the separation of the combined sewer tributary to outfalls 003 and 004 by 03/01/03. The City was required to complete construction necessary to remove the identified sources of inflow contributing to outfalls 002 and 003 by 05/01/04. The City was also required to complete construction necessary for the separation of the combined sewer tributary to outfall 002 by 03/01/04.
2	NJ	Edgewater Municipal Utilities Authority	09/30/93	The Order required the City to complete construction and implement measures to control the discharge of solids/ floatables and properly dispose of these solids/floatables by 03/01/97.
2	NJ	Hoboken/Union City/Weehawken Sewerage Authority (HUCWSA)	09/30/93	Under this Order, HUCWSA will complete construction and implementation of a project that is used to control the discharge of solids/ floatables and properly dispose of solids/ floatables by 07/01/97.
2	NJ	Middlesex County Utilities Authority	04/13/95	This Order addressed violations of the Water Pollution Control Act (WPCA), implementing regulations, and its permit.
2	NJ	Middlesex County Utilities Authority (MCUA)	06/05/96	The Order required MCUA to complete the upgrade of the worse 20 metering chambers to accurately measure all flows, including peak flows during storm events by 10/01/97. MCUA was also required to complete the upgrade of all of the remaining metering chambers to accurately measure all flows, including peak flows during storm events by 04/01/98, .
2	NJ	Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority (RVSA)	09/30/93	RVSA was required to complete construction and implementation of a project that is used to control the discharge of solids/ floatables and properly dispose of solids/ floatables by 03/01/97.
2	NJ	Town of Guttenberg	09/30/93	This Order addressed the failure to comply with its permit, the WPCA, and the SIIA.
2	NJ	Town of North Bergen Municipal Utilities Authority (NBMUA), Town of Guttenberg/ Woodcliff Sewage Treatment Plant	12/13/95	This Order required NBMUA to complete construction and commence operation of the control measures in the Interim Solids/ Floatables Control plan within 12 months of the NBMUA's receipt of NJDEP's Stage II/III approval. Also, NBMUA will complete construction and commence operation of the Long-Term Solids/ Floatables Control measures within 15 months of receipt of NJDEP's Stage II/III approval.
2	NJ	Town of North Bergen Municipal Utilities Authority (NBMUA), Town of Guttenberg/ Woodcliff Sewage Treatment Plant	09/30/93	This Order addressed the failure to comply with its permit, the WPCA, and the Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act (SIIA).

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NJ	West New York Municipal Utilities Authority (WNYMUA)	09/30/93	Under this Order, WNYMUA will develop and implement technology-based control measures to address the minimum technology-based limitations by 10/01/95. Additionally, WNYMUA will complete construction/ implementation of the Long-Term Solids/ Floatables Control Measure Strategy by 03/01/97.
2	NY	New York City, Department of Environmental Protection (NYDEP)	06/26/92	The Order required the NYDEP to establish an environmental benefit program, costing \$250,000; continue its CSO abatement program, including the Track One CSO Abatement (DO and Coliform) and the Track Two CSO Abatement (Floatables); and supplement the data collection gathered as part of the NY/NJ Harbor Estuary Program and gather additional information concerning the contribution of heavy metals to the Harbor from CSOs.
2	NY	New York City, Department of Environmental Protection (NYDEP)	Modification 03/96	In addition to the CSO Abatement Program required by the 1992 Order, this modification required the NYDEP to add another program which consisted of the inspection, inventory, mapping, replacement of missing hoods, and cleaning to facilitate inspection and hood replacement of those catch basins located in Phases I & II areas identified in this Order. They were required to retain a consulting firm to perform the inspections of each of the catch basins identified. Additionally, they were required to submit a scope of work to determine an appropriate and cost-effective catch basin cleaning program for floatables capture and flood control in specific locations throughout the City.
3	DE	City of Wilmington	02/06/03	The Order required the City to modify the significant industrial users (SIUs) permits, determine whether any dry weather flow from a CSO is an overflow or infiltration, and notify the citizens of when and where CSOs occur.
3	MD	Mayor and City Council of Frostburg	12/30/96	This Order was issued requiring them to implement a CSO Control Program or a plan to enable it to comply with the EPA CSO Control Policy, including NMCs by 07/01/97.
4	TN	Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County	09/17/99	The City and County was required to have all CSO controls, including but not limited to, floatable material and debris removal, combined sewage storage and/or detention and CSO elimination, in place by 07/01/01. The City and County will eliminate all overflows or bypassing from its sanitary sewers to all waters of the state by 07/01/01. Of the total \$600,000 penalty, \$100,000 will be due within one month of this Order. However, in lieu of the \$100,000, a SEP may be performed by the City and the County.
5	IN	City of Bluffton	06/24/03	This Order required the City to immediately implement the approved LTCP and adhere to the milestone dates.
5	IN	City of Boonville	11/25/02	This Order required the City to immediately implement the approved LTCP and adhere to the milestone dates.
5	IN	City of New Castle	01/27/03	This Order required the City to immediately implement the approved LTCP and adhere to the milestone dates.
5	IN	City of Sullivan	01/22/03	This Order required the City to immediately implement the approved LTCP and adhere to the milestone dates. Also, the City was required to submit to IDEM a Compliance Plan, including a construction schedule by 03/01/03.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
5	IN	Town of Centerville	11/25/02	This Order required the Town to submit to Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) the CSO Operational Plan and the Stream Reach Characterization Evaluation Report as soon as possible but no later than 06/01/03, and complete the LTCP.
5	IN	Town of Remington	06/06/03	The Order required the Town to complete construction, cease overflows from Outfall 004, and provide IDEM a certification statement of completion of construction within nine months of this Order.
5	IN	Town of Ridgeville	09/11/02	This Order required the City to immediately implement the approved LTCP and adhere to the milestone dates.
5	IN	Town of Ridgeville	10/15/01	This Order required the Town to submit to the IDEM its complete Stream Reach Characterization and Evaluation Report by 09/30/01 and submit its LTCP for approval by 03/15/02.
5	IN	Town of Summitville	01/29/03	This Order required the City to immediately implement the approved LTCP and adhere to the milestone dates.
7	MO	City of Macon, MO	05/29/01	This Order required that a schedule for the Nine Minimum Controls (NMCs) be submitted by 06/01/01. Upon review and approval of the LTCP and the schedule for implementation of the LTCP, the City will complete each phase of the LTCP in accordance with the approved schedule.
7	MO	City of Sedalia, MO	06/15/92; Amended 02/10/03	This Order required the City to complete construction of Phase I by 12/01/04, complete construction of Phase II by 12/01/05, and complete construction of Phase III by 09/15/07.
10	OR	City of Astoria	01/07/93	The City has completed the studies and planning activities required by the Order, and on 09/30/98, submitted a CSO Facilities Plan to the Department.
10	OR	City of Astoria	08/05/02	This Order required the City to eliminate all untreated CSO discharges within 15 months of Department approval of the Plans and Specifications.
10	OR	City of Corvallis	11/09/92	Under this Order the City was required to eliminate discharges that violate applicable water quality standards, subject to storm return frequencies at five CSO discharge points by 12/31/01.
10	OR	City of Portland	08/11/94	Under this Order, the City was required to eliminate untreated CSO discharges at 20 of the CSO discharge points, including discharges to Columbia Slough by 12/01/01, eliminate untreated CSO discharges at 16 of the remaining discharge points by 12/01/06, and eliminate untreated CSO discharges at all remaining CSO discharge points by 12/01/11.
10	OR	City of Portland	08/05/91	Under this Order, they were required to carry out necessary studies and corrective actions to eliminate the discharge of untreated overflows from the combined sewer system, up to one in ten year summer storm event and up to a one in five year winter storm event. The City was required to submit scope of study for the facilities plan and for an interim control measures study.
10	WA	City Of Spokane	12/13/99	This Order addressed untreated sewage discharging from the City's CSO outlet #15. A penalty of \$15,000 was eventually assessed for the violation.

K.10 State SSO Administrative Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
1	NJ	Bergen County Utilities Authority Sewage Treatment, Bergen County	12/17/91; Amended 05/20/97; Amended 02/01/99	This Order required Bergen County Utilities Authority to complete the Municipal Sewer Operations and Maintenance Program and submit a final report to the Department by 10/01/05.
1	NJ	Cliffside Park Borough, Bergen County	06/22/01	This Order required the Borough to plan, design, construct, operate, and/or implement modifications to the collection and conveyance facilities that will result in the elimination of major storm water contributions to the CSOs from Sanitary Sewer Outlet Plan, Regulator Ten. Construction was required to be completed by 06/01/02.
2	NJ	Borough of Flemington	10/20/99	The Borough was required to immediately cease all unpermitted discharges of pollutants emanating from its wastewater collection system.
2	NJ	Borough of Flemington	04/08/03	This Order addressed permit violations.
2	NJ	City of Summit	05/28/99	The City was required to immediately cease all unpermitted discharges from the System and seal the overflow point from the Chatham Road Pump Station holding tank.
2	NJ	City of Summit	07/23/02	This Order required the city to submit a comprehensive upgrade plan with a time schedule by 08/01/02; within 12 months of issuance of the Treatment Of Works Approval (TWA), the City was to complete construction and installation and commence operation of the additional pump(s).
2	NJ	Englewood Cliffs Borough	05/15/97	This Order required the Borough to complete construction of Category 1A by 04/01/02, and complete construction of Category 1B by 04/01/09.
2	NJ	Ewing-Lawrence Sewerage Authority, Trenton	09/14/00	Under this Order, Ewing-Lawrence Sewerage Authority was required to complete private property I/I corrective action for all properties which discharge into the sewage collection system upstream of Jacobs Creek Diversion Chamber by 07/01/02.
2	NJ	West Milford Township Municipal Utilities Authority	03/28/00	This Order required the Authority to complete construction of the recommendations of the Phase I Report by 10/16/02.
2	NY	City of Oneida	01/04/01	This Order required the City to submit for review an approvable composite correction program with a proposed schedule of work within two months of this Order, after Department approval of the comprehensive performance evaluation final report.
2	NY	City of Sherrill	04/09/90	This Order required the City to submit to the Department for approval a plan and implementation schedule for bringing the City into compliance with its flow limits of its State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit within nine months of this Order.
2	NY	County of Westchester	08/17/98	The County was required to complete all repairs to the Public I/I by 12/31/02, and complete construction of the SSO Treatment Facilities for New Rochell S.D. Outfalls 003 and 005 by 04/01/04.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NY	Mount Vernon Sewer District, Hamburg	10/22/01	This Order required the District to submit an engineering report for abatement of all SSOs, including an approvable schedule, within six months of this Order.
2	NY	Town of Coeymans	05/04/01	The Order required the Town to submit to the Department for approval an I/I reduction plan to address excessive I/I within the Town. They were also required to submit to the Department for approval a detailed Wet Weather Operations Plan to minimize the discharge of pollutants during wet weather and to prevent/minimize upset conditions.
2	NY	Town of East Greenbush	11/08/00	This Order required the Town to submit to the Department for approval an I/I investigation plan by 01/15/01.
2	NY	Town of Greenport	06/06/01	This Order required the Town to submit to the Department for approval an I/I remedial plan and expeditious schedule by 08/01/02.
2	NY	Town of North Greenbush	11/27/00	This Order required that by 10/15/00, the Town would submit to the Department for approval an I/I removal plan that will result in the elimination of excessive I/I within the sewer system.
2	NY	Town of North Greenbush Modified	10/2/01	The Order required the Town to submit to the Department for approval an I/I remedial plan which was to include the results of the I/I investigation by 01/01/02.
