1	WILLIAM E. KOVACIC General Counsel				
2	SERENA VISWANATHAN				
3	Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, S-4002				
5	Washington, DC 20580 Phone (202) 326-3244 Fax (202) 325-3259				
6	JOHN K. VINCENT				
7	United States Attorney				
8	EDMUND BRENNAN Assistant United States Attorney				
9	California Bar No. 092526 501 I Street, Suite 10-100				
10	Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 554-2700 (phone) (916) 554-2900 (fax)				
11					
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14					
15	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,				
16	Plaintiff,	Case No			
17	V.	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER			
18 19	COMSTAR COMMUNICATIONS, EQUITABLE RELIEF				
20	INC., a/k/a COMMUNICATIONS 2000, and RANDALL A. CARASCO,				
21					
22	Defendants.				
23					
24	Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission" or "FTC"), by its undersigned				
25	attorneys, alleges as follows: 1. This is an action under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC").				
26	Act'), 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to secure a permanent injunction and other equitable relief against				
27	Defendants for their deceptive acts or practices and false advertisements in connection with the				
28	advertising, marketing, and sale of purported electromagnetic radiation-blocking cellular telephone				

patches called "WaveShield" in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a) and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 52 and 53(b).
- 3. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

PLAINTIFF

4. Plaintiff FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52, which prohibit, respectively, deceptive acts or practices, and false advertisements for food, drugs, devices, services, or cosmetics, in or affecting commerce. The FTC may initiate federal district court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FTC Act, and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case. 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

- 5. Defendant Comstar Communications, Inc. ("Comstar"), also known as Communications 2000, is a California corporation with its mailing address at P.O. Box 980430, West Sacramento, CA, 95758. Its agent for service of process is Peter J. Stubbs, Esq., 777 Campus Commons Road, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95825. It markets devices intended to block electromagnetic energy emitted from cellular phones. Comstar transacts business in the Eastern District of California.
- 6. Defendant Randall A. Carasco ("Carasco") is President of Comstar. His principal office or place of business is the same as that of Comstar. In connection with the matters alleged herein, Carasco transacts business in the Eastern District of California. At all times material to this complaint, Carasco individually or in concert with others, formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the policies, acts, or practices of Comstar, including the acts or practices alleged in this complaint.

COMMERCE 1 2 7. The acts and practices of Defendants, as alleged herein, are in or affecting 3 commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44. **DEFENDANTS' COURSE OF CONDUCT** 4 5 8. Since at least 1999, and continuing thereafter, Defendants have marketed a product that purportedly blocks electromagnetic energy emitted from cellular telephones to consumers 6 7 throughout the United States. Defendants have marketed this product under the names 8 "WaveShield," "WaveShield 1000," and "WaveShield 2000" (collectively referred to herein as 9 "WaveShield"). WaveShield is a metallic fiber patch that is placed over the earpiece of the cellular 10 telephone. 11 9. Defendants have advertised, promoted, offered for sale, sold and distributed 12 WaveShield to consumers throughout the United States by means of television commercial, print, 13 and Internet advertisements, including but not limited to the attached Exhibits A through H. 14 10. To induce consumers to purchase WaveShield, Defendants disseminated or caused 15 to be disseminated advertisements and promotional materials for WaveShield which include, among 16 others, the following statements and depictions: 17 (Exhibit A: Webpage) a. STOP Cell Phone Radiation! with the WaveShield 18 19 20 Up to 99% Cellular Radiation Protection! *** 21 22 Cell phone Radiation is **serious!** ...[M]edia around the world are focusing on the dangers of radiation emitted from cell phones. ... 23 When you purchase a WaveShield for each of your cell phones, you can rest assured you have enhanced the safety of your cell phone use. The WaveShield will block up to 99% of the radiation 24 entering the soft tissue of the ear canal. 25 Protect yourself and loved ones! 26 h. (Exhibit B: Webpage) 27 Wave Shield Cellular Protection System 28

