PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE

REVIEWER CHECKLIST FOR LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

(Instructions for Reviewers: Please include this checklist with your Manuscript Evaluation.)

- 1. Yes No
- 2. Yes No
- 3. **Yes No**
- 4. Yes No
- 5. Yes No
- 6. Yes No
- 7. **Yes No**
- 8. Yes No
- 9. Yes No
- 10. **Yes No**
- 11. **Yes No**
- 12. Yes No
- 13. **Yes No**
- 14. 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
- 15. **Yes No**
- 16. **Yes No**
- 17. **Yes No**

- 1. Does the letter comment on an article previously published?
- 2. Does it raise a valid point?
- 3. Is the point worth publishing?
- 4. Does the letter report on original research? (If so, please consult the Reviewer Checklist for Original Research.)
- 5. Does the letter provide insight into public health interventions?
- 6. Does it raise issues of interest to researchers?
- 7. Does it raise issues of interest to practitioners?
- 8. Will it be of interest to our other readers (policy makers, community advocacy groups, and the general public)?
- 9. Does it address health issues of a particular population?
- 10. Is it clear, concise and free of jargon?
- 11. Is it well organized?
- 12. Does the author present a good argument?
- 13. Does the letter cite published references to support the writer's argument?
- 14. Are citations up-to-date and relevant?
- 15. Does the letter warrant a reply from the author of the corresponding article?
- 16. Does the letter include a limited number of figures, tables, slides or other multimedia support?
- 17. If so, are the supporting materials used appropriately?