2	NY	Town of Owasco	06/11/01	This Order required the Town to complete construction of Contract Number One by 10/26/01, as well as complete construction of Contract Number Two and eliminate existing sewer overflows by 02/28/02.
2	NY	Town of Sand Lake	07/21/00	This Order required the Town to submit to the Department for approval an I/I investigation plan which will include a schedule for implementation by 08/15/00.
2	NY	Town of Tonawanda	01/02/87; Revised 03/22/95	The Town was required to install 4,400 new sump pumps and correct 1,650 existing sump pumps and 6,600 downspouts by 12/31/05.
2	NY	Town of Tonawanda	07/05/95	The Town was required to submit to the Department for approval a City Sanitary and Storm Sewer Management Plan by 09/01/95, and eliminate 322 of the 446 cost effective private sources of inflow identified in the City by 06/30/95.
2	NY	Town of Tonawanda	02/87	This Order required the Town to submit a report detailing cost-effective work which would minimize raw sewage overflows to surface waters and the complete the required work established in the schedule of this Order.
2	NY	Village of Attica	09/18/97	This Order required the Village to submit certification from a professional engineer that the inflow removal Compliance Actions would be completed according to the Schedule of Compliance outlined in the permit by 11/01/97. The Order also required them to submit a Plan of Study prepared by a professional engineer to identify and remove all sources of excessive infiltration in the Village's sanitary sewer collection system by 12/01/97.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NY	Village of Cobleskill	07/22/98	This Order required that within 12 months of this Order, construction of Phase I would be complete, within 24 months of this Order, construction of Phase II would be complete, within 36 months of this Order, construction of Phase III would be complete, and Phase IV would be complete within 48 months of this Order if Phases I-III do not adequately address I/I.
2	NY	Village of Cobleskill	Modified 05/31/01	This Order required that by 12/31/01, the design and construction of walls and additional piping in the aeration tanks would be complete and by 06/15/01, bypasses to the wastewater treatment facility would be eliminated. Additionally, construction of Phase I was required to be complete within six months of this Order and construction of Phase II would be complete within 24 months of this Order.
2	NY	Village of Endicott	02/01/90	This Order required the Village to submit to the Department a plan to locate, install, and monitor newly installed wells by 03/15/90. The Village was also required to submit to the Department for approval, a Scope of Services report by 02/15/90 and within the appropriate time frame, complete the Phase I and Phase II of the I/I investigation.
2	NY	Village of Fort Ann	08/07/01	This Order addressed permit violations and assessed a \$17,000 penalty. Within 12 months of construction start, all corrective measures were supposed to be complete.
2	NY	Village of Hancock	01/31/02	This Order required the Village to have the valves located upstream of the Brooklyn and Pennsylvania Avenue pump stations be permanently closed by 06/01/02.
2	NY	Village of Kenmore	01/28/87; Modified 06/09/95	This Order required the Village to install sump pumps, if necessary, by 05/01/89.
2	NY	Village of Saranac Lake	10/03/00	The City was required to implement all improvements to manhole number ten by 11/30/00. The City will submit an approvable plan for continuous ongoing sewer system assessment, flow monitoring, correction and maintenance, including a schedule for each, by 02/28/01.
2	NY	Village of Schuylerville	04/04/01	The Village was required to submit to the Department, within 14 months after completion of "the project," an engineering report that evaluates the effectiveness of sump pump removal and replacement of sanitary sewers and manholes at reducing I/I.
2	NY	Village of Stamford	01/24/00	The Order required the Village to begin the I/I remedial work and complete all work by 06/30/02.
2	NY	Village of Stamford	Modified 06/05/00	This Order required that by 06/30/00, the Village would submit to the Department for approval an I/I investigation plan.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
2	NY	Village of Williamsville	04/13/98	This Order required the Village to submit to the Department for approval a plan for continuous ongoing sewer system assessment, flow monitoring, correction and maintenance, including a compliance schedule by 09/01/97, as well as an engineering report for abatement of discharges from sanitary sewer outfalls 001 thru 008 by 09/01/98.
3	VA	Caroline County Regional WWTP, Caroline County	04/01/02	This Order addressed violations of its permit for an unpermitted discharge, failure to take reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge which has a likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment, and failure to properly operate and maintain systems. The County was required to develop and implement written procedures for identification of potential collection system problems; review and evaluate operation and maintenance staffing; update all O&M manuals for the pumping stations and the WWTP; and develop and implement an inspection program.
3	VA	Commander, Navy Region, Mid-Atlantic (Regional Engineer)		This Order resolved certain violations of the State Water Control Law and Regulations of the State Water Control Board. To correct these violations, the Navy was supposed to install a cured-in-place lining in the sewer line adjacent to pump station 1958; submit a maintenance plan for grease traps for approval; submit a line-cleaning plan for specific lines for approval; and submit a map and list of all gravity sewer lines that have experienced overflow problems all by 03/01/03.
3	VA	Henrico County Water Reclamation Facility, Henrico County		This Order addressed violations of environmental laws and regulations, which required the County to begin implementation of the Operation and Maintenance (O&M) manual and maintenance schedule within three months of Department approval of the manual. A schedule for completion of specific I/I projects was supposed to be submitted for approval within two months of this Order.
3	VA	Henrico County Water Reclamation Facility, Henrico County	02/19/98	A Consent Order was issued to Henrico County due to SSOs of sewage from its collection system. In a letter dated 01/08/02, the County reported that all projects outlined in the Consent Order had been completed except for one, which the Order required this project to be completed by 01/01/03.
3	VA	Lorton Correctional Complex STP, District of Columbia Department of Corrections, Fairfax County	08/24/89; Amended 08/20/92 and 08/25/95 and Canceled 04/28/00	The 1989 Order required the District to upgrade the STP in phases to achieve compliance with more stringent permit effluent limits. In 1992, the construction schedule was amended at the District's request and the 1995 Amendment was in response to the District's decision to construct the pumpover system and bring the STP off-line.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
3	VA	Lorton Correctional Complex STP, District of Columbia Department of Corrections, Fairfax County	05/09/97; Amended 8/15/00	The 1997 order required the District to, among other things, eliminate overflows from the STP and collection system. The District also had to comply with the permit and interim effluent limits and eliminate the STP's discharge or, at a minimum, 0.5 MGD of the discharge, by 08/01/99. A penalty of \$25,000 was assessed. The amended order required the District to maintain an adequate staff of operators at the STP, secure the services of a consultant engineering firm, and install a high-level alarm at the manhole that overflowed at the corrections facility.
3	VA	Massaponax WWTP, County of Spotsylvania	02/19/98	The Order required the County to pay a civil penalty of \$53,200 for permit violations. The Order also required, among other things, that the County operate the WWTP in a workman-like manner, in compliance with the WWTP's Permit and O&M manual, in order to ensure that overflows of raw or partially treated sewage are eliminated.
3	VA	Massaponax WWTP, County of Spotsylvania	Amendment 11/25/98	This amendment required the County to submit revised plans, specifications, and a schedule for review and approval for upgrading and expanding the WWTP to meet specific permit limits; operate the WWTP in a workman-like manner, and complete construction of the upgrade and expansion by 01/15/03.
3	VA	Town of Vinton		This Order addressed I/I issues that occurred in the collection system. Three projects were initiated as a result of this order. The first project was the Wolf Creek Sanitary Sewer Improvements which called for the pump station and part of a gravity sewer pipe to be replaced by 04/01/04. The second project was the Chestnut Mountain Subdivision Sewer System Evaluation and Repairs which called for the Town to complete repairs of the sewer line and associated manholes serving that area by 02/15/05. The third project is the Lindenwood Subdivision Sewer System Evaluation and Repairs which required the Town to complete repairs of the sewer line and the associated manholes in that area by 02/15/08.
3	VA	U.S. Marine Corps, Marine Corps Base Quantico, Quantico Mainside WWTP		This Order resolved certain violations of the State Water Control Law and Regulations, particularly violations of exceeding effluent limitations. By 08/30/02, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) was notified by Quantico that the WWTP's upgrade was completed in accordance to approved plans.
3	WV	City of Parkersburg Utility Board, Parkersburg	08/26/02	In this Order, the City and Parkersburg Utility Board will maximize flows to the treatment plant during both dry and wet weather conditions in order to reduce the number, volume, and duration of discharges from the City's SSOs. The City plans on completing construction of the Long-term SSO Abatement Improvements by 10/31/09. A penalty of \$12,500 was assessed.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
3	WV	City of South Charleston Sanitary Board and South Charleston Sewage Treatment Company, South Charleston	12/18/98	The City was required to submit a CSO plan, complete and implement the technology based controls established in the Order, and complete an evaluation of water quality impacts. By 06/01/99, they will submit a revised corrective action plan and compliance schedule for the elimination of the 26 SSOs.
3	WV	North Putnam Public Service District, Scott Depot	11/01/00	This Order required the District to proceed with the continued implementation of the I/I identification and elimination measures needed to eliminate extraneous flows, and, in the interim, utilize the temporary sanitary sewer system overflow to alleviate potential adverse conditions.
3	WV	North Putnam Public Service District, Scott Depot	Amended 04/13/01	This amendment requested an extension to the compliance schedule outlined in the 2000 Order.
3	WV	Town of Athens	06/23/99	This Order required the Town to immediately comply with the interim limitations established in the Order. By 06/30/01, the Town will either cease operation of their existing WWTP or have it upgraded to meet the Permit requirements. Construction of the treatment plant upgrade will be complete by 06/30/01.
3	WV	Town of Winfield	04/16/02	The Town was required to immediately proceed with the continued implementation of the I/I identification and elimination measures needed to eliminate extraneous flows, and, in the interim, utilize the temporary sanitary sewer system overflow to alleviate potential adverse conditions.
3	WV	Town of Winfield	Amended 06/06/03	This amendment requested a temporary SSO, actively pursued the necessary processes for identifying and eliminating sources of I/I within the Town's wastewater collection system, and requested an extension to complete the wastewater system improvements and cessation of the temporary SSO.
4	AL	Arab Sewer Board (Arab Riley Maze Creek WWTP)	04/28/00	This Order required them to have a professional, licensed engineer prepare a compliance plan for them to submit. The plan was to evaluate the causes of the bypass and overflow discharges of raw sewage at the treatment plant and make recommendations on how to eliminate or significantly reduce the discharges. A civil penalty of \$2,300 was assessed.
4	AL	Arab Sewer Board (Gilliam Greek WWTP)	04/28/00	This Order required them to have a professional, licensed engineer prepare a compliance plan for them to submit. The plan was to evaluate the causes of the bypass and overflow discharges of raw sewage at the treatment plant and make recommendations on how to eliminate or significantly reduce the discharges. A civil penalty of \$1,300 was assessed.
4	AL	City of Attalla, Attalla Wastewater treatment lagoon	02/26/02	This Order required the City to pay a civil penalty of \$1,200 plus remove pollutants from their discharge during wet weather to the maximum extent possible. Additionally, the City was required to conduct and complete a thorough investigation of the existing treatment works and maintenance and operating procedures of the facility and, prepare and submit a compliance plan.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	AL	City of Cullman, Cullman WWTP	Modification 11/10/99	This Order required the City to execute the necessary contracts for the construction of the new or additional treatment works or modification of existing treatment works necessary to achieve compliance.
4	AL	City of Cullman, Cullman WWTP	10/29/98	This Order established certain deadlines for the City to achieve compliance, which the City later requested extensions for those deadlines due to conditions beyond its reasonable control.