2	The Wave Shield is a protective system about the size of a penny that adheres to the ear piece of any cellular phone. The WaveShield blocks up to 99% of the electromagnetic radiation that enters through the antenna, without affecting the quality of transmission.				
3	***				
4	C Patented, Proven, Tested.				
5	LABORATORY TESTED				
6	BLOCKS UP TO 99% OF RADIATION ENTERING THE SOFT TISSUE OF THE EAR				
7	CANAL.				
8	c. (Exhibit C: Webpage)				
9	The WaveShield 1000 features a soft comfort cushion design, about the size of a penny that adheres to the ear piece of any cellular phone and acts as a cellular protection system.				
10	The WaveShield 1000 blocks up to 99% of the harmful electromagnetic radiation that enters through the antenna into the unprotected ear canal, without affecting the quality of the transmission.				
11	Without Protection Electromagnetic radiation may enter the unprotected area of the inner ear				
12	via the antenna when phone is in use. [Depiction: Concentric circles radiating from a point approximately at the ear. The circles radiate into the person's head area.] With the "Wave Shield" Electromagnetic radiation is blocked from the soft tissue of the ear canal when the "Wave Shield" is attached. [Depiction: Concentric circles radiating from a point approximately at the ear. The circles radiate away from the person's head area.]				
13 14					
15	d. (Exhibit D: Leaflet Advertisement for "WaveShield")				
16	BLOCK up to 97% Cell Phone Radiation.				
17	***				
18	The WaveShield will block up to 97% of the radiation entering the soft tissue of the ear canal.				
19	e. (Exhibit E: Advertisement for "WaveShield")				
20	STOP THE WAVE!				
21	***				
22	Blocks up to 97% of electro-magnetic radiation waves that may enter thru the inner ear!				
23	***				
24	Without Protection Electromagnetic radiation may enter the unprotected area of the inner ear.				
25	[Depiction: A mobile phone with wavy red emanations extending from top of user's head to his chin and radiating into the users head.] With the "WaveShield" Electromagnetic radiation is blocked from the inner ear when the "WaveShield" is attached." [Depiction: A mobile				
26	phone with wavy red emanations extending from top of user's head to his chin that do not enter the users head.]				
27	f. (Exhibit F: Packaging for "WaveShield")				
28					

1 STOP the Wave! 2 BLOCKS up to 99% of Electromagnetic Radiation 3 The WAVE SHIELD blocks up to 99% of the electromagnetic radiation that may enter through the 4 antenna, without effecting [sic] the quality of transmission. 5 Without Protection... Electromagnetic radiation may enter the unprotected area of the brain when phone is in use. [Depiction: Concentric circles radiating from a point approximately at the 6 ear of person's head. The circles radiate into the head area.] With the "WAVE SHIELD"...
Electromagnetic radiation is blocked from the brain via the inner ear when the "WAVE SHIELD" is attached." [Depiction: Concentric circles radiating from a point approximately at the ear of a person's head. The circles radiate away from the head area.] 8 (Exhibit G: Revised Packaging for "WaveShield") 9 g. STOP the Wave! 10 11 TESTED! PROVEN! BLOCKS up to 97% of Electromagnetic Radiation from your inner ear! *** 12 13 The WAVE SHIELD blocks up to 97% of the electromagnetic radiation that may enter through the inner ear without affecting the quality of transmission. 14 Without Protection... Electromagnetic radiation may enter the unprotected area of the brain when 15 phone is in use. [Depiction: Concentric circles radiating from a point approximately at the ear of person's head. The circles radiate into the head area.] With the "WAVE SHIELD"... Electromagnetic radiation is blocked from the brain via the inner ear when the "WAVE SHIELD" is 16 attached. [Depiction: Concentric circles radiating from a point approximately at the ear of a 17 person's head. The circles radiate away from the head area.] 18 11. Defendants charged \$19.95 to \$24.95 for WaveShield. Defendants offered for 19 sale and sold WaveShield to consumers throughout the United States. 20 **DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT** 21 12. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits deceptive acts or 22 practices in or affecting commerce. Section 12(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 52(a), prohibits 23 the dissemination of any false advertisement in or affecting commerce for the purpose of inducing, 24 or which is likely to induce, the purchase of food, drugs, devices, services, or cosmetics. As set 25 forth below, Defendants have engaged and are continuing to engage in such unlawful practices in 26 violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52, in connection with 27

the offer, sale, advertising, promotion or distribution of WaveShield.