4	AL	City of Moulton	06/19/97	This Order required the City to submit a compliance plan which evaluated the causes of SSOs at the manhole near the intersection of Alabama Highway 24 and 33 and the City and made recommendations on how to eliminate or significantly reduce SSOs and achieve compliance. They were also required to employ a registered professional engineer to inspect the entrance bridge and service road to determine the need for repairs and replacement to ensure reliable access to the facilities.
4	AL	City of Tuscaloosa	11/07/01	The Order required the City to complete the ultraviolet disinfection project and have a substantially complete and operational ultraviolet disinfection system at the wastewater treatment plant by 10/01/03.
4	AL	Decatur Utilities	11/09/00	The Order required them to have a registered professional engineer prepare and submit a compliance plan which evaluated the causes of the bypasses or overflows and made recommendations on how to eliminate or significantly reduce the bypasses or overflows to achieve compliance.
4	AL	Demopolis Water Works and Sewer Board, City of Demopolis	11/23/98	The Order addressed violations of the limitations established in the Permit as indicated by the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) submitted to the Department by the City. Interim limitations on discharge of pollutants from Outfall 001 into the Tombigbee River were established.
4	AL	Demopolis Water Works and Sewer Board, City of Demopolis	08/02/01	This Order rescinded the 1998 Order and required the City to pay a civil penalty of \$5,300. An engineering report investigating the needs for changes in maintenance and operation procedures and the need for modification of existing treatment works or the need for any new or additional treatment works was supposed to be submitted to the Alabama Department of Environmental Protection (ALDEP) within three months of this Order.
4	AL	Stevenson Utilities Board, Stevenson Wastewater Treatment Lagoon, Stevenson	01/09/02	This Order required them to complete construction and start up the new or additional treatment works or modification of existing treatment works necessary to achieve compliance with Fecal Coliform discharge limitations by 10/14/03. They were also required to complete construction and start up of new pumping stations, SCADA System and upgrade of sewage collection system by 08/30/03. Construction of any additional sewer system rehabilitation was to be complete by 10/22/04.
4	AL	The Water Works and Sewer Board of the City of Anniston, Choccolocco Creek WWTP	06/25/98	This Order required the City to immediately make revisions to the overflow weirs at the headworks of the WWTP and at the Southeastern Area Lift Station to eliminate any leaking of untreated wastewater that resulted in continuous bypasses. They were also required to have a professional, licensed engineer prepare a report outlining the causes of noncompliance and they were supposed to later implement those preventative measures listed in the report.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	AL	Utilities Board of the City of Athens	08/06/97	The City was required to have a professional engineer prepare a compliance plan for the City to submit to the Department no later than 09/30/97. The compliance plan was to evaluate the causes of the SSO's at the cited manholes near the Athens Limestone Hospital and the L&N Railroad overpass and make recommendations on how to eliminate or significantly reduce the SSO's.
4	AL	Utilities Board of the City of Bayou La Batre	01/08/99	The City was required to prepare and submit a Compliance Plan to the Department for approval no later than 03/31/99. The plan will identify causes of effluent limitations violations and describe corrective actions, as well as provide a schedule implementing the Compliance Plan.
4	AL	Utilities Board of the City of Helena	12/03/01	The 1997 Order was rescinded. These Order required them to have a registered professional engineer prepare and submit the plans and specifications to upgrade the existing Helena WWTP to a 4.95 MGD. All construction was supposed to be complete by 11/30/03. In lieu of paying the \$100,000 civil penalty, they performed a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) which consisted of the construction of a new pump station in the park area.
4	AL	Utilities Board of the City of Helena	08/22/97	This Order to addressed 53 SSOs in 1996 at several manhole locations in the collection system. The 53 SSO's resulted in a total of 29,330,000 gallons of raw sewage overflow from its collection system. They were required to submit a compliance plan schedule to resolve the violations. They failed to meet the milestones of two engineering activities, did not complete the replacement of the main pumping station at the scheduled date, and failed to expand the existing Helena WWTP to a 4.95 MGD plant.
4	FL	South Cross Bayou WWTF, Pinellas County, Clearwater	04/20/94	The Order authorized the County to proceed with the corrective actions outlined in the document and temporarily operate the WWTP and injection well system for a period of five years. They were also required to pursue construction and implementation of a County regional reuse system and upgrade the Facility to Advanced Wastewater Treatment (AWT) to include surface water discharge for backup disposal. A stipulated penalty of \$36,000 was assessed.
4	NC	Belmont City-A Sludge/Lars	10/30/01	
4	NC	Mebane Bridge WWTP	01/25/02	
4	NC	Morrisville Town-Carpenter	04/11/00	
4	NC	Murfreesboro Town WWTP	10/11/00	
4	NC	Neuse Crossing WWTP	02/17/00	
4	NC	Pond Creek WWTP	01/25/02	
4	NC	Sanford WWTP	11/25/02	
4	NC	Sanford WWTP	07/09/03	
4	NC	Town of Canton	03/27/00	
4	NC	Town of Green Level	03/16/01	
4	NC	Warsaw WWTP	08/03/00	

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	SC	Bath and Water and Sewer District, Aiken County	08/21/97	This Order required the County to clean out the sewer line within three days of the Order and replace the section of sewer line from the main trunk line to the edge of the property at 15 Hill Street within four months of the Order. A \$10,000 civil penalty was suspended.
4	SC	Berkeley County Water and Sanitation Authority, Lower Berkely WWTF, Berkeley County	05/07/02	The Order required the County to submit a summary report of corrective actions taken to prevent future unauthorized discharges. A civil penalty of \$9,900 was assessed.
4	SC	City of Hanahan	03/20/00	The City was required in this Order to complete development of a comprehensive CMOM program and implementation of the initial system audit and by 09/01/00, submit a summary detailing the findings of the initial audit. A civil penalty of \$11,200 was assessed.
4	SC	City of Hardeeville	02/28/02	The Order addressed the discharge of sewage into the environment not in compliance with their permit and failure to properly operate and maintain, at all times, all waste treatment systems. An \$8,000 civil penalty was assessed.
4	SC	City of Lancaster	01/27/97	This Order addressed raw sewage leaking from an exposed polyvinyl chloride (PVC) line under a bridge and from an abandoned line adjacent to the bridge decreased dissolved oxygen levels below stream standards resulting in a fish kill. As a result of this violation, the City was required to submit a schedule to survey the collection system as well as a schedule to inspect the collection system on a routine basis. A civil penalty of \$12,000 was assessed plus \$3,061.35 for the cost of the fish kill.
4	SC	City of Lancaster	07/31/01	The Order required the City to begin developing a Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) audit for the wastewater collection system. A summary report of corrective actions addressing deficiencies in the wastewater collection system and ammonia-nitrogen and toxicity were also to be submitted.
4	SC	City of Lancaster, Catawba River Plant, Lancaster County	11/30/99	This Order addressed an unauthorized discharge caused by an overflowing manhole. The City was required to submit a SSES study plan outlining plans for evaluation of the deficiencies within its sewer system.
4	SC	City of Rock Hill/ Manchester Creek	01/09/01	This Order required the City to submit a corrective action plan for compliance with the permitted discharge limits for fecal coliform. A corrective action plan and schedule was also required to address priority deficiencies in the wastewater collection system (pump stations, manholes, line breaks/ deteriorations, etc.). Within six months of the Order and every six months until the Order is closed, they were supposed to submit a summary report of corrective actions addressing deficiencies in the wastewater collection system. A civil penalty of \$23,000 was assessed.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	SC	City of Rock Hill/ Manchester Creek	06/19/97	This Order addressed, among other things, violations of the permitted discharge limits for fecal coliform bacteria, total residual chlorine, and total suspended solids.
4	SC	East Richland County Public Service District, Richland County	07/27/01	The Order required the County to begin development of a CMOM audit and to submit a corrective action plan and schedule to address priority deficiencies in the wastewater collection system (pump stations, manholes, line breaks/deterioration, etc.). Within six months of the Order and every six months until the Order is closed, the County was also supposed to submit a summary report of corrective actions addressing deficiencies in the wastewater collection system.
4	SC	East Richland County Public Service District/Gills Creek WWTP, Richland County	06/29/98	The Order required the County to submit an administratively complete Preliminary Engineering Report addressing upgrade of the effluent pump station and the elimination of the Gills Creek discharge point. They were also required to submit an I/I study, outlining deficiencies in the waste disposal system and a schedule for completion of the study and of any necessary repairs.
4	SC	McCormick County Water and Sewer, McCormick County	07/21/03	This Order required the County to implement and re-evaluate the approved MOM program, submit a schedule for lift station inspections, submit a report of reduction of SSOs during the most recent 12 months, and submit a schedule plan to pump out interceptor tanks in Savannah Lakes Village including disposal sites.
4	SC	McCormick County Water and Sewer, McCormick County	08/19/02	The Ordered required the County to immediately begin inspecting all lift stations on a daily basis or have them equipped with telemetry monitoring. The lift station inspection records were supposed to be maintained for at least three years. Additionally, the Order required the County to submit a report identifying the reduction of SSOs since 01/01/00, as a result of compliance requirements of the 1999 Consent Order, as well as submit a five year on-going schedule to pump out interceptor tanks in Savannah Lakes Village, and submit "Sanitary Sewer Overflow or Pump Station Failure Forms" for unreported SSOs identified.
4	SC	McCormick County Water and Sewer, McCormick County	12/14/99	The County agreed to operate and maintain the wastewater collection system, develop and implement a CMOM program, evaluate all lift stations and take corrective actions accordingly, report all SSOs, and pay a penalty.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	SC	Spartanburg Sanitary Sewer District/Fairforest Plant, Spartanburg County	06/09/99	The Order required the County to submit a Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) study plan and later results for both, Camp Croft collection system and Fairforest Drainage Basin. The plans were supposed to have an implementation schedule for the phases of the SSES. A comprehensive Management, Operation, and Maintenance program (MOM) for the entire wastewater collection system was also to be submitted along with a corrective action plan detailing operation and maintenance procedures and/or pretreatment program modifications. At civil penalty of \$36,000 was assessed.
4	SC	Town of Carlisle	09/02/99	This Order required the Town to obtain a generator capable of operating the lift stations during power outages, submit a SSES study plan for the Westwood and Clearbranch subsystems along with an implementation schedule for the phases of the SSES, submit the results of the Phase I of the SSES, and submit a MOM plan for the entire wastewater collection system.
4	SC	Town of Chesterfield	10/01/02	The Order required the Town to submit a summary of corrective actions taken to prevent SSOs, implement temporary measures to prevent SSOs at the high school lift stations and submit a summary report detailing the measures taken, submit a corrective action plan for the upgrade of the high school lift station, begin development of CMOM audit, submit a corrective action plan and schedule to address priority deficiencies in the wastewater collection system, and within six months of the Order and every six months after the Order is closed, submit a summary report of corrective actions addressing deficiencies in the wastewater collection system.
4	SC	Town of Fort Mill	05/03/00	The Order required the Town to begin development of a CMOM audit and to submit a corrective action plan and schedule to address priority deficiencies in the wastewater collection system (pump stations, manholes, line breaks/ deterioration, etc.). Within six months of the Order and every six months until the Order is closed, the County was also supposed to submit a summary report of corrective actions addressing deficiencies in the wastewater collection system. A civil penalty of \$11,200 was assessed.
4	SC	Town of McColl	07/22/02	The Town was required to begin development of a cMOM audit, submit a corrective action plan and schedule to address priority deficiencies in the wastewater collection system, and within six months after the Order and every six months after the Order is closed, submit a summary report of corrective actions addressing deficiencies in the wastewater collection system.
4	SC	Town of Pamplico	05/17/00	The Order required the Town to submit a corrective action plan detailing measures taken or to be taken to prevent overflows. They were also required to submit detailed reports of all I/I work performed, including flow isolation and visual manhole inspections. A civil penalty of \$10,200 was assessed.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	SC	Town of Varnville	08/11/97	The Order addressed the Town allowing unauthorized discharges of untreated wastewater into the environment. As a result, the Town was required to notify the Department verbally within 24 hours of a spill and follow-up with a written description within five days. A civil penalty of \$3,500 was assessed.
4	SC	Western Carolina Regional Sewer Authority, Greenville County	06/09/99	This Order required the County to submit a study plan for instream water quality assessment of fecal coliform bacteria, implement the water quality assessment for fecal coliform bacteria, submit a study plan for a biological assessment around the collection systems, and pay a civil penalty of \$82,000.
4	TN	Athens Utilities Board	01/05/99	The Order required the City to submit to the Chattanooga Environmental Assistance Center (CEAC) an engineering report by 08/15/99.
4	TN	City of Alexandria	07/19/00	The City was required to complete construction of the approved wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) additions and/or improvements by 08/31/01.
4	TN	City of Alexandria	10/02/02	The City was required to complete construction of the WWTP facility by 10/31/02. Of the total \$104,000 penalty, \$7,500 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	City of Bluff City	07/28/98	This Order required the City to complete construction of the sewer connection by 05/15/98, and complete closure of the WWTP, without the bypass of sewage, within three months of the systems connection to the City of Bristol Utilities. A penalty of \$17,750 was assessed, of which \$2,250 was due within one month of this Order, and the remainder was contingent upon fulfilling required tasks.
4	TN	City of Church Hill	04/02/97	This Order required the City to notify the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TNDEC) of all sewage facility projects constructed or under construction without the Department's approval within one month of this Order. A penalty of \$5,000 was due within one month of this Order.
4	TN	City of Copperhill	10/21/99	The City was required to have two adequately sized and operational pumps installed at the City's lift station by 12/01/99, as well as have two adequately sized and operational return activated sludge pumps installed at the WWTP.
4	TN	City of East Ridge	04/29/96	This Order required the City to complete the approved Corrective Action Plan by 12/31/98. A \$30,000 contingent penalty was assessed.
4	TN	City of Franklin	10/26/99	The Order addressed, among other things, permit violations. The City was required to pay a damage fee of \$6,326 and a civil penalty of \$3,750.
4	TN	City of Franklin	11/13/00	This Order required the City to implement all remedial measures detailed in the approved report within six months of this Order. Of the total \$57,500 civil penalty, \$15,000 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent of fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	City of Greenbrier	03/20/00	This Order required the City to complete the WWTP upgrade/expansion and interceptor improvements within nine months of start of construction or, by 03/01/01. Of the total \$141,750 penalty, \$1,500 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	TN	City of Harriman	06/28/00	This Order required the City to complete the WWTP upgrade/expansion and interceptor improvements within 14 months of start of construction or, by 03/01/03. Of the total \$266,250 penalty, \$30,000 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	City of Harriman	07/23/02	The City was required to complete construction of Woodyard Pump Station/Force Main by 06/31/03, complete construction/rehabilitation of the South Harriman Pump Stations by 12/15/03, and complete construction of WWTP project by 03/01/04. A penalty of \$30,000 will be due within one month of this Order.
4	TN	City of Jefferson City	06/04/01	The City was required to complete construction of the WWTP improvement project by 01/31/02.
4	TN	City of Jellico	10/03/97	This Order required the City to complete collection system repairs and treatment plant upgrades/ expansion, and implement an ongoing program for collection system rehabilitation. Of the total \$11,500 penalty, \$1,500 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	City of Kingston	11/30/01	This Order required the City to complete the implementation of the Corrective Action Plan and within three months of complete implementation, the City will be in full compliance with its NPDES permit. Of the total \$207,000 penalty, \$5,000 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	City of Lafayette	04/22/03	The City was required to complete construction of the WWTP upgrade. Of the total \$92,500 penalty, \$7,500 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	City of LaVergne	11/08/99	This Order addressed the discharge of wastewater and raw sewage overflowing from manholes. A civil penalty fee of \$2,500 will be due within one month of this Order.
4	TN	City of LaVergne	10/24/00	The Order addressed, among other things, permit violations. A civil penalty fee of \$2,000 will be due within one month of this Order.
4	TN	City of Lawrenceburg	11/19/98	This Order required the City to complete repairs to the WWTP and collection system by 02/15/99.
4	TN	City of Lawrenceburg	03/27/01	This Order required the City to complete the installation of the new sludge filter belt press in the WWTP by 03/01/01. A penalty fee of \$9,375 will be due within one month of this Order..
4	TN	City of Middleton	11/10/98	The Order required the City to complete construction by 09/30/00.
4	TN	City of Middleton	08/25/00	The Order required the City to complete construction of the proposed upgrades to the existing lagoon, the modifications to the force main, and the replacements of the effluent pumps. Additionally, within six months of this Order, the City will complete construction of the parallel force main. Of the total \$47,750 penalty, \$5,000 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	TN	City of Murfreesboro	08/21/99	The City was required to complete the expansion of the sewer treatment plant and place the sewer treatment plant into full operation by 01/31/00. As a result, the Town was charged a damage fee of \$12,107 in addition to the penalty of \$400,000. Of the total penalty, \$50,000 was due within one month of this Order and the remainder was to be contingent upon fulfilling the required tasks.
4	TN	City of Murfreesboro	05/22/01	The Order requested that the City perform a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) in lieu of paying the \$50,000 civil penalty. The City was to purchase a five acre lot, which had a wetland and protect, in perpetuity, the aesthetic, educational, or ecological values of this wetland.
4	TN	City of Portland Public Works	08/02/02	The Order addressed discharges into waters of the U.S. without a NPDES permit and causing a condition of pollution that resulted in a fish kill. The damage fee totaled \$541.11 and the penalty fee totaled \$5,000.
4	TN	City of Pulaski	05/08/98	The Order required the City to submit to the Division of Water Pollution Control a plan to eliminate permit limit violations by 06/15/98.
4	TN	City of Red Bank	02/25/97	The Order required the City to implement and complete the approved 1997 Sewer Rehabilitation and Corrective Action Plan and, if necessary, additional collection system improvements by 09/30/01. Of the total \$164,500 penalty, \$10,000 will be due in increments by 09/01/97.
4	TN	City of Rockwood	10/29/98	This Order required the City to complete the Corrective Action Plan and obtain full compliance with its NPDES permit by 08/01/01. Of the total \$16,750 penalty assessed, \$1,500 was due within one month of this Order and the remainder was to be contingent upon fulfilling required tasks.
4	TN	City of Sparta	10/04/00	The Order required the City to submit a report evaluating the effectiveness of the WWTP upgrade/expansion. Additionally, the city was required to submit a Corrective Action Plan to address the collection system I/I problems. Of the total \$62,000 penalty, \$7,500 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	City of Watertown	02/08/00	This Order addressed effluent discharge violations. A civil penalty of \$1,100 will be due within one month of this Order.
4	TN	City of Watertown	06/03/03	This Order required the City to complete construction of the wastewater collection system and WWTP improvements by 08/31/03. Of the total \$87,500 penalty, \$10,500 will be due in increments by 03/31/04.
4	TN	Knoxville Utilities Board	05/20/03	This Order required a Phase I Corrective Action Plan/Engineering Report to be submitted within ten months of receiving comments from the Department. A Phase II Corrective Action Plan/Engineering Report was required to be submitted by 06/30/06. A contingent penalty was assessed at \$475,000.
4	TN	Lenoir City Utilities Board	01/03/01	This Order required the Board to complete collection system improvements by 07/31/01 and to complete all planned WWTP improvements by 12/31/01. They were also required to complete construction of approved additions and/or improvements within 18 months of start of construction or, by 06/30/05.
4	TN	Lynnwood Utility Corporation	No date provided	The Order addressed permit violations. A civil penalty of \$5,000 will be due within one month of this Order.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
4	TN	Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County	09/17/99	The City and County were required to have all CSO controls, including but not limited to, floatable material and debris removal, combined sewage storage and/or detention and CSO elimination, in place by 07/01/01. The City and County were also supposed to eliminate all overflows or bypassing from its sanitary sewers to all waters of the state by 07/01/01. Of the total \$600,000 penalty assessed, \$100,000 was due within one month of this Order. However, the City and County had the option to perform a SEP in lieu of paying the \$100,000.
4	TN	Town of Collierville	06/03/98	The Town was required to complete the installation of the new return sludge pumping system within three months of this Order. They were also required to complete the new aerated lagoon treatment plant within two months of this Order. Of the total \$118,500 penalty, \$5,000 was due within one month of this Order and the remainder was contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	Town of Gainesboro	11/22/00	The Order required the Town to complete construction on the WWTP upgrade/expansion by 09/01/02. Of the total \$67,625 penalty, \$6,500 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent of fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	Town of Gainesboro	03/27/01	This Order required the Town to complete construction on the WWTP upgrade/expansion by 03/01/03. A penalty of \$5,500 will be due within one month of this Order.
4	TN	Town of Monterey	09/11/02	The Town was required to complete the implementation of the Corrective Action Plan and obtain full compliance with its NPDES permit within three years of approval. As a result, the Town was charged a damage fee of \$6,413 in addition to the penalty of \$115,500. Of the total penalty, \$12,500 was be due within one month of this Order and the remainder was to be contingent upon fulfilling required tasks.
4	TN	Town of Mosheim	04/25/01	The Order required the Town to complete construction on the WWTP upgrade/ expansion by 06/01/01 and complete the I&I rehabilitation by 12/31/01. A civil penalty of \$3,000 will be due within one month of this Order.
4	TN	Town of Pikeville	01/09/00	This Order required the Town to submit a pretreatment program for approval and, within one year of this Order, be in compliance with its NPDES permit. Of the total \$58,250 penalty, \$4,125 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	Town of Spring City	07/31/03	The Order required the Town to submit a plan for approval to comply with permit requirements and within 12 months of approval, the plan will be implemented. Of the total \$15,000 penalty, \$5,000 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.
4	TN	Town of Spring Hill	06/04/99	The Town was required to implement a plan to prevent any future bypassing in the Pipkin Hills subdivision area by 09/01/99.
4	TN	Town of Spring Hill	01/26/00	The Order required the Town to complete the Corrective Action Plan and obtain full compliance with its NPDES permit by 01/01/01. Of the total \$73,312 penalty, \$1,312 will be due within one month of this Order and the remainder will be contingent with fulfilling the remaining tasks.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
5	IN	City of Batesville	03/23/01	
5	IN	City of Brazil	12/09/93; Amended 10/95	
5	IN	City of Brazil	12/07/99	
5	IN	City of Charlestown	04/03/02	
5	IN	City of Dunkirk	05/28/93	
5	IN	City of Elwood	10/04/93	
5	IN	City of Garrett	12/13/02	
5	IN	City of Gas City	03/25/91	
5	IN	City of Gas City	11/07/02	
5	IN	City of Greencastle	05/11/99	
5	IN	City of Greenwood	07/25/97	
5	IN	City of Indianapolis		Referred to EPA 08/20/02.
5	IN	City of Jasonville	12/20/93	The City was required to submit a Compliance Plan, including a schedule with fixed dates for each milestone and a reasonable date for final compliance. They were also required to initiate the work detailed in the plan within 30 days of being fully approved and complete the work according to the plan's schedule.