28

3 4

5 6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

13. For purposes of Section 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 52, WaveShield is a "device" pursuant to Section 15(d) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 55(d).

COUNT ONE

- 14. Through the use of representations and statements contained in advertisements, including but not limited to Exhibits A through H, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that WaveShield blocks up to 97 percent or up to 99 percent of radiation and other electromagnetic energy emitted by cellular telephones.
- 15. In truth and in fact, WaveShield does not block up to 97 percent or up to 99 percent of electromagnetic energy emitted by cellular telephones. Therefore, the making of the representations set forth in Paragraph 14 was, and is, a deceptive act or practice and constitutes false and misleading advertising for a device in or affecting commerce in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

COUNT TWO

- 16. Through the use of representations and statements contained in advertisements, including but not limited to Exhibits A through H, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that WaveShield blocks up to 97 percent or up to 99 percent of radiation and other electromagnetic energy emitted by cellular telephones.
- 17. Defendants did not possess and rely upon a reasonable basis that substantiated the representations set forth in Paragraph 16 at the time the representations were made. Therefore, the making of the representations set forth in Paragraph 16 was, and is, a deceptive act or practice and constitutes false and misleading advertising for a device in or affecting commerce in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

COUNT THREE

18. In their advertising and sale of WaveShield, defendants have represented that the product protects consumers from the electromagnetic energy emitted by the earpieces of cellular and cordless phones. Defendants have failed to disclose that the vast majority of electromagnetic energy emitted by cellular and cordless phones comes from the antenna and parts of the phone other than the earpiece. Defendants have also failed to disclose that WaveShield has no effect on this other electromagnetic energy. These facts would be material to consumers in their purchase or use of the products. The failure to disclose these facts, in light of the representation made, was, and is, a deceptive act or practice. Therefore, the making of the representations set forth in Paragraph 14 constitutes false and misleading advertising for a device in or affecting commerce in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

COUNT FOUR

- 19. Through the use of representations and statements contained in advertisements, including but not limited to Exhibits A through H, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that scientific evidence has proven that WaveShield blocks up to 97 percent or up to 99 percent of electromagnetic energy emitted by cellular telephones.
- 20. In truth and in fact, scientific testing has not proven that WaveShield blocks up to 97 percent or up to 99 percent of electromagnetic energy emitted by cellular telephones. Therefore, the making of the representations set forth in Paragraph 19 above was, and is, a deceptive act or practice and constitutes false and misleading advertising of a device in or affecting commerce in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a) and 52.

CONSUMER INJURY

21. Consumers throughout the United States have suffered and continue to suffer monetary loss as a result of Defendants' unlawful acts or practices. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of its unlawful practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

22. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief, including consumer notification and/or education, consumer redress, disgorgement, and restitution, to prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

23. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court, as authorized by Section 13(b) of

1	the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and pursuant to its own equitable powers:			
2	a.	Permanently enjoin Defendants from violating Sections 5 and 12 of the FTC Act, as		
3		alleged herein, in connection v	vith the advertising or sale of food, drugs, devices,	
4		cosmetics or other products, services or programs;		
5	b.	Award such equitable relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to		
6		consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including, but not		
7		limited to, consumer notification and/or education, recission of contracts, the refund		
8		of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains; and		
9	c.	Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional		
10		equitable relief as the Court m	nay deem just and proper.	
11				
12	Dated:		Respectfully submitted,	
13			WILLIAM E. KOVACIC General Counsel	
14				
15				
16			SERENA VISWANATHAN Federal Trade Commission	
17			600 Penn. Ave., NW, Rm. S-4002 Washington, DC 20580	
18			(202) 326-3244 (phone) (202) 326-3259 (fax)	
19			JOHN K. VINCENT	
20			United States Attorney	
21		By:		
22			EDMUND BRENNAN	
23			Assistant United States Attorney California Bar No. 092526	
24			U.S. Attorney's Office 501 I Street, Suite 10-100	
25			Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 554-2700 (phone)	
26			(916) 554-2900 (fax)	
27			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
28				