5	IN	City of Lawrence	01/04/99; Amended 9/26/00	The City was required to develop and submit to IDEM its Compliance Plan for IDEM approval.
5	IN	City of Madison	12/10/97	
5	IN	City of New Albany	05/09/97	
5	IN	City of Portland	03/30/93	
5	IN	City of Rockport	01/29/03	
5	IN	City of Salem	09/18/96	
5	IN	City of Salem	08/18/98	
5	IN	City of Scottsburg	07/23/03	
5	IN	Community University of Gary	08/07/91	
5	IN	Henryville	10/31/01	
5	IN	Town of Advance	07/28/03	
5	IN	Town of Albany	11/30/00	
5	IN	Town of Ashley	09/11/01	
5	IN	Town of Austin	05/11/98	
5	IN	Town of Bristol	11/10/98	
5	IN	Town of Brooklyn	10/20/98	
5	IN	Town of Carthage	01/12/99	
5	IN	Town of Cedar Lake	03/04/99	
5	IN	Town of Churubusco	06/24/03	
5	IN	Town of Clay City	03/05/02	
5	IN	Town of Converse	02/23/99	
5	IN	Town of Cumberland	02/01/02	
5	IN	Town of Dillsboro	05/01/03	The Town was required to submit a Compliance Plan detailing the construction of the new oxidation ditch wastewater treatment system, including an implementation and construction schedule within three months of this Order.
5	IN	Town of Elizabethtown	11/27/91; Amended 05/20/98	
5	IN	Town of Farmersburg	08/08/02	

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
5	IN	Town of Farmland	05/09/03	
5	IN	Town of Flora	04/30/92; Amended 06/20/03	
5	IN	Town of Fort Branch	03/23/01	
5	IN	Town of Fountain City	09/30/02	The Town was required to develop and submit to Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) for approval a Compliance Plan which identified actions the Town was to take in order to achieve compliance within six months of this Order. This included eliminating sewer system overflows (SSOs).
5	IN	Town of French Lick	11/12/93	This Order required the Town to complete construction of its I/I removal project by 12/21/94, complete construction of its sewage treatment plant by 06/30/97, and complete the necessary actions to assure that the downspouts and the sump pumps do not connect with the sewage treatment plant.
5	IN	Town of Galveston	07/02/02	The Town was required to immediately implement the approved Compliance Plan and adhere to the milestone dates.
5	IN	Town of Geneva	04/29/03	
5	IN	Town of Grabill	02/07/02	
5	IN	Town of Grandview	01/21/99	
5	IN	Town of Greentown	03/18/98	
5	IN	Town of Hanover	03/07/01	
5	IN	Town of Hartsville	01/27/00	
5	IN	Town of Haubstadt	08/10/94	The Town was required to submit to IDEM a Compliance Plant which included an implementation schedule.
5	IN	Town of Jonesboro	06/17/94	
5	IN	Town of LaGrange	03/18/98	
5	IN	Town of Lapel	11/21/97	
5	IN	Town of Leavenworth	07/23/01	This Order required the City to develop and submit to IDEM its Compliance Plan for IDEM approval.
5	IN	Town of Lynnville	07/28/03	
5	IN	Town of Milan	05/22/98	
5	IN	Town of Montpelier	09/20/93	
5	IN	Town of Moores Hill	09/26/00	
5	IN	Town of Mt. Etna	11/16/98	
5	IN	Town of Mulberry	10/18/98	
5	IN	Town of New Providence	12/28/98	
5	IN	Town of Palmyra	01/02/01	
5	IN	Town of Paoli	05/12/97	The Town was required to complete sludge handling improvements to assure NPDES compliance, complete a Sewer System Evaluation Study (SSES), and submit a list of I/I sources found and corrected.
5	IN	Town of Parker City	05/23/94	
5	IN	Town of Parker City	01/02/01	
5	IN	Town of Pierceton	11/18/98; Amended 06/24/03	
5	IN	Town of Remington	06/06/03	
5	IN	Town of Riley	12/12/02	
5	IN	Town of Rockville	07/22/97	
5	IN	Town of Rome City	05/12/00	
5	IN	Town of Santa Claus	06/01/01	

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
5	IN	Town of Schererville	08/15/91	
5	IN	Town of Sellersburg	12/14/00	
5	IN	Town of Staunton	09/26/00	The Town was required to develop and submit to IDEM a Compliance Plan for approval.
5	IN	Town of Sweetser	01/29/02	
5	IN	Town of Trafalgar	01/26/95	
5	IN	Town of Upland	06/06/94; Amended 12/01	
5	IN	Town of Upland	01/12/01	
5	IN	Town of West College Corner	12/18/97	Later referred to EPA.
5	IN	Town of Whitestown	05/01/01	
5	IN	Town of Wolcottville	06/20/02	
6	LA	City of Pineville	05/31/02	The Order required them to submit a comprehensive plan for the expeditious elimination and prevention of non-complying discharges and complete a written report to include a detailed description of the circumstances of the violations, the actions taken to achieve compliance, and corrective or remedial actions taken to mitigate any damages.
6	LA	City of Ruston	12/16/99	Under this Order, they were required to cease all unauthorized discharges, meet and maintain compliance with their Permit, submit a written report to include a detailed description of the circumstances for the violations and the actions taken to achieve compliance, and to submit a schedule for corrections.
6	LA	City of Ruston	05/31/01	This Order required them to immediately cease unauthorized discharges to the waters of the state or any unenclosed areas that drain to the waters of the state, submit a comprehensive plan for the expeditious elimination and prevention of the noncomplying discharges, complete a written report to include a detailed description of the circumstances for the violations, the actions taken to achieve compliance, and any corrective or remedial actions taken.
6	LA	City of Ruston	Amendment 11/29/2001	The Order required the City to complete repairs/replacement of U.S. Highway 80 East force main by 06/15/02, complete rehabilitation of the clarifiers by 01/15/03, and complete construction of the WWTF/pump station/ force main by 12/31/06.
6	LA	City of Ruston	07/11/97	This Order required the City to immediately cease all unauthorized discharges from the facility to the waters of the state, submit a comprehensive plan for the expeditious elimination and prevention of noncomplying discharges, and submit a completed Louisiana permit application.
6	LA	City of Ruston	04/29/98	This Order addressed the discharge of inadequately treated sanitary wastewater.
6	LA	City of Ruston	12/16/99	This Order required the City to submit a written report detailing the circumstances for the violations, actions taken to achieve compliance, and corrective or remedial actions taken to mitigate damages. They were also required to submit a comprehensive plan for the expeditious elimination and prevention of noncomplying discharges.
6	LA	City of Westwego	04/27/98	This Order addressed the City's O&M deficiencies, sampling deficiencies, and violations of effluent limitations.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	LA	City of Westwego	04/24/01	This Order addressed the City's the violation of effluent limitations, operation and maintenance requirements, and self-monitoring programs. The Order required them to immediately cease unauthorized discharges to the waters of the state, submit a written report to include the circumstances for the violations, and submit a comprehensive plan for the elimination and prevention of such non-complying discharges.
6	LA	City of Westwego	08/29/02	This Order required them to immediately cease unauthorized discharges to the waters of the state, submit semi-annual progress reports until completion of proposed improvements, and to complete a written report to include a detailed description of the circumstances for the violations, the actions taken to achieve compliance, and corrective or remedial actions taken to mitigate any damages resulting from the violations.
6	LA	City of Westwego	12/24/02	This Order addressed the City's failure to properly operate and maintain its facility.
6	OK	Bethany/Warr Acres Public Works Authority	03/09/00 Closed	This Order addressee bypasses of untreated wastewater.
6	OK	Bixby Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Bixby Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Blackwell Municipal Authority		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Bokoshe Public Works Authority	05/23/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The Authority was required to complete construction of the new collection system by 01/01/04.
6	OK	Carney Public Works Authority	06/01/98 Closed	This Order addressed exceeding discharge limits.
6	OK	Checotah Public Works Authority	12/17/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Checotah Public Works Authority	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharge violations.
6	OK	Chouteau Public Works Authority	04/11/97 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	City + A153 of McAlester	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Ada	03/08/01 Closed	This Order addressed the City discharging without a permit.
6	OK	City of Altus	06/14/95 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted discharges.
6	OK	City of Apache	11/30/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Ardmore	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Atoka	01/11/94 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Bartlesville	07/12/93 Closed	This Order addressed unauthorized bypasses.
6	OK	City of Bethany	06/12/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete construction of the lift station upgrades by 04/01/03.
6	OK	City of Blackwell		This Order addressed failure to report bypasses.
6	OK	City of Broken Bow		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	City of Bulter	07/28/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Chandler		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Claremore	07/26/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Claremore		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Cleveland		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Clinton		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Clinton	10/10/94 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Cordell	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Corn	10/16/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Coweta	04/11/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Davis	12/15/99 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypass from manholes.
6	OK	City of Del City	11/19/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Durant		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Durant	01/26/96 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Durant	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	City of El Reno		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of El Reno	04/24/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of El Reno	11/16/00 Closed	This Order addressed unreported sewage bypasses.
6	OK	City of El Reno	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of El Reno		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of El Reno	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of El Reno	08/01/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Elgin		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Elk City	02/13/97 Closed	This Order addressed exceeding discharge limits.
6	OK	City of Elk City	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed exceeding discharge limits.
6	OK	City of Elmore City	10/21/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete construction on the collection system upgrades by 12/01/03.
6	OK	City of Enid	02/05/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Enid	06/12/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Fairview	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharge violations.
6	OK	City of Fairview	05/19/95 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Glenpool	03/06/01 Closed	This Order addressed exceeding discharge limits.
6	OK	City of Guthrie		This Order addressed bypasses.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	City of Haileyville	03/15/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Haileyville	08/27/96 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hartshorne	02/22/96 Closed	This Order addressed bypass from manhole.
6	OK	City of Healdton	08/01/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete construction on the collection system upgrades by 12/01/03.
6	OK	City of Heavener	05/25/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharge of sewer, failure to sample, and bypassing.
6	OK	City of Heavener	05/25/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Henryetta		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Henryetta	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Henryetta	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hobart		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hobart		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Holdenville	11/07/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete construction of improvements at the Heritage Village by 09/01/03, and complete construction of improvements to eliminate the connections between the storm sewer and the sanitary sewer systems within 12 months of obtaining adequate funding.
6	OK	City of Holdenville	05/30/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Holdenville	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges of sewage effluent.
6	OK	City of Hollis	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hooker	10/29/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hugo	09/25/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hugo	02/01/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hugo	08/14/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Hugo	06/21/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Idabel	07/01/96 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Kingfisher		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Kingfisher	12/17/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Konawa	11/14/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Lawton	01/17/03	The City was required to complete construction/rehabilitation of Phase I by 07/01/05, and complete the flow monitoring assessment for Phase I by 01/01/06. The City was also required to complete construction/rehabilitation of Phase II by 07/01/12, and complete the flow monitoring assessment for Phase II by 01/01/13.
6	OK	City of Lawton		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	City of Madill	08/27/96 Closed	This Order addressed the inadequate facility to treat sewage effluent.
6	OK	City of Marland	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharge permit violations.
6	OK	City of McAlester	04/15/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of McAlester	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of McAlester	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Minco	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed the discharge of sewage effluent to Buggy Creek.
6	OK	City of Moore		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Moore	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Morris		This Order addressed failure to obtain a discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Noble		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Noble		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Norman	05/24/96 Closed	This Order addressed bypass violations.
6	OK	City of Nowata	05/18/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Nowata		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	City of Oklahoma City	03/21/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Oklahoma City		This Order addressed noncompliance with discharge procedures.
6	OK	City of Okmulgee	09/23/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Okmulgee	06/20/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Okmulgee	04/17/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Okmulgee	11/01/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Okmulgee		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Owasso		This Order addressed bypass of untreated wastewater from manholes.
6	OK	City of Pawhuska		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Pawhuska		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	City of Pawhuska		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Pawnee		This Order addressed sewage bypasses.
6	OK	City of Pawnee	09/07/01 Closed	This Order addressed exceedings of discharge limits.
6	OK	City of Picher	09/15/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Piedmont	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Ponca	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed sewage treatment discharges.
6	OK	City of Poteau	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Poteau	09/26/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	City of Poteau	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Sand Springs	05/03/01 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	City of Sand Springs	11/10/94 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	City of Sapulpa	06/20/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Sapulpa	09/26/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete construction of the collection system improvements by 04/01/03.
6	OK	City of Seminole	03/15/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Seminole		This Order addressed eliminating defects contributing to bypasses.
6	OK	City of Spencer		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Stillwater	04/12/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Sulphur	10/16/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Sulphur		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Tonkawa	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Valliant	10/03/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Watonga		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Waynoka	05/31/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Weleetka		This Order addressed, among other things, bypasses.
6	OK	City of Wetumka		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Wetumka		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Wetumka		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Wetumka	06/21/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Wetumka	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Wewoka		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Wewoka	10/07/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Wewoka		This Order addressed bypasses.
6	OK	City of Wewoka	10/07/99 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge.
6	OK	City of Wilburton	02/08/02; Amended 10/14/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete the previously approved Environmental Enhancement Project (EEP) by 04/01/04, and complete construction of the approved wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) Number S-20104 and associated lift stations at Facility Numbers S-20103 and S-20105 by 11/01/04.
6	OK	City of Wilson	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Wilson	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	City of Woodward		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Woodward		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	City of Woodward		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	City of Wynnewood	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Delaware Public Works Authority	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Fairfax Public Works Authority	12/20/99 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	Fairfax Public Works Authority	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Grand Lake Public Works Authority	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Grand Lake Public Works Authority	05/26/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Green Country Sewer Company		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Haskell County Water Company	06/04/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Haskell Public Works Authority	01/11/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Heavener Public Works Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Helena Public Works Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Hinton Public Works Authority	04/05/94 Closed	This Order addressed lagoon sewage effluent.
6	OK	Hominy Public Works Authority	04/14/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Hugo Municipal Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed bypassing collection system.
6	OK	Hugo Municipal Authority	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed bypassing untreated sewage.
6	OK	Hugo Municipal Authority	10/01/95 Closing	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Hulbert Public Works Authority	08/04/93 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Jay Utilities Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Jay Utilities Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Jay Utilities Authority	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed permit violations.
6	OK	Jenks Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Jenks Public Works Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed the inadequate facility to treat sewage effluent.
6	OK	Jenks Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Keota Public Works Authority	06/10/99 Closed	This Order addressed the inadequate facility to treat sewage effluent.
6	OK	Krebs Utilities Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Lakeland Water System	11/14/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Marietta Public Works Authority	10/16/93 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted discharges.
6	OK	Marietta Public Works Authority	10/16/93 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Marietta Public Works Authority	06/19/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharge permit violations.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	Muskogee Municipal Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Muskogee Municipal Authority	07/15/98 Closed	This Order addressed chronic bypasses.
6	OK	Muskogee Municipal Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed the inadequate facility to treat sewage effluent.
6	OK	Ochelata Utilities Authority		This Order addressed systems overflows and bypasses.
6	OK	Okay Public Works Authority	10/06/00 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Okemah Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Okemah Public Works Authority	01/26/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Okemah Utilities Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Owasso Public Works Authority	10/04/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Panama Public Works Authority		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Pensacola Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Pocola Municipal Authority	08/24/99 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Pocola Municipal Authority	10/02/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Pocola Municipal Authority	11/22/94 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Quinton Public Works Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed the inadequate facility to treat sewage effluent.
6	OK	Regional Metropolitan Utility Authority	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharge violations.
6	OK	Ringling Municipal Authority	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Sapulpa Municipal Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Sapulpa Public Works Authority	12/21/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Savanna Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Savanna Public Works Authority	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed bypasses/permit limits.
6	OK	Savanna Public Works Authority	12/21/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	South Coffeyville Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Stroud Utilities Authority	09/23/97 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Tahlequah Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town + A304 of Freedom		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Achille	08/27/96 Closed	This Order addressed the Town discharging without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Alderson	12/09/98 Closed	This Order addressed the Town discharging without a permit.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	Town of Alex	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed, among other things, the Town discharging without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Antlers	09/20/95 Closed	This Order addressed bypasses/discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Antlers	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed bypass and discharge violations.
6	OK	Town of Antlers		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Antlers		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Antlers	03/10/00 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Arapaho	09/14/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Arkoma		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Atoka	08/15/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Blair	10/23/96 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Bokoshe		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Boswell	11/22/94 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Boynton	04/20/95 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Caddo		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Canute	06/23/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Canute		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Chelsea		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Cimarron City	07/27/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Copan	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Covington	10/10/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Crescent	05/18/95 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	Town of Dacoma		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Davenport	07/18/94 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Deer Creek	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharge permit violations.
6	OK	Town of Deer Creek	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Devol	11/07/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Dill City	08/17/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Dougherty	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Dougherty		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of East Duke	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Fairmont	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	Town of Fargo	10/03/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	Town of Freedom	09/17/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Freedom	07/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Ft. Towson	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Gans	05/30/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Garvin	10/13/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Geronimo	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Gore		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Inola		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Jennings	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed the exceeding discharge limits.
6	OK	Town of Jet	09/14/92 Closed	This Order addressed violation of discharge permit.
6	OK	Town of Keota	01/18/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Kingston	10/06/94 Closed	This Order addressed bypasses and discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Kingston	10/06/94 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Kiowa		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Krebs	09/12/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Kremlin	09/04/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Lamont		This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Langston	01/09/03	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete construction of the upgrades to the wastewater treatment plant within 14 months from the start of construction.
6	OK	Town of Lone Wolf	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Mannford		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Maud		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Meeker	04/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed bypassing.
6	OK	Town of Meeker	04/10/92 Closed	This Order addressed the inadequate facility to treat sewage effluent.
6	OK	Town of Muldrow	06/06/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Muldrow		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Nash		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Okarche	04/04/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Okarche		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Oktaha		This Order addressed plant overload, no operator, and discharges.
6	OK	Town of Panama	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Panama	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Panama	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	Town of Pond Creek	09/12/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Town of Quinton	09/14/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Quinton		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Ralston	06/11/96 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Ratliff City	12/05/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Salina	10/20/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Salina	11/21/01 Closed	This Order addressed unpermitted bypasses.
6	OK	Town of Salina		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Skiatook	07/11/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Soper	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Soper	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Soper		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Soper	08/10/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Spiro	07/06/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Spiro		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Springer	11/25/96 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Temple	02/22/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Tipton	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Tryon	03/11/97 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wakita	09/19/94 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wanette		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wanette	01/12/01 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wanette	12/01/99 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Washington	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wayne	12/09/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wayne	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wayne		This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Town of Wellston	03/15/94 Closed	This Order addressed the inadequate facility to treat sewage effluent.
6	OK	Town of Wellston	03/15/94 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Town of Wister	02/01/87 Closed	This Order addressed bypass of raw sewage.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
6	OK	Town of Wister	07/06/00 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Town of Wright City	01/08/02 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Tulsa Metropolitan Utility Authority	08/20/91 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Tulsa Metropolitan Utility Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Tulsa Metropolitan Utility Authority	08/08/98 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Valliant Public Works Authority	07/19/96 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Wagoner Public Works Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Wagoner Public Works Authority	06/04/93 Closed	This Order addressed infiltration problems and bypasses.
6	OK	Warner Utilities Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Warner Utilities Authority		This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Warr Acres Public Works Authority	07/01/93 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Warr Acres Public Works Authority	07/01/93 Closed	This Order addressed discharges without a permit.
6	OK	Weleetka Public Works Authority, City of Weleetka	10/14/02	This Order addressed discharges without a permit. The City was required to complete construction of the collection system improvements by 12/01/03.
6	OK	Wilburton Public Works Authority	10/10/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Wright City Public Works Authority	10/01/95 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
6	OK	Wright City Public Works Authority	01/25/99 Closed	This Order addressed violations of discharge permit.
9	CA	City of Chico, Central Valley Region	2000	The Order required the City to submit a detailed workplan and timeline which were supposed to include dates for submission of progress reports and for completion of the Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). A \$50,000 civil liability penalty was assessed.
9	CA	City of Crescent City, North Coast Region	2000	This Order established a schedule for the City to complete the long-term planning process to provide adequate wastewater treatment capacity.
9	CA	City of Folsom, Central Valley Region	2000	This Order addressed discharges of pollutants without waste discharge requirements. A civil liability penalty of \$70,000 was proposed.
9	CA	City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Region	2000	This Order required the City to upgrade sewers in the Hyperion service area, such upgrades included: implement the corrective measures for Boyle Heights and Silver Lake, construct the North Hollywood Interceptor Sewer, the North Outfall Relief Sewer (NOS)-East Central Interceptor Sewer (ECIS), the Northeast Interceptor Sewer (NEIS) - Eagle Rock Blvd. to Mission Rd., and the Eagle Rock Area Relief Sewer Phases 2B, 2C, and 2D. Additionally, the City was required to reduce the risk of SSOs by bypassing filtration processes at the Tillman and LA/Glendale Plants under specified conditions until completion of the ECIS and NEIS projects.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
9	CA	City of Pacifica, Calera Creek Water Recycling Plant		This Order required the City to either pay a civil liability in the amount of \$125,033 or, pay a \$10,000 and complete a SEP in the amount of \$115,033.
9	CA	Coachella Valley Water District, Colorado River Basin Region	2001	This Order addressed the discharge of untreated wastewater to the Coachella Branch of the All American Canal and Lake Cahuilla.
9	CA	Fort Bragg Municipal Improvement District No. 1, WWTF	03/22/01	This was a Cease and Desist Order which modified the time schedule of a previous Order to reflect a nine month delay in the NPDES permit renewal.
9	CA	Fort Bragg Municipal Improvement District No. 1, WWTF	03/28/02	This Order addressed their request to adjust the 2001 time schedule to reflect delay in permit renewal, with the exception of those tasks already completed.
9	CA	Long Beach Water Reclamation Plant, Long Beach	07/11/02	The Order required them to complete construction of the modified process for nitrification and de-nitrification, submit a pollution prevention plan (PPP) workplan with a time schedule for implementation of the construction, achieve compliance with its permit, and submit quarterly progress reports.
9	CA	Los Coyotes Water Reclamation Plant, Cerritos	07/11/02	The Order required them to complete construction of the modified process for nitrification and de-nitrification, submit a PPP workplan with a time schedule for implementation of the construction, achieve compliance with its permit, and submit quarterly progress reports.
9	CA	Orange County Sanitation District (OCSD), Fountain Valley		This Order addressed the release and spill of approximately 122,000 gallons of sewage. An estimated 20,000 gallons was recovered and an estimated 102,000 gallons entered Newport Bay. As a result of this spill a precautionary beach closure for all beaches within the western half of Newport Bay was issued for six days.
9	CA	Orange County Sanitation District (OCSD), Fountain Valley	10/12/00	This Order addressed an estimated 60,600 gallons of sewage overflowing from a manhole in Beach Blvd., approximately 200 feet south of Imperial Highway. As a result, sewage flowed approximately 1,000 feet to a storm drain drop inlet and eventually entered Coyote Creek. An estimated 1,000 gallons of sewage was recovered, and approximately 59,600 gallons were not recovered.
9	CA	Sonoma County Sanitation District, City of Sonoma	05/23/01	Two Administrative Orders were issued addressing violations of its NPDES permit limits during two separate periods. Action was taken to address their pollution prevention/ source reduction and pretreatment programs not being managed adequately or implemented aggressively enough.
9	CA	Sonoma County Sanitation District, City of Sonoma	04/01/02	This Order required them to cease and desist from discharging wastes in violation of its NPDES permit and, they were required to continue and expand its current "Zinc Source Identification and Reduction Study." The Order also indicated that if they were successful in identifying the sources of zinc in its effluent, then a workplan identifying all necessary courses of actions to reduce the zinc in its treatment plant influent and effluent.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
9	CA	Whittier Narrows Water Reclamation Plant, El Monte	08/29/02	The Order required them to complete construction of the modified process for nitrification and de-nitrification, submit a PPP workplan with a time schedule for implementation of the construction, achieve compliance with its permit, and submit quarterly progress reports.
9	CA	Yucaipa Valley Water District, Yucaipa	01/24/03	This Order addressed 28 effluent limit violations and a penalty of \$84,000 was assessed. They agreed to perform a SEP that would benefit the Upper Santa Ana Watershed, contributing \$49,500 towards the cleanup of the perchlorate contamination in the Colton-Rialto area.
10	OR	City of Albany	05/16/01	The City was required to complete construction and attain operational level of the Maple Street pump station and force main upgrades by 10/31/02, and complete construction and attain operational level of the approved treatment facility improvements by 12/31/09. A \$107,5000 civil liability was imposed.
10	OR	City of Amity	03/01/00	Under this Order, the City was required to submit construction plans and specifications for upgrading the drinking water system and complete the necessary upgrades or improvements within 22 months of this Order. They were also required to submit a Reclaimed Water Use Plan outlining the minimum rule requirements for how the City will achieve compliance and a final Phase I and Phase II construction plans and specifications for completing improvements to the WWTP.
10	OR	City of Amity	Amendment 04/12/00	This amendment to the March 2000 Order approved the City's request for an extension to one compliance date requiring the City to submit a Reclaimed Water Use Plan by 10/01/00.
10	OR	City of Amity	Amendment 11/02/00	This amendment to the March 2000 Order approved the City's request for an extension to one compliance date requiring the City to submit a Reclaimed Water Use Plan by 02/01/01.
10	OR	City of Amity	Amendment 03/28/02	This amendment required them to, among other things, complete all necessary upgrades to the drinking water system by 09/01/02. Additionally, by 05/20/02, they were required to submit engineering plans and specifications for the entire wastewater improvement project and by 10/01/03, complete the upgrades to the wastewater treatment facilities.
10	OR	City of Amity	Amendment 05/17/02	This amendment approved the request for an extension to the engineering plans and specifications from 05/20/02 to 07/30/02.
10	OR	City of Ashland	02/06/95	This Order required the City to submit to the Department for approval a complete facilities plan by 10/95.
10	OR	City of Brookings	04/16/92	Under this Order, the City was required to complete construction on the facultative sludge lagoon(s) and associated structures by 11/01/93, and complete construction on the Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement Project by 12/01/94.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
10	OR	City of Coos Bay, WWTF #1	08/21/03	This Order addressed the prevention of future waste discharge violations. The Order required that within 12 months of approval of the final engineering Plans and Specifications, the City will complete construction of the wastewater control facilities.
10	OR	City of Coos Bay, WWTF #2	08/21/03	This Order required that within two years after award of a contract for construction, the City will complete construction of the approved wastewater control facilities and initiate operations.
10	OR	City of Falls City, OR	04/04/00	This Order required that within six months following the Department's approval of the Final Plans and Specifications, the City will complete the removal of the Fair Oaks Pump Station and replace it with a gravity line. They were also required to complete the necessary optimization/upgrades to the WWTF.
10	OR	City of Garibaldi	10/01/02	The Order required the City to complete the upgrades/expansion to the WWTFs as specified in the approved engineering Plans and Specifications and comply with all permit requirements, State, and Federal regulations and water quality standards by 05/31/04.
10	OR	City of Glendale	11/03/98	This Order required the City to complete the necessary upgrades or improvements to the drinking water system and achieve compliance with all applicable drinking water requirements by 09/30/01, complete the removal of inflow sources identified and prioritized in the Inflow Evaluation and Reduction Report for the WWTFs by 10/01/00, and complete the necessary upgrades/expansion to the WWTFs and comply with all permit requirements, State, and Federal regulations and water quality standards by 12/31/03.
10	OR	City of Grants Pass	10/24/01	The Order required that within two years of Department approval of diffuser Plans and Specifications, the City will complete construction of the effluent diffuser.
10	OR	City of Lowell, OR	11/08/01	This Order required that within 18 months after award of the construction contract, the City will complete construction upgrades/expansions required by the approved engineering Plans and Specifications.
10	OR	City of McMinnville	04/05/93	The Order required the City to upgrade and repair its sewage collection system pursuant to the approved overall I/I Correction Plan by 10/31/99.
10	OR	City of Medford	12/27/02	This Order required the City to complete construction of Phase I of the City's Best Management Practices (BMPs) by 06/02/03, and complete construction of Phase II of the City's BMPs by 03/01/04.
10	OR	City of Monroe	11/03/98	This Order required the City to complete the necessary upgrades or improvements to the drinking water system by 01/02/01, install and operate an influent flow meter at the treatment plant site by 10/31/98, complete the removal of all inflow sources identified in the approved Pre-Design Report by 11/01/03, and complete the necessary upgrades/expansion to the WWTFs by 11/01/06.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
10	OR	City of Myrtle Creek	05/30/02	This Order required the City to have wastewater control facilities in operation to comply with water quality standards immediately upon approval of Plans and Specifications and have the WWTF will be constructed and operational by 12/31/04.
10	OR	City of Powers	12/29/00	This Order required the City to complete Phase I by 10/01/03, and no later than the same time as completion of Phase I, the City will complete monitoring of flows in the collection lines, complete Phase II by 10/01/02, and complete all upgrades to the WWTP and comply with all NPDES permit conditions by 10/01/05.
10	OR	City of Rainier	12/15/95	The City was required to complete the upgrades to the drinking water treatment facility within 15 months following approval of the Plans and Specifications. Additionally, they were required to complete Phase I of the interim improvements to the WWTF by 01/02/96, complete Phase II of the interim improvements to the WWTF by 01/02/97, and complete the decommissioning of the underground storage tanks (USTs) within four months of approval of the decommissioning plan.
10	OR	City of Salem	01/21/98	This Order required the City to complete construction and initiated operation of the approved facilities and complete construction for all projects identified in the Salem Master Plan for the purposes of eliminating overflows to tributary streams by 01/01/00, and eliminate all SSOs by 12/31/09.
10	OR	City of Sweet Home	01/19/99	This Order required the City to complete the removal of all reasonably removable inflow sources into the City's wastewater collection system by 01/15/00. Within two years after award of construction contracts, the City will complete construction of the wastewater improvements.
10	OR	City of Sweet Home	Modification 1/19/01	This Order required the City to submit a draft facility plan and time schedule that evaluates alternatives for either increasing treatment capacity or reducing raw sewage flows down to the current treatment capacity by 10/31/05.
10	OR	City of Sweet Home	05/09/01	This was a modification approving the City's request to extend the compliance deadlines.
10	OR	City of Tillamook	01/06/03	This Order required the City to complete construction of a new digester supernatant pump station and modifications and repairs for the RBCs as required in the approved Plans and Specifications for the expanded Corrective Action Plan by 05/01/03. Additionally, the City was required to complete construction of the modifications to the facilities and repairs to the wastewater treatment facilities as required by the approved plans and specifications for the expanded Corrective Action Plan by 07/31/03.
10	OR	City of Toledo	11/15/00	This Order required that within 24 months after award of the construction contract, the City will complete construction of the necessary improvements.
10	OR	City of Warrenton	12/24/01	This Order required that within 15 months of awarding contracts for construction, the construction of the approved Plans and Specifications will be complete.

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Description
10	OR	City of Willamina	09/26/96	This Order required that within 12 months following the award of the construction contract, the City will complete construction of the necessary Phase I and Phase II improvements. By 07/01/03, the City will complete inflow corrective work.
10	OR	Clackamas County Service District #1, Oregon City	01/13/94	The Order required the County to have their wastewater control facilities in compliance with the water quality standards for chlorine by 12/31/94.
10	OR	Oak Lodge Sanitary District, Clackamas County	05/17/95	This Order required the District to have wastewater control facilities in operation to comply with the water quality standards for chlorine by 12/31/98.
10	OR	Roseburg Urban Sanitary Authority, Douglas County	06/27/94	This Order required the Authority to complete construction and installation of the back-up power generators at the Goedeck Waste Water Treatment Plant by 02/15/95.
10	OR	Roseburg Urban Sanitary Authority, Douglas County	09/30/92	This Order required that within eighteen months after approval of the engineering Plans and Specifications, the City will complete construction of the necessary improvements.
10	OR	Tri-City Service District, Clackamas	02/28/94	This Order required the District to complete the planning, designing, financing, and construction to increase the pump station capacity to accommodate a one in five year event and thereby substantially relieve bypass/overflows from certain discharge points by 07/01/97.
FL	FL	City of St. Petersburg	02/04/00	The Order required the City to budget appropriate monies, implement, and complete the recommendations for improvements to the Facilities and Systems established in the Final Report. They were also required to immediately initiate the capital improvements set forth in the Order, which were expected to be complete within seven years. In lieu of a \$391,533 civil penalty, they elected to implement several in-kind penalty projects.

K.11 State CSO Administrative Penalty Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
1	ME	City of Bath	01/09/92	\$14,000
1	ME	City of Biddeford	07/22/91	\$24,000
1	ME	City of Brewer	02/27/92	\$75,000
1	ME	Town of Lisbon	05/24/90	\$10,400
2	NJ	Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority	07/11/98	\$1,886
2	NJ	City of Camden	08/23/99	\$17,680
2	NJ	City of Gloucester	07/22/99	\$9,875
2	NJ	City of Newark	05/09/01	\$30,709
2	NJ	City of Paterson	02/01/99	\$15,000
2	NJ	City of Rahway	05/08/00	\$8,953
2	NJ	Middlesex County Utilities Authority	04/13/95	\$336,750
2	NJ	Middlesex County Utilities Authority (MCUA)	06/05/96	\$54,000
4	TN	Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County	09/17/99	\$600,000
5	IN	Bluffton POTW	06/06/03	\$60,000
5	IN	City of Sullivan	01/22/03	\$575
5	IN	Town of Remington	06/06/03	\$825
5	IN	Town of Ridgeville	10/15/01	\$750
5	IN	Town of Sullivan	01/22/03	\$2,625

K.12 State SSO Administrative Penalty Orders

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
4	AL	Demopolis Water Works and Sewer Board, City of Demopolis	08/02/01	\$5,300
4	AL	Stevenson Utilities Board, Stevenson Wastewater Treatment Lagoon, Stevenson	01/09/02	\$1,300
4	NC	Belmont City-A Sludge/Lars	10/30/01	\$4,234
4	NC	Mebane Bridge WWTP	01/25/02	\$1,774
4	NC	Morrisville Town-Carpenter Plant	04/11/00	\$7,421
4	NC	Murfreesboro Town-WWTP	10/11/00	\$4,240
4	NC	Neuse Crossing WWTP	02/17/00	\$10,801
4	NC	Pond Creek WWTP	01/25/02	\$4,100
4	NC	Sanford WWTP	11/25/02	\$18,214
4	NC	Sanford WWTP	07/09/03	\$19,423
4	NC	Town of Canton	03/27/00	\$21,742
4	NC	Town of Green Level	03/16/01	\$5,862
4	NC	Warsaw WWTP	08/03/00	\$12,517
4	NC	Wrenn Road Spray Irrigation Facility	03/16/01	\$7,295
4	TN	City of Alexandria	10/02/02	\$104,000
4	TN	City of Bluff City	07/25/98	\$17,750
4	TN	City of Church Hill	04/02/97	\$5,000
4	TN	City of East Ridge	04/29/96	\$30,000
4	TN	City of Franklin	10/26/99	\$6,326 - Damage Fee; \$3,750 - Civil Penalty
4	TN	City of Franklin	11/13/00	\$78,295 - Damage Fee; \$57,500 Civil Penalty
4	TN	City of Greenbrier	03/20/00	\$141,750
4	TN	City of Harriman	06/28/00	\$266,250
4	TN	City of Harriman	07/23/02	\$30,000
4	TN	City of Jellico	10/03/97	\$11,500
4	TN	City of Kingston	11/30/01	\$207,000
4	TN	City of Lafayette	04/22/03	\$92,500
4	TN	City of LaVergne	11/08/99	\$2,500
4	TN	City of LaVergne	10/24/00	\$2,000
4	TN	City of Lawrenceburg	03/27/01	\$9,375
4	TN	City of Middleton	08/25/00	\$47,750
4	TN	City of Murfreesboro	08/21/99	\$400,000
4	TN	City of Murfreesboro	05/22/01	In lieu of paying the \$50,000 civil penalty, the City agreed to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). The City was supposed to purchase a five-acre lot which had a wetland.
4	TN	City of Portland Public Works	08/02/02	\$541 - Damage Fee; \$5,000 - Penalty Fee
4	TN	City of Red Bank	02/25/97	\$164,500
4	TN	City of Rockwood	10/29/98	\$16,750
4	TN	City of Sparta	10/04/00	\$62,000
4	TN	City of Watertown	02/08/00	\$1,100
4	TN	City of Watertown	06/03/03	\$87,500

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
4	TN	Knoxville Utilities Board	05/20/03	\$475,000
4	TN	Lynnwood Utility Corporation		\$5,000
4	TN	Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County	09/17/99	Of the total \$600,000 penalty, \$100,000 was due within one month of this Order. However, in lieu of the \$100,000, they agreed to perform a SEP.
4	TN	Town of Collierville	06/03/98	\$118,500
4	TN	Town of Gainesboro	11/22/00	\$67,625
4	TN	Town of Gainesboro	03/27/01	\$5,500
4	TN	Town of Monterey	09/11/02	\$115,500
4	TN	Town of Mosheim	04/25/01	\$3,000
4	TN	Town of Pikeville	01/09/00	\$58,250
4	TN	Town of Spring City	07/31/03	\$15,000
4	TN	Town of Spring Hill	01/26/00	\$73,312
6	OK	City of Holdenville	11/07/02	\$5,000
9	CA	California Department of Corrections, Sierra Conservation Center WTF, Tuolumne County	06/01/01	\$96,000
9	CA	California Department of Parks and Recreation, Angel Island State Park		\$33,000
9	CA	California Department of Parks and Recreation, Big Basin Redwoods State Park WWTP	09/20/02	\$135,000
9	CA	California Men's Colony San Luis Obispo County and Indirect Dischargers and Local Sewering Entities of Camp San Luis Obispo, Cuesta College, San Luis Obispo County Educational Center, and San Luis Obispo County Operational Facility	10/26/01	\$87,000
9	CA	Carpinteria Sanitary District, Santa Barbara County	04/09/01	\$6,000
9	CA	Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Imaging Building	09/27/02	\$3,000
9	CA	Centinela State Prison WWTF, Imperial County	04/30/01	\$33,000
9	CA	Centinela State Prison WWTF, Imperial County	2000	\$9,000
9	CA	Central Marin Sanitation Agency, San Rafael, Marin County		\$15,000
9	CA	Central Marin Sanitation District, San Rafael, Marin County	2001	\$6,000
9	CA	Cities of South San Francisco and San Bruno Water Quality Control Plant	07/16/03	\$81,000
9	CA	City and County of San Francisco, Southeast Water Pollution Control Plant		\$3,000

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
9	CA	City of Anderson Water Pollution Control Plant, Shasta County		\$3,000
9	CA	City of Anderson Water Pollution Control Plant, Shasta County		\$10,000
9	CA	City of Anderson Water Pollution Control Plant, Shasta County	2000	\$3,000
9	CA	City of Anderson Water Pollution Control Plant, Shasta County		\$10,000
9	CA	City of Atwater WWTF, Merced County	2000	\$36,000
9	CA	City of Atwater WWTF, Merced County	04/27/01	\$30,000
9	CA	City of Benicia WWTP, Solano County	2000	\$3,000
9	CA	City of Benicia WWTP, Solano County	2000	\$9,000
9	CA	City of Benicia WWTP, Solano County	10/29/2001	\$18,000
9	CA	City of Brawley WWTF, Imperial County	03/15/02	\$33,000
9	CA	City of Brawley WWTF, Imperial County	2001	\$6,000
9	CA	City of Brawley WWTF, Imperial County	2000	\$3,000
9	CA	City of Brentwood WWTP, Contra Costa County	07/26/01	\$243,000
9	CA	City of Burlingame, San Mateo County	12/21/01	\$3,000
9	CA	City of Chico WWTF, Butte County	01/29/00	\$6,000
9	CA	City of Chico WWTF, Butte County		\$100,000
9	CA	City of Corona	2000	\$15,000
9	CA	City of Corona	2001	\$288,000
9	CA	City of Coronado, Glorietta Bay Pump Station Construction Dewatering	10/09/02	\$39,000
9	CA	City of El Centro WWTP		\$15,000
9	CA	City of Escondido Hale Avenue Resource Recovery Facility, San Diego County	07/27/00	\$3,000
9	CA	City of Escondido, San Diego County	09/29/00	\$6,000
9	CA	City of Lakewood, Department of Water Resources	05/09/02	\$3,000
9	CA	City of Livermore, Alameda County		\$15,000
9	CA	City of Morro Bay and Cayucos Sanitary District WWTP, San Luis Obispo County	10/07/03	\$12,000
9	CA	City of Palo Alto, Santa Clara County		\$12,000
9	CA	City of Pasadena Department of Water and Power (Power Plant)	08/19/02	\$6,000
9	CA	City of Petaluma, Sonoma County	02/01/02	\$30,000
9	CA	City of Redondo Beach, Seaside Lagoon	03/29/02	\$51,000
9	CA	City of Rialto	08/02/02	\$30,000
9	CA	City of Rio Vista Trilogy WWTF, Solano County	07/10/02	\$3,000
9	CA	City of Rio Vista WWTF, Solano County	07/10/02	\$6,000
9	CA	City of San Diego, San Diego Convention Center Dewatering	04/25/02	\$81,000
9	CA	City of San Mateo Wastewater Treatment Plant, San Mateo County	01/24/03	\$39,000
9	CA	City of Santa Cruz	07/30/02	\$40,000
9	CA	City of Santa Rosa, Laguna Subregional WWT, Reuse, and Disposal Facilities	04/30/02	\$15,000

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
9	CA	City of Santa Rosa, Subregional Wastewater Treatment, Reuse and Disposal Facilities	05/02/02	\$12,350
9	CA	City of Vacaville WWTP, Solano County	07/10/02	\$27,000
9	CA	Coachella Sanitary District WWTF Coachella, Riverside County		\$45,000
9	CA	Coachella Sanitary District WWTF, Riverside County	04/30/01	\$27,000
9	CA	Coachella Sanitary District WWTF, Riverside County	04/30/01	\$3,000
9	CA	Coachella Sanitary District WWTF, Riverside County	2001	\$51,000
9	CA	Coachella Sanitary District, Owner/Operator Coachella Sanitary District WWTF Coachella, Riverside County	03/15/02	\$24,000
9	CA	Coachella Valley Water District WWTP		\$20,000
9	CA	Colton/San Bernardino Regional Tertiary Treatment and Water Reclamation Authority	08/02/02	\$54,000
9	CA	County of Los Angeles Department of Parks and Recreation, Val Verde County Park Swimming Pool	09/23/02	\$21,000
9	CA	County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works Alamitos Barrier Project (San Gabriel River), Long Beach	09/27/02	\$24,000
9	CA	County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works Storm Drain Project 9037	12/05/02	\$6,000
9	CA	Department of Public Works City of Los Angeles, Marina Interceptor Sewer	09/27/02	\$51,000
9	CA	East Bay Municipal Utility District, Orinda WTP, Contra Costa County		\$9,000
9	CA	East Bay Municipal Utility District, Orinda WTP, Contra Costa County		\$25,000
9	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District	06/22/00	\$10,000
9	CA	Fairfield Suisun Sanitary District, Solano County		\$9,000
9	CA	Fallbrook Public Utility District	12/11/02	\$87,000
9	CA	Fallbrook Public Utility District WWTP No.1, San Diego	10/24/02	\$87,000
9	CA	Harris Water Conditioning, Inc., Culligan Soft Water	02/07/02	\$9,000
9	CA	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Tapia Water Reclamation Plant, Calabasas	03/27/02	\$12,000
9	CA	Mt. View Sanitary District, Contra Costa County		\$3,000
9	CA	Napa Sanitation District, Napa County		\$153,000
9	CA	Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County	08/19/02	\$3,000

Region	State	Case Name/City Name	Effective Date	Penalty Amount
9	CA	Rancho California Water District, Santa Rosa Water Reclamation Facility, Riverside County	03/13/02	\$66,000
9	CA	Rancho California Water District, Well No. 121	12/11/02	\$3,000
9	CA	Rodeo Sanitary District, Contra Costa County		\$33,000
9	CA	San Francisco International Airport, Water Quality Control Plant		\$27,000
9	CA	Sewerage Agency of Southern Martin, Mill Valley, Marin County		\$6,000
9	CA	Southern California Water Company, Yukon Plant	01/11/02	\$21,000
9	CA	State of California Department of Corrections, Centinela State Prison WWTF, Imperial County		\$6,000
9	CA	The City of Chico WWTF, Butte County		\$9,000
9	CA	The City of Santa Cruz	09/20/02	\$40,000
9	CA	University of California at Los Angeles	03/06/01	\$6,000
9	CA	West County Wastewater District, Contra Costa County		\$192,000
9	CA	Western Riverside County Regional Wastewater Authority	01/18/02	\$96,000
9	CA	Yucaipa Valley Water District		\$39,000
9	CA	Yucaipa Valley Water District		\$48,000
10	OR	City of Albany	05/16/01	\$5,